There has never been anything quite like the Cultural Revolution, which disrupted life in the People's Republic of China from 1966 to 1976. It wreaked havoc in the world's most populous country, often turning life upside down and undermining the party, government, and army, weakening the economy, society, and culture. Tens of millions were hurt or killed during this period, and relatively few benefited, aside from Mao Zedong and (temporarily) the Gang of Four.

Historical Dictionary of the Chinese Cultural Revolution provides an extensive chronology that traces the events of the revolution and the introduction puts those events in context and explains them. The bulk of the information is provided in numerous dictionary entries on important persons, places, institutions, and movements. The bibliography points to further resources, and the glossary helps those researching in Chinese.
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Editor’s Foreword

Despite the tendency of history to repeat itself, some eras are truly unique, the Chinese Cultural Revolution being a case in point. This was not a revolution, nor was it a civil war; it probably came closer to a free-for-all. There were different sides, but the membership constantly changed, new ruling class against old ruling class, have-nots against haves, young against elders, uneducated against educated, countryside against city—just some of the dividing lines. This was exacerbated by ideology, but power was an even stronger drive. Some of the slogans of the time probably define it just as well, such as “turning the world upside down to create a new world,” and it did look as if the monkey god had been let loose. Yet, even then, someone was pulling the strings and this someone was an aging Mao Zedong, unwilling to tolerate any rival and even to trust old comrades. For the greater part of the decade 1966–1976, the Cultural Revolution wreaked havoc in the world’s largest society, undermining the party, government, and army, weakening the economy, society, and culture, and affecting China’s 800 million people and harming or destroying an eighth of the population. The strongest hope among most of the survivors was never to live through such a period again and to make it truly unique.

Given the confusion that reigned at the time and the uncertainty about many events that still prevails today, it is essential to have a book like this *Historical Dictionary of the Chinese Cultural Revolution* to help clear up some of the points. This book does not claim to be the last word, which is definitely in its favor, but it brings us another step closer to understanding what still remains an extremely convoluted and confusing era. This it does, first, through a chronology tracing the events showing at least what happened and when. The introduction then endeavours to flesh out the chronology by putting events and people in their places and showing how these events and people relate to one another. The countless details are extensively elucidated in entries on significant persons,
places and institutions, the more momentous events, the political and ideological movements, and much more. Since this did take place in China, the glossary is a useful tool for those researching it in Chinese. For those who want to know more, the bibliography is an excellent starting point.

This volume was written by three scholars who lived through the Cultural Revolution and therefore know the reality, as well as the theory. Guo Jian, who was previously on the Chinese faculty at Beijing Normal University, is presently a professor of English at the University of Wisconsin-Whitewater. Dr. Guo has written and lectured extensively on the Cultural Revolution and the world of the 1960s. Yongyi Song, who studied, among other places, at Shanghai Normal University, is now on the library faculty at California State University, Los Angeles. He has published The Cultural Revolution: A Bibliography, 1966–1996. Yuan Zhou, who was a member of the Department of Library and Information Science at Peking University, is currently the curator of the East Asian Collection at the University of Chicago Library. Dr. Zhou has edited A New Collection of Red Guard Publications: Part I. Each in his way has contributed to a much-needed guide that is informative, comprehensive and—much harder, given the circumstances—comprehensible.

Jon Woronoff
Series Editor
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Reader’s Note

The Romanization used in this dictionary for Chinese terms is the pinyin system that was developed and has by now become standard in the People’s Republic of China. For example, the full name of Mao, the CCP chairman, will be spelled Mao Zedong and not Mao Tse-tung or otherwise. However, names of some well-known figures and institutions (e.g., Confucius; Sun Yat-sen; Kuomintang; Tsinghua University), already deeply embedded in English because of earlier transcriptions according to the Wade-Giles or other conventions, are written here as established terms.

The dictionary keeps personal names in the same order they assume in Chinese: the family name precedes the given name. Thus the entry on Mao Zedong can be found under M and not Z.

In the case of certain nonidiomatic and already well-known translations of Chinese terms (e.g., “Red Five Categories” for “hongwulei”), the dictionary adopts these translations as established. The same applies in the dating convention. For instance, the “May 16 Circular” is treated as an established term although the consistent dating method used in this dictionary is date followed by month (e.g., 16 May). For the reader’s convenience, a glossary with pinyin spellings, Chinese characters, and English translations is included as an appendix to the dictionary.

Since important bodies like the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and the People’s Liberation Army (PLA), as well as the country’s name—People’s Republic of China (PRC)—are used repeatedly, in many entries only the acronyms will appear. Bolding is used in the dictionary section to indicate that there are specific dictionary entries on the bolded items.
Acronyms and Abbreviations

CC  Central Committee
CCP  Chinese Communist Party
CCRSG  Central Cultural Revolution Small Group
CMC  Central Military Commission
GLD  General Logistics Department
GPD  General Political Department
PLA  People’s Liberation Army
PRC  People’s Republic of China
SC  State Council
Chronology

1965

10 November  The Shanghai Wenhui Daily publishes Yao Wenyuan’s “On the New Historical Drama Hai Rui Dismissed from Office.” The production and publication of the article are arranged by Jiang Qing and Zhang Chunqiao and backed by Mao Zedong.

11 November  The Chinese Communist Party (CCP) issues a circular to replace Yang Shankun with Wang Dongxing, Mao’s own chief bodyguard, as director of the CCP General Office.

Mid–November  Mao leaves Beijing for East China.

8–15 December  Mao chairs an enlarged session of the Politburo in Shanghai, at which Luo Ruiqing is removed as chief of general staff of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) and general secretary of the Central Military Commission (CMC) upon Lin Biao’s initiative.

1966

2–20 February  The Symposium on the Works of Literature and the Arts in the Armed Forces, chaired by Jiang Qing with the direct backing of Lin Biao, is held in Shanghai. Later, the summary report of the conference is edited and revised by Chen Boda, Zhang Chunqiao, Jiang Qing, Liu Zhijian, and Mao Zedong himself.

5 February  Liu Shaoqi chairs a meeting of members of the CCP Politburo Standing Committee in Beijing, at which the “Outline Report by the Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group Concerning the Current Academic Discussion” (soon to be known as the February Outline)
is adopted—a document that is intended to confine the criticism of Wu Han and others to the academic sphere.

8 February Peng Zhen, Lu Dingyi, and Kang Sheng go to Wuhan to report to Mao about the Outline Report. Mao agrees with the document’s views.

12 February The CCP Central Committee (CC) issues the Outline Report within the party nationwide as a guiding document for the ongoing movement.

28–30 March Mao talks with Kang Sheng and others on three occasions: contradicting his earlier view of the February Outline, Mao accuses the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee, the Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group, and the CCP Propaganda Department of harboring evildoers and threatens to dissolve all three organs.

2 April Zhou Enlai writes Mao a formal report in support of Mao’s criticism of the Five-Person Group and the February Outline.

9–12 April At a meeting of the CC Secretariat chaired by Deng Xiaoping, Deng and Zhou Enlai criticize Peng Zhen for opposing Mao. They also decide to issue a CC document criticizing the February Outline and form a new group for drafting Cultural Revolution documents.

10 April Upon Mao’s finalization, the CC issues “Summary of the Symposium Convened by Comrade Jiang Qing at the Behest of Comrade Lin Biao on the Work of Literature and the Arts in the Armed Forces” as an intraparty document, which defines the current academic discussion as a struggle for leadership between the proletariat and the bourgeoisie and calls for a “great socialist revolution on the cultural front” against an allegedly long-dominant “antiparty and antisocialist black line.”

16–26 April Mao chairs enlarged Politburo Standing Committee sessions in Hangzhou, criticizing Peng Zhen for his alleged antiparty crimes. Decisions are made that the Five-Person Group be dissolved and that a new Cultural Revolution small group be formed. Concurrently, a newly formed document-drafting group is working on the May 16 Circular.

4–26 May Under Mao’s remote control, Liu Shaoqi chairs the Politburo’s enlarged sessions in Beijing to expose and denounce the so-called Peng [Zhen]-Luo [Ruiqing]-Lu [Dingyi]-Yang [Shangkun] Anti-Party Clique. On 16 May, all attendees of the session (including Peng Zhen)
vote to adopt a CC circular (May 16 Circular) to declare war on the “representatives of the bourgeoisie who have snuck into the party, the government, the army, and the various spheres of culture.” The adoption of the circular marks the official launching of the Cultural Revolution. On 23 May, the Politburo decides to dismiss Peng, Luo, Lu, and Yang from office and fill some of their positions with Ye Jianying as general secretary of the CMC, Tao Zhu as director of the CCP Propaganda Department, and Li Xuefeng as first secretary of the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee. It also decides to reorganize the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee.

7 May  Mao writes a letter to Lin Biao commenting on a report on “Further Developments of Agricultural and Sideline Production in the Armed Forces” submitted by the PLA General Logistics Department. In the letter, Mao articulates his view of labor in a utopian society. On 15 May, the CC issues the letter nationwide as an intraparty document. The letter later becomes well-known as the “May 7 Directive.”

25 May  A big-character poster entitled “What Are Song Shuo, Lu Ping, and Peng Peiyun Really Doing in the Cultural Revolution?” written by Nie Yuanzi and others, is put out on the campus of Peking University.

28 May  The CC issues a name list of the newly established Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRS) members, with Chen Boda as head of the group, Jiang Qing, Wang Renzhong, Liu Zhijian, and Zhang Chunqiao as deputy heads, and Kang Sheng as adviser.

29 May  At a routine meeting of the CC top leadership in Beijing, Liu Shaoqi, Zhou Enlai, and Deng Xiaoping decide to send work groups to People’s Daily and to Peking University. Zhou reports the decisions to Mao by phone and obtains Mao’s approval. A group of students at Tsinghua University Middle School—mostly children of ranking officials—forms in secrecy an organization named “Red Guards.”

1 June  People’s Daily publishes the editorial “Sweep Away All Cow-Demons and Snake-Spirits,” which is prepared by Chen Boda, who took over the leadership of the newspaper as head of the work group a day before. Following Mao’s instructions, the Central People’s Radio broadcasts on the evening the big-character poster written by Nie Yuanzi and others, and People’s Daily runs the text of the poster on 2 June with a commentary entitled “Hail the Big-Character Poster from Peking University.”
3 June  Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping hold an enlarged session of the Politburo Standing Committee in Beijing. The meeting approves a proposal made by the new Beijing municipal party committee to dispatch work groups to colleges and middle and high schools in Beijing to lead the Cultural Revolution movement.

4 June  Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping fly to Hangzhou to report to Mao in person about their decisions concerning the ongoing movement. Mao approves their work group policies and entrusts Liu with the responsibility for leading the Cultural Revolution movement in Beijing.

Mid-June  Rebellious students in Beijing begin to have conflicts with the work groups. Following a traditional “class struggle” model, Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping instruct the work groups to launch an “Anti-Interference” campaign on middle school and college campuses. Those opposing the work groups are persecuted as Rightists and reactionaries.

21 June  Liu Shaoqi sends his wife Wang Guangmei to Tsinghua University as adviser to the work group. Wang leads attacks against those opposing the work group. Kuai Dafu, a representative of student rebels, is persecuted as a reactionary.

16 July  Mao swims in the Yangzi River, demonstrating his good health and determination to carry out the Cultural Revolution.

18 July  Mao returns to Beijing, soon to withdraw his support for the work group policy and accuse Liu and Deng of repressing students and misleading the ongoing political movement.

28 July  The new CCP Beijing Municipal Committee announces its decision to withdraw work groups from college campuses.

29 July  The Red Guards of the Beijing Institute of Aeronautics Middle School post the couplet “If the father is a hero, the son is a real man; if the father is a reactionary, the son is a bastard—It is basically like this,” advocating a theory of blood lineage and making teachers and students from politically disadvantaged families targets of the Revolution. The blood lineage theory causes a heated debate on middle school and college campuses across China and meets strong resistance from a majority of students and teachers.

1 August  Mao writes a letter to Tsinghua University Middle School Red Guards in support of their “revolutionary rebel spirit,” which leads to an explosive development of Red Guard organizations in the country.
1–12 August The Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee is convened in Beijing.

5 August Mao writes “Bombarding the Headquarters—My Big-Character Poster,” accusing the Liu-Deng leadership of opposing the Cultural Revolution. Though their names are not mentioned in the poster, Liu and Deng become main targets of criticism at the plenum.

8 August The CC adopts “The Resolution of the CCP Central Committee Concerning the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution” (to be known as the “Sixteen Articles”) as a guideline for the unfolding political movement.

12 August Major changes in the central leadership are adopted by the CC. Lin Biao replaces Liu Shaoqi as second in command and becomes Mao’s heir apparent.

18 August In army uniform and wearing a Red Guard armband, Mao receives a million students (many of them Red Guards) and teachers at Tiananmen Square. A violent Red Guard movement soon spreads across China.

19 August Beijing’s Red Guards declares war on “old ideas, old culture, old customs, and old habits” on the city’s streets. The campaign to destroy the Four Olds soon sweeps the entire country.

23 August The People’s Daily carries two editorials applauding the Red Guards’ revolutionary rebel spirit and their campaign to destroy the “Four Olds” in the capital city. The editorials inspire further violence and terror: during the 40 days in late summer known as the “Red August,” 1,772 innocent people were killed or committed suicide in the city of Beijing, 33,695 households were ransacked, 85,196 residents were expelled from the city, and 4,922 historic sites were ruined.

5 September The CC and the State Council (SC) issue a circular to support the “great revolutionary networking” campaign by granting travelers to Beijing free transportation and accommodation.

6 September With the support of the CCRSG, the “Capital College Red Guards Revolutionary Rebel Headquarters” (commonly known as the “Third Command Post”) is founded in Beijing.

3 October The Red Flag (Issue No. 13) editorial “March Forward along the Broad Road of Mao Zedong Thought” initiates the nationwide campaign to criticize the “bourgeois reactionary line.”
6 October  The “Red Third Command Post” holds a mass rally of over a hundred thousand people in Beijing denouncing the bourgeoisie reactionary line of Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping. Zhou Enlai, Chen Boda, and Jiang Qing appear at the rally to show their support.

9–28 October  A CC work session is held in Beijing. On 16 October, Chen Boda gives a speech entitled “The Two Lines in the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution.” The script of the speech, with Mao’s final touches, is distributed nationwide on 24 October. Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping criticize themselves at the work session.

13 November  Zhang Chunqiao, representing the CCRSG, resolves the conflict between the Workers Command Post of Shanghai and the local authorities during the Anting Incident. Zhang acknowledges the Workers Command Post as the first cross-industry mass organization in the country, a decision Mao is soon to endorse.

16 November  The CC and the SC issue a circular to halt the “great revolutionary networking” temporarily.

Mid-November–December  A number of big-character posters criticizing Lin Biao and the CCRSG appear in Beijing. The CCRSG and rebel Red Guards attack the writers of the posters and name their criticism a “Black Wind in November.”

4–6 December  Lin Biao convenes an enlarged session of the Politburo Standing Committee to hear reports from Gu Mu on the recently held Industrial and Transportation Symposium (for national planning). Lin criticizes Gu’s outline report for diverging the focus from the Cultural Revolution to economic production, and vows to push the mass movement further into all sectors of society, including industrial and transportation circles.

5 December  Old Red Guards at a number of middle schools in Beijing form the “United Action Committee of the Capital Red Guards.” The organization opposes the CCRSG’s radical policies toward party veterans while upholding the theory of blood lineage.

15 December  Directed by Lin Biao, an enlarged session of the Politburo Standing Committee passes “The CC Directive on Implementing the Cultural Revolution in Rural Areas” and authorizes its nationwide dissemination. This is the official beginning of the Cultural Revolution in the countryside.
16 December  Lin Biao publishes the “Foreword to the Second Edition of the Quotations from Chairman Mao.”

25 December  About 5,000 rebels from Tsinghua University demonstrate at Tiananmen Square, shouting the slogan “Down with Liu Shaoqi!”

26 December  At his 73rd birthday, Mao has a party with the CCRSG members and toasts to the unfolding of an all-round civil war for 1967.

30 December  The Kangping Avenue Incident, an armed conflict between rebels and conservatives, breaks out in Shanghai. The conflict involves more than 100,000 factory workers, the first factional battle on such a large scale.

1967

4–5 January  Rebels begin to seize power at the Shanghai newspapers Wenhui Daily and Liberation Daily. This is the beginning of the “January Storm.”

6 January  One million Shanghai rebels hold a rally denouncing the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee and assume its power.

8 January  At a reception for the CCRSG members, Mao speaks of the Shanghai rebels’ power-seizure as a great revolution.

11 January  Following Mao’s directives, the CC, the SC, the CMC, and the CCRSG send a telegram to the rebel organizations in Shanghai, congratulating them for their assumption of the municipal power.

13 January  The CC and the SC issue the “Regulations on Strengthening Public Security during the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution” (also known as the “Six Regulations of Public Security”).

16 January  Red Flag carries the editorial “Proletarian Revolutionaries Unite” to make power-seizure a nationwide campaign. Within a month, the new power structure called “Revolutionary Committee” is established in several provinces including Shanghai, Heilongjiang, Guizhou, and Shandong.

18 January  The Journal of Middle School Cultural Revolution is premiered in Beijing, carrying Yu Luoke’s “On Family Background.”
23 January  Following Mao’s instructions, the CC, the SC, the CMC, and the CCRSG issue the “Decision to Provide the Revolutionary Masses of the Left with Firm Support from the PLA.” The army’s involvement in the Cultural Revolution begins.

5 February  “Shanghai People’s Commune” is founded. The name of the new power organ is to be changed to “Shanghai Municipal Revolutionary Committee” on 24 February at Mao’s suggestion.

11 and 16 February  Zhou Enlai chairs top-level CC briefing sessions in Zhongnanhai. Chen Yi, Ye Jianying, Tan Zhenlin, and other senior PLA and SC leaders criticize the radicals of the CCRSG. Their criticism is soon to be denounced by Mao as a “February Adverse Current.”

23 February  Zhao Yongfu, deputy-commander of the Qinghai Military District, orders the PLA soldiers to retake by force a newspaper office building occupied by the rebel civilians. The violent conflict leaves 173 dead and 224 injured.

5 March  The CC orders military control in Jiangsu Province where widespread chaos caused by factional conflict hindered the establishment of the provincial Revolutionary Committee. Military control is soon to be applied to other provinces under similar circumstances.

16 March  Following Mao’s directive, the CC authorizes the distribution of materials concerning the release of 61 party veterans, including Bo Yibo, Liu Lantao, An Ziwen, and Yang Xianzhen, from the Kuomintang prison in the 1930s. The group is named a “traitors’ clique.” The CC document intensifies mass organizations’ hunt for “renegades” among party veterans. The CCP Special Case Examination Group on Liu Shaoqi is also set up in March.

18 March  In response to the February Adverse Current, Mao decides to replace the meetings of the Politburo with the “extended CCRSG routine meetings” as executive gatherings of the de facto CCP top leadership. Zhou Enlai is to chair these meetings. Regular attendees include members of the CCRSG and a number of military and government officials.

19 March  The CC announces its decision not to resume the “great revolutionary networking” campaign.

30 March  With Mao’s approval, Qi Benyu’s article “Patriotism or Betrayal? A Critique of the Reactionary Film Inside Story of the Qing Court” is published in People’s Daily. Without mentioning his name, the
article refers to Liu Shaoqi as the “biggest capitalist-roader within the party” and “China’s Khrushchev” for the first time, which stirs up a new wave in a nationwide campaign against Liu.

10 April A mass rally of 300,000 is held at Tsinghua University to struggle against Liu Shaoqi’s wife Wang Guangmei and 300 senior party officials.

20 April The Beijing Municipal Revolutionary Committee is established.

6 May A massive armed conflict between two rebel factions occurs in Chengdu, the capital of Sichuan Province, leaving 40 to 50 people dead and 127 wounded. After the “January Storm,” factional violence with heavy involvement of the military spreads across China. Armed conflicts, more severe than that of Chengdu, take place in Yibin (Sichuan Province), Zhengzhou (Henan Province), and Wuhan (Hubei Province) during the summer months of 1967.

6 June The CC, the SC, the CMC, and the CCRSG jointly issue a circular order to stop widespread violence and chaos and to reinforce the law. The circular proves to be ineffective.

14 June A number of radical students form the “May 16 Capital Red Guard Corps” in Beijing and attack Zhou Enlai. With the support of the CCRSG, the Beijing Public Security Bureau disbands the organization and arrests its leaders before long.

13 July Mao departs from Beijing on an inspection tour of North, Central-South, and East China. He arrives in Wuhan, Hubei Province, on the following day.

20 July Infuriated by some central leaders’ unbalanced treatment of the two rival factions and unaware of Mao’s presence in Wuhan, members of the mass organization Million-Strong Mighty Army and soldiers from the PLA Unit 8201 of the Wuhan Military Region storm the guesthouse where Mao is staying and take Wang Li and Xie Fuzhi by force for questioning. Upon receiving a letter from Lin Biao that depicts the disturbance in Wuhan as a mutiny, Mao quietly leaves for Shanghai on the early morning of 21 July.

25 July Upon their safe return to Beijing, Xie Fuzhi, and Wang Li receive a heroes’ welcome by Lin Biao and other central leaders at a mass rally of a million people at Tiananmen Square. The central leadership is
soon to denounce the July 20 Incident as a “counterrevolutionary riot.”

The leaders of the Wuhan Military Region are removed. The persecution of members of the Million-Strong Mighty Army results in 600 deaths and 66,000 injuries.

Following Mao’s instructions, Jiang Qing promotes the slogan “verbal attack and armed defense” at a reception for rebels from Henan.

1 August  Red Flag carries an editorial entitled “The Proletariat Must Firmly Grasp the Gun: Commemorating the 40th Anniversary of the PLA.” The editorial calls upon the masses to “ferret out a handful of capitalist-roaders inside the army.”

7 August  Wang Li receives rebels at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and voices support for their effort to seize power at the Ministry.

9 August  Lin Biao receives new commanders of the PLA Wuhan Military Region and announces his assessment of the Cultural Revolution: “its achievement is greatest, greatest, greatest; its cost is minimal, minimal, minimal.”

13 August  A massacre of the so-called “Five Black Categories” in Dao County, Hunan Province, begins. In the following 65 days, 4,519 innocent people are killed.

22 August  About 20,000 students from the Beijing Foreign Language Institute, Tsinghua University, and other schools, storm the office of the British chargé d’affaires in Beijing to protest the arrest of Chinese journalists in Hong Kong. The demonstrators beat the British personnel and set the office building on fire. Under the leadership of the CCP underground organizations, ultraleftists in Hong Kong launch Cultural Revolution-type riots against the British authorities during the summer months of 1967.

30 August  In response to Zhou Enlai’s report about the involvement of Wang Li and some other members of the CCRSG in foreign and military affairs, Mao decides to arrest Wang Li, Guan Feng, and Qi Benyu (Qi’s arrest to be implemented in January 1968) to reassure and pacify Zhou Enlai and military leaders.

5 September  The CC, the SC, the CMC, and the CCRSG jointly issue an order forbidding the seizure of weaponry, equipments, and other kinds of military supplies from the PLA by mass organizations.
8 September With Mao’s approval, the People’s Daily publishes Yao Wenyuan’s article “On Tao Zhu’s Two Books.”

25 September Newspapers report on Mao’s inspection tour of North, Central-South, and East China, his return to Beijing, and his call for rival mass organizations to stop factional fighting and form a grand alliance.

7 October The CC issues a circular publicizing Mao’s talks during his inspection tour, in which Mao offers a positive assessment of the Cultural Revolution: The situation across China “is not just good but great; it is better than ever.”

14 October The CC, the SC, the CMC, and the CCRSG issue a notice that classes be resumed at all schools. The decision is implemented with limited success.

7 November Drafted by Chen Boda and Yao Wenyuan with Mao’s approval, a joint editorial entitled “March Forward along the Road of the October Socialist Revolution: Commemorating the 50th Anniversary of the Great October Socialist Revolution,” appears in the People’s Daily, the Red Flag, and the Liberation Army Daily, articulating a theory of continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat.

27 November At a forum of Beijing workers, Jiang Qing proposes that a campaign to rectify class ranks be launched nationwide.

1968

22 March Lin Biao and Jiang Qing accuse Generals Yang Chengwu, Yu Lijin, and Fu Chongbi of carrying out antiparty activities. Lin makes false charges against the three at rallies of military officers on 23 March and 27 March. Mao greets the assembly of military officers on 24 March to show his support for Lin.

23 April–26 July A “Hundred-Day Armed Struggle” takes place on the campus of Tsinghua University in Beijing.

25 May The CC and the CCRSG issue “The Experience of the Beijing Xinhua Printing Factory Military Control Commission in Mobilizing the Masses to Struggle against the Enemies” with Mao’s comments. The document offers guidelines for the Rectify the Class Ranks campaign.
3 July The CC, the SC, the CMC, and the CCRSG jointly issue a public notice concerning factional violence in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region. The armed conflict in Guangxi in the summer of 1968 results in casualties numbering tens of thousands—perhaps over a hundred thousand—including cases of cannibalism in several counties.

20 July The newly established Inner Mongolia Revolutionary Committee moves to hunt for members of the “Inner Mongolia People’s Revolutionary Party” as part of the Rectify the Class Ranks campaign. The operation involves severe physical abuse and continues well into 1969, falsely implicating 346,000 citizens and leaving 16,222 dead.

24 July The CC, the SC, the CMC, and the CCRSG jointly issue a public notice concerning factional violence in some areas of Shaanxi Province. Two months after the document is issued, 70,000 pieces of weaponry and 4 million pieces of ammunition are confiscated.

27 July Mao sends a workers propaganda team and a PLA propaganda team to Tsinghua University to end factional violence there. Five workers are killed and 700 are wounded when the armed Red Guards open fire on them.

28 July Mao receives the “five Red Guard leaders of Beijing”: Nie Yuanzi, Kuai Dafu, Han Aijing, Tan Houlan, and Wang Dabin. At the reception, Mao indicates his resolve to send students away from campus to end the longtime factional conflict. This meeting marks the beginning of the end of the Red Guard movement.

25 August The CC, the SC, the CMC, and the CCRSG jointly issue a circular announcing the decision of the central leadership to dispatch workers’ propaganda teams to the nation’s educational institutions.

7 September The People’s Daily and the Liberation Army Daily carry a joint editorial celebrating the establishment of Revolutionary Committees in all provinces and autonomous regions in the country and announcing that the Cultural Revolution is entering its “struggle, criticism, reform” stage. A mass rally is held in Beijing to mark the completion of the Cultural Revolution power establishment in the nation as “all red across China.”

5 October The People’s Daily publishes a report on the Liuhe “May 7 Cadre School” in praise of its experience in revolutionizing government organizations. The report initiates a nationwide drive to send millions
of cadres and government workers to “May 7 Cadre Schools” to do manual labor.

13–31 October The Enlarged Twelfth Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee is held in Beijing. Over 65% of the living members and alternate members of the Eighth Central Committee are absent because they had been denounced since 1966. Mao chairs the opening session. A number of senior party veterans are under attack for their involvement in the February Adverse Current of 1967.

31 October At its Twelfth Plenum, the Eighth CC approves the “Investigative Report on the Crimes of the Traitor, Spy, and Renegade Liu Shaoqi” by the Central Case Examination Group and moves to expel Liu permanently from the CCP. All delegates, except Chen Shaomin, vote in support of the report and the motion.

22 December The People’s Daily publishes Mao’s directive calling on urban “educated youths” (middle and high school students) to go to the countryside to receive reeducation from the poor and lower-middle peasants. A nationwide “Up to the Mountains and Down to the Countryside” movement follows. The number of “sent down” urban youths totals 17 million by 1980. The beginning of this movement marks the end of the Red Guard movement.

1969

2–17 March Sino-Soviet border clashes take place along the Ussuri River.

1–24 April The Ninth National Congress of the CCP is held in Beijing. Mao presides over the opening session. He speaks at the Military Region Commander session on 13 April and calls the Ninth Congress as a meeting of unity and success.

14 April A new CCP Constitution is adopted with the support of all delegates. In the new Constitution, Lin Biao is designated as the successor of Mao.

24 April The CCP Ninth Central Committee is elected. Only 27 percent of the Eighth Central Committee members and alternate members retain their seats. The rest are mostly cultural revolutionaries.
28 April  The First Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee is convened in Beijing to elect the new Politburo and its standing committee. Nearly half of the new Politburo members are close associates of Lin Biao in the military.

14 October  In the name of preparations against Soviet military attacks, the CC issues an urgent notice to evacuate senior party leaders from Beijing. Numerous senior leaders leave the capital for the provinces within a week. Most of them do not return until after the downfall of Lin Biao in September 1971.

12 November  Liu Shaoqi dies in Kaifeng, Henan Province, after three years of abuse in unlawful custody. His family members are not informed of his removal from Beijing to Kaifeng and of his death until years later.

1970

31 January  The CC issues its “Directive Concerning the Strike against Counterrevolutionary Destructive Activities.”

5 February  The CC issues its “Directive Concerning Anti-Graft and Embezzlement and Anti-Speculation and Profiteering” and “Notice on Anti-Extravagance and Waste.” These two documents, along with the 31 January CC Directive, provide guidelines for a nationwide “One Strike and Three Antis” campaign. During a 10-month period (February–November 1970), 1.87 million people are persecuted as traitors, renegades, and counterrevolutionaries, over 284,800 are arrested, and thousands are executed.

5 March  Yu Luoke, author of “On Family Background,” is executed in Beijing.

17–20 March  Following Mao’s instructions, a CC work session is held in Beijing in preparation for the Fourth National People’s Congress of the PRC. Mao suggests that the position of the president of state be eliminated in a new PRC constitution.

27 March  The CC issues its “Notification Concerning the Investigation of the ‘May 16’ Counterrevolutionary Conspiratorial Clique,” both to lead the investigation further and check the excesses of persecution.
The hunt for members of the “May 16” counterrevolutionary clique continues until the end of the Cultural Revolution. An estimated 3.5 million people are falsely implicated in this nine-year-long campaign.

12 April  In a brief message, Mao rejects Lin Biao’s suggestion that Mao serve as president of the PRC.

27 June  The CC approves the Proposal by Peking University and Tsinghua University to resume admissions of students. By the end of 1970, approximately 41,870 “worker-peasant-soldier students” enter colleges nationwide.

22 August  The Politburo Standing Committee meets in Lushan, Jiangxi Province. At the meeting, all of the committee members, except Mao, favor the retaining of the office of the PRC president.

23 August–6 September  The Second Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee is held in Lushan. At the opening session, Lin Biao speaks of Mao as a genius and proposes that Mao be the head of the proletarian dictatorship. During small-group sessions on 24 August, Lin’s associates, including Chen Boda, lead the attack on Zhang Chunqiao without mentioning his name and voice support for the retaining of the office of the national president. On 31 August, Mao writes “Some Views of Mine,” to be known as his second big-character poster, attacking Chen Boda. A scapegoat of the Mao-Lin conflict, Chen is soon dismissed from office.

16 November  The CC issues a document concerning Chen Boda’s “antiparty problems.” The Criticize Chen and Conduct Rectification campaign is launched within the party.

18 December  Mao receives U.S. journalist Edgar Snow. During the conversation, Mao indicates his intention to improve Sino-American relations. He also blames Lin Biao for promoting the Mao cult without mentioning Lin’s name.

1971

26 January  The CC issues the “Criminal Records of the Anti-Party Element Chen Boda” nationwide.
8 February  The CC establishes a special investigation group on the “May 16” clique.

18–24 March  Lin Liguo and his young colleagues in the air force meet in Shanghai allegedly to draft a coup plan called the 571 Project Summary.

7 April  Mao decides to invite the United States ping-pong team to visit China.

29 May  The Politburo issues a report on China-American talks to prepare the nation for the dramatic change in the PRC government’s diplomatic policy toward the United States.

14 August–12 September  Mao tours South China. During his meetings with local leaders, Mao criticizes Lin Biao and his followers.

12 September  Lin Liguo’s alleged plan to assassinate Mao is aborted. Mao returns to Beijing in the evening.

13 September  Upon learning of Mao’s attack on Lin Biao and Mao’s arrival in Beijing, Lin, his wife Ye Qun, and their son Lin Liguo board the aircraft Trident 256 at Shanhaguan military airfield in the early morning, heading for the Soviet Union. The plane crashes near Undurkhan in Mongolia; all passengers and crew are killed.

18 September  The CC issues a circular concerning Lin Biao’s “renegade escape,” charging him with treason.

29 September  The CC issues a circular announcing its decision to remove Lin Biao’s associates Huang Yongsheng, Wu Faxian, Li Zupeng, and Qiu Huizuo from office.

3 October  The CC issues the “Circular Concerning the Dissolution of the CMC Administrative Group and the Establishment of the CMC Administrative Conference Office.” Ye Jianying is appointed head of the Conference Office in charge of the PLA’s routine affairs.


14 November  At a reception for the participants of the Chengdu Symposium, Mao reverses his early verdict on the February Adverse Current.
11 December  The CC issues to party committees at the provincial level the first set of materials concerning the “Struggle to Defeat the Counterrevolutionary Coup of the Lin-Chen Anti-Party Clique.” The nationwide campaign against the Lin Biao clique is officially launched.

1972

10 January  Mao makes the last-minute decision to attend the memorial service of Chen Yi, one of the senior leaders implicated in the February Adverse Current.

13 January  The CC issues its second set of materials concerning the “Struggle to Defeat the Counterrevolutionary Coup of the Lin-Chen Anti-Party Clique.” The CC also authorizes the distribution of the first set of materials (dated 11 December 1971) at the grassroots level nationwide.

21–28 February  U.S. President Richard Nixon visits China. Mao meets Nixon on 21 February. A joint communiqué is signed in Shanghai on 27 February, with both sides embracing the prospects of the normalization of relations.

2 July  The CC issues its third set of materials concerning the “Struggle to Defeat the Counterrevolutionary Coup of the Lin-Chen Anti-Party Clique” and the “Investigation Report on the Past Counterrevolutionary Crimes of the Kuomintang Anti-Communist, Trotskyist, Traitor, Spy, and Revisionist Chen Boda.”

3 August  Deng Xiaoping writes Mao a letter in which he criticizes Lin Biao, vows never to attempt to reverse the verdict of his case, and asks for a second chance to work for the party.

14 August  Mao comments on Deng Xiaoping’s letter, acknowledging his merits and distinguishing him from Liu Shaoqi.

7 September  Considering Wang Hongwen to be a candidate for the position of his successor, Mao transfers Wang from Shanghai to Beijing.

1973

10 March  With Mao’s approval, the CC issues its resolution to reinstate Deng Xiaoping as an active party member and vice-premier of the SC.
20 April  A decision is made at a CC work session to reinstate a number of the party veterans and to admit Wang Hongwen, Hua Guofeng, Wu De, and a few others into the Politburo.

19 July  A letter of plea and complaint written by Zhang Tiesheng at the college entrance examination is published in Liaoning Daily. With the support of Jiang Qing and the cultural revolutionaries in the central leadership, all major newspapers reprint the letter three weeks later, setting off an anti-intellectual propaganda campaign nationwide. The newly revived attention to examination scores is denounced as a bourgeois counteroffensive against the revolution in education.

20 August  The CC approves the “Investigation Report on the Counter-revolutionary Crimes of the Lin Biao Anti-Party Clique,” permanently expelling Lin Biao, Chen Boda, and other “Clique” members from the party.

24–28 August  The Tenth National Congress of the CCP is held in Beijing. Wang Hongwen delivers a report on the revision of the CCP Constitution.

30 August  At the First Plenum of the CCP Tenth Central Committee, Wang Hongwen is elected a vice-chairman of the CCP, Zhang Chunqiao a member of the Politburo Standing Committee, and Jiang Qing and Yao Wenyuan members of the Politburo.

25 November–5 December  Following Mao’s instruction, the Politburo holds an enlarged session to criticize Zhou Enlai’s “revisionist line” and “Right capitulationism” because Zhou agrees to negotiate with the U.S. on military matters. Jiang Qing names the Mao-Zhou conflict the “eleventh line struggle in the party.” Deng Xiaoping is also present at the meeting and criticizes Zhou. Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, Yao Wenyuan, and Wang Hongwen began to band together as a “gang of four.”

12 December  Mao chairs a Politburo meeting. At this meeting, Mao criticizes the work of the Politburo and the CMC under the leadership of Zhou Enlai and Ye Jianying. Mao also suggests rotating commanders of the major military regions and appointing Deng Xiaoping to the positions of the PLA chief of general staff and a member of the CMC and the Politburo.
1974

18 January  Following Mao’s directive in response to Jiang Qing and Wang Hongwen’s request, the CC authorizes the distribution of “Lin Biao and the Way of Confucius and Mencius,” a collection of materials prepared by Jiang’s supporters at Peking University and Tsinghua University. The “Criticize Lin and Criticize Confucius” campaign is launched nationwide. The campaign implicitly aims at Zhou Enlai.

6–19 April  The PRC delegation, led by Deng Xiaoping, attends the 6th Special Session of the General Assembly of the United Nations. This is the PRC’s first delegation at the UN.

17 July  Mao criticizes the Gang of Four for the first time: at a meeting of the Politburo, Mao calls Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, Yao Wenyuan, and Wang Hongwen a “little faction of four.”

29 September  The CC issues a circular announcing its decision to redress the case of Marshal He Long.

4 October  Mao proposes that Deng Xiaoping be first vice-premier of the SC.

18 October  To gain more government positions at the upcoming Fourth National People’s Congress of the PRC, Wang Hongwen, representing the Gang of Four, goes to Changsha to see Mao and lodge complaints about Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping. Mao rebukes him.

7 November  The big-character poster “On Socialist Democracy and the Socialist Legal System: Dedicated to the Fourth People’s Congress” by Li Yizhe (a penname adopted by three young authors) appears in Guangzhou. The poster suggests that the rule of law be established in a new constitution to protect the rights of ordinary citizens.

1975

5 January  Upon Mao’s suggestion, the CC appoints Deng vice-chairman of the CMC and chief of general staff of the PLA and Zhang Chunqiao director of the General Political Department of the PLA.
8–10 January  The Second Plenum of the CCP Tenth Central Committee is convened in Beijing. Zhou Enlai’s agenda for the Fourth National People’s Congress and Deng Xiaoping’s appointments are approved at the Plenum.

13–17 January  The Fourth National People’s Congress is held in Beijing. Zhu De, and Zhou Enlai are reelected as chairman of the NPC and premier of the SC, respectively. Zhou Enlai delivers the government work report, reiterating the blueprint of “four modernizations” for China (modernization in agriculture, industry, national defense, and science and technology), a proposal initially adopted at the first meeting of the Third National People’s Congress (December 1964–January 1965). A new constitution is adopted by the Fourth Congress.

25 January  Deng Xiaoping talks to ranking PLA officers about the rectification of the army. An all-round nationwide campaign aiming to rectify the errors of Cultural Revolution begins.

1 March  Zhang Chunqiao speaks against “empiricism” at a meeting of the General Political Department of the PLA, making insinuations against the moderate faction of party veterans headed by Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping.

4 April  Following instructions from Mao Yuanxin, the authorities of Liaoning Province execute Zhang Zhixin, an outspoken critic of the Cultural Revolution, on a counterrevolutionary charge.

3 May  At a reception for Politburo members in Beijing, Mao speaks against factionalism in the central leadership, reproaches the Gang of Four led by Jiang Qing, and dismisses Zhang Chunqiao’s antiempiricist remarks concerning veteran leaders. Later, the Politburo holds two meetings to criticize the Jiang Qing group.

24 June–15 July  Enlarged sessions of the CMC are held in Beijing. Deng Xiaoping and Ye Jianying give speeches calling for a reform and restructuring of the PLA in the overall rectification campaign.

13 August  Liu Bing, deputy-secretary of the CCP Tsinghua University Committee, and three other committee members write Mao, criticizing Chi Qun and Xie Jingyi, Jiang Qing’s trusted leaders at Tsinghua. They write a second letter on 13 October about the same issue. The letters reach Mao via Deng Xiaoping and prompt Mao’s angry responses to Liu and Deng.
14 August  Mao Zedong comments on the classical novel Water Margin. A nationwide political campaign to appraise Water Margin begins, in which Zhou Enlai is attacked by innuendo as a capitulator within the party.

2 November  Upon hearing several reports from Mao Yuanxin, his liaison at the Politburo, who is harshly critical of Deng Xiaoping and his rectification program, Mao expresses his concern about the widespread negative attitude toward the Cultural Revolution.

20 November  Upon Mao’s request, the Politburo holds a meeting to evaluate the Cultural Revolution. At the meeting, Deng Xiaoping declines to take charge of drafting a resolution on the issue.

26 November  The CC issues Mao’s criticism of Liu Bing and others along with their letters to Mao. The “Counterattack the Right-Deviationist Reversal-of-Verdicts Trend” campaign begins. Most of Deng Xiaoping’s official duties are soon suspended.

1976

8 January  Premier Zhou Enlai dies.

15 January  Deng Xiaoping delivers a memorial speech at the state funeral for Zhuo Enlai. This is Deng’s last public appearance until after the Cultural Revolution.

21 and 28 January  Mao proposes that Hua Guofeng be appointed acting premier of the SC and that Hua take charge of the routine work of the CC.

25 February  The CC holds a conference of provincial and military region leaders in Beijing to promote the “Criticize Deng, Counterattack the Right-Deviationist Reversal-of-Verdicts Trend” campaign.

Late March and Early April  Millions of Beijing citizens visit Tiananmen Square during the Qingming Festival (4 April in 1976) season to commemorate Zhou Enlai. Numerous posted elegies contain a strong political message against the cultural revolutionary faction of the central leadership. Mourning activities become a mass protest movement in Beijing and a number of large cities around the country.
5 April  With Mao’s approval, Beijing authorities send thousands of soldiers, policemen, and militia members to Tiananmen Square to crack down on the protesters.

7 April  Following Mao’s directives, the Politburo passes resolutions to dismiss Deng Xiaoping from office and appoint Hua Guofeng first vice-chairman of the CC and premier of the SC.

6 July  Chairman of the National People’s Congress Zhu De dies.

9 September  Chairman Mao Zedong dies.

Introduction

As a major political event and a crucial turning point in the history of the People’s Republic of China (PRC), the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution (1966–1976) marked the heyday as well as the eventual bankruptcy of Chairman Mao Zedong’s ultraleftist politics. Purportedly to prevent China from departing from its socialist path, Mao mobilized the masses in a battle against what he considered to be the bourgeoisie within the ruling Chinese Communist Party (CCP). This 10-year-long class struggle on a massive scale caused unprecedented damage to traditional culture and to the nation’s economy. To a great extent, it was the disaster of the Cultural Revolution that prompted post-Mao Chinese Communist leaders, ahead of their Soviet counterparts, to implement pragmatic economic reforms. Major policies that the post-Mao government has adopted, even today, may still be best understood as a reaction to the radical politics of the Cultural Revolution.

The revolution was cultural because Mao conceived of it in Marxist terms as a thoroughgoing revolution in ideological spheres and at superstructural levels. It aimed to eradicate old culture and customs and to educate the masses through a series of political campaigns. Knowledge in general was also under attack because it was permeated by nonproletarian culture. Mao considered a populace with revolutionized consciousness to be the best defense against the country’s power takeover by the bourgeoisie. Mao’s formulation of cultural determinism against the original Marxist emphasis on economic base structure as the essential determining factor in a social transformation was hailed during the Cultural Revolution as a great contribution to Marxism. Although Mao’s program achieved considerable success in destroying much of traditional culture, the Cultural Revolution also brought about a revival of China’s feudal and imperial past in the widespread personality cult of Mao and the deification of the leader, so much so that religious fervor...
often passed as revolutionary enthusiasm, especially in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution.

The Cultural Revolution was political as well since the main task of this movement was to purge “those power holders in the party who take the capitalist road” (also known as “capitalist-roaders”). Even though some of the leaders thus named—such as Mao’s first chosen successor President Liu Shaoqi, whom Mao had begun to consider to be his main political rival in the CCP leadership in the early 1960s—took an approach less radical than Mao’s to China’s economic development, all of them were committed communists and had never designed a program, as charged, to “restore capitalism” in China.

The Cultural Revolution had a far greater impact on the lives of ordinary people and on Chinese society in general than any other political movement in the history of the PRC. Citizens classified as being in the “Black Five Categories” were regarded as traditional enemies. They were invariably persecuted and remained downtrodden during the entire 10-year period. A large percentage of school teachers and college professors, as natural targets of a Cultural Revolution, were persecuted as “bourgeois intellectuals” during its early stages and were subject to the orders of factory workers and army soldiers sent by Mao to take control of the nation’s schools in the later years of the Cultural Revolution. A vast majority of government officials and party cadres were named capitalist-roaders or followers of a bourgeois revisionist line; in the late 1960s and the early 1970s, most of them were sent to factories or labor camps called “May 7 Cadre Schools” to reform themselves through manual labor. Enthusiastic urban youths in middle schools and colleges formed Red Guard organizations and served as Mao’s crusading army against the traditional party and state establishment before they—17 million in total—were sent to the countryside to receive reeducation from local peasants. Deprived of regular school education in their formative years, most members of this Cultural Revolution generation were at a loss in the competition for employment in the post-Revolution reform era. During and after a power-seizure campaign in 1967 and 1968, factional violence among mass organizations that included people from all walks of life escalated nationwide into civil war. The armed conflict in this period resulted in substantial military and civilian casualties that still remain uncounted, except for sporadic provincial and local statistics. In the meantime, nationwide campaigns to persecute suspected class enemies continued. According to official estimates, the total number
ALL FORCES CULTURAL REVOLUTION SMALL GROUP
(quanjun wenhua geming xiaozu). Established in June 1966, the
group was responsible for directing the Cultural Revolution in the
armed forces and military institutes. Liu Zhijian, deputy head of the
General Political Department of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA)
and one of the deputy heads of the Central Cultural Revolution
Small Group (CCRSG), was appointed head of the All Forces Cul-
tural Revolution Small Group. As the Cultural Revolution unfolded
throughout the country, serious differences developed between several
marshals in the Central Military Commission and the radical members
of the CCRSG led by Jiang Qing concerning how the Revolution
should be carried out in PLA units and military schools. Because Liu
and his group sided with the marshals on the issue, Jiang Qing spoke
against Liu as she met with rebels from military schools on 4 January
1967, accusing Liu of carrying out the bourgeois reactionary line
of Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping in the military. With the approval
of Chairman Mao Zedong, Liu was replaced by Marshal Xu Xiang-
qian as head of the All Forces Cultural Revolution Small Group on
11 January 1967. Jiang Qing was appointed advisor of the reformed
group. Xiao Hua, Yang Chengwu, Xie Tangzhong, Wang Xinting,
Guan Feng, Xu Liqing, and Li Mancun were named deputy heads.
Other members of the group include Yu Lijin, Liu Huaqing, Wang
Hongkun, Tang Pingzhu, Ye Qun, Hu Chi, Gu Yan, Wang Feng, and
Zhang Tao. In late March 1967, after Mao ordered Xu Xiangqian to
go on leave for self-criticism for his role in the February Adverse
Current, Xiao Hua, head of the PLA General Political Department,
acted in Xu’s place to lead the All Forces Cultural Revolution Small
Group. However, after a violent incident at the theater of Beijing
Exhibition Hall between two rival mass organizations in the PLA art and literary circles during a performance on 13 May 1967. Lin Biao voiced support for one side, whereas Xiao Hua and the PLA General Political Department were accused of having been on the wrong side. Xiao was soon dismissed from office, and the All Forces Cultural Revolution Small Group ceased to function.

ALLUSORY HISTORIOGRAPHY (yingshe shixue). This term, coined during the mid-1970s and becoming widely known after the Cultural Revolution, refers to the practice of some high-powered writing teams in the service of the Jiang Qing group to attack Premier Zhou Enlai and praise Jiang Qing in numerous articles and books that took the form of historical studies. This kind of writing first appeared in late 1973 and continued to appear until early 1976. The topic was invariably “Confucianism versus Legalism.” Reflecting on an observation that Chairman Mao Zedong had made in mid-1973, these publications projected an image of a conservative or reactionary Confucius and Confucians in contrast to that of progressive or reformist Legalists in Chinese history. The present-day parallel, as suggested by allusions and innuendoes, to this highly innovative account of history was the opposition between the “backward-looking” Zhou Enlai and his supporters—ready to reverse the course of the Cultural Revolution at their first chance—and the radical cultural revolutionaries led by Mao Zedong who were determined to carry Mao’s program through to completion. See also CRITICIZE LIN AND CRITICIZE CONFUCIUS; PEKING UNIVERSITY AND TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY GREAT CRITICISM GROUP; SHANGHAI MUNICIPAL PARTY COMMITTEE WRITING GROUP.

ANTI-INTERFERENCE (1966). This was a campaign launched in late June and early July 1966 by the work groups in response to some students’ challenge to their authority in leading the Cultural Revolution movement on the campuses of Beijing’s middle schools and colleges. These students had accused the work groups of repressing their rebellion against teachers and school authorities, and they attempted to drive the work groups off campus. With the support of the Liu Shaoqi-led central leadership, the work groups accused the students of interfering with the implementation of the Cultural Revolution movement in their institutions. Some of these students
were named Rightists and reactionaries and were struggled against at mass meetings. The Anti-Interference Campaign ended in late July and early August when Mao Zedong, upon returning to Beijing, decided to reverse the policies of the work groups and withdraw all work groups from the campuses.

ANTING INCIDENT (1966). This railway blockade, organized by the mass organization the Workers Command Post of Shanghai at the Anting station near Shanghai on 10 and 11 November 1966, marked the beginning of workers’ massive engagement in the Cultural Revolution. Mao Zedong welcomed such engagement as consistent with his efforts to push the Cultural Revolution beyond government agencies and cultural and educational circles.

On 9 November, a mass rally of about 10,000 people was held in Shanghai’s Culture Square to announce the establishment of the Workers Command Post and to denounce the “bourgeois reactionary line” that was allegedly being carried on by the Shanghai municipal party committee. After the Shanghai party committee refused to recognize the Workers Command Post in accordance with the stipulation of the central leadership that disallowed transindustry organizations, about 2,000 members of the Workers Command Post, led by Wang Hongwen, a member of the five-person presidium of the newly established organization, rushed into the Shanghai railway station on 10 November and boarded three trains. They declared that they would go to Beijing to present a petition for their organization. On orders of the Shanghai railway bureau, Wang’s train was halted at Anting and some members got off the train and lay down on the rails to protest. As a result, transportation between Shanghai and Nanjing was paralyzed for more than 30 hours. In response to the report from Shanghai, Chen Boda, head of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), sent telegrams to Shanghai and Anting supporting the municipal party committee’s position and asking the workers to go back. On 12 November, the CCRSG sent Zhang Chunqiao to Shanghai to work with the East China Bureau and Shanghai party committees to resolve the conflict at Anting. Zhang, however, negotiated directly with Wang Hongwen and other leaders of the mass organization at Anting as soon as he arrived.

On 13 November, Zhang attended a rally held by the Workers Command Post and, probably having already cleared the idea with
Mao Zedong, agreed to the organization’s demands for recognition and power. The Shanghai party committee pleaded the case with the central leadership but to no avail. The legitimation of the Workers Command Post pushed Shanghai a step closer to the 1967 power seizure movement known as the **January Storm**. It also helped make Shanghai a base for the ultraleftist forces in the central leadership, especially the “**Gang of Four**,” which would form after Lin Biao’s downfall and of which both Wang Hongwen and Zhang Chunqiao would become part.

**ANTONIONI’S CHINA (1974).** With Premier Zhou Enlai’s special permission, the Italian film maker Michelangelo Antonioni visited China in spring 1972. His tour of the cities of Beijing, Shanghai, and Nanjing and the rural areas of Lin Xian County, Henan Province, resulted in a three and a half hour documentary film entitled *China*. Antonioni’s unflattering, realistic representation of various aspects of Chinese social life was seen by the CCP leadership as a deliberate distortion of reality “with a particular intention to vilify the great achievements of the Cultural Revolution.” The film was termed “anti-China” and “reactionary” and became the target of a propaganda campaign in early 1974. Considering Antonioni’s political affiliation with the Italian Communist Party, Yao Wenyuan saw him as associated with both Italian and Soviet “revisionists.” Attacking Zhou Enlai by insinuation, Chi Qun said that films like this were actually made by spies and traitors.

**APRIL 5 MOVEMENT (1976).** Taking place simultaneously in major cities across the nation around the Qingming Festival—traditionally a time to “sweep the graves” (*saomu*) and pay homage to the dead—this political event was at once a public mourning for the late Premier Zhou Enlai and a mass protest against the ultraleftist faction of the CCP leadership headed by Jiang Qing. Commemoration as a form of protest was the invention of the April 5 Movement. The widely shared discontent with Mao Zedong’s radical policies erupted for the first time, and this public outburst of grief and rage anticipated the swift ending of the Cultural Revolution soon after the death of Mao and the downfall of the Jiang Qing group. *See also* NANJING INCIDENT; TIANANMEN INCIDENT.
ARME D CONFLICT (wudou). Factional fighting among mass organizations became widespread in 1967 when those organizations began to take over provincial and local governments during the power seizure movement. In some places, especially where People’s Liberation Army (PLA) troops took sides while on a “left-supporting” mission, military weapons were used in the fighting. In July and September 1967, Jiang Qing twice voiced support for the slogan “Verbal attack but armed defense,” which further intensified nationwide violence. Between summer 1967 and summer 1968, factional fighting escalated into large-scale armed conflicts in many provinces. In some provinces, army troops were split and fought among themselves. According to an official estimate, a million guns were in the hands of civilians at the time. This was a time that Chairman Mao Zedong referred to as a period of “all-round civil war” that claimed hundreds of thousands of lives.

To end factional violence and nationwide chaos, Mao made several decisive moves in July 1968. He authorized nationwide issuance of two party central documents (July 3 Public Notice and July 24 Public Notice) concerning armed conflicts in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region and Shaanxi Province and indicated the broader applications of these documents. He dispatched a workers propaganda team of over 30,000 members led by PLA officers to end a prolonged factional battle known as the One Hundred Day Armed Conflict on the Tsinghua Campus in Beijing. He sent all college students away from campuses and thus dissolved a major force of factional violence. Later in 1968, armed conflict gradually receded in the nation.

ARME D CONFLICT IN GUANGXI (1967–1968). One of the longest and deadliest factional battles in the country, the escalating armed conflict in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, caused the central leadership, with Mao Zedong’s endorsement, to issue a harshly worded July 3 Public Notice in 1968 to stop the violence. The nationwide issuance of this document was the first of a series of decisive steps that Mao took in summer 1968 to end what he called an “all-round civil war” in the country.

Beginning in April 1967, mass organizations in Guangxi split into two camps: the conservative Joint Headquarters, on the one hand, and the 4-22 rebel faction with its allies, on the other. Factional violence started in late 1967 and escalated in 1968. With the support
of the former First Party Secretary of the Autonomous Region Wei Guoqing, army troops of the Guangxi Military District, and the local militia, the Joint Headquarters gained the upper hand in armed fighting. The 4-22 faction and its allies won support from the 141st Division of the People's Liberation Army (PLA) field army and initially had much sympathy from central leaders in Beijing until mid-1968 when they began to storm military warehouses, clash with army soldiers, and stop cargo trains to seize military supplies that were being transported to Vietnam. They halted the major railway transportation system in Guangxi for more than a month.

Although the Public Notice of 3 July from Beijing did not mention any mass organization by name, the document was aimed apparently more at the 4-22 faction in its denunciation of the weapon-seizure, the clashes with the military, and the railway blockade as “counterrevolutionary crimes” committed by “a small handful of class enemies.” The harsh condemnation, then, was used by the Joint Headquarters and its supporters to justify another wave of persecution and killing of the members of its political rivals before the final end of violence and the establishment of the Guangxi Revolutionary Committee in late August 1968. The persecution in some cases also involved cannibalism. Throughout the armed conflict and persecution, tens of thousands—perhaps as many as a hundred thousand—people were killed in Guangxi. Most of the dead were members of the 4-22 rebel faction and those classified as the “Black Five Categories.”

AUGUST 4 INCIDENT (1967). Also known as the “Shanghai Diesel [Engine Factory] United Headquarters” incident, the bloodshed of 4 August 1967 was the gravest case of factional violence in Shanghai. There were two mass organizations in the Shanghai Diesel Engine factory that had been in intense conflict with each other for the first half of 1967: the East-Is-Red (dongfang hong) Rebels Headquarters who had joined the citywide organization Workers Command Post led by Wang Hongwen, and the Workers United Rebels Headquarters who had been accusing Wang and the Workers Command Post of jeopardizing production, blockading transportation, and provoking violence. On 18 July 1967, a dispute among workers belonging to different factions turned violent and resulted in the death of a workshop party secretary.

In the capacity of vice-chairman of Shanghai Revolutionary Committee, the current municipal power organ, Wang Hongwen
sided with the Workers Command Post and ordered the Workers United Headquarters to submit a list of murderers for interrogation. On 4 August, Wang mobilized 100,000 workers to carry out a battle plan against the Workers United Headquarters. Heavy fighting lasted more than 10 hours, leaving 18 people dead and 983 wounded. The factory was so badly damaged that production closed down for two months. Members of the Workers United Headquarters who were not in the factory on 4 August were forced to “make up” the beatings they missed. The incident of factional violence ended with a total victory of Wang Hongwen’s rebel faction and won the praise of Zhang Chunqiao as a “beautiful battle.”

AZALEA MOUNTAIN (Dujuanshan). One of the few modern Peking operas performed during the Cultural Revolution besides the eight model dramas, Azalea Mountain is about the transformation of a greenwood gang of uprising peasants into an orderly unit of Mao Zedong’s army in the Jinggang Mountain revolutionary base area during the early stages of the Chinese communist revolution. Originally a stage play, Azalea Mountain was adapted for the Peking Opera by the Peking Opera Troupe of Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region in 1963 and by the Peking Opera Troupe of Beijing in 1964. During 1968 and 1969, Jiang Qing began to be interested in making over the play according to her idea of model drama, especially the so-called three prominences principle. The new version, finally produced by the Peking Opera Troupe of Beijing in 1973 following Jiang Qing’s instructions, was much different from the 1963 and 1964 versions: the role of the male protagonist, the peasant leader, in earlier versions was modified in the later version to the extent that the heroine Ke Xiang (He Xiang in earlier versions), the female representative of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) who wins the trust and respect of the peasant troops and leads them through struggles against both the armed local tyrants from without and a hidden class enemy—a traitor—from within, became the sole center of the play. She was elevated to such a height as to become a flawless, perfect, superhuman revolutionary stereotype.

– B –

BADGES OF CHAIRMAN MAO. Although badges carrying the image of Mao Zedong first appeared in the 1940s during the Rectification
Campaign in Yan’an, it was not until late 1966 and early 1967 that wearing Mao badges became a fashion and a rage for the whole nation, marking the height of the personality cult of Mao during the Cultural Revolution. In the early years of the Cultural Revolution, more than 90% of the Chinese population wore Mao badges. According to Premier Zhou Enlai, as he was speaking of economic planning in March 1969 and deploring the wasteful use of aluminum in producing larger and larger badges, some 2.2 billion Mao badges had been produced since the summer of 1966. About 2.5 to 5 billion badges in more than 20,000 different types were manufactured during the 10 years of the Cultural Revolution.

Most badges show a left profile of Mao’s head, some a frontal view, and still others his whole figure. The predominant background color of the badges is red. A common representation of Mao as the “red sun in the heart of the people” shows red or golden rays radiating from Mao’s portrait at the center. The badges often have as background a historical theme of Chinese revolution, which is sometimes labeled with a name or highlighted with a slogan. While the badges were considered a display of the wearer’s loyalty to Mao and enthusiasm for the revolution, wearing badges also served the purpose of protecting the wearer from suspicion of disloyalty, although in some places and at some times those who were said to have a bad family background were forbidden to wear them.

Noting the waste of industrial material in badge production, Mao protested in 1969, “Give our airplanes back to us!” After that, the CCP Central Committee issued the circular “Certain Issues Worthy of Attention concerning the Promotion of Chairman Mao’s Image” (dated 12 June 1969) to stop mass production of Mao badges. After the downfall of Lin Biao, who was the nation’s loudest advocate for Mao’s personality cult, in September 1971, the number of people wearing Mao badges declined rapidly. In the mid-1970s, only a handful of government officials and some farmers in the countryside still wore them.

In the initial stages of the Cultural Revolution, Mao badges were primarily obtained through one’s work unit or could be purchased at certain stores in urban areas. But production fell so short of the nation’s demand in both quantity and variety that in mid-1967 a black market for Mao badges sprang up in cities throughout China. These “illegal markets” were speedily banned by the government. After the
Cultural Revolution, however, Mao badges were traded again on the market and became profitable items for collectors.

BAIYANGDIAN POET GROUP. A reading and poetry-writing group of educated youths in the Baiyangdian Lake District, Hebei Province. In January 1969, Meng Ke, Genzi, and Duoduo went with their classmates at the Beijing No. 3 Middle School to Baiyangdian to settle down and be reeducated by local peasants. Soon they organized a reading group. Their readings included some of the Grey Books and Yellow Books (foreign books translated into Chinese for ranking officials). Many of these books were part of the personal collection of Genzi’s parents. Others, especially books of foreign literature, were obtained from underground literary salons in Beijing. Sharing the same interest in literature and rejecting the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) propagandistic doctrine of art, the three young men wrote poetry and became readers and critics of each other’s work. Meng Ke and Duoduo agreed to exchange “yearbooks” of their poetry every New Year’s Eve. Close contact and frequent exchanges among the three poets resulted in some remarkable similarities in the early examples of their poetic composition, such as their embrace of free verse, the richness of often personified natural imagery, the occasional use of highly private symbolism, and their shared fondness for a grandiloquent and humorous tone. These affinities showed the distinct features of what scholars later called “experimental poetry.” Bei Dao and Jiang He, two prominent voices of the “obscure poetry” of the late 1970s and the early 1980s, visited the Beiyangdian district frequently to exchange materials and views on poetry with Meng Ke, Duoduo, and Genzi while enjoying the beauty of the lake district. These travels stimulated the writing of experimental poetry.

Despite political repression, young poets of the Baiyangdian group entered a golden season of artistic creation in the early 1970s while in the countryside. Most of their major poems were completed during this period, including some critically acclaimed works of modern Chinese poetry, such as Genzi’s “The Month of March and the End” (1971), Meng Ke’s “Sky” (1973), and Duoduo’s “When People Stand Up for Their Snoring” (1972). Meng Ke completed his three collections of poems in 1972, and Duoduo put together his first collection in the same year. Since no works of literature other than those of propaganda were produced during the Cultural Revolution, underground poetry, of
which the works of the Baiyangdian group were part, shocked readers with its freshness when it began to surface in the late 1970s and created a new direction for Chinese poetry. See also UNDERGROUND READING MOVEMENT.

BAREFOOT DOCTORS (chijiao yisheng). Barefoot doctors were part farmer and part doctor, with minimal training in both Chinese and Western medicine. The idea of a farmer as a doctor originated in rural parts of Shanghai where peasants were usually barefoot while working in the wet rice fields; hence the term barefoot doctor. Although the first group of barefoot doctors was trained in 1958, they did not become popular until a report relating the “revolution in medical education” to barefoot doctors was published in the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) official organ Red Flag in March 1968. Along with the development of various kinds of collective health care in the countryside, the troops of barefoot doctors were enlarged rapidly nationwide from 1968 on—they became a million strong by 1973. Although they lacked professional skills, barefoot doctors helped alleviate the drastic shortage of professionally trained doctors in rural areas and contributed to the fairly limited improvement of health and hygiene in the countryside.

On the other hand, the success of barefoot doctors was often blown out of proportion by official media. Mao Zedong’s dismissal of the central government’s Ministry of Hygiene as an agency of “urban masters” led to the invention of a “medical revolution” policy called “post exchange” during the Cultural Revolution. Under this policy, a large number of urban medical professionals were sent to the countryside to be reeducated and reformed, many of them working in the fields, while some barefoot doctors were assigned work in urban hospitals that was well beyond their capacity. The system of employing barefoot doctors began to phase out after the Cultural Revolution. In January 1985, the Ministry of Hygiene delegitimized the use of the term “barefoot doctors”; in its place were “rural doctors” and “health workers,” depending on qualifications.

BEIJING PARTY COMMITTEE REORGANIZATION. One of the landmark decisions made by the central leadership at the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966, at which the Cultural Revolution was officially launched. The reshuffle of the Chinese Communist
Party (CCP) Beijing Municipal Committee was a major step Mao Zedong took to remove what he considered to be an obstacle to his Cultural Revolution program.

On 10 November 1965, Yao Wenyuan’s article “On the New Historical Drama Hairui Dismissed from Office,” which was soon to be known as the “blasting fuse” of the Cultural Revolution, appeared in the Shanghai newspaper Wenhui Daily. The article accuses Wu Han—the author of the historical play who was also a renowned historian and a deputy mayor of Beijing—of using a story of the past to criticize China’s communist policies. Peng Zhen, mayor and first party secretary of Beijing, called the municipal committee to a meeting on the same day to discuss Yao’s article. Almost all of the committee members disagreed with Yao’s charge. Without knowing Mao Zedong’s full support for Yao Wenyuan, Peng ordered Beijing’s newspapers not to reprint Yao’s article. A few days later, when the article was printed as a pamphlet by Shanghai People’s Press for nationwide distribution, Peng responded to an inquiry by the Beijing Xinhua Bookstore with an instruction that bookstores not order any copies. In early 1966, as the criticism of Wu Han and a few other “academic authorities” continued, the Peng-led Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group prepared a document that, despite its leftist-sounding rhetoric, stresses the importance of keeping criticism within the realm of academia. With approval from the Politburo, the document, known as the February Outline, was disseminated nationwide as a policy guide to the ongoing academic criticism and debate.

Peng’s series of actions appeared to Mao to be a conscious resistance to his developing Cultural Revolution program. In March 1966, Mao criticized the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee and the Five-Person Group on several occasions, calling the Beijing party committee an “impenetrable and watertight independent kingdom.” Mao also threatened to dismiss the Beijing committee should it continue to “protect bad people.” In April, Mao chaired an enlarged meeting of the Politburo Standing Committee at which decisions were made to abrogate the February Outline and dismiss the Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group. At the Enlarged Politburo Sessions held in Beijing in May 1966, Peng Zhen was denounced as a member of the Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique, and a motion was adopted that the Beijing party committee be reorganized. On 4 June, People’s
Daily announced the central leadership’s appointment of Li Xuefeng, first secretary of the CCP North-China Bureau, and Wu De, first party secretary of Jilin Province, as first and second secretaries of Beijing’s new municipal party committee. In the meantime, many officials of the old municipal committee and municipal government were condemned as members of Peng Zhen’s “black gang” and were subjected to brutal physical abuse by the masses at struggle meetings.

Before long, however, the reorganized Beijing municipal committee ran into trouble, too. Because of its decision to dispatch work groups, first to Peking University and then to many other schools in Beijing, the committee was criticized in autumn 1966 for carrying out a bourgeois reactionary line of Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping. It was sidelined from power until April 1967 when it was finally replaced by the new power organ Beijing Revolutionary Committee.

BIAN ZHONGYUN (1916–1966). A native of Wuwei, Anhui Province, Bian joined the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) in 1941 and graduated from Qilu University in 1945. When the Cultural Revolution began in 1966, Bian was deputy principal of the prestigious Beijing Normal University Female Middle School, where she had worked since 1949. On groundless charges, she was denounced and struggled against by the students in late June 1966. On 5 August 1966, five days after the Red Guards organization was formed at the school, she, along with four other school officials, was attacked by the Red Guards. Bian died after several hours of humiliation and brutal beating. This was Beijing’s first case of the killing of education workers by the Red Guards. Many cases followed, and violence and brutality escalated especially after the Mass Rally of 18 August 1966. At this rally, Mao Zedong received a Red Guard armband from a student from this school, whereupon he recommended that her name be changed from genteel “Binbin” to the overtly militant “Yaowu,” which means in Chinese “be valiant.” In 1978, Bian’s name was officially cleared at a memorial service organized by the CCP committee of Beijing’s Xicheng District, but the legal proceedings that Bian’s widower brought in 1979 against the killers were rejected by the district People’s Procuratorate on the grounds that the actionable period had already expired.
BIG-CHARACTER POSTERS (dazibao). Written in black ink with pen-brushes on large sheets of paper and pasted on walls or specially made poster boards for a standing crowd to read, big-character posters were the major form of mass communication during the Cultural Revolution. While the government controlled major media channels, such as newspapers and radio broadcasting, the masses used big-character posters as effective vehicles with which to express their views. The designated areas for posting and reading posters on school campuses and in factories and government agencies became centers of activity and information gathering and networking places for visitors as well.

Chairman Mao Zedong used the big-character posters of the masses as a weapon against his political enemies in the party leadership. The nationwide broadcasting and publication of what Mao called the “first Marxist-Leninist big-character poster” by Nie Yuanzi and her colleagues at Peking University on 1 June 1966 marked the beginning of the Cultural Revolution for the public. To mobilize the masses against Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping, Mao attempted to identify himself with the masses by calling his militant piece, Bombarding the Headquarters, “my own big-character poster.”

After the Cultural Revolution, big-character posters remained popular as a method of expressing dissent, especially during the Democracy Wall Movement in the late 1970s. In September 1980, the National People’s Congress outlawed big-character posters in a revised Constitution.

BIGGEST CAPITALIST-ROADER WITHIN THE PARTY. Along with “China’s Khrushchev,” this was a reference to President Liu Shaoqi in official media in 1967 and 1968. Liu’s name was not mentioned in officially published denouncements until after the Twelfth Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee (13–31 October 1968). See also CAPITALIST-ROADERS; LIU SHAOQI.

BLACK FIVE CATEGORIES (hei wulei). A pejorative label commonly used in the Cultural Revolution, the “Black Five Categories” refers to people who were classified as landlords and rich peasants during the Communist-led Land Reform in the late 1940s and the early 1950s and to those labeled as counterrevolutionaries, bad
elements, and Rightists in a series of political campaigns after the founding of the PRC. Already seen as “targets of the proletarian dictatorship” before the Cultural Revolution, people in these categories were invariably persecuted and repressed during the entire 10-year period of the Revolution. They were subject to public humiliation, physical abuse, forced labor, confiscation of personal property, exile from the cities, and, in a number of isolated cases, even massacre. Their children and even grandchildren—especially in the countryside, where the CCP class identification criteria were often applied to the third generation—were discriminated against and were often forced to declare a “clean break” with their parents. Many of them, like their parents, were also subject to humiliation and abuse, especially at the hands of the Red Guards from families of the “Red Five Categories” in the beginning months of the Cultural Revolution.

BLACK GANG (heibang). This pejorative term was initially used in summer 1966 to refer to the so-called Three-Family Village Anti-Party Clique of Deng Tuo, Wu Han, and Liao Mosha. The reference soon extended to the old CCP Beijing Municipal Committee led by Peng Zhen. Anyone who was then associated with the Municipal Committee was called a member or an element of the “black gang.” As the Cultural Revolution evolved, “black gang element” became a label for any denounced academic authority or party official. The term continued to be used until 1968 when the central leadership began to distinguish between “unrepentant” and “corrigible” capitalist-roaders, associating “black gang” only with the former.

BLACK SEVEN CATEGORIES (hei qilei). A commonly used pejorative, the “Black Seven Categories” refers to persons who were labeled as landlords, rich peasants, counterrevolutionaries, bad elements, Rightists, capitalists, and “black gang” members. The last two categories were an addition to the “Black Five Categories” during earlier stages of the Cultural Revolution.

BLACK WIND IN NOVEMBER (shiyi Yue heifeng). Also known as the “Black Wind in December,” this officially sanctioned pejorative refers to a series of big-character posters that appeared in Beijing in the last two months of 1966 criticizing the Cultural Revolution faction of the CCP central leadership—the Central Cultural Revo-
olution Small Group (CCRSG) in particular. Although most of the student authors acted independently, they were part of a general reaction of conservatives—usually those with a “red” family background—against the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line of Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping. In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, they had either supported or tolerated the blood lineage theory and abused students from politically disadvantaged families. Politically, they sympathized with the old party establishment represented by Liu and Deng. The CCRSG became their main target due to its sweeping denunciation of party veterans, its support for the emerging rebel faction, and its encouragement of the politically disadvantaged students to criticize their abusers in the Criticize the Bourgeois Reactionary Line campaign.

The better-known posters representing this conservative reaction include “Kick aside the CCRSG and Closely Follow Chairman Mao in the Revolution” (2 December) by a number of student organizations at Beijing Institute of Forestry and the four installments of “Question the CCRSG” (late November and early December) by the August 1 Column of the Beijing Institute of Aeronautics Red Guards. The former questions the legitimacy of the CCRSG by evoking the “Sixteen Articles,” which demands that power organs to lead the Cultural Revolution be established through a democratic process of a broad election under the CCP leadership.

Also prominent among the posters labeled “reactionary” was a voice of students of rebel faction, such as the “Open letter to Comrade Lin Biao” (15 November) by two high school students assuming the penname Yilin Dixi, which criticized Lin Biao for his formulation of a “peak theory” and for his promotion of the personality cult of Mao Zedong. By the end of December 1966, the massive protest of the rebel faction in defense of the CCRSG and the arrest of most of the student authors by the authorities put to an end the so-called Black Wind.

BLOOD LINEAGE THEORY (xuetonglun). This popular variation of the CCP’s long-time organizational policy known as “class line” or “class status” was embraced by some Red Guards, especially those who came to be known as the Old Red Guards, in the initial stage of the Cultural Revolution. According to the blood lineage theory, one’s family background determines and defines who one is. People who
belong to the Red Five Categories, especially children of ranking officials, are “born-reds” (zilaihong). They are trusted as successors to the revolutionary cause and enjoy political privileges. By contrast, people who belong to the Black Seven Categories are deemed politically untrustworthy. Already deprived of equal opportunity for college education, employment, and promotion in the regular practice of the official CCP class policy, they were dismissed as “sons of dogs” (gouzaizi) by many Old Red Guards on the grounds of the “bad” blood in them. The CCP organizational policy required authorities to “consider family class status, but not just family class status, and stress the importance of political behavior.” Although more moderate than its popular version, this policy was never seriously implemented. In June and July 1966, a couplet (duilian) that would become the most popular expression of the blood lineage theory was circulating among students at the Peking University Middle School. The parallel lines read, “If the father is a hero, the son is a real man” and “If the father is a reactionary, the son is a bastard.” The work group on a mission to direct the Cultural Revolution at the school was critical of the couplet. But after Mao Zedong dismissed the work groups as a repressive force in late July, the couplet began to be circulated rapidly and widely on middle school campuses all over Beijing. On 29 July 1966, some students posted the couplet at the Beijing Institute of Aeronautics Middle School, with the original two parallel lines running vertically in the form of traditional Chinese calligraphy scrolls and an added “It is basically like this, making demons anxious” placed horizontally on top. The couplet, along with its several variations, became a subject of heated debate among students in Beijing. Those who embraced the idea represented by the couplet, including a majority of ranking officials’ children, made it a fundamental basis for admitting fellow students into their own Red Guard organizations, keeping out whoever was not a “born-red.” The couplet served as both a prompt and a justification for the humiliation, torture, and killing of innocent people of the Black Seven Categories and their children during Beijing’s Red August—a brutal act perpetrated mostly by Old Red Guards whom Chairman Mao Zedong received for the first time at the Mass Rally of 18 August 1966. At a debate on 20 August 1966, Tan Lifu, a leader of Red Guards at Beijing Industrial University and the son of a ranking official, gave a long speech in support of the couplet. Tan’s speech, printed and widely
distributed, helped make the blood lineage theory and its controversy well-known across China.

The blood lineage theory became a political issue in the central leadership in late 1966 during the campaign against the so-called bourgeois reactionary line allegedly carried out by Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping. To win support of the students from nonproletarian families, Chen Boda dismissed “born-redism” as reactionary at the CCP Central Committee Work Sessions on 9–28 October 1966 and linked it to the bourgeois reactionary line. Chen’s speech outlawed the controversial couplet and made the blood lineage theory officially a target of criticism.

A majority of students who had opposed the theory at the outset now became much more vocal with their views. The best-known critic was the young worker Yu Luoke, who was to present a point-by-point refutation of the blood lineage theory in his article “On Family Background.” However, since Yu’s criticism went so far as to repudiate the system of political discrimination underlying the CCP class policy and to embrace the idea of equality and human rights, he was eventually named a counterrevolutionary and was put to death by the authorities.

BO YIBO (1908– ). Deputy premier of the State Council (SC) since 1956, Bo was denounced during the Cultural Revolution as a member of the so-called Sixty-One Traitors Clique, a major case fabricated by the ultraleftist faction of the CCP leadership to incriminate President Liu Shaoqi.

A native of Dingxiang, Shanxi Province, Bo Yibo joined the CCP in 1925 and soon became a leader in the CCP’s underground work in north China. In 1931, Bo was arrested in Beijing by the Nationalist government. In 1936, the North China Bureau of the CCP—with the support of Liu Shaoqi, who was then in charge of the work of the bureau, and the approval of the central party leadership—instructed Bo to sign an anticommunist declaration prepared by the Nationalists to earn his release. After the founding of the PRC, Bo became one of the most influential leaders on economic matters: first as minister of finance (1949–1953) and then director of the State Economic Commission (since 1956).

In fall 1966, as Mao Zedong’s intention to bring down Liu Shaoqi became clear, Kang Sheng began to gather material from newspapers of 1936 as incriminating evidence against Bo Yibo and others.
as members of a “traitors clique” formed by Liu Shaoqi. Soon after Mao approved Kang’s work in February 1967, Bo was arrested and imprisoned. The 20,000-word appeal that Bo wrote in prison in the summer of 1967 was of no avail. Bo Yibo’s name was not cleared until late 1978 when the CCP Organization Department finally issued an investigative report on the “Case of the Sixty-One,” dismissing the charges against Bo and 60 others as groundless.

In 1979, Bo was reappointed deputy premier of the SC and became a member of the CCP Central Committee. In 1982, he was elected deputy director of the Central Advisory Committee, a newly established body of retired ranking leaders. In his retirement, Bo Yibo still exerted considerable, mostly conservative influence on the CCP decision-making process. He was also one of the few ranking CCP leaders to produce substantive, and often revealing, memoirs.

**BOMBARDING THE HEADQUARTERS (paoda silingbu).** This was a big-character poster that Chairman Mao Zedong wrote on 5 August 1966 during the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee to attack President Liu Shaoqi. The poster was originally a long note Mao put down on the margin of the 2 June 1966 issue of the Beijing Daily. Mao’s secretary proofread the note and made a clear verbatim transcription of the original. Mao then added the title “Bombarding the Headquarters—My Own Big-Character Poster.” The final version of the poster contains 205 Chinese characters.

In the poster, Mao denounces the leadership of Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping, without naming either, as a “bourgeois headquarters” that has been hostile and repressive toward the Cultural Revolution since early June. In strong terms, he accuses them of persecuting the dissenters and imposing a “white terror” of the bourgeois dictatorship. Mao also connects the current situation with what he calls a right deviation of 1962 and the wrong tendency of 1964 that was “‘Left’ in form but Right in essence,” both implicating Liu Shaoqi. The former points to the critical measures taken by the CCP leadership—especially at an enlarged Politburo meeting chaired by Liu in late February 1962—to adjust the radical policies of the late 1950s that caused the great famine of 1959–1962. The latter refers to the earlier guidelines for the Socialist Education Movement based on Liu Shaoqi’s ideas.
On 7 August, copies of Mao’s big-character poster were printed and distributed to all participants of the plenum. Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping immediately became targets of attack. On the following day, the Central Committee (CC) passed the Resolution of the CCP Central Committee concerning the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution, commonly known as the “Sixteen Articles.” On 17 August, Mao’s big-character poster was issued across China by the CC as a central party document. From then on, the title of the big-character poster, “Bombarding the Headquarters,” became a popular slogan for rebels attacking party officials at various levels.

One year after Mao wrote his big-character poster, the People’s Daily published the entire text in its 5 August 1967 issue with an editorial entitled “Bombarding the Bourgeois Headquarters.” Another editorial, “Completely Destroy the Bourgeois Headquarters—Commemorating the First Anniversary of the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee,” came out in the Red Flag on 17 August. Both editorials consider Mao’s big-character poster to be his bugle call to the campaign to overthrow Liu Shaoqi.

BOMBARDING ZHANG CHUNQIAO. This phrase refers to the efforts of some rebel organizations in Shanghai to bring down Zhang Chunqiao, first in January 1967 and again in April 1968. During the January Storm of 1967, four attempts to seize power in Shanghai by different mass organizations—none of them was Zhang Chunqiao’s choice—were delegitimized by Zhang. The College Red Guards Revolutionary Committee of Shanghai in particular, which had been used by Zhang before, felt betrayed when its own attempts to take over the municipal power met Zhang’s opposition. On 28 January, some college Red Guards challenged Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan in a six-hour debate. In the meantime, in reaction to Zhang’s order to send army troops to Fudan University where his close associate Xu Jingxian was detained by two rebel organizations, thousands of students took to the streets, with big-character posters, leaflets, and banners condemning Zhang for his double dealings with mass organizations and for his attack on the revered modern Chinese writer Lu Xun in the 1930s. The protest came to be known as the “28 January bombardment.”

The preparation for another anti-Zhang rally and demonstration was underway on the early morning of 30 January when the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), following instructions...
from Chairman Mao Zedong, sent an emergency missive that identified Zhang as part of Mao’s “proletarian headquarters” and forbade demonstrations against him. With the full support of the powerful CCRSG and the largest local mass organization the Workers Command Post, Zhang, Yao, and their close associates in Shanghai were now able to eliminate opposition and further consolidate their power in China’s most populous city. Since the bombarding was denounced as “counterrevolutionary action” in the telegram sent by the CCRSG, thousands of participants of the “bombardment” were mistreated, and at least 2,500 people were persecuted. Interrogation and torture left five persons dead.

On 12 April 1968, big-character posters and hand-written slogans with the same charges against Zhang appeared again on the main streets of Shanghai. The action was planned by Hu Shoujun and some other students at Fudan University. Also involved were rebels at the Second Army Medical College, who had been supported by Lin Biao. The protest was again put down. The two protests were said to have involved rebel organizations with some 100,000 to 200,000 members altogether, most of them students. After the events, they were mistreated and persecuted. The best known case is that of the Hu Shoujun Clique in 1970; the number of deaths resulting from such persecution was said to amount to several hundred.

“BORN-REDS” (zilaihong). This term started as a proud self-reference of the Old Red Guards embracing the blood lineage theory. It first appeared in August 1966 in “The Born-Reds Have Risen,” a big-character poster by the Peking University Middle School Red Flag Combat Team, one of the earliest Red Guard organizations. “Born-reds” later became a popular term referring to anyone from any of the Red Five Categories of families in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. The group of Red Guards who invented and first used the term were mostly children of ranking CCP and PLA officials. Already of a privileged class above those of workers, peasants, and soldiers, which were also “red,” they regarded themselves as natural successors to China’s revolutionary cause; that is, natural successors to the power acquired by their parents’ generation. They humiliated and abused students from politically disadvantaged families, especially those of Black Seven Categories, provoking much protest and creating much antagonism among students. This abuse took various
forms, including the use of the pejorative “born-blacks,” “born-yellows,” and “sons-of-dogs” in contrast to “born-reds.”

BOURGEOIS REACTIONARY LINE. This is a pejorative phrase that Chairman Mao Zedong adopted in autumn 1966 to designate the party policies that had been implemented in the summer of 1966 and, in Mao’s view, deviated the thrust of the ongoing mass movement away from what he had intended to be the main target of the Cultural Revolution—the capitalist-roaders in the party leadership. Consequently, a campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line was launched in late 1966 against the alleged framers of these policies: President Liu Shaoqi and General Secretary Deng Xiaoping.

In the early summer of 1966 when student revolt erupted on college campuses and in middle schools in Beijing, Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping were in charge of the day-to-day affairs of the CCP. With the approval of Mao Zedong, who was away from Beijing, the Liu-Deng leadership adopted an old party policy for leading a political campaign and for dealing with extraordinary situations: it dispatched work groups to most chaotic places to lead the mass movement and keep it under the control of the party. When disputes took place on a college campus, the work group there would typically protect party officials and denounce their challengers. In the meantime, the work groups allowed persecution of the so-called Black Seven Categories, which included those associated with the already fallen “black gang” of the old CCP municipal committee of Beijing.

Upon returning to Beijing, however, Mao began to criticize of the work group policy. He wrote a short piece entitled “Bombarding the Headquarters—My Own Big-Character Poster” on 5 August, accusing some unnamed central and regional leaders of taking a “reactionary bourgeois stand” against the proletarian Cultural Revolution. However, in August and September, Mao’s idea of getting at “those in power” (dangquanpai) and shaking up the party leadership from bottom to top was still not carried out, while student Red Guards began to focus their attention on a movement called “Destroy the Four Olds,” targeting alleged class enemies mostly outside the party. Mao was contemplating a new move, and finally he settled on a Criticize the Bourgeois Reactionary Line campaign.

The term “bourgeois reactionary line” appeared for the first time in an editorial of the party organ Red Flag published on 2 October 1966
On 6 October 1966, the "Third Command Post" of college Red Guards in Beijing held a mass rally at the Workers' Stadium to declare war against the bourgeois reactionary line. With the attendance of Premier Zhou Enlai and members of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) along with a hundred thousand people, this was arguably the most celebrated event of the campaign. In a speech delivered on 16 October at a work session of the CCP Central Committee, Chen Boda, head of the CCRSG, defined Mao's proletarian revolutionary line against the bourgeois reactionary line on the basis of their attitudes toward the masses: Mao's line encouraged the masses to educate themselves and liberate themselves, Chen said, while the reactionary line carried out by the work groups was self-righteous and repressive. Chen also attempted to clarify Mao's "class line" while associating the bourgeois reactionary line with the controversial blood lineage theory that was in fact more akin to Mao's own ultraleftism.

Chen's interpretation of Mao's mass and class policies generated much enthusiasm among students from families other than those of the "Red Five Categories." These students had been discriminated against in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. Now that the "Red Guard" was no longer a patent for those from the so-called "red families," these students, as part of the rebel faction of Red Guards that had been more tolerant of their family backgrounds than the Old Red Guards, were able to join Mao's crusading army against the party establishment from Liu and Deng down to grassroots levels. The campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line continued well into 1967 and prepared the way for the turbulent nationwide power seizure movement. This campaign also led to rehabilitations of some ordinary citizens who had been denounced under the work groups and of a still greater number of people who had been condemned and tortured under the "mass dictatorship" after the withdrawal of the work groups. See also CENTRAL COMMITTEE WORK SESSIONS ON 9–28 OCTOBER 1966; WORK GROUPS.

**BREAKING (juelie).** Directed by Li Wenhua and produced by Beijing Film Studio in 1975, this film is based on a story about how the Communist Labor University of Jiangxi Province was built in 1958. Its intention, however, is to attack the so-called revisionist line in education of both the late 1950s and the mid-1970s. The protagonist—
Long Guozheng, president of the university—is a hero going against the tide of the “revisionist line.” Long bases college admission decisions not on test scores but on the number of callouses on the palms of the candidate. He regards college professors as bourgeois intellectuals. In a comic episode, a professor with a foreign academic degree is ridiculed for specializing in “the function of a horse’s tail.” In short, the film epitomizes the Cultural Revolution’s belittling of knowledge and politicizing of education. When the film was almost completed in October 1975, the conflict between the Gang of Four and Deng Xiaoping intensified. Following orders from Gang of Four supporters at Beijing Film Studio, the crew added episodes to meet the needs of current politics. The veteran revolutionary and Long’s political rival Cao Zhonghe, for instance, was labeled an “unrepentant capitalist-roader” in the party, a term soon to be associated with Deng Xiaoping in the campaign to counterattack the right-deviationist reversal-of-verdicts trend. Shortly after its release, the film became a popular tool for political education across China. With theatre tickets distributed by party branches, people were obligated to watch it. The film was also praised by official media as an excellent work taking after the Eight Model Dramas and reflecting a complete break with the “revisionist line in education.” In the post-Mao era, critics dismissed the film as a notorious piece of “conspiratorial literature.”

BRITISH CHARGÉ INCIDENT (1967). In a mass protest against the arrest of Chinese journalists by British colonial authorities in Hong Kong, some Red Guards set fire to the office building of the British chargé d’affaires in Beijing on 22 August 1967. Tensions in Hong Kong started in early May 1967 when a labor dispute took place and strikers and demonstrators clashed with the police. Partly due to the interference of members of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) Wang Li and Guan Feng, China’s reaction to the Hong Kong crisis was so highly confrontational that about a million Beijing citizens, inspired by the official reaction, demonstrated in front of the British chargé office on 15 June 1967. In Beijing, chaos in foreign affairs escalated after Wang Li told rebels in the Ministry of Foreign Affairs on 7 August that it was all right to “collar” Minister Chen Yi (here Wang was actually rephrasing Mao Zedong’s instructions) and to seize power at the Foreign Ministry.
The subsequent arrest of journalists in Hong Kong triggered another angry response from the masses in Beijing: On 22 August, Red Guards from the Beijing Foreign Language Institute, Beijing Normal University, Tsinghua University, and other schools, as well as many factory workers, held a “Mass Meeting of the Capital Proletarian Revolutionary Rebels Denouncing British Imperialist Crimes against China.” in front of the British chargé office. Despite Premier Zhou Enlai’s specific directive forbidding violence against diplomatic establishments in China, the participants of the rally crashed into the offices of the British chargé that night, beating, smashing, confiscating, and burning automobiles and documents. They also burned the office building and struggled against the chargé d’affaires. In late August, Zhou Enlai reported to Mao on the chaotic state of foreign affairs. The British chargé incident and Zhou’s report prompted Mao to take drastic measures for the first time against his trusted cultural revolutionaries: he named Wang Li’s 7 August speech a “poisonous weed” and ordered the detention of Wang Li and Guan Feng.

BYSTANDERS (xiaoyaopai). This term refers to a large number of people who were neither rebels nor conservatives and who were not engaged in factional fighting during the Cultural Revolution. Bystanders usually did not have their own organizations. In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, they were mostly students with undesirable family backgrounds who were either lacking revolutionary zeal or shunning dangerous Chinese politics. The setback for the conservative faction during the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line and in the process of the power seizure movement turned some of its members into bystanders. As nationwide violent sectional fighting escalated in 1967 and 1968, more and more people, including a large number of rebels, became disillusioned with the revolution; they began to withdraw from their organizations and stay away from armed conflicts. The mass of bystanders grew larger and faster as the Cultural Revolution continued to unfold.

– C –

CANNIBALISM IN GUANGXI. In the spring and summer of 1968, factional violence in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region became
so fierce that hatred of the rival faction led to cannibalism in several counties. The victims of this horrific crime also included those classified as the Black Five Categories (landlords, rich peasants, counter-revolutionaries, bad elements, and Rightists). The perpetration of cannibalism against this group was often seen as evidence of one’s rightful indignation at class enemies and of one’s acute proletarian class sentiment. According to a number of unofficial investigative reports, several hundred people were cannibalized in a 6-month period from March to August 1968 in the autonomous region.

**CAPITAL RED GUARD PICKETS (shoudu hongweibing jiuchadui).** Established by some Red Guard organizations in Beijing in summer 1966, the Pickets were meant to prescribe rules for Red Guards and to exercise control over the chaotic situation created by the Red Guards themselves. Except for a brief period immediately after the establishment of the Pickets, however, some picket members acted even more self-righteously and more violently than other Red Guards against innocent people in the second half of 1966.

During the movements to destroy the Four Olds and to sweep away “cow-demons and snake-spirits” in summer 1966, especially during the terrifying Red August, lawlessness and violence escalated. Humiliation and physical abuse were commonplace on the streets of Beijing. Red Guards struggled against and tortured school teachers, the so-called Black Gang members from academic institutions, art and literary circles, and party and government organs, and the people of the “Black Five Categories.” They searched and ransacked private homes and confiscated personal belongings in the name of revolution. In the face of the widespread chaos, 31 Red Guard organizations of Beijing’s middle schools formed the Xicheng District Branch of the Capital Red Guard Pickets on 25 August 1966. In support of what appeared to be the Red Guards’ self-regulating effort, leaders of the Beijing party committee and the State Council acknowledged the organization and maintained frequent contact with it for some time. Before long, Red Guards in Dongcheng District and Haidian District also formed their Pickets. During its brief existence, the Xicheng District Pickets issued 13 decrees forbidding the searching of government offices and the abuse of ranking officials. According to these decrees, Red Guards were to notify the local authorities before searching a residence of anyone labeled under the Black Five
Categories or a capitalist and make every effort to avoid violence. These decrees were largely in line with the policies of the central leadership at the time and helped contain violence and lawlessness to some extent but not for long. As the rage of the war on the “Four Olds” reached its height, physical abuse including whipping, torturing, even downright killing, surged again.

As Mao Zedong launched the battle against those in power and moved to shake up the party and state apparatus, parents of many Picket members came under attack. Some members of the Pickets and Old Red Guard organizations became increasingly resistant to the new move; they willingly went back to attack traditional “class enemies,” mostly outside the party. To the Cultural Revolution faction of the central leadership, the Pickets now represented roadblocks to the Revolution. On 16 December 1966, at a mass rally of Beijing middle school students and teachers to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line, the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group announced a decision by the central leadership to disband the Red Guard Pickets; the Pickets were accused of serving as the “military police of the bourgeois reaction line.” After the rally, various picket offices were ransacked and closed. A number of picket members were arrested. Despite several attempts to regenerate, the Pickets and the Old Red Guards they represented were never able to come back again as an effective political force.

CAPITAL RED GUARDS (shoudu hongweibing). A major Red Guard newspaper, the Capital Red Guards made its debut on 13 September 1966 as a publication of the Capital Revolutionary Rebel Headquarters of College Red Guards (popularly known as the Third Command Post). This was a prominent mass organization supported by Mao Zedong and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) during the Criticizing the Bourgeois Reactionary Line campaign. Since the headquarters sent its members throughout the country to promote rebel activities, the paper was distributed across China and had great influence on mass movements far beyond the capital. In February 1967, when college Red Guards in Beijing came together to form a grand alliance known as the Capital Congress of College Red Guards, the Capital Red Guards became the official newspaper of the new organization after its 32nd issue. As the publication continued—totaling nearly 70 issues from March 1967 to
September 1968—it carried the CCP’s policy announcements and the central leaders’ speeches, publicized the stories of Red Guards, and reported on major events on college campuses both in Beijing and in the provinces. In July 1968, Mao Zedong and the Central Committee of the CCP began to dispatch workers propaganda teams and PLA propaganda teams to all college campuses in Beijing. This decisive move of the central leadership soon put to an end the college Red Guard movement as well as the publication of the Capital Red Guards.

CAPITALIST-ROADERS (zouzipai). When first used by Mao Zedong in January 1965 in a party policy guideline for the Socialist Education Movement, “capitalist-roaders”—literally “those in power within the party who take the capitalist road”—apparently referred to the party officials who implemented certain pragmatic economic policies in the countryside in response to the disaster of Mao’s Great Leap Forward policies and hence, in Mao’s view, betrayed socialism. In the “Sixteen Articles,” a party resolution adopted at the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee in August 1966, “capitalist-roaders” refers to the party officials who opposed the Cultural Revolution. According to Mao’s theory of continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat, capitalist-roaders were representatives of the bourgeoisie within the ruling communist party; they had either “wormed their way into the party” or had become corrupted while in power; they were China’s Khrushchevs aiming to restore capitalism in China. And yet, since the term was never clearly defined, and since virtually no party leader advocated capitalism, “capitalist-roader” became a catchphrase in political witch-hunting.

Mao used this criminal title effectively to mobilize the masses and shake up the party establishment, especially in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. In the central leadership, those whom Mao considered to be his political rivals or their followers were almost invariably dismissed as capitalist-roaders, as in the case of President Liu Shaoqi, a dedicated communist who was named “the number one capitalist-roader in the party.” Elsewhere, it was used to label any party official who was not completely in line with Mao’s ultra-leftist politics. In spring 1968, after some formerly denounced party officials were admitted into the new power organ, the revolutionary committee, at various levels, the modifier “unrepentant” was
prefixed to “capitalist-roaders” in official media to distinguish them from the “majority of corrigible capitalist-roaders.” In 1976, when Deng Xiaoping, who had earlier been named “the number two capitalist-roader,” fell from power the second time, he was dismissed as “unrepentant” and was named a “capitalist-roader who is still on the road.” After the Cultural Revolution, the CCP leadership abandoned the term “capitalist-roader.”

CCP CENTRAL SPECIAL COMMITTEE HANDBILL. On 8 October 1967, copies of a handbill in the form of an open letter to all CCP members appeared on the streets of Beijing. Assuming the authorship of a CCP Central Special Committee, the handbill was critical of the Cultural Revolution. The Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) listed this incident as a major counterrevolutionary case. On 20 November 1967, Shen Jianyun, a worker at a briquette factory in Tianjin, and 14 others involved in the handbill case were arrested. Both their confessions and all of the evidence indicated that they had made the handbill on their own and that the “CCP Central Special Committee” was fake. However, apparently for the purpose of attacking their political rivals within the CCP leadership, the CCRSG did not want the case to be closed. Chen Boda insisted that there must be a connection between the handbill and the February Adverse Current. Xie Fuzhi, minister of public security, attempted to link the incident with a long list of ranking leaders including Deng Xiaoping. A special investigation group was formed to search for “black backstage bosses,” which led to the notorious fabrication of the Chinese Communist Party (Marxist-Leninist) case implicating dozens of top-ranking veteran leaders including Zhu De, Chen Yi, and Li Fuchun.

CENTRAL COMMITTEE WORK SESSIONS IN JANUARY–FEBRUARY 1962. Also known as the “meeting of seven thousand,” these were a series of enlarged Central Committee work sessions in which CCP leaders at both central and provincial levels reflected critically upon the radical policies of the party in the 1950s, especially those of the 1958 Great Leap Forward, that led to the great famine of 1959–1961 (commonly known by the euphemism of the “three years of natural disasters” or “three difficult years”) in which 20 million peasants starved to death. The adoption of certain remedial policies by the central government following the self-reflection and self-
criticism at these work sessions was cited as a case of “Right deviation” in Mao Zedong’s August 1966 big-character poster “Bombarding the Headquarters.” See also LIU SHAOQI.

CENTRAL COMMITTEE WORK SESSIONS ON 9–28 OCTOBER 1966. The work meeting was held in Beijing at Mao Zedong’s suggestion to “sum up our experience and perform political-ideological work [on party leaders].” Mao’s words, from a speech he gave at the meeting, indicated that there was still much resistance among central and provincial party officials to the Cultural Revolution that had begun a few months before. The purpose of the meeting, then, was to criticize a so-called bourgeois reactionary line represented by Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping and to educate those party officials who allegedly followed this line and did not support the mass movement. In his speech “Two Lines in the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution,” Chen Boda distinguished between the proletarian revolutionary line of Mao and the bourgeois antirevolutionary line of Liu and Deng in terms of their attitudes toward the masses and criticized Liu and Deng for repressing the mass movement with a work group policy. Lin Biao, who also spoke at the meeting, pointed out that the Liu-Deng line dominated the nation until Mao’s timely reversal of the trend at the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee. In Lin’s view, the ongoing and widespread violations of legality and human rights were a small element of chaos within a mass movement and were necessary for preventing China from changing its revolutionary color.

Having approved Chen’s and Lin’s views, Mao instructed that the two speeches be distributed to every party branch and to every Red Guard organization in order to push forward the movement to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line. Chen Boda’s speech proved to be influential largely due to its reproach of ranking officials’ children for their self-righteousness, its criticism of the controversial “blood lineage theory,” and its call for redressing the wrongs done to ordinary people during the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. These points were made in the name of criticizing the bourgeois reactionary line.

CENTRAL CULTURAL REVOLUTION SMALL GROUP (zhongyang wenhua geming xiaozu; also known as zhongyang wenge). The Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) was established
on 28 May 1966 to replace the **Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group** as an organ under the Politburo Standing Committee to direct the Cultural Revolution. The replacement was suggested by **Mao Zedong**, approved by the Politburo at the **enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966**, and documented in the **May 16 Circular**. **Chen Boda** was made head of the CCRSG. **Kang Sheng** was appointed advisor. **Jiang Qing, Wang Renzhong, Liu Zhijian, and Zhang Chunqiao** were named deputy heads. Other members of the group include **Yao Wenyuan, Wang Li, Guan Feng, Qi Benyu, Xie Tangzhong, Mu Xin, and Yin Da**. According to the May 16 Circular, the CCRSG would have an additional member from each of the North, Northeast, Northwest, and Southwest regions, but these turned out to be members in name at best and only for a short period before they were dismissed from office locally. On 2 August 1966, **Tao Zhu** was added to the CCRSG as another advisor.

As the Cultural Revolution continued to unfold, the CCRSG began to act as a top decision-making office of the party, directly answerable to Mao; it virtually ruled over the Politburo, the Secretariat of the Central Committee, and, to a large extent, the Central Military Commission (CMC), especially after Mao launched an offensive against the so-called **February Adverse Current** and made powerless the old marshals in the CMC and the vice-premiers in the **Zhou Enlai**-led State Council. In the first three years of the Cultural Revolution, Mao used the CCRSG to mobilize the country for the movement, guide the Cultural Revolution in the direction he desired, and exercise his control of the country largely independent of the traditional party apparatus, which made the CCRSG the most powerful and influential organization of the country for the period.

At the same time, a number of politically moderate members, including **Wang Renzhong, Liu Zhijian, Xie Tangzhong, Yin Da, Mu Xin, and Tao Zhu**, were purged from the group. Some radical members of the group were also expelled—**Wang Li and Guan Feng** in August 1967 and **Qi Benyu** in January 1968. The three were generally considered to be scapegoats; that is, they rather than the CCRSG as a whole were made to bear the blame for creating chaos in the armed forces, in the area of foreign affairs, and in the nation in general. After the **Ninth National Congress of the CCP** in April 1969 when the new party apparatus was established and power redistributed, the CCRSG ceased to function. **See also EXTENDED**
CENTRAL CULTURAL REVOLUTION SMALL GROUP ROUTINE MEETINGS.

CENTRAL MILITARY COMMISSION ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OFFICE (junwei bangong huiyi). Established on 3 October 1971 to replace the Central Military Commission Administrative Group, the Central Military Commission Administrative Conference Office was in charge of the daily business of the CCP Central Military Commission (CMC). The Administrative Group was dissolved because its members were mostly Lin Biao’s close associates, who were all removed from power after the death of Lin in the September 13 Incident in 1971. The newly formed Administrative Conference Office consisted of Ye Jianying, Xie Fuzhi, Ji Dengkui, Li Xinnian, Zhang Chunqiao, Li Desheng, Wang Dongxing, Zhang Caiqian, Chen Shiju, and Liu Xianquan. Ye Jianying was named head of the Conference Office. On 5 February 1975, exactly one month after Deng Xiaoping was appointed a vice-chairman of the CMC and chief of general staff of the PLA, the CCP Central Committee decided to dissolve the CMC Administrative Conference Office and resume the function of the standing committee of the CMC. The standing committee, with both old and new members, included Ye Jianying, Deng Xiaoping, Li Xinnian, Liu Bocheng, Xu Xiangqian, Nie Rongzhen, Zhang Chunqiao, Su Yu, Chen Xilian, and Wang Hongwen, with Ye as chairman.

CENTRAL MILITARY COMMISSION ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (junwei banshizu). In 1967, when most of the vice-chairmen of the Central Military Commission (CMC) were openly criticized by mass organizations and were virtually forced out of power largely due to their involvement in the February Adverse Current, the executive office of the CMC, its standing committee, stopped functioning. With Lin Biao’s support, the Central Military Commission Administrative Group was formed in August 1967. General Wu Faxian, commander of the air force, was appointed head of the group. Other members of the group included Qiu Huizuo, Ye Qun, and Zhang Xiuchuan. Ye was Lin Biao’s wife. Both Wu and Qiu were Lin’s close associates. In September 1967, Wu became deputy head when General Yang Chengwu, chief of general staff of the PLA, was appointed head of the Administrative Group at the
recommendation of Premier Zhou Enlai and with the approval of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group. At the same time, Li Zuopeng, another supporter of Lin Biao, replaced Zhang Xiuchuan as a member of the group. In March 1968, Yang Chengwu was dismissed from all of his positions because of his involvement in the so-called Yang-Yu-Fu Affair. General Huang Yongsheng, again a close associate of Lin Biao, soon took Yang’s place as head of the Administrative Group. Now the Group was made up of Lin’s wife and his “four guardian warriors.”

Soon after Huang’s appointment, Chairman Mao Zedong suspended the already-irregular meetings of the standing committee of the CMC. Thus, the CMC Administrative Group virtually replaced the CMC standing committee as the leading body in charge of military affairs—a significant victory for Lin Biao and his supporters. In April 1969, the First Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee approved a move to add five more members to the CMC Administrative Group: Liu Xianquan, Li Tianyou, Li Desheng, Wen Yucheng, and Xie Fuzhi. After the Lushan Conference of 1970 (23 August–6 September), at which the conflict between the Lin Biao group and the Jiang Qing group surfaced, Mao no longer trusted Lin Biao and, in April 1971, recommended Ji Dengkui and Zhang Caiqian as additional members of the CMC Administrative Group to weaken Lin’s influence and control. Finally, after Lin Biao’s death in the September 13 Incident (1971), the CMC Administrative Group was dissolved, and a new Central Military Commission Administrative Conference Office was formed to take its place.

CENTRAL ORGANIZATION AND PROPAGANDA GROUP. This was an outcome of the power reshuffle after the Second Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee (23 August–6 September 1970) when Chen Boda, one of the most influential figures in the area of theory and propaganda and an ally of Lin Biao, was purged. With Mao Zedong’s approval, the CCP Central Committee (CC) announced on 6 November 1970 the dissolution of its Department of Propaganda, an alleged stronghold of Chen Boda, and the establishment of the Central Organization and Propaganda Group so that, according to the announcement, the leadership of the CCP’s organization and propaganda work would be more unified. Directly answerable to the Politburo, the Group was charged with overseeing the
operation of the CC’s Department of Organization, the Xinhua News Agency, the Bureau of Broadcasting Affairs, the All-China Labor Union, the All-China Women’s Federation, and a host of official propaganda agencies and organs including People’s Daily and Red Flag. Members of the Group included Kang Sheng, Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, Yao Wenyuan, Ji Dengkui, and Li Desheng. Mao made the move to assure the control of these key party apparatuses by those he trusted and to contain the power of Lin Biao and his followers after their differences with Mao surfaced during the Second Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee. Kang Sheng was appointed head of the Group though he did not really assume the responsibility due to poor health. Li Desheng did not become substantially involved in the Group mainly because of his other official duties. The Central Organization and Propaganda Group, therefore, was essentially controlled by Jiang Qing and her close associates.

CHEN BODA (1904–1989). Head of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) and a top aide to Chairman Mao Zedong in theoretical and ideological matters, Chen was elected to the Standing Committee of the CCP Politburo in August 1966 and became one of the few veteran cadres who were entrusted with the new task of leading an unprecedented mass movement. However, his gradual alienation from Jiang Qing and her close associates in the CCRSG and his developing alliance with the Lin Biao group eventually led to his dismissal in September 1970 at the Second Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee.

A native of Huian, Fujian Province, Chen was born on 29 July 1904 and worked as an elementary school teacher before he joined the CCP in 1927. Upon finishing his political training at the Sun Yat-sen University in Moscow, Chen returned to China and went to Yan’an in 1937. Serving as Mao’s political secretary and drafting speeches and theoretical essays for Mao, Chen rose to prominence as a leading theorist of the CCP and was elected to the Central Committee in 1945. After the founding of the People’s Republic of China (PRC), Chen served as deputy director of the CCP Propaganda Department, director of the Political Research Institute of the CCP Central Committee, editor-in-chief of the CCP theoretical organ Red Flag, and vice-president of the Chinese Academy of Science. He became an alternate member of the CCP Politburo in 1956.
Appointed head of the CCRSG in May 1966 upon the recommendation of Premier Zhou Enlai, Chen began to play an important role in articulating Mao’s radical policies and directing the student movement in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. Following his instructions as leader of the work group for the People’s Daily, the newspaper published an editorial entitled *Sweep Away All Cow-Demons and Snake Spirits* on 1 June 1966. This article, edited and revised by Chen himself, served publicly to inaugurate the Cultural Revolution. During the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line, he attempted to explain CCP class policies against a politically discriminatory blood lineage theory and became a leading supporter of the emerging rebel faction that included many students from politically disadvantaged families. As the Cultural Revolution further unfolded, however, personal and political conflicts began to develop in the CCRSG, especially between Chen and Jiang Qing, deputy head of the CCRSG, and her close associates Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan. The conflicts intensified when Chen began to work on the party’s political report in preparation for the Ninth National Congress of the CCP and to discuss the content of the report with Lin Biao. Mao criticized Chen’s first draft for its “productionist” tendencies and entrusted Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan instead with the task of redrafting and revision, which virtually ended Chen’s cooperation with his colleagues in the CCRSG and pushed him further toward an alliance with the Lin Biao group. Later, Chen made an ironic comment on the Zhang-Yao version of the political report: “all movement and no goal.”

In August 1970, at the Second Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee, Chen joined Lin Biao and the majority of CCP leaders in proposing that the PRC state presidency be restored. He was also involved in a strategic move, with the full support of the veteran leaders attending the plenum, against Zhang Chunqiao. Opposing both moves, Mao wrote a short piece entitled “Some Views of Mine” in which he singled out Chen Boda as the leader creating chaos. Chen was dismissed from office and was imprisoned. After Lin Biao’s downfall, the CCP leadership denounced Chen Boda as a leader in the “Lin-Chen Anti-Party Clique.” The CCP’s investigation report dated 2 July 1972, named Chen a “Kuomintang anti-Communist, Trotskyite, renegade, spy, and revisionist,” most of which turned out to be baseless charges. In 1980, Chen was tried as one of the leading
members of the “Lin Biao, Jiang Qing Counterrevolutionary Clique” and was sentenced to 18 years in prison. Chen was released in October 1988 for health reasons and died on 20 September 1989.

**CHEN ERJIN (1945– ).** A worker in Xuanwei, Yunnan Province, Chen read a great deal of political literature, particularly works by Marx, Engels, Lenin, and Stalin, and wrote between 1974 and 1976 a long treatise entitled *On Proletarian Democratic Revolution*, advocating a democratic reform of the socialist system. Adhering to what he believed to be true Marxist principles and borrowing much from what he understood as the American political system, Chen argued for a communist two-party system with a separation of legislative, executive, and judicial powers. Although he quotes Mao Zedong frequently, his essay seems to imply strong criticism of personality cult, persecution, and the authorities’ abuse of power during the Cultural Revolution: “No criticism of a president should constitute a crime,” Chen wrote, “much less for anyone to be brutally executed for saying no to a president.” According to his proposal, an elected president should serve for only four years, and there should be no prayer of “long live” for any president. Chen also proposed that systems of legal counsel, people’s jury, and public trial be implemented, and that secret trial be abolished. He called for recognition of personal liberty and human rights under a proletarian dictatorship.

Chen’s treatise was widely circulated among underground reading groups toward the end of the Cultural Revolution. After the Cultural Revolution, it was carried in the underground democratic journal *Forum of April 5* in June 1979 and was published in English translation as *China: Crossroads Socialism* in 1984. Chen has resided in Thailand since 2000.

**CHEN LINING (1933– ).** A low-level party functionary at the Xiangtan city government, Hunan Province, and a mental patient with a political paranoia about President Liu Shaoqi, Chen emerged in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution as a new star known as “a madman in a new era,” a name echoing Lu Xun’s well-known story “A Madman’s Diary.”

While still a young clerk in 1957, Chen began to worry about Liu Shaoqi’s opposition to Chairman Mao Zedong although he did not have any evidence. The ultraleftist theory of class struggle that Mao
put forth in 1960s, however, intensified Chen’s suspicion of Liu. From 1962 to 1964, Chen sent about 30 letters to Mao Zedong, Lin Biao, Zhou Enlai, Chen Boda, Kang Sheng, and party newspapers in which he raved against Liu’s speeches and works, including his well-known *Cultivation of a Communist*. At first, the local government treated Chen as a lunatic and sent him to a psychiatric hospital three times. As soon as he was out of the hospital, however, Chen began to send letters to other leaders of the CCP Central Committee. In 1965, he was finally arrested by the authorities on a counterrevolutionary charge.

When the Cultural Revolution broke out, Chen was in Beijing’s Anding Psychiatric Hospital for a check of his mental state. During this period, he wrote several letters to the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) to appeal his case. Some of these letters were totally illogical, while others were lucid. When Liu Shaoqi clearly became the main target of the Cultural Revolution, some psychiatrists of the rebel faction in the hospital began to see Chen not as a madman but as a political prisoner. With much help from their allies at Tsinghua University, they managed to send the CCRSG a proposal for rehabilitating Chen. On 7 January 1967, Wang Li and Qi Benyu, both members of the CCRSG, went to the hospital to meet Chen, his psychiatrists, and the Red Guards from a number of colleges working on his rehabilitation. Wang and Qi regarded Chen as a victim of persecution by Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping; they redressed his case and called him “a new madman in the socialist revolution era.” On 17 January, the major Red Guard newspaper *Capital Red Guards* published a four-page report on Chen’s heroic deeds. The report claims that Mao also spoke well of Chen’s act upon reading a note from Jiang Qing. Chen thus burst onto the political stage of the Cultural Revolution and began to give speeches everywhere about his battles against Liu Shaoqi. And two stage adaptations of his story, *Dairy of a Madman* and *A Madman in the New Era*, were soon produced.

After Mao decided to remove Wang Li in August 1967, however, the debate about Chen’s case resumed in Anding Hospital, and Chen became a pawn of the two rival mass organizations there and a hindrance to a grand alliance that Mao had been calling for. The one faction of psychiatrists somehow managed to present enough evidence—contrary to the earlier diagnosis of their rivals—to show that Chen was indeed a mental patient and that he even criticized Mao’s works. On 21 October 1967, Xie Fuzhi, minister of public security, finally pronounced Chen a madman, but Xie also said that further
investigation was still needed because Chen was right to attack Liu Shaoqi but wrong to oppose Chairman Mao. On the same day, Chen was arrested again by the security forces and disappeared from China’s political scene.

CHEN SHAOMIN (1902–1977). A veteran communist, Chen was the only member of the CCP Central Committee (CC) who refused to support the party’s resolution to denounce and expel President Liu Shaoqi in 1968.

Formerly named Sun Zhaoxiu, Chen was a native of Shouguang County, Shandong Province. She joined the CCP in 1928 and soon distinguished herself as a leader of women’s and labor movements and was later a high-level political commissar in the communist army. In 1945, she became an alternate member of the CC. Chen led the Textile Workers’ Union after 1949 and became deputy chair of the All-China Federation of Trade Unions in 1957. She gained full membership of the CC in 1956 and became a member of the Standing Committee of the National People’s Congress in 1965.

When a discussion session was held concerning the “Investigative Report on the Crimes of the Traitor, Spy, and Renegade Liu Shaoqi” during the Twelfth Plenum of the Eighth CCP Central Committee (13–30 October 1968), Chen resorted to silence as a way of expressing her disagreement with the fabricated charges against Liu and was therefore criticized by other attendants. An official briefing of the 23 October meeting accused Chen of not drawing a clear line between herself and Liu Shaoqi and of opposing the party’s decision. When votes were taken concerning the adoption of the anti-Liu report and the resolution to expel Liu permanently from the CCP, Chen alone did not raise her hand. Later, in response to the reproach by Kang Sheng who had also tried to force her to go along with the majority before the voting session, Chen answered: “That was my right [to vote in favor of Liu].” Chen was soon sent to the Henan countryside for reeducation, where the harsh living conditions and the lack of proper medical care made her already poor health deteriorate rapidly. Chen died on 14 December 1977. Ironically, however, the official obituary honored her as “a proletarian revolutionary who firmly opposed Liu Shaoqi and his bourgeois reactionary line.”

CHEN XILIAN (1915–1999). A native of Huang’an, Hubei Province, and a member of the CCP from 1930, Chen was a veteran Red Army
soldier and a well-known military general. He served as commander of the Shenyang Military Region from 1959 to 1973, became chairman of the revolutionary committee of Liaoning Province in 1968, and was elected to the Politburo of the CCP 9th, 10th, and 11th Central Committees. Chen was transferred to Beijing in late 1973 and became commander of the Beijing Military Region. He was also made vice-premier of the State Council in January 1975 and an executive member of the Central Military Commission (CMC) in February 1975. Politically Chen stayed rather close to the ultraleftist faction of the CCP leadership, which led to his appointment in February 1976 as the person in charge of the day-to-day work of the CMC, replacing Marshal Ye Jianying. In February 1980, Chen resigned from all his responsible positions. In the remainder of his life, Chen was given a number of honorary titles, including executive member of the CCP Central Advisory Commission.

CHEN YI (1901–1972). A senior leader of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP), Chen Yi played a significant role in the CCP’s internal politics as well as its battle against the Kuomintang before 1949 and in the foreign affairs of the People’s Republic of China (PRC) after 1958. In the Cultural Revolution, Chen was one of the veteran officials involved in the 1967 February Adverse Current.

Born in Lezhi County, Sichuan Province, Chen initially became engaged in the communist movement while studying in France from 1919 to 1921. He joined the CCP in 1923. A veteran of the Northern Expedition and a leader of the 1927 Nanchang Uprising, he served in such prominent military posts as party secretary of the front committee of the Fourth Red Army (1930s), commander of the New Fourth Army in the war of resistance against Japan, and commander of the Third Field Army of the People’s Liberation Army (1947–1948). After the founding of the PRC, Chen served as mayor of Shanghai (1949–58), minister of foreign affairs and vice-premier of the State Council (1958–1972), and vice-chairman of the Central Military Commission (1961–1972). In 1955, he was named one of the 10 marshals of the PRC. Chen was elected to the Seventh Central Committee of the CCP in 1945 and became a member of the Politburo in 1956.

At the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966, Chen supported Mao’s decision to purge the so-called Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique. He also joined a number of ranking officials
in attacking Marshal Zhu De for his alleged ambition to surpass Mao and attempt a coup. As the Cultural Revolution continued to unfold, Chen himself became a major target of the mass movement manipulated by members of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) who wanted to take over foreign affairs. In February 1967, Chen, along with Tan Zhenlin, Xu Xiangqian, and a few other senior party and military leaders, sharply criticized the radicals of the CCRSG at a top-level meeting in Zhongnanhai. The outburst of their anti-Cultural Revolution sentiment was denounced by Mao as a February Adverse Current. Mao approved struggles against Chen by the masses but protected him from further humiliation and removal. Chen retained his seat in the Central Committee at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP (1–24 April 1969) because Mao, apparently in an attempt to balance factional power in the central leadership, called for all delegates to vote for him as a representative of the “Right side” of the party. But Chen was nevertheless removed from actual power and sent to a factory for reeducation. At Mao’s request, he held a symposium in 1969 on the international situation with Ye Jiangying and other marshals and made a suggestion that China establish diplomatic relations with the United States.

After Lin Biao’s demise in 1971, Mao began to seek support from the “old government” faction of the central leadership and sent friendly signals to Chen and other senior party and military leaders. However, Chen was already gravely ill; he died on 6 January 1972. Two days later, Mao, to everyone’s surprise, made a last-minute decision to attend Chen’s memorial service, at which he spoke of Chen as “a good man and a good comrade.”

CHEN YONGGUI (1914–1986). Born in Xiyang County, Shanxi Province, Chen joined the CCP in 1948. A hardworking farmer and party secretary of Xiyang’s Dazhai village and later Dazhai production brigade, Chen contributed much to the transformation of his poor home village into a highly productive farming community. While Chen Yonggui earned numerous medals as a model worker, Mao Zedong’s words, “In agriculture, learn from Dazhai,” made Dazhai the nation’s best known village. Chen also became a positive example in Mao’s belittlement of intellectuals in favor of the “uncouth” (dalaocu) in the mid-1960s. During the Cultural Revolution, Chen was promoted as an “uncouth” leader and the peasant element in
the leadership: he became chairman of the revolutionary committee of Xiyang County in 1967, vice-chairman of the revolutionary committee of Shanxi Province in 1969, secretary of the CCP Shanxi provincial committee in 1971, and vice-premier of the State Council in 1975. He was elected to the CCP 9th, 10th, and 11th Central Committees and the CCP 10th and 11th Central Committee Politburos. After the Cultural Revolution, Chen’s essentially ceremonial position in the party central leadership declined: his vice-premiership ended in 1980, and in 1983, he was appointed advisor of the Dongjiao State Farm east of the city of Beijing. He died on 26 March 1986.

**CHEN ZAIDAO (1909–1993).** A native of Macheng, Hubei Province, a member of the CCP from 1928, and commander of the Wuhan Military Region from 1955 to 1967, General Chen was accused by Lin Biao and Jiang Qing of a mutiny because of his support for the mass organization Million-Strong Mighty Army when factional battles started in Hubei in the spring and summer of 1967. Dismissed from office on 27 July 1967, he was seen as the most prominent of “that small handful (of capitalist-roaders) within the armed forces.” After the downfall of Lin Biao, Chen was appointed to various positions including deputy commander of the Fuzhou Military Region, CMC adviser, and member of the CCP Advisory Committee. He was also a member of the Executive Committee of the Fifth People’s National Congress and a member of the Eleventh Central Committee of the CCP. See also JULY 20 INCIDENT.

**CHI QUN (1932– ).** A native of Rushan, Shandong Province, Chi was deputy chief of the propaganda section of the CCP central leaders’ security troop unit 8341. In July 1968, Chi left Zhongnanhai, the CCP Central Committee headquarters, for the prestigious Tsinghua University as a member of the PLA propaganda team. By the time he became party secretary and revolutionary committee head of Tsinghua University, a close alliance had been formed between him and Xie Jingyi, a member of the workers propaganda team and deputy head of the Tsinghua revolutionary committee. Chi and Xie, both closely associated with the Jiang Qing group, became the two most powerful officials at Tsinghua and remained as such—and the two were almost always mentioned together—until their arrest after Mao Zedong’s death in 1976.
Together, they turned both Tsinghua University and Peking University into models of repression and strongholds of ultraleftism. During the Rectify the Class Ranks campaign, 1,228 of Tsinghua’s 6,000 staff members were suspected of being class enemies and were investigated. In the fall of 1973, Chi and Xie started a campaign at Tsinghua against what they considered the rightwing resurgence in education; hundreds of people were implicated. In January 1974, Chi and Xie led the effort at the two universities to compile the material for the nationwide Criticize Lin and Criticize Confucius campaign. Also in January 1974, they followed Jiang Qing’s orders and investigated a student suicide case in Mazhenfu middle school, Henan Province. Their investigation report led to widespread persecution of school teachers and school officials nationwide. In March 1974, they put together a writing team called the Peking University and Tsinghua University Great Criticism Group, better known by its penname Liang Xiao (a homophonic reference to “two schools”).

Following directions from Jiang Qing and her faction via Chi and Xie, this writing group published dozens of articles between 1974 and 1976, making insinuations against Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping. In late 1975, Mao spoke in favor of Chi and Xie in his comments on a letter from Liu Bing and three other veteran cadres at Tsinghua that criticizes Chi and Xie. Following Mao’s comments, Chi and Xie led a “great debate on the revolution in education” on the Tsinghua campus, directly attacking Minister of Education Zhou Rongxin and implicitly aiming at Deng Xiaoping. This “debate” turned out to be the prelude to the nationwide anti-Deng campaign known as Counter-attack the Right-Deviationist Reversal-of-Verdicts Trend. After Mao’s death in September 1976, Chi and Xie urged staff and students at Tsinghua University and Peking University to pledge loyalty to Jiang Qing in writing. In October, within a month of Mao’s death, however, they were arrested with the Gang of Four. The verdict was announced officially in November 1983 that Chi Qin was sentenced to 18 years in prison.

CHINA’S KHRUSHCHEV. Along with the “biggest capitalist-roader within the party,” this was a reference to President Liu Shaoqi during the Cultural Revolution. He was labeled as such in official media in 1967 and 1968, but his name was not mentioned until after the
Twelfth Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee (13–31 October 1968).

CHINA–SOVIET DEBATE. A significant prelude to the Cultural Revolution, the heated ideological battle between the world’s two largest communist parties broke out in 1963. Although the conflict between the People’s Republic of China (PRC) and the Soviet Union began in 1956 when General Secretary Nikita Khrushchev delivered a destalinization speech at the 20th Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, the differences between the two parties were not publicized until 4 April 1963 when the People’s Daily, following directions from Mao Zedong and the Standing Committee of the CCP Politburo, published the letter of 30 March from the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union to the Central Committee of the CCP. Then the CCP sent out a carefully composed letter of dispute in mid-June, while the Soviet leadership issued “An Open Letter to Soviet Party Organizations at All Levels and to All Party Members” on 14 July criticizing China. The CCP’s response to this letter and to the subsequent Soviet propaganda campaign took the form of a series of polemics, commonly known as the “nine commentaries” (jiuping), published between September 1963 and July 1964, in the name of the editorial departments of the CCP official organs People’s Daily and Red Flag. The authors of these articles included Chen Boda, Peng Zhen, and Wang Li. Mao Zedong also contributed to the second and ninth commentaries. Deng Xiaoping was in charge of the entire project.

These articles criticized the Soviet leadership for creating a split in the international communist movement and promoting revisionism, a deviation from the “basic principles of Marxism and its universal truths.” Major issues of difference between the two communist parties as laid out in the nine commentaries included the following: the post-Stalin Soviet leadership had imposed on the international communist movement a policy of “peaceful coexistence” of socialist and capitalist states which was based on the belief that socialism would eventually win the battle against capitalism worldwide by its higher productivity in a “peaceful competition” in the economy; China, on the other hand, supported a more radical, and supposedly Marxist, “united front” and a violent proletarian revolution against colonialism and imperialism. The Soviets proposed an “all-people party”
and “all-people state” against Stalin’s proletarian state, whereas the Chinese charged Khrushchev and his comrades with not only abandoning the Leninist proletarian dictatorship but also denying and covering up the class conflicts in the Soviet Union between the working people and a new breed of bourgeoisie consisting of privileged bureaucrats and technocrats. And finally, the Soviets and the Chinese were sharply divided over the issue of Joseph Stalin: Khrushchev called Stalin a dictator and a tyrant and accused him of promoting a personality cult and violating socialist legality, while the CCP leadership considered Stalin to be a great proletarian revolutionary on the world stage who made some mistakes but nevertheless upheld the revolutionary course of Marxism developed and defended by Vladimir Ilyich Lenin; therefore, destalinization in the home of the October Revolution meant betraying the Marxist-Leninist legacy in the Soviet Union as well as reversing the rightful course of the international communist movement at large.

The political thaw in the post-Stalin Soviet Union alerted the CCP leaders, especially Mao Zedong, to the danger of a capitalist restoration after the communists took power. The last of the nine commentaries, which includes Mao’s own writing, concludes on a note about the historical lesson of the proletarian dictatorship: Not hostile forces from the outside but political deterioration and moral corruption of the party and state leadership itself pose the greatest threat to a socialist state. As Stalin should have done but failed to do, the Chinese communists must “watch out for careerists and conspirators like Khrushchev and prevent such bad elements from usurping the leadership of the party and the state at any level.” In order to prevent Khrushchev’s revisionism from being reenacted in China and to dash the “hopes of the imperialist prophets for China’s ‘peaceful evolution’” into capitalism, Mao writes, “we must everywhere give constant attention to the training and upbringing of successors to the revolutionary cause from our highest organizations down to the grass-roots.”

Mao’s contribution to the ninth commentary was to be chosen as the longest passage in the Quotations from Chairman Mao and studied as a keynote of his theory of continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat. Its vocabulary was to become standard during the Cultural Revolution, a political movement to mobilize and educate the masses on the grassroots level in the course of class struggle against “China’s Khrushchevs,” the “capitalist-roaders.”
and the “bourgeoisie within the Party,” so that socialist China, unlike the Soviet Union, would never change its color.

**CHINESE COMMUNIST PARTY (MARXIST-LENINIST) CASE.**

This was a fabricated case against dozens of top-ranking CCP leaders. In December 1968, four public security officers, following the instructions of Xie Fuzhi, extorted from Zhou Ci’ao, an assistant research fellow at the Economics Institute of the Chinese Science Academy and a suspect of the May 16 Counterrevolutionary Clique, a wild tale about a Soviet-backed and coup-minded party within the CCP. According to the confession Zhou gave under torture, Zhu De was general secretary of this so-called Chinese Communist Party (Marxist-Leninist), and Chen Yi was deputy general secretary and minister of defense. The party’s nine-member standing committee included, among others, Li Fuchun, Xu Xiangqian, Ye Jianying, and He Long. A congress was said to have been held secretly in July 1967. As planned by an “uprising operation committee,” General Chen Zaidao was to lead his troops to capture the city of Wuhan, while a telegraph was said to have been prepared by military marshals to seek cooperation with Chiang Kai-shek. Apparently with the backing of the ultraleftist faction of the CCP leadership, Xie Fuzhi was still able to press aggressively for further investigation of the veteran leaders even after they were elected to the Ninth National Congress of the CCP in April 1969. Because no trace of evidence was found to support Zhou Ci’ao’s forced confession, the case of the Chinese Communist Party (Marxist-Leninist) finally came to an end around August 1969 without an official conclusion.

**CIRCULAR OF THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE CONCERNING THE QUESTION OF “FERRETING OUT TRAITORS.”** A party central document (zhongfa [1967] 200) issued on 28 June 1967, the circular contains guidelines and regulations regarding the widespread activities taken by mass organizations to “ferret out traitors.” Three months before, on 16 March 1967, the CCP Central Committee (CC) had issued the “Instructions on Materials Concerning Such Self-Confessing Traitors as Bo Yibo, Liu Lantao, An Ziwen, and Yang Xianzhen” to publicize the falsified case of the so-called Sixty-One Traitors Clique, which was a major step Mao authorized to bring down Liu Shaoqi. The document inspired mass organizations to
launch a political campaign to “ferret out hidden traitors.” The movement quickly turned into chaos: many veteran revolutionaries were denounced as traitors without real evidence, and in some places the campaign became a fierce factional battle.

In order to keep these activities in check, the CC issued the 28 June circular that specifies five rules: solid evidence is required for any conclusion on a “traitor”; investigation of betrayal should be focused on capitalist-roaders within the party; distinction must be made between a cadre with some ordinary problems in the past and a real traitor or a spy; mass organizations are not to engage in factional battles in the name of “ferreting out traitors”; no mass organization should attack another because the latter is investigating traitors or spies from within. But, due to the influence of the earlier document, the circular had a limited effect in regulating the mass organizations’ actions, and numerous falsified cases resulted in an environment of political witch-hunting.

CLASS LINE. The phrase refers to the CCP’s class policy: in judging a person, consider the person’s family background but not family background alone; give more attention to the person’s political performance. This class policy gave rise to widespread political discrimination against and persecution of people from the families of the so-called Black Seven Categories during the Cultural Revolution, especially in its early stages. Based on this policy, early Red Guard organizations admitted only those from the families of the “Red Five Categories.” See also BLOOD LINEAGE THEORY; “ON FAMILY BACKGROUND.”

CLASS STRUGGLE. The classical Marxian concept of class struggle—the economically based conflict between a ruling, exploiting class of those who own the means of production and an exploited class of laborers—was much revised by Mao Zedong in his theory of continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat to mean the conflict in Chinese society, especially in the CCP and in cultural/ideological spheres, between the revolutionary proletariat and those who represented the reactionary bourgeoisie. In 1956, after the completion of the socialist transformation of the nation’s economic structure, eliminating private ownership, Mao temporarily entertained the idea that the “conflict among people” was beginning to outweigh
the conflict between mutually antagonistic classes in socialist China. But, under much pressure from within the party leadership after the failure of his radical policies of the Great Leap Forward, Mao, in the early 1960s, warned “never to forget class struggle,” which turned out to be an early signal of the Cultural Revolution.

During the China-Soviet Debate and the Socialist Education Movement in the first half of the 1960s, Mao came to the conclusion that class struggle existed and would continue to exist for a long time to come after the proletarian class took power and that the gravest danger of a capitalist restoration lay within the ruling communist party in which a new bureaucratic class representing the bourgeoisie was formed and was ready to direct the socialist country to capitalism by way of peaceful evolution. Based on such judgments, Mao decided that carrying out class struggle against “capitalist-roaders” within the party (literally, “those in power within the party who take the capitalist road”) in nationwide political campaigns, such as the Socialist Education Movement and the Cultural Revolution, was necessary to ensure that China’s political power remain in the hands of proletarian revolutionaries. In addition, Mao believed in carrying out class struggle in ideological spheres—that is, waging wars against what he considered to be nonproletarian culture and habits of mind—so as to revolutionize the consciousness of the masses; hence the revolution of 1966–1976 was called a cultural revolution.

During the Cultural Revolution, Mao’s reformulation of class struggle served to justify the persecution of the majority of party leaders, and tens of millions of ordinary citizens, especially those of the so-called Black Seven Categories and their children, who were, in many cases, also treated as class enemies. According to an estimate by the post-Mao leadership, persecution in the name of class struggle during the Cultural Revolution affected one-eighth of China’s entire population.

COLLAR LIU BATTLEFRONT (jiu Liu huoxian). A short form for “The Liaison Station of the Battlefront for the Capital Proletarian Revolutionaries to Collar, Struggle, and Criticize Liu Shaoqi.” The “Battlefront” was a coalition of college Red Guard organizations formed in July 1967 for the sole purpose of removing President Liu Shaoqi from Zhongnanhai—the resident compound for CCP top leaders in the heart of Beijing—to face criticism from the masses.
In August 1966, to experience the mass movement firsthand, Liu, accompanied by the newly appointed first secretary of the Beijing municipal party committee Li Xuefeng, went to the Beijing Institute of Architectural Engineering and met with students from two rival Red Guard organizations at the Institute. As the Cultural Revolution continued to unfold, it became increasingly clear to the public that Liu was on the wrong side of the movement. The rebels of the Institute, then, began to denounce Liu’s visit as an attempt to obstruct the mass movement. They wrote Liu several times, demanding that he talk to the masses at the Institute and openly acknowledge his guilt. This was a prelude to the later organized effort to “collar” Liu.

On 5 January 1967, Liu wrote Chairman Mao Zedong for instructions as to how to respond to the rebels’ demands. Mao forwarded Liu’s letter to Premier Zhou Enlai on the next day with a note suggesting not going. The rebels’ demand was then put off after Zhou talked to them on 7 January. As a new round of criticism of Liu surged in April 1967, however, the demand from the rebels resurfaced. On 9 July, Liu, following orders from the central leadership, submitted a self-criticism report to the rebels at the Beijing Institute of Architectural Engineering. Dismissing the report as Liu’s “manifesto of retaliation” and “a big poisonous arrow” aimed at Mao’s revolutionary line, the rebels began to camp around the west gate of Zhongnanhai and set up their “Collar Liu Frontline Command Post” there, demanding from Liu not only a guilty plea but also that he remove himself from Zhongnanhai. On 18 July, some campers declared that they were starting a hunger strike. With support from student organizations at other schools and from members of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), a “Collar Liu” mass rally was held at the west gate that evening, drawing a crowd of several hundred thousand representing more than a hundred mass organizations. A large coalition of “Collar Liu Battlefront” was then formed.

When the word of the mass rally, the hunger strike, and the “Battlefront” spread, many mass organizations outside Beijing sent their representatives to join the effort. Hundreds of camps were set up outside Zhongnanhai. More than a hundred loudspeakers were blasting the demand of the “Battlefront” and slogans against Liu from dawn till after midnight, and time and again the campers attempted to storm in from each of the five gates of Zhongnanhai to haul Liu out. The CCRSG lent its support by having medical teams dispatched to
tend the campers. In the meantime, the attack on Liu Shaoqi and his family escalated within the compound. Several struggle meetings against Liu and his wife were held by the rebels within Zhongnanhai. Physical abuse intensified at these meetings, and Liu’s residence was ransacked. Finally, Liu, his wife, and their children were separated and put under surveillance. The “Collar Liu Battlefront” lasted for more than a month while Mao Zedong was on an inspection tour of three regions. It was only by Mao’s directive that the crowd outside of Zhongnanhai withdrew in August. Liu, however, never regained his freedom or had a chance to see his family. The humiliation and brutal abuse of Liu continued until his death on 12 November 1969.

**COMRADE CHIANG CH’ING.** A biography of Jiang Qing written by Roxane Witke and published by Little, Brown and Company in 1977 in the United States. This book was the outcome of a series of interviews Witke had with Jiang Qing in 1972 when the former, an assistant professor of history at the State University of New York at Binghamton, was visiting China as a member of a delegation of American women.

The original goal of the delegation’s visit was to gather information and conduct interviews on Chinese women’s liberation. After Witke expressed her desire to meet Jiang Qing, Zhou Enlai instructed that if Jiang agreed to meet with Witke, “they could talk for an hour or two.…. But it’s all right also if Jiang does not want to meet her.” Jiang, on the other hand, not only met Witke in Beijing on 12 August 1972 but also managed to have a long series of interviews with Witke in Guangzhou from 25 to 31 August. During the weeklong private interviews—some 60 hours in all—Jiang told Witke about her personal life and expressed her wish for a biography to be published overseas that was comparable to Red Star over China, a story of Mao Zedong by Edgar Snow. The result was Witke’s five-part story of Jiang Qing, detailing Jiang’s impoverished, violence-filled childhood, her acting career in the cosmopolitan city of Shanghai, her first meeting with and later marriage to Mao in Yan’an, her increasingly active engagement in culture and arts, and her emergence as a nationally recognized leader during the Cultural Revolution.

In 1975, when the conflict between the Jiang Qing group and the more moderate and more pragmatic faction of the party central leadership intensified and when the Jiang group became increasingly
unpopular among ordinary Chinese citizens, the leak of the Jiang-Witke interview caused a scandal. A popular political rumor spread across China that Witke’s *Comrade Chiang Ch’ing* was translated into Chinese with the title *Queen of the Red Capital*, and the book caused an angry response from Mao: “[Jiang Qing is so] ignorant and ill informed. Drive her out of the Politburo immediately. We shall separate and go our different ways.” Premier Zhou Enlai ordered the PRC delegation in the United Nations to purchase the copyright of *Comrade Chiang Ch’ing* to prevent it from further distribution. In fact, *Comrade Chiang Ch’ing* was neither published in 1975 nor translated into Chinese. The Chinese *Queen of the Red Capital* came out of Hong Kong and had nothing to do with Witke’s yet-to-be-completed book.

After the downfall of the Gang of Four, Jiang Qing’s self-exposure was listed as a crime. On 23 September 1977, the CCP Central Committee issued part three of the collected material denouncing the Gang of Four. In this document, Jiang Qing’s 1972 interviews with Witke, Witke’s newly published book on Jiang, and the historical military maps that Jiang had given Witke were mentioned together as evidence of Jiang Qing’s “betrayal of the state in pursuit of personal fame.”

**CONFUCIANISM VERSUS LEGALISM (ru fa douzheng).** An observation by Chairman Mao Zedong on political conflicts in the early stages of Chinese history, the idea of the “struggle between Confucianism and Legalism” became in late 1973 and early 1974 the focus of a propaganda campaign criticizing the allegedly Confucius-worshiping Lin Biao. The real, though unnamed, target of the campaign was Zhou Enlai, whose moderate and pragmatic approach to state affairs was perceived by Mao and the ultraleftist Jiang Qing group to be a main obstacle to a full realization of Mao’s radical Cultural Revolution program.

Confucianism and Legalism were two among many competing schools of thought in pre-Qin China. Mao’s view of the conflict between the two as the major political conflict of the ruling class in feudalist China, however, accorded Legalism an unprecedented high status. Concerned with the politics of his own day rather than what happened in the remote past, Mao seemed to be reacting to the report that quotations of Confucius were found in Lin Biao’s residence and
that in the “571 Project” Summary, allegedly a blueprint for Lin’s armed coup, Mao was called the present-day Qin Shihuang (the First Emperor of Qin, or the First Emperor of China, known as a tyrant embracing Legalism and persecuting Confucian scholars to consolidate his power). Mao’s high opinion of the Qin Emperor and Legalists and his dislike of Confucius became known from a poem he wrote to Guo Moruo, which was widely circulated at the time. On 4 July 1973, during a conversation with Zhang Chunqiao and Wang Hongwen, Mao made harsh comments on the work of foreign affairs directed by Premier Zhou Enlai and mentioned “criticizing Confucius” as well.

With Mao’s approval, an article entitled “Confucius: A Thinker Who Stubbornly Defended the Slave-Owning System,” by Professor Yang Rongguo of Zhongshan University, appeared in People’s Daily on 7 August 1973. On 4 September, Beijing Daily carried the article “Confucius and Reactionary Confucianism” by the Peking University and Tsinghua University Great Criticism Group, a major writing team in the service of Jiang Qing and her faction. The Beijing Daily article inaugurated a series of publications, both in essay and in book form, examining cases of the Confucian-Legalist conflict in Chinese history but implicitly attacking Zhou Enlai. The tactic of launching an attack by innuendo and insinuation was known later as “allusory historiography.” In addition to this high-powered media offensive, the discussion of Confucianism and Legalism also took the form of lectures and study sessions among grassroots units though the masses were largely unaware of the loaded present-day references of the historical subject. See also CRITICIZE LIN AND CRITICIZE CONFUCIUS.

CONSERVATIVES (baoshoupai). A counterreference to rebels (zaofanpai), this term was used to identify negatively the mass faction that stood by party officials (the so-called capitalist-roaders) and was supported by the work groups in the beginning stage of the Cultural Revolution. Originally, the official label for this faction, as it was referred to in the 5 June 1966 People’s Daily editorial “Becoming Proletarian Revolutionaries or Bourgeois Royalists,” was the blatantly pejorative “royalists” (baohuangpai). After Premier Zhou Enlai dismissed the term as inappropriate in a public speech on 10 September 1966, “conservatives” became its replacement but still retained its negative tone and negative connotations. Compared to the...
rebel faction, the conservative camp had a significantly larger number of people who were closely associated with the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and had a vested interest in the current social and political system—members of the CCP and the Communist Youth League, members of the armed militia, students from families of the Red Five Categories, and so on—although they never called themselves “conservatives.”

Because of Mao’s support of the rebels, the conservative faction tumbled during the campaign to criticize the bourgeoisie reactionary line (1966), so much so that during the nationwide power seizure campaign (1967), competing rebel factions all dismissed their rivals as “conservatives.” By then a large number of conservatives had already joined moderate rebel organizations, and it was in the name of rebellion that their conflict with radical rebels continued during the nationwide armed conflict in 1967 and 1968. These moderate rebels were sometimes referred to as “new conservatives.” See also BYSTANDERS.

CONTINUING REVOLUTION UNDER THE DICTATORSHIP OF THE PROLETARIAT. Also known as the theory of uninterrupted revolution, this is a major theory Mao Zedong developed in his later years concerning the course of revolution under socialism. The Cultural Revolution may be considered as an experiment or implementation of this theory. Hailed as Mao’s greatest contribution to Marxism-Leninism during the Cultural Revolution, the theory was written into the party constitution twice (1969 and 1973) and the state constitution once (1975) as the “third great landmark in the development of Marxism” and the key to “resolving all conflicts and contradictions under socialism,” especially to preventing a “capitalist restoration.”

Important elements of this theory began to appear in Mao’s political thinking after 1956, the year of the 20th Soviet Congress at which General Secretary Nikita Khrushchev criticized Joseph Stalin. Considering the Soviet destalinization to be a clear signal of departure from socialism and regression to capitalism, Mao began to study the cause of this backward turn under socialism and to search for ways of preventing such a regression from happening in China. During the Anti-Rightist campaign of 1957, he considered people’s political attitudes (rather than just their socio-economic status) to be one of the defining
features of their class identity. In the early 1960s, he called his colleagues’ attention to the ongoing class struggle in a socialist society. He developed the concept of an emerging bureaucratic class in 1964 and coined the term “capitalist-roaders” within the party in 1965. In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, more ideas relevant to the theory—such as Mao’s increasing attention to class struggle in ideological and cultural spheres and the education of the masses through such struggle—appeared in the party documents the May 16 Circular and the Sixteen Articles. However, the systematic formulation of these ideas into a coherent theory did not take place until 1967.

On 18 May 1967, the People’s Daily and the Red Flag carried the joint editorial “A Great Historical Document” written by Wang Li with Mao’s revisions, marking the first anniversary of the passage of the May 16 Circular. This article publishes the phrase “revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat” for the first time and calls Mao’s theory represented by this phrase as “the third great landmark in the development of Marxism.” The term is further developed in a joint National Day (1 October) editorial of the People’s Daily, the Red Flag, and the Liberation Army Daily, as a theory of “continuously conducting revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat.” And, finally, the complete formulation of the theory appears in “March Forward along the Road of the October Socialist Revolution: Commemorating the 50th Anniversary of the Great October Socialist Revolution.” This important theoretical piece was drafted by Chen Boda and Yao Wenyuan, approved by Mao upon review, and published on 6 November 1967, as a joint editorial of the People’s Daily, the Red Flag, and the Liberation Army Daily. This article sums up the theory with six major points:

1. The Marxist-Leninist law of the unity of opposites must be employed in observing a socialist society.

2. During the long historical period of socialism, classes, class contradictions, and class struggle still exist; so do the struggle between the socialist and the capitalist roads and the danger of a capitalist restoration. In order to prevent such a “peaceful evolution” into capitalism, a socialist revolution on the political and ideological fronts must be carried out to the end.

3. Class struggle under the dictatorship of the proletariat is, in essence, still a battle for power. To prevent a bourgeois takeover,
the proletariat must exercise an all-round dictatorship over the bourgeoisie in the superstructure, including all cultural spheres.

4. The struggle between the two classes and the two roads in society will necessarily find expression in the party as well. The handful of those in power within the party who take the capitalist road are simply the bourgeois representatives in the party. To strengthen the dictatorship of the proletariat, we must watch out for the Khrushchevs among us, expose them, and take back the power they had seized.

5. The most important form of continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat is the great proletarian cultural revolution, in which the masses liberate themselves and educate themselves.

6. The fundamental program of the great proletarian cultural revolution in the ideological sphere is “fight selfishness, repudiate revisionism.” This is a great revolution that touches the depths of human consciousness and aims to establish in the people’s mind the world outlook of the proletariat.

The same day as the article appeared, Lin Biao, at a mass rally, spoke of the Cultural Revolution as Mao’s continuing revolution theory in practice, an “indication of enormous significance that Marxism-Leninism, in its developing process, has reached the stage of Mao Zedong Thought.”

At the Sixth Plenum of the CCP Eleventh Central Committee, held in June 1981, the central leadership repudiated Mao’s continuing revolution theory. According to the Resolution on Certain Questions in the History of Our Party since the Founding of the People’s Republic of China adopted at the plenum, Mao’s theory of continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat consists of “erroneous leftist notions” divorced from both Marxist-Leninist theory and Chinese reality. The resolution embraces Mao Zedong Thought as an “integration of the universal principles of Marxism-Leninism with concrete practice of the Chinese revolution” but insists that Mao’s continuing revolution theory is inconsistent with and, therefore, must be thoroughly distinguished from, the system of Mao Zedong Thought.

**COUNTERATTACK (fanji).** Directed by Li Wenhua and produced by Beijing Film Studio in 1976 following orders from Jiang Qing and
Zhang Chunqiao, this was a film intended to be a propaganda piece against Deng Xiaoping during the campaign to counterattack the right-deviationist reversal-of-verdicts trend. Portrayed with striking resemblance to Deng Xiaoping in terms of his political views and political experience, a villainous provincial party chief, after his reinstatement, sets going a trend against the Cultural Revolution. There is also direct mention of Deng’s name and his policies of overall rectification in the film. The piece was hastily put together in six months, and by September 1976 it was ready for internal preview. However, before the film was publicly released, Jiang Qing and her supporters were arrested. The post-Cultural Revolution Chinese government named the film a standard piece of conspiratorial literature.

COUNTERATTACK THE RIGHT-DEVIATIONIST REVERSAL-OF-VERDICTS TREND (fanji youqing fan’anfeng) (1975–77). Launched in November 1975, the campaign against the so-called reversal of verdicts was Mao Zedong’s response to Deng Xiaoping’s tactically anti-Cultural Revolution overall rectification program. The political campaign became more specifically identified as a “Criticize Deng, Counterattack the Right-Deviationist Reversal-of-Verdicts Trend” movement in February 1976, continued briefly under Hua Guofeng after Mao’s death, and officially ended with the full reinstatement of Deng in July 1977.

Mao started the campaign initially in educational circles by writing harsh comments on one of the two letters Liu Bing and three other veteran officials at Tsinghua University had written him in August and October 1975 criticizing Chi Qun and Xie Jingyi, Mao’s trusted PLA propaganda team and workers propaganda team leaders at Tsinghua University. Mao considered the two letters to have represented a widespread anti-Cultural Revolution sentiment, and Deng Xiaoping, who took the letters to Mao, was partial to the authors in Mao’s view. On 20 November, the Politburo met upon Mao’s request to evaluate the Cultural Revolution. Deng disappointed Mao by refusing to take charge of drafting a resolution on the issue. Later in the month, Mao’s comments on the letters by Liu Bing and his colleagues were presented to ranking officials in the form of briefing at a meeting organized by the Politburo, and the phrase “a trend of right-deviationist reversal of verdicts” was mentioned for the first time. Implicated in this trend if not yet identified with it, Deng’s rectification program was forced to end.
On 1 December, the party organ the Red Flag carried an article entitled “The Direction of the Revolution in Education Cannot Be Altered” by the Great Criticism Group of Peking University and Tsinghua University. The publication of this article marked the beginning of a massive propaganda campaign against Deng Xiaoping and, implicitly, Deng’s strongest supporter Premier Zhou Enlai. On 25 February 1976, a provincial leaders’ meeting was called by the central leadership, at which Hua Guofeng, who was made acting premier after Zhou Enlai’s death, said that Deng could be criticized by name. Also at this meeting, Mao’s order to “criticize Deng, counterattack the right-deviationist reversal-of-verdicts trend” was presented. Mao’s words were said to be gathered by Mao Yuanxin, the chairman’s liaison at the Politburo. Mao allegedly said that the bourgeoisie was within the party, that capitalist-roaders were still on the road, that some veteran cadres were discontent and would settle scores with the Cultural Revolution, and that Deng Xiaoping did not care for class struggle. In the ongoing media campaign, Deng was referred to as “the biggest unrepentant capitalist-roader within the party.” On 7 April, the Politburo passed a resolution at Mao’s request, dismissing Deng from office and implicating him with the Tiananmen Incident of 5 April—a historical event at the time of the Qingming Festival, in which millions of people came to Tiananmen Square to commemorate the late Premier Zhou Enlai and protest against the Jiang Qing group.

After the downfall of the Gang of Four in October 1976, Hua Guofeng, Mao’s hand-picked successor, insisted on a policy called “two whatever’s” (supporting whatever decisions Mao had made and following whatever instructions Mao had given) and decided to continue with the campaign against Deng and against the right-deviationist reversal of verdicts and to defend the Cultural Revolution. Ranking cadres Chen Yun and Wang Zhen, among others, objected to Hua’s decisions on Deng, while Deng himself challenged the idea of “two whatever’s.” Eventually, with broad support from members of the CCP Central Committee, Deng was reinstated in all his party, government, and military positions at the Third Plenum of the Tenth CCP Central Committee in July 1977. See also OVERALL RECTIFICATION.

COUNTERREVOLUTIONARY ARMED REBELLION IN SHANGHAI (1976). This is the official reference to a long- and
ambitiously planned armed resistance in Shanghai in anticipation of the political struggle and leadership change in Beijing after the death of Mao Zedong. Considering Shanghai to be the base of the cultural revolutionaries, members of the Jiang Qing group, Wang Hongwen in particular, paid much attention to building up a strong militia, and even “the second army” (second to the PLA), as Wang put it, in Shanghai. In 1975, Wang demanded that the militia be prepared for a guerilla war. In August 1976, as Mao was dying, 74,000 guns, 300 cannons, and much ammunition were given to the militia troops in Shanghai. However, due to the lack of leadership and the lack of popular support, the ultraleftists’ battle plan to defend their cause was easily foiled by the CCP central leadership after the arrest of the Gang of Four in Beijing on 6 October 1976.

COW-DEMONS AND SNAKE-SPIRITS (niuguisheshen). This is a generic term referring to all citizens denounced as class enemies, including landlords, rich peasants, counterrevolutionaries, “bad elements,” Rightists, capitalists, “black gang” members, “reactionary academic authorities,” traitors, spies, capitalist-roaders, and even the children of the denounced. The term was adopted by the CCP official organ the People’s Daily in its editorial “Sweep Away All Cow-Demons and Snake-Spirits” (1 June 1966). Mao Zedong also used the term in his writing and speeches. The official endorsement helped make “cow-demons and snake-spirits” one of the most popular dehumanizing terms during the Cultural Revolution.

COW SHED (niupeng). This is a common reference to illegal prisons for those denounced as class enemies, or “cow-demons and snake-spirits” (hence the name “cow shed”), during the Cultural Revolution. See also MASS DICTATORSHIP.

CRITICIZE CHEN AND CONDUCT RECTIFICATION (1970–1971). Initiated by Mao Zedong at the Second Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee (23 August–6 September 1970), this political campaign against Chen Boda for his support of Lin Biao in a power intrigue against the Jiang Qing faction at the plenum was also Mao’s strategic move against Lin Biao and his associates. After the downfall of Lin Biao in September 1971, the campaign against Chen became the movement to criticize Lin and conduct rectification in
which the political target, the “Lin Biao Anti-Party Clique,” was also referred to as the “Lin-Chen Anti-Party Clique,” though in official media both campaigns were known as the “Criticize Revisionism and Conduct Rectification” movement until late August 1973 when Lin’s downfall was officially publicized.

At the Second Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee, Chen Boda, with Lin Biao’s approval, compiled a brief collection of quotations called “Engels, Lenin, and Chairman Mao on Genius” and distributed it in the form of a pamphlet to the delegates. The unstated aim of this move was to make insinuations against the radical faction of the central leadership, especially Zhang Chunqiao who had insisted on excluding from the revised Constitution (on the agenda to be discussed at the plenum) the word “genius” and two other modifiers praising Mao’s contribution to Marxism. After hearing the complaint from Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, and Yao Wenyuan, Mao wrote “Some Views of Mine,” accusing Chen Boda of trickery and deceit with a fake Marxist theory on genius, and called on CCP officials to study classic works of Marxism so as not to be deceived by the likes of Chen. In the meantime, Chen was under investigation.

The CCP Central Committee (CC) officially launched the campaign against Chen internally on 16 November 1970 with a document called “Directives Regarding the Anti-Party Question of Chen Boda.” Mao’s “Some Views of Mine” was attached, and a series of quotations on genius that Chen compiled was included in the document as an appendix. This was the beginning of the campaign to criticize Chen and conduct rectification. The campaign continued and deepened as Mao guided it with a series of directives, mostly in the form of comments on the relevant briefings, reports, and self-criticisms of Lin Biao’s associates. The targets of the campaign, theoretically, were Chen’s supposed “productionism” in opposition to the revolutionary line of the Ninth National Congress of the CCP (the charges were based on his conflict with Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan over the drafting of the party’s political report for the meeting), his revisionism or “fake Marxism,” and his divisionism. But the real political aim of the campaign appeared to be, as the process of the campaign itself showed, to implicate Lin Biao’s associates and force them to fall into step with Mao’s ideological and political line. This effort culminated in a two-week “report session” (15–29 April 1971) in Beijing at which central and local cadres gathered to talk about
their experience in exposing and criticizing Chen, discuss written self-criticisms submitted by Lin Biao’s cohorts Huang Yongsheng, Wu Faxian, Ye Qun, Li Zuopeng, and Qiu Huizuo, and study Mao’s critical comments on their self-criticisms. On the last day of this meeting, the CC issued a circular to communicate the Chen Boda issue to all party members, and the campaign reached the grassroots level nationwide.

As Mao summarized after Lin Biao’s downfall, issuing directives, which he called “throwing rocks,” was an approach he adopted to guide the Criticize Chen and Conduct Rectification movement and to undermine Lin Biao’s power. The fall of Chen Boda the “scholar,” as he was often called, turned out to be a prelude to the fall of Lin Biao the commander and his generals. Both, in Mao’s view, were part of the 10th major line struggle in the history of the CCP, which was also a struggle between two headquarters, like the one between Mao and President Liu Shaoqi in the early part of the Cultural Revolution. See also ELIMINATING THE OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL PRESIDENT.

CRITICIZE LIN AND CONDUCT RECTIFICATION (pi lin zheng-feng) (September 1971–August 1973). Referred to in official media as the “Criticize Revisionism and Conduct Rectification” until August 1973 when the Tenth National Congress of the CCP convened to close the case of the “Lin Biao Anti-Party Clique,” the phrase “Criticize Lin and Conduct Rectification” was the name of a political campaign against Lin Biao and his supporters after the September 13 Incident. Also known as part of the 10th line struggle in the history of the CCP, this campaign was a continuation of the Criticize Chen and Conduct Rectification movement that began in August 1970 at the Lushan Conference.

The campaign proceeded first with a series of emergency notices and measures. On 18 September 1971, five days after the fatal crash of the aircraft Trident 256 at Undurkhan, Mongolia, that killed Lin Biao, Ye Qun, their son Lin Liguo, and six others aboard the plane, the CCP Central Committee (CC) issued a circular to ranking cadres concerning Lin Biao’s flight and death, charging Lin with treason and calling on party members to “break clean” with him. On 24 September, the CC ordered Generals Huang Yongsheng, Wu Faxian, Li Zuopeng, and Qiu Huizuo—all implicated in the Lin Biao case—to
leave office and conduct self-examination. On 3 October, the CC disbanded the Lin Biao-controlled Central Military Commission Administrative Group and formed the Central Military Commission Administrative Conference Office in its place, with Marshal Ye Jianying as chair. On the same day, the CC also formed a special case group with Zhou Enlai as director to investigate the problems of the “Lin [Biao]-Chen [Boda] Anti-Party Clique.” On 6 October, the CC issued another circular concerning the alleged coup d’état by Lin Biao and his associates. This document, to be circulated at the county level of party leadership first, also outlined a schedule for gradually releasing the information to grassroots party organizations and the general public. On 24 October, the CC ruled that the information of the Lin Biao affair be communicated to the masses but not be published in newspapers or on radio or in the form of big-character posters or slogans.

On 11 December 1971, 13 January 1972, and 2 July 1972, respectively, the CC authorized the issuance of part one, two, and three of “The Crushing of the Counterrevolutionary Coup of the Lin-Chen Anti-Party Clique,” all of which had been prepared by the special case group. Part one focused on the activities of Lin and company around the time of the 1970 Lushan Conference; part two on the “5-7-1 Project Summary,” said to be Lin’s “program of armed coup”; part three on evidence of the coup attempt itself. On 20 August 1973, four days before the Tenth National Congress of the CCP, the CC approved the special case group’s “Investigative Report on the Counterrevolutionary Crimes of the Lin Biao Anti-Party Clique” and expelled Lin Biao, Chen Boda, Ye Qun, Huang Yongsheng, Wu Faxian, Li Zuopeng, and Qiu Huizuo from the party. The words about Lin Biao as the greatest defender of Mao’s revolutionary line and the statement about Lin as Mao’s successor were deleted in the revised CCP Constitution. The deletion was approved by the Tenth National Congress.

The guideline of the Criticize Lin and Conduct Rectification campaign underwent a considerable revision as the movement proceeded. At first, Zhou Enlai characterized Lin Biao’s tendencies and policies as “ultraleftist.” In so doing, Zhou, who was in charge of the daily affairs of the central government and had full power for some time when Mao Zedong was gravely ill immediately after Lin’s fall, attempted to take advantage of the political situation to reverse the kind of extremism that had dominated Chinese politics, sabotaged
the national economy and caused chaos nationwide since the beginning of the Cultural Revolution. Zhou began to be vocal, as he had not before, about the importance of the nation’s economy, of the skills and expertise of the workforce, of education, and of diplomatic relations. He also advocated “liberating cadres” and “implementing the party’s policies for intellectuals.” In the meantime, Mao reflected upon the Lin Biao affair and began to acknowledge some missteps in the past. He suggested the redressing of the cases of the February Adverse Current and the Yang-Yu-Fu Affair and allowed the practical-minded Deng Xiaoping to return from a virtual exile to Beijing to serve as deputy premier—a move that Zhou supported.

However, Zhou’s measures and ideas against “ultraleftism” met strong resistance from cultural revolutionaries of the Jiang Qing group. They labeled Lin Biao and company as “ultrarightist” and saw Zhou’s slogans and policies as symptoms of a resurgence of right-leaning tendencies. Well aware that Zhou’s attack on ultraleftism might lead to a complete reversal of the course of the Cultural Revolution, Mao supported Jiang Qing and her associates. In his conversation with Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan on 17 December 1972, Mao concurred with their judgment of Lin Biao. In September 1972, Mao transferred 38-year-old Wang Hongwen, Zhang and Yao’s close associate in Shanghai, to Beijing and allowed him to attend top-level meetings of the Politburo, the State Council, and the Central Military Commission. The rapid rise of Wang Hongwen, who was given the honor of delivering the report on the revision of the CCP Constitution at the CCP Tenth National Congress, entered the Politburo, and became vice-chairman of the CCP, signaled that Mao was choosing another successor after his first choice turned out to be disastrous.

As the Criticize Lin and Conduct Rectification movement drew to a close around the CCP Tenth Congress, another campaign, Criticize Lin and Criticize Confucius, was about to begin. This movement, supposedly with Lin Biao as the main target, was implicitly a general offensive against Zhou Enlai for his criticism of ultraleftism and his tactic of revising some of Mao’s radical policies.

CRITICIZE LIN AND CRITICIZE CONFUCIUS. This was a political and ideological campaign that Mao Zedong launched in 1974 supposedly for a dual purpose: first, to link Lin Biao’s ideology to what Mao saw as China’s moralistic, backward-looking, and reactionary legacy, namely Confucianism; second, to defend the Cultural
Revolution against the kind of criticism that in Mao’s view paralleled the Confucian resistance to essentially Legalist social transformations in the early “feudal” period of Chinese history. However, with a strong aversion to Zhou Enlai’s “Confucian” inclination to moderation and realism and in reaction to Zhou’s well-received critique of Lin Biao’s ideology as ultraleftism, Mao was also directing from behind the scene a general offensive against Zhou Enlai in the name of an anti-Lin campaign. Zhou was never named as a target; rather, by innuendo and insinuation he was referred to as, among other names, “the major Confucian within the party” and “the Duke of Zhou (Dynasty).”

Mao began to connect Lin Biao with Confucius in early 1973 after he learned that notes on Confucius and Mencius and hand-copied quotations from the Analects of Confucius had been found in Lin’s residence. Mao also knew that in the “571 Project” Summary, allegedly a blueprint for Lin’s armed coup, he himself was called the present-day Qin Shihuang (the First Emperor of China). In May 1973, at a work session of the CCP Central Committee (CC), Mao proposed to “criticize Confucius” as he was talking about Lin Biao. On 4 July 1973, Mao told Wang Hongwen and Zhang Chunqiao that like the Kuomintang, Lin Biao followed the dictates of Confucius and opposed the Legalists. In Mao’s view, the Legalists, who helped the Qin Shihuang build an empire, favored the present over the past, while Confucians, politically short of accomplishments, tended to turn the course of history backwards. On 1 January 1974, three official organs, the People’s Daily, the Red Flag, and the Liberation Army Daily, carried a joint New Year’s Day message that called upon the nation to criticize the tradition of revering Confucianism and debasing Legalism and designated the criticism of Confucius to be a component of the criticism of Lin Biao. On 18 January, Mao authorized the dissemination of the collection entitled Lin Biao and the Way of Confucius and Mencius, which was put together by Jiang Qing’s followers at Peking University and Tsinghua University. The issuance of this document nationwide marked the beginning of the Criticize Lin and Criticize Confucius movement.

Despite its name, however, the actual content of the campaign had little to do with Lin Biao. Jiang Qing and her associates in the central leadership were prompted by Mao as to the real purpose of the campaign. They directed the campaign with several loyal writing teams, especially the Peking University and Tsinghua University Great Criticism Group and the Shanghai Municipal Party Committee.
Writing Group. The writing teams published a series of supposedly historical commentaries with Zhou Enlai as an implicit target. In these articles, Confucius was said to have represented the old order of a slave-owning aristocratic society and to have devoted his life to the reactionary cause of “restoring the perished kingdoms, reviving the doomed dynasty, and recalling those retired from the world.” The intended but unsaid parallel was Zhou Enlai’s painstaking effort, especially after the downfall of Lin Biao, to deradicalize the party’s policies, to rehabilitate veteran cadres and intellectuals, and to restore order and normality to the economy, education, and national life in general. In the meantime, some of these articles applauded the Qin Shihuang for burning books and burying alive Confucian scholars and, with unmistakable references to Jiang Qing, praised “outstanding stateswomen” of the past, such as Queen Dowager Lü of the Han Dynasty and Empress Wu Zetian of the Tang Dynasty, for upholding the so-called Legalist line of social progress against reactionary Confucianism. Referring to this campaign, Jiang Qing suggested that the 11th line struggle within the party had begun, while Wang Hongwen, who was now closely associated with Jiang Qing, called it the “Second Cultural Revolution,” both alluding to Zhou Enlai as the target of the political movement.

This propaganda campaign in the manner of allusory historiography did not gain much support from within the party and without, so much so that Mao eventually detached himself from Jiang Qing and said at the Politburo meeting of 17 July 1974, “She does not represent me; she represents herself.” Articles of vicious insinuations against Zhou, however, continued to appear in major newspapers in the second half of 1974. But the campaign had lost steam and eventually ended without an official closure when Deng Xiaoping as first vice-premier assumed Zhou Enlai’s responsibilities in January 1975 and pursued Zhou’s course much more aggressively in a nationwide overall rectification program. See also CONFUCIANISM VERSUS LEGALISM.

CRITICIZE REVISIONISM AND CONDUCT RECTIFICATION (pixiu zhengfeng). This was the publicized name for both the political campaign against Chen Boda (August 1970–September 1971) and the one against Lin Biao and his supporters (September 1971–August 1973). In the course of these campaigns, the names of Chen and Lin
were not mentioned in official media; Chen was often referred to as a “fake Marxist swindler” and Lin as a “swindler of the Liu Shaoqi kind.” See also CRITICIZE CHEN AND CONDUCT RECTIFICATION; CRITICIZE LIN AND CONDUCT RECTIFICATION.

CULTIVATION OF A COMMUNIST (lun gongchandangyuan de xiuyang). Based on a series of lectures that Liu Shaoqi had delivered at the Institute of Marxism-Leninism in Yan’an in July 1939, this well-known work appeared in book form for the first time in 1943 and was subsequently widely regarded as an essential textbook and classical literature for the CCP’s ideological education. Its first revised edition came out in 1949 and second in 1962. On several occasions, Mao Zedong offered favorable comments on the book as well. In mid-February 1967, however, Mao spoke of Liu Shaoqi’s book as a “deceitful work” that only talks about personal cultivation without addressing the reality of class struggle and of the struggle of the proletariat for power. Therefore, in Mao’s view, the book represented “a form of idealism totally opposed to Marxism-Leninism.” “Even Chiang Kai-shek,” Mao said, “and even the bourgeoisie of the world, could accept the kind of personal cultivation discussed in the book. What individual? What personal cultivation? Everyone is a class person; there is no such a thing as a person standing alone, a person in the abstract. What he talks about is the way of Confucius and Mencius, acceptable to both feudal landlords and capitalists.”

On 8 May 1967, the People’s Daily and the Red Flag carried a joint editorial entitled “The Critical Point of Cultivation Is Betrayal of the Dictatorship of the Proletariat.” The publication of this article was authorized by the Politburo with the approval of Mao. On 11 May, the CCP Central Committee (CC) issued a circular on criticizing Liu Shaoqi’s Cultivation, in which Liu is referred to as the “biggest capitalist-roader within the party.” The nationwide criticism of The Cultivation of a Communist was thus launched. The book that had enjoyed so much prestige in the CCP was now labeled “black cultivation” and a “big anti-Marxist-Leninist and anti-Mao Zedong Thought poisonous weed.” On 29 February 1980, the CC rehabilitated Liu Shaoqi. The Cultivation of a Communist was reprinted in the same year.

CULTURAL REVOLUTION COMMITTEE (wenhua geming weiyuanhui). This was the name of the temporary power organ in a
given institution formed in the beginning stage of the Cultural Revolution for the purpose of directing the ongoing political movement. In some institutions, it was called the Cultural Revolution Preparation Committee (meaning a preparatory committee for setting up the Cultural Revolution Committee). It should be distinguished from the organ of power “revolutionary committee” established at various levels as a result of the power seizure movement in 1967. Cultural Revolution Committees were first created in educational and governmental institutions in early summer 1966 and often consisted of party officials and the representatives of the masses. When the party authority in an institution fell, the committee often became the ad hoc authority of the institution. In Beijing, where work groups were sent to educational institutions in June and July, many such committees were established under the auspices of the work groups.

When Chairman Mao Zedong ordered in late July that work groups be withdrawn, the Cultural Revolution Committees of these institutions, then, took over. The “Sixteen Articles,” a programmatic document for the Cultural Revolution adopted at the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee (1–12 August 1966), endorses the Cultural Revolution Committee as a temporary organ of power not only suitable for educational and governmental institutions but also adaptable for factories, enterprises, and urban and rural communities. Soon Cultural Revolution Committees were established in all kinds of institutions at the local level across China. Before long, however, many such committees were accused of having followed the bourgeois reactionary line of Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping in supporting traditional party authorities and suppressing the rebellion of the revolutionary masses. As a result, most of these committees either were forced to stay on the sidelines or were replaced by various newly established mass organizations in late 1966.

**CULTURAL REVOLUTION SMALL GROUP.** See CENTRAL CULTURAL REVOLUTION SMALL GROUP.

---

**DAILY READING (tiandiandu).** The phrase refers to a government-endorsed practice of studying Mao Zedong’s writing for an hour
every day, which contributed much to the popularization of Mao Zedong Thought and the personality cult of Mao during the Cultural Revolution. The practice originated in the People’s Liberation Army (PLA), and, with Lin Biao’s enthusiastic endorsement, the daily reading hour was so firmly set as to become “thunder-proof,” as army soldiers put it. With much urging and support from the central leadership, the civilian authorities at the grassroots—in schools, in factories, and in government institutions—also implemented the daily reading program as a routine (such as the first class hour in school and the first work hour in a government agency) in the first few years of the Cultural Revolution. Mass meetings were often held at which activists would talk about ways they studied Mao’s works and applied Mao’s ideas in their daily lives. The practice of daily reading continued until after the downfall of Lin Biao.

DAO COUNTY MASSACRE (1967). This was a brutal slaughter of thousands of innocent people under the irrational, chaotic, and lawless mass dictatorship involving not only mass organizations but also local CCP officials and militia personnel. During the summer of 1967, a rumor was circulating in Dao County, Hunan Province, that Chiang Kai-shek’s Nationalist troops were going to attack mainland China and that class enemies on the mainland, especially people of the “Black Five Categories” (landlords, rich peasants, counterrevolutionaries, “bad elements,” and Rightists), would rise in rebellion in cooperation with Chiang’s battle plan and kill all party members, cadres, and poor and lower-middle-class peasant leaders. On 5 August 1967, the county leadership met and confirmed the story. In the meantime, factional violence escalated in the county: on 8 August, the “Revolutionary Alliance,” a rebel organization that dominated the downtown area, stormed the county militia headquarters, confiscated all of its weapons, and forced its rival the “Red Alliance,” a politically more conservative mass organization, to retreat to its base in the countryside; on 13 August, a violent confrontation occurred in the downtown area, which ended with the defeat of the “Red Alliance.”

To demonstrate their acute “class consciousness,” and perhaps also to vent their frustrations, members of the “Red Alliance” (many of them local officials) and their supporters in the local militia began to slaughter those of the “Black Five Categories” and their children in the countryside. Some poor and lower-middle peasants also set up a
court to sentence to death whomever they considered to be a threat. Execution was swift, and the methods used were among the cruelest. From 13 August to 17 October, more than 4,000 people were killed, more than 300 committed suicide, and 117 entire households were wiped out. Among those killed were old people in their seventies, babies (the youngest being 10 days old), and pregnant women. The total number of deaths amounted to 1.2% of the county’s population. The county authorities were at a loss about what to do, while local officials in more than half of the county’s rural districts and communes were involved in the planning and the execution of the massacre. The brutality of the Dao County massacre also spread to the neighboring counties. Informed of the grave situation, Premier Zhou Enlai looked into the matter himself and sent out five urgent telegrams. An end was finally put to the massacre by the PLA troops from the Hunan Provincial Military District.

DENG TUO (1912–1966). Writer, journalist, veteran revolutionary on the CCP Beijing municipal committee, and author of the popular newspaper column Evening Chats at Yanshan under the penname of Ma Nancun, Deng was an early victim of the campaign Mao Zedong launched in the Beijing cultural circles at the preparation stage of the Cultural Revolution.

Born in Minhou, Fujian Province, as Deng Zijian, Deng Tuo joined the CCP in 1930. During the war of resistance against Japan (1937–1945), Deng served, among other important positions related to the party’s propaganda work, as head of the Jin-Cha-Ji branch of the Xinhua News Agency. In 1944, he was put in charge of the initial compilation and publication of the Selected Works of Mao Zedong. After the communists took over Beijing in 1949, Deng was appointed director of the Propaganda Department of the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee as well as editor-in-chief of the People’s Daily, the official newspaper of the CCP. In 1956, he led a reform to make the People’s Daily “not only the party’s paper but also people’s paper.” Mao Zedong was not pleased and criticized the newspaper as “being run by bookworms and dead people” after he noticed the rather cautious response of the People’s Daily to his call for criticism of the CCP preceding the 1957 Anti-Rightist campaign. Deng was consequently removed from the position of editor-in-chief but remained as director of the newspaper, from which he later resigned.
In 1958, Deng was appointed culture and education secretary of the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee and editor-in-chief of *Frontline*, the official journal of the Beijing party committee. In 1960, he became an alternate secretary of the CCP North China Bureau. In 1961, Deng, upon invitation, started a column entitled “Evening Chats at Yanshan” (*yanshan yehua*) for the popular newspaper *Beijing Evening*. A few months later, he also began to co-author with Wu Han and Liao Mosha the column “Notes from a Three-Family Village” (*sanjiacun zhaji*) in the *Frontline*. An erudite and brilliant essayist, Deng wove history, philosophy, and popular culture into his entertaining and yet politically sensitive pieces, which were often subtly evocative and satirical, reminiscent of a long Chinese tradition of history writing and criticism in carefully guarded language of allusions and understatement. In the years between 1961 and 1964, Deng wrote more than 170 essays for the two columns. They were immensely popular among readers of all tastes.

In late 1965 and early 1966, following Yao Wenyuan’s attack on Wu Han, the author of the historical play *Hairui Dismissed from Office* and a co-author of the “Notes from a Three-Family Village,” a political campaign was spreading across China criticizing the so-called bourgeois counterrevolutionary academic authorities. In April and early May 1966, Deng was openly criticized for his essays published in the *Beijing Evening* and *Frontline*. Named the head of a “Three-Family Village Anti-Party Clique,” Deng was accused of conspiring with Wu Han and Liao Mosha in attacking the party and its policies with historical allusions and by innuendo. On 16 May, an article by Qi Benyu further humiliated Deng by calling him a traitor. On 17 May, he spent the entire night completing a long letter to the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee refuting all the accusations. He then wrote a short and final note to his wife before taking an overdose of sleeping pills and ending his life in the early hours of 18 May. Deng was the first ranking official to die in the Cultural Revolution. He was officially rehabilitated in 1979.

**DENG XIAOPING (1904–1997).** General secretary of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) since 1956, Deng was denounced as China’s number two capitalist-roader in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. He came back to the central leadership in 1973 to succeed Zhou Enlai as the nation’s chief administrator, only to be criticized
and dismissed again in late 1975 and early 1976 for his opposition to the ultraleftist faction of the CCP leadership. Eventually, Deng returned a second time in July 1977 to lead the CCP’s critical evaluation of the Cultural Revolution and China’s economic reform.

A native of Guang’an, Sichuan Province, Deng studied both in France (1921–1925) and in the Soviet Union (1926). He joined the CCP while in France, returned to China in 1927, and soon became an important political leader in the Jiangxi Soviet established by Mao Zedong. Deng participated in the Long March (1934–1935). During the war of resistance against Japan, he served as deputy director of the Eighth Route Army’s political department. He was appointed a secretary of the CCP Central Committee (CC) in 1945 and served as chief political commissar of the Second Field Army of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) during the civil war of the late 1940s. After the communist takeover of China in 1949, Deng became first secretary of the CCP Southwest Bureau. He was transferred to Beijing in 1952 and entered the ruling Politburo in 1955.

In 1956, at the First Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee, Deng was elected to the Standing Committee of the Politburo and became general secretary of the CCP. As a member of the CCP core leadership, Deng was a close assistant of Mao, politically and ideologically, in leading the Anti-Rightist Campaign of 1957 against intellectuals and in taking a tough line against the chauvinistic leadership of the Soviet Union, especially during his several official visits to Moscow. On the other hand, Deng was known for his pragmatism in domestic economic policies, which was to be characterized during the Cultural Revolution as a “cat theory” based on his own words: “Black or white, a cat that catches mice is a good cat.” In this aspect, especially considering his critical assessment of the radical and irrational policies of the Great Leap Forward of 1958, Deng was seen by Mao as a close ally of Liu Shaoqi.

In June 1966, when the Cultural Revolution had just broken out while Mao kept himself away from Beijing, Deng Xiaoping joined President Liu Shaoqi, Premier Zhou Enlai, and other members of the Politburo Standing Committee in deciding, with Mao’s approval, to dispatch work groups to schools to provide instruction and guidance for the masses participating in the revolution. Mao, however, withdrew his support for the decision on work groups after he came back from the south in July. When Mao attacked the work group policy as
a bourgeois reactionary line and Liu Shaoqi as the commander of the bourgeois headquarters, Deng fell from power along with Liu. Deng was denounced as the second leading capitalist-roader within the CCP. As Liu was dying of abuse and illness in Kaifeng, Henan, in October 1969, Deng was exiled to a factory of tractor parts in a suburb of Nanchang, Jiangxi Province, to work and reform himself.

In early 1973, at Mao’s suggestion and with strong support from Zhou Enlai, Deng came back to Beijing and was reinstated as vice-premier. At the enlarged sessions of the Politburo held in Beijing in November and December 1973 concerning what Mao saw as Zhou Enlai’s “capitulationism” and “revisionism” in dealing with the United States, Deng followed Mao’s line and criticized Zhou—apparently a necessary step to take on his way back to power. In April 1974, Deng, rather than Zhou, represented the People’s Republic of China (PRC) for the first time at the General Assembly of the United Nations. In January 1975, at Mao’s suggestion, Deng became vice-chairman of the CCP, vice-chairman of the Central Military Commission, chief of the general staff of the PLA, and first vice-premier of the State Council. He was thus entrusted with the power to preside over the daily affairs of the party, the army, and the state while Zhou Enlai was hospitalized for cancer treatment. In late February 1975, Deng launched his overall rectification program, which virtually reversed the course of the Cultural Revolution. Late that year, Mao interfered and began a nationwide campaign to criticize Deng and counterattack the right-deviationist reversal-of-verdicts trend. When the April 5 Movement broke out in 1976 commemorating the late Premier Zhou Enlai and protesting against the ultraleftist Jiang Qing group, Deng was stripped of all his official duties for allegedly being both the backer and the hope of all the “counterrevolutionaries” gathering in Beijing’s Tiananmen Square and elsewhere in the country.

In July 1977, within a year of the death of Mao and the fall of the ultraleftist Gang of Four, Deng was reinstated again. By the time of the Third Plenum of the CCP Eleventh Central Committee (December 1978), he had already become the virtual center of the CCP leadership. He challenged the dogmatism of Mao’s successor Hua Guofeng who insisted on continuing with “whatever” decisions Mao had made, and Deng won broad support for replacing Hua with the more reform-minded Hu Yaobang and Zhao Ziyang. Deng played a decisive role in the CCP’s critical assessment of the Cultural Revolution, which
culminated in the passage of the Resolution on Certain Questions in the History of Our Party since the Founding of the People’s Republic of China in 1981 at the Six Plenum of the CCP Eleventh Central Committee. Deng also put himself behind the “liberation of thinking” movement and made his own words the slogan of the nation: “Facts are the only test for truth.” In the meantime, Deng advocated “socialism with Chinese characteristics” and engineered economic reforms creating special economic zones, adopting certain aspects of a market economy, and freeing enterprises from state control, which eventually ended China’s centralized economy based largely on a Soviet model. The political aspect of Deng’s liberalization program was not so radical, though; it reached its limit during the June 4 democracy movement of 1989 when, with Deng’s approval, the army was brought in to crack down on unarmed civilians protesting in Tiananmen Square.

In 1987, Deng began to retire from various high positions he had been holding in the party, the military, and the state, setting an example for other CCP veteran leaders, including those skeptical of Deng’s reform. On 19 February 1997, Deng Xiaoping died in Beijing. See also POLITBURO SESSIONS, 4–7 APRIL 1976; SS FENGQING INCIDENT.

DESTROY THE FOUR OLDS (po sijiu). This campaign was initiated by Red Guards in August 1966 aiming to sweep away all “old ideas, old culture, old customs, and old habits” (hence “Four Olds”) in Chinese society. Endorsed by the Cultural Revolution faction of the central leadership, the campaign resulted in unprecedented damage to the nation’s historical landmarks, valuable artifacts, and other material witnesses of culture and civilization and claimed thousands of innocent lives nationwide—1,772 in the city of Beijing alone.

The phrase “old ideas, old culture, old customs, and old habits” as a pejorative reference to all traditions—Chinese or foreign—that were deemed nonproletarian from the viewpoint of the Culture Revolution ideology first appeared in a 1 June 1966 People’s Daily editorial entitled “Sweep Away All Cow-Demons and Snake-Spirits.” Lin Biao used the phrase in his speech at the mass rally of 18 August and called on Red Guards to wage war against the Four Olds. As a prelude to Lin’s battle cry, an ultimatum had already been drafted by Red Guards at Beijing No. 2 Middle School on the night of 17 August,
declaring war on barbershops, tailor shops, photo studios, and used book stores. On the day after the mass rally, Beijing’s Red Guards took to the streets and started to smash street signs and name boards for shops, restaurants, schools, factories, and hospitals and replace them with new labels. Chang’an (meaning “eternal peace”) Avenue in the center of the city, for instance, was renamed East-Is-Red Avenue, and Beijing Union Hospital, which was established by the Rockefeller Foundation in 1921, now became Anti-Imperialism Hospital. Red Guards made speeches, distributed pamphlets, and put out posters on the streets that dismissed various fashions in hair and dress, stylized photos, pointed boots, and high-heeled shoes as evidence of bourgeois lifestyle. They would stop passers-by whose appearance was unacceptable and humiliate them by shaving their hair, cutting open their trousers, or knocking off their shoe heels. The official endorsement of such actions in two Peoples Daily editorials on 23 August helped to spread the fire of the anti-Four Olds campaign across the country and prompted Red Guards to move further to raid churches, temples, theaters, libraries, and historic sites, causing irretrievable damage. During the raid upon the historic Confucian Homestead, Confucian Temple, and Confucian Cemetery, for instance, more than 1,000 tombs and stone tablets were destroyed or damaged, and more than 2,700 volumes of ancient books and 900 scrolls of calligraphy and paintings were set afire. Across the country, countless books that were deemed “old” were burned, especially those in school libraries.

During the campaign to destroy the Four Olds, violence against innocent people escalated. On 23 August, a group of Beijing Red Guards shepherded several dozen writers, artists, and government officials from the Municipal Cultural Bureau to what used to be the National Academy of imperial China, where a huge pile of theater props and costumes, all deemed “old,” was burning. The Red Guards ordered their victims to kneel down around the fire and beat them so hard with belts and theatrical props that several victims lost consciousness. Lao She, a well-known writer and one of the victims of this notorious event, took his own life the next day. Such brutality was widespread during the campaign, especially at the struggle meetings that Red Guards held against their teachers, the so-called black gang members, and the people of the “Black Five Categories.” It had become commonplace for Red Guards during the months of August and September to ransack private homes and confiscate
personal belongings of the alleged class enemies. Some homes were raided several times by different groups of Red Guards. In Shanghai alone, an estimated 150,000 homes were illegally searched. In the name of sweeping away the Four Olds, the raiders took away not only cultural artifacts that were considered “old,” but also currency, bank notes, gold and silver bars, jewelry, and other valuables. At the height of the Destroy the Four Olds campaign, Chairman Mao Zedong continued to hold inspections of millions of Red Guards in Beijing, while Lin Biao, standing by Mao’s side at these inspections, continued to praise the Red Guards’ attack on the old ways. In late 1966 and early 1967 when Red Guard organizations became more deeply involved in factional conflicts and power-seizure struggles, the anti-Four Olds campaign finally lost its impetus and came to an end. See also RED AUGUST.

DING XUELEI. This penname was used by the Shanghai Municipal Party Committee Writing Group, a writing team headed by Xu Jingxian and remotely controlled by Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan. “Xuelei” suggests “following the example of Lei Feng,” a PLA soldier and the most admired communist hero in the early 1960s for his determination to be a “rust-free screw on the revolutionary machine.” During the Cultural Revolution, the writing group produced numerous articles—many under this penname—to promote the interest of the ultraleftist faction of the CCP central leadership and attack its opponents.

DING ZUXIAO AND LI QISHUN. Labeled counterrevolutionaries, these two women were executed in 1970 for criticizing the personality cult of Chairman Mao Zedong. Ding, of the Tujia ethnic minority, was an educated youth in the countryside of Dayong County, Hunan Province, since 1965. In a letter, dated 17 March 1969, to the Dayong County Revolutionary Committee, Ding is sharply critical of the vogue of the “three loyalties” (loyal to Mao, Mao Zedong Thought, and Mao’s proletarian revolutionary line). “Our loyalty is,” she writes, “to the people, to the motherland, and to truth; it should not go to a particular person. The loyalty promoted today is actually the cult of the personality, a slavish mentality.” Ding associates this kind of loyalty to the legacy of feudalism in which “Chairman Mao,” she writes, “is treated as an emperor, an object of daily worship.” On 21 April 1969, having received no response from the authorities to her
request that her letter be published in a local newspaper, Ding distributed in downtown Dayong more than a dozen leaflets in which she, again, criticizes the Mao cult: “an unprecedented personality cult in the nation’s history” is her way of characterizing the “three loyalties.” Ding was arrested on 5 July 1969 on charges of an attack on the proletarian headquarters and slander on the mass movement to study Mao Zedong Thought. Also arrested was her sister Zuxia, who had been involved in both the writing and the distributing of the letter.

On 27 September 1969, Li Qishun distributed in downtown Dayong more than 20 copies of the letter “To the Revolutionary People,” which she had written in support of her former classmate and friend Ding Zuxiao. In the letter she calls Ding a hero deserving the name of a revolutionary vanguard. Li also sent a copy of the letter to the Red Flag editorial department in Beijing. She was soon arrested along with her sister Qicai, who had helped distributing Qishun’s letter. Some of her former classmates were also arrested as members of the so-called Ding Zuxiao and Li Qishun Counterrevolutionary Clique. On 8 May 1970, Ding Zuxiao, age 24, and Li Qishun, age 23, were executed. In 1980, the Dayong County party committee redressed this case and named Ding Zuxiao and Li Qishun “heroes of the people.”
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ECONOMISM (jingji zhuyi). Also known as the “evil wind of economism,” this is an official reference to both the demands made by organized contract and temporary workers for job security and job benefits and the way government officials responded to such demands in late 1966 and the beginning of 1967. On 8 November 1966, during the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line, contract and temporary workers formed their own organization, the National Red Workers Rebel Corps. Soon they envisioned the possibilities of economic gains as a result of their political activities: as they were denouncing the unfair double-tiered class system within the working class, they demanded promotion, raise, and change of status to regular state employees.

Jiang Qing and some other members of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRS) expressed sympathy and support on several occasions. At a reception the CCRS held for the representatives of the Rebel Corps on 26 December 1966, Jiang
spoke of the way temporary and contract workers were treated as the way workers in general were treated by capitalists. She reproached the officials from the Ministry of Labor and the All China Workers Union and called upon temporary and contract workers to rebel against these two government agencies. The Rebel Corps distributed Jiang’s speech (with comments from other members of the CCRSG as well) across China and forced the Ministry of Labor and the All China Workers Union to accede to their demands. Jiang’s speech and the Rebel Corps’s success also inspired regular state employees to seek economic gains by political means. Under pressure from below and from above and under attack during the Criticize the Bourgeois Reactionary Line campaign, government officials began to give in to workers’ demands for pay raises, bonuses, traveling expenses, and so on, which led to a sudden depletion of operational budget and a financial crisis in a number of cities in January 1967.

In the meantime, some mass organizations in Shanghai launched an offensive against this kind of materialistically motivated political activities. They wrote and distributed two articles: “Grasp Revolution, Promote Production, and Defeat the Counteroffensive of the Bourgeois Reactionary Line: To the People of Shanghai” (4 January 1967) and “Urgent Announcement” (9 January 1967); both were also carried in Shanghai’s newspapers Wenhui Daily and Liberation Daily and later broadcast nationwide. Upon Mao Zedong’s endorsement of the first article as “another Marxist-Leninist big-character poster,” the CCRSG began to accuse government officials, or capitalist-roaders, of bribing and corrupting the masses with economism—a charge detailed in an article that appeared on 12 January 1967 in the People’s Daily as well as the Red Flag. An official announcement was issued on 24 February 1967 to ban the National Red Workers Rebel Corps as a mass organization. Its leaders were arrested.

EDUCATED YOUTHS (zhishi qingnian or zhiqing). Although college graduates were also included in its original definition, this term, as commonly understood today, refers mainly to urban and suburban middle-school and high-school graduates during the Cultural Revolution who went to the countryside to work, to settle down, and to be “reeducated” by the farmers there. See also REEDUCATION; UP TO THE MOUNTAINS AND DOWN TO THE COUNTRYSIDE.
EIGHT BLACK THEORIES (*hei balun*). This is a common reference to Jiang Qing’s summary of the “anti-party” and “anti-socialist,” and therefore “black,” literary theories that she considered to have been dictating the production of literature and arts in the People’s Republic of China (PRC) from 1949 to 1966. The eight theories are “theory of depicting things as they are” (“black” because truthfulness means focusing on the dark side of socialism), “theory of the broad path of realism” (broadness implies that it is too narrow for literature just to be a servant to proletarian politics), “theory of deepening realism” (deepening implies that socialist realism lacks depths of real life), “theory of antithesis to thematic determination” (it betrays an aversion to contemporary proletarian themes), “theory of middle characters” (the “middle” implies a focus on problematic characters rather than revolutionary heroes), “theory of aversion to gunpowder smell” (it prefers humor and light-heartedness to the revolutionary spirit of war), “theory of converging elements as the spirit of the times” (it denies the revolutionary spirit as the defining spirit of the times) and “theory of departure from the scripture and rebellion against orthodoxy” (it shows discontent with revolutionary literature). See also SUMMARY OF THE SYMPOSIUM CONVENED BY COMRADE JIANG QING AT THE BEHEST OF COMRADE LIN BIAO ON THE WORK OF LITERATURE AND ARTS IN ARMED FORCES.


EIGHT MODEL DRAMAS. The term refers to the eight pieces of performing art and music promoted by Jiang Qing and her supporters as revolutionary models for all art and literary works during the Cultural Revolution. The eight models include five Peking operas: *Shajia Creek (Shajiabang)*, *Taking Tiger Mountain by Stratagem (zhiqu weihushan)*, *Raid on the White Tiger Regiment (qixi baihutuan)*, *The Red Lantern (hongdeng ji)*, and *On the Dock (haigang)*; two ballets: *The White-Haired Girl (baimao niu)* and *The Red Detachment of Women (hongse niangzijun)*; and one symphony: *Shajia Creek (jiaoxiang yinyue Shajiabang)*. The term “model opera” (*yangban xi*) appeared in the 6 March 1965 issue of the Shanghai newspaper Liberation Daily as a reference to *The Red Lantern*. The entire repertoire was listed for the first time as “eight model dramas” in a well-known editorial entitled “Excellent Models
for Revolutionary Art and Literature” in the 31 May 1967 issue of the People’s Daily.

Despite Jiang Qing’s claims of originality and guidance in making the entire repertoire, most of the model dramas originated from theatrical pieces that had been created in the early 1960s during the Peking opera reform, in which other local forms of drama participated as well. Shajia Creek was originally a Shanghai local opera (huju) entitled Sparks in the Reeds (luoding huozhong). On the Dock was based on a local opera of Jiangsu province called Morning at the Harbor (haigang de zaochen) and was adapted to Peking opera in spring 1965 by the Shanghai Peking Opera Troupe. Taking Tiger Mountain by Stratagem, Raid on the White Tiger Regiment, and The Red Lantern were first produced at a national modern Peking opera festival—a joint performance by a number of troupes for the purpose of discussion and emulation—in 1964. The White-Haired Girl was adapted by the Shanghai Dance Academy from a popular revolutionary story with the same title.

Taking advantage of considerable success already achieved in drama reform, Jiang Qing tempered these pieces with what she considered to be elements of revolutionary art, such as the concept of “three prominences” and the idea of class struggle. Also under her direction, the China Ballet Troupe adapted the film The Red Detachment of Women to a ballet, and the Central Philharmonic Orchestra composed the symphony Shajia Creek. Then, the eight revolutionary model dramas became her personal achievements and, for quite some time during the Cultural Revolution, the only works deemed completely revolutionary and allowed on stage; as a popular Chinese saying had it: “Only eight plays for 800 million people.” During the Cultural Revolution, some of the artists initially involved in the making of these plays were persecuted, and even imprisoned, because their aesthetic judgment was different from Jiang Qing’s. In fact, these model dramas eventually became icons so sacred that any criticism or any attempt to adapt them to other forms might be considered evidence of a crime called “damaging the model dramas.” The iconic status of these pieces, as well as the dictatorial and repressive policies imposed by Jiang Qing and her supporters in artistic circles, was largely responsible for the paleness of Chinese art during the Cultural Revolution.
ELEVENTH PLENUM OF THE CCP EIGHTH CENTRAL COMMITTEE (1–12 August 1966). A landmark in the course of the Cultural Revolution, this was a meeting organized and presided over by Chairman Mao Zedong to rally support within the top leadership, to wage war against what he considered to be the “bourgeois headquarters,” and to launch the Cultural Revolution nationwide for the second time. The reorganization of the Politburo at the plenum strengthened Mao’s power, while the passage of the “Resolution of the CCP Central Committee Concerning the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution,” commonly known as the “Sixteen Articles,” provided another “programmatic document” defining the objectives and the party policies for the Cultural Revolution after the adoption of the “May 16 Circular” at an earlier meeting.

Mao’s decision to call the meeting in Beijing in late July 1966, shortly after his eight-month tour in the provinces, was based on his perception that the Cultural Revolution had encountered much resistance from above since it was first launched at the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966. The resistance took the peculiar form of work groups that the central leadership dispatched to colleges and middle and high schools to cope with turmoil and violence and to guide the course of the Revolution under party leadership. Mao’s call was urgent. On 27 July, three days after the announcement and with little preparation, the preliminary session began. The plenum officially started on 1 August. Among those attending the meeting were nonvoting delegates from the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) and student and teacher representatives from college campuses. At the opening session, President Liu Shaoqi delivered a speech, reporting to the Central Committee (CC) on the state of the party since the last plenum and also assuming responsibility for what Mao saw as the problems of the work groups. Mao interrupted the speech and accused the work groups of taking the bourgeois stand against the proletarian revolution. On the same day, Mao’s reply to the letters from the Tsinghua University Middle School Red Guards in support of their rebellion was distributed among delegates.

Originally on the plenum agenda were the meeting of all delegates to discuss the “Sixteen Articles” on 4 August and the adjournment of the plenum on 5 August. But this schedule was changed due to the resistance of many delegates to Mao’s radical vision of the Cultural
Revolution: in discussion sessions on 2–3 August, they acknowledged their “lack of comprehension” and criticized themselves for “not having been able to keep in step with Chairman Mao.” At an enlarged session of the Politburo Standing Committee on 4 August, Mao accused the work groups of repressing the student movement and pointed to the CC as the source of a “White Terror.” His speech included the alarming words, “Cow-demons and snake-spirits are among those present.” On 5 August, Mao wrote a 205-word *big-character poster* entitled “Bombarding the Headquarters,” accusing “certain central and regional leader-comrades” (implicitly Liu Shaoqi and those under his leadership) of exercising a bourgeois dictatorship, practicing white terror, and suppressing the Proletarian Cultural Revolution. Two days later, Mao’s poster was circulated among the delegates. On 8 August, after the “Sixteen Articles” was passed, Lin Biao made a long speech during his meeting with the CCRSG, highlighting the significance of Mao’s attack on what was soon to be known as the “bourgeois headquarters headed by Liu Shaoqi”: “Chairman Mao is the supreme commander of this Cultural Revolution. Chairman Mao has turned the situation around; otherwise, the Cultural Revolution would have been stillborn or interrupted. The bourgeoisie would have gained the upper hand, and we would have been defeated.”

On 12 August, at Mao’s suggestion, the Central Committee voted to reshuffle the Politburo and its standing committee. The members of the reorganized standing committee were listed in the following order: Mao Zedong, Lin Biao, Zhou Enlai, Tao Zhu, Chen Boda, Deng Xiaoping, Kang Sheng, Liu Shaoqi, Zhu De, Li Fuchun, and Chen Yun. Liu Shaoqi dropped from the original number two position to number eight. Chen Boda and Kang Sheng, both key members of the CCRSG, were promoted to the standing committee and given prominent positions. The plenum did not re-elect chairman and vice-chairmen. But from this point on, Lin Biao alone was referred to as vice-chairman of the CCP, while Liu Shaoqi, Zhou Enlai, Zhu De, and Chen Yun were never mentioned again in association with that title. With these readjustments, the comparatively more pragmatic and moderate “first line” of leadership established in the early 1960s to take charge of daily affairs of the party and the state was virtually eliminated, and Mao’s power in the CC consolidated. And, partially repeating the words of Lin Biao’s speech at the *Tenth Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee* (24–27 September 1962), the
“Communiqué of the Eleventh Plenum” included a statement about the supreme status of Mao and his ideas. This quotation signals the official sanction of the personality cult and the hegemony of Mao Zedong Thought.

ELIMINATING THE OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL PRESIDENT (bushe guojia zhuxi). On several occasions between March and August 1970, Mao Zedong proposed that the office of president of state be eliminated. Mao also made it clear that he himself did not want to be president. The majority of the Politburo and of the CCP Central Committee (CC), including Lin Biao, on the other hand, considered it appropriate for a state to have a president and insisted that Mao serve in that position. After the September 13 Incident of 1971, however, the proposal to install a national president was attributed to Lin Biao alone, and Mao called the proposal the “political program” in Lin’s plan to seize power.

Mao expressed for the first time his wish to eliminate the position of the state president on 7 March 1970, after the issue was raised by Zhou Enlai concerning the revision of the PRC Constitution. “Don’t write the chapter on president of state in the Constitution,” Mao said to his security chief Wang Dongxing. “And I’m not to serve as president, either.” Most members of the Politburo and of the CC took Mao’s words as a directive and did not differ until 12 April when the Politburo met to discuss Lin Biao’s suggestion that Mao be president of state as people desired, that the office of vice-president was not significant, and that Lin himself was not fit even for the position of vice-president. At the meeting, the majority of the Politburo, including Zhou Enlai, supported Lin’s view. In late April, and again in mid-June, Mao repeated his negative stand on the issue and pointed out that having a president of state was a formality. Mao had the support of the majority for the second time on 18 July at a Constitution revision meeting.

On 22 August 1970, the Standing Committee of the Politburo met at Lushan to discuss the agenda of the Second Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee. Except Mao, who adhered to his earlier views, all other members were of the opinion that there should be a national president and that Mao should serve. Considering the possibility of Mao’s indifference to the ceremonial routine of foreign affairs, Zhou Enlai suggested that a president could authorize others
to represent him or her on these occasions. On 23 August, at the opening session of the plenum, Lin Biao made a concession in his opening speech by using the term “head of the proletarian dictatorship” in place of “president of state.” Kang Sheng, who spoke after Lin, still insisted that all supported Mao as president. Two days later, however, Mao’s angry words, “Never mention again the question of state presidency. If you want me to die soon, then make me president,” finally silenced all the voices in support of installing a national president.

In official history, the opposition to Mao’s proposal to eliminate the state presidency was mainly due to Lin Biao’s desire to be president. This conclusion is now broadly challenged by historians. The only “evidence” of Lin’s wish has been Wu Faxian’s confession that Ye Qun wanted Lin Biao to be president, but there was no other witness to Ye Qun’s saying these words. On the other hand, there was much circumstantial evidence from Lin’s subordinates that Lin, for a variety of reasons, might not want to serve as president at all. It is also significant, as some historians noted, that Lin Biao fled the country and was killed in a plane crash two to three weeks after Mao commented on the proposal to install the office of the national president as a “political program” for usurpation and made the following judgment: “Someone wanted to be president,” Mao said, “and to split the party and couldn’t wait to take power.” Much of the dispute in the beginning of the 1970s over the national presidency, like many other issues concerning Lin Biao’s alleged conspiracy to seize power, remains to be explained.

ENLARGED POLITBURO SESSIONS, 4–26 MAY 1966. Presided over by Liu Shaoqi but dictated by the absentee Mao Zedong with Kang Sheng as a mediating agent, this meeting signaled the official launching of the Cultural Revolution. At the second session on 16 May, the Politburo approved “The Circular of the CCP Central Committee,” also known as the “May 16 Circular,” which was drafted by Chen Boda, Kang Sheng, Wang Li, and a few others, and meticulously revised by Mao before the meeting. The circular, along with the “Sixteen Articles” adopted in August 1966 at the Eleventh Plenum of the Eighth CCP Central Committee, was designated as a “programmatic document” that laid out guidelines for the Cultural Revolution.
Also on the agenda was the criticism of Peng Zhen, Luo Ruiqing, Lu Dingyi, and Yang Shangkun for their alleged anti-Party activities and their “revisionist line.” The accusations led to the Politburo’s decision to suspend the four of their official duties and to investigate the apparently isolated cases as evidence of a Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique. The denunciation and dismissal of Peng, Luo, Lu, and Yang was interpreted at the time as the first major victory of Mao’s proletarian revolutionary line over a bourgeois revisionist line during the Cultural Revolution.

The meeting marked a quick ascent of ultraleftist forces in the party leadership. Most key members of the soon-to-be-formed Central Cultural Revolution Small Group—Chen Boda, Kang Sheng, Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, Wang Li, Guan Feng, and Qi Benyu—had the privilege of attending this high-level meeting. The group was established to replace the Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group led by Peng Zhen. The decision was announced two days after the meeting. At the initial “forum” session, Kang Sheng gave a lengthy report on Mao’s recent directives. Zhang Chunqiao and Chen Boda closely followed Kang to lead the attack on Peng, Luo, Lu, and Yang, setting the tone for the rest of the meeting. Liu Shaoqi, Zhou Enlai, and Deng Xiaoping expressed support for Mao’s move against Peng, Luo, Lu, and Yang and conducted self-criticism of their own political insensitivity. In a long and militant speech delivered at the third enlarged session on 18 May, Lin Biao accused Peng, Luo, Lu, and Yang of conspiring to usurp the communist power and restore capitalism. Lin’s aggressiveness apparently inspired so much fear that all participants began to attack Peng, Luo, Lu, and Yang relentlessly while criticizing themselves. On 21 May, Zhou Enlai gave a speech in support of Mao’s criticism of Peng, Luo, Lu, and Yang in which Zhou expressed his wish to maintain his revolutionary integrity in his later years by following Mao closely. At an earlier group session as well as the 23 May meeting, Marshal Zhu De was attacked by a number of ranking leaders including Lin Biao, Zhou Enlai, Chen Yi, and Kang Sheng, because he, having been in semiretirement for years and out of touch with politics, was rather slow in responding both to the campaign against Peng, Luo, Lu, and Yang, and to Lin Biao’s promotion of Mao Zedong Thought at the expense of Marxism-Leninism.

Some executive and personnel decisions were also made at the meeting that the Beijing party committee be reorganized with Li...
Xuefeng replacing Peng Zhen as first secretary, that Tao Zhu be transferred to Beijing and serve as executive secretary of the Secretariat of the CCP Central Committee, and that Ye Jianying replace Luo Ruiqing as secretary general of the Central Military Commission.

ENLARGED POLITBURO SESSIONS, 25 NOVEMBER–5 DECEMBER 1973. Presided over by Wang Hongwen and remote-controlled by Mao Zedong through his liaisons Tang Wensheng and Wang Hairong, these Politburo sessions were held to criticize Premier Zhou Enlai for carrying out a “right-wing capitulationist line” in foreign affairs. Ye Jianying, who was involved in negotiations with the United States on military exchange and cooperation, was also implicated. An alternative label for them both was Zhou-Ye revisionist line. Preceded by the high-level meetings in May and June in which Zhou, following Mao’s order, criticized himself for committing “grave mistakes” in early parts of the CCP history, and followed by a series of public political campaigns, including the Criticize Lin and Criticize Confucius and Water Margin Appraisal campaigns, in which Zhou was the unnamed target, the Politburo sessions in late 1973 were Mao’s most aggressive effort to humiliate and subdue the premier for his moderate and pragmatic approach to state affairs that Mao found inconsistent with his own radical policies.

The event that directly led to the Politburo sessions was Zhou’s meeting on the evening of 13 November 1973, with Henry Kissinger, the visiting U.S. secretary of state, whom Mao had received the previous day. After the farewell banquet on the evening of 13 November, Kissinger proposed another round of talks with Zhou alone on the question of Sino-U.S. military cooperation. Having no time to consult with Mao, Zhou accepted the proposal, talked with Kissinger (Tang Wensheng the interpreter on the Chinese side and Winston Lord on the U.S. side were the only other persons present), and promised to give him a response on the unresolved issues next morning—that is, after Zhou had a chance to consult with the central leadership. Having tried but failed to get in touch with Mao during the night, Zhou proposed to Kissinger the following morning that each side appoint an official to continue the dialogues on military cooperation. After Kissinger’s departure, Tang Wensheng, following Mao’s instruction, asked Zhou to approve the notes she took of Zhou’s talks with Kissinger. Then, on November 17, Mao talked to
a number of officials at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, calling for a
criticism of “revisionism” in foreign affairs.

A series of meetings followed that eventually led to the enlarged
Politburo sessions in late November and early December. These
sessions began with Tang Wensheng’s eight-hour report on foreign
affairs and on Mao’s critical comments. In Mao’s view, Zhou was so
afraid of the Soviet Union that once the Soviets invaded he would be
their “puppet emperor.” Therefore, Mao speculated, Zhou opted for
protection under the American nuclear umbrella. Mao’s view set the
tone for the meeting. The Politburo members and others attending
the meeting had to speak against the premier though most of them,
as they later confessed, did so against their own will. Jiang Qing
proposed, with Mao’s approval, to form a “help group” to criticize
Zhou. She also said that Zhou “couldn’t wait to replace the Chair-
man” and that the conflict between Mao and Zhou was the “eleventh
line struggle within the party,” which put Zhou in parallel with Liu
Shaoqi (enemy of the ninth line struggle) and Lin Biao (the tenth).
Deng Xiaoping, attending the meeting at Mao’s request as a non-
member of the Politburo and, being obliged to speak, warned Zhou
not to go too far because he was so close to Mao that Mao’s power
was not beyond reach for him. Zhou Enlai listened, took notes, and
wrote a self-denouncement.

Eventually, Mao dismissed Jiang Qing’s notion of the “eleventh
line struggle” and claimed that Tang Wensheng and Wang Hairong’s
report on the Zhou-Kissinger talks was misleading. After the down-
fall of the Gang of Four in 1976, the records of these sessions were
destroyed upon request by Zhou Enlai’s widow Deng Yingchao and
Marshall Ye Jianying. The existing official version of the event men-
tions Mao’s having been mislead by the Tang-Wang report in criticiz-
ing the premier but covers up the enlarged Politburo sessions of late
1973 altogether.

EVENING CHATS AT YANSHAN (yanshan yehua). A major target
of criticism at the beginning stage of the Cultural Revolution, the
Evening Chats at Yanshan was originally a newspaper column by
Ma Nancun, which appeared in Beijing Evening from March 1961 to
September 1962. Ma Nancun was the penname of Deng Tuo, writer
and culture and education secretary of the CCP’s Beijing municipal
committee. “Yanshan,” or Mount Yan, is a reference to Beijing’s
western hills. The column pieces were also published in book form by Beijing Press with the original column title—first as a five-volume series (1961–1962) and then in one volume (1963).

As Deng Tuo writes in one of his essays, in “chatting” with his readers at evening hours, he intended to entertain them with some useful knowledge of the past and the present after their day of labor so that they may find their spare time both interesting and meaningful. Rich in history and wit, his essays address contemporary issues and criticize ills of the times. They were so popular at the time that every 30 pieces were reprinted in book form immediately after they appeared in the newspaper column, and altogether, five volumes were published in less than two years. But the popularity of his writing only made him more vulnerable when the Beijing municipal party committee, headed by Mayor Peng Zhen, became the first political target of the Cultural Revolution in 1966. Not long after the historical play Hai Rui Dismissed from Office by Wu Han, deputy mayor of Beijing, was harshly criticized in official media, Evening Chats at Yanshan and Notes from a Three-Family Village, coauthored by Deng Tuo and two others associated with the municipal leadership of Beijing, also came under attack, especially for these authors’ critical, and sometimes satirical, comments on current politics rendered in carefully guarded language of allusions and understatement.

Since Peng Zhen was denounced at the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966, the Evening Chats at Yanshan and Notes from a Three-Family Village were publicly criticized by Jiang Qing’s writing group (under the pseudonym Gao Ju) in the article “Opening Fire at the Anti-Party and Anti-Socialist Black Line” published in Liberation Army Daily on 8 May 1966. On the following day, Guangming Daily carried He Ming’s “Open Your Eyes Wide and Tell Truth from Falsehood.” On 10 May Shanghai’s Wenhui Daily and Liberation Daily published Yao Wenyuan’s article “Criticizing the ‘Three-Family Village’: the Reactionary Nature of Evening Chats at Yanshan and Notes from a Three-Family Village.” All these articles denounced the Evening Chats at Yanshan as “anti-party and anti-socialist talks of the night.” On 18 May 1966, Deng Tuo took his own life.

On 2 March 1979, the Beijing party committee, with the approval of the CCP Central Committee, rehabilitated Deng Tuo. Beijing Press reprinted the book Evening Chats at Yanshan in the same year with a foreword by Deng’s widow Ding Yilan.
EXTENDED CENTRAL CULTURAL REVOLUTION SMALL GROUP ROUTINE MEETINGS (zhongyang wenge pengtouhui). Much more than what meetings the name may suggest, these were actually executive gatherings of the de facto CCP top leadership after Chairman Mao Zedong, reacting furiously to the anti-Cultural Revolution February Adverse Current (1967), sidelined veteran vice-premiers in Zhou Enlai’s State Council (SC) and old marshals in the Central Military Commission (CMC). With the traditional, constitution-sanctioned top-level party, army, and state apparatuses—namely, the Politburo, the CMC, and the SC—already disabled after the downfall of Liu Shaoqi, Deng Xiaoping, Tao Zhu, and Marshal He Long, Mao’s decision was a further step in reshuffling the central leadership to make it serve his radical cause.

The first of the extended Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) routine meetings took place in spring 1967. Fifteen members served in this extended group—at least for some time—including eight of the CCRSG members Chen Boda, Kang Sheng, Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, Yao Wenyuan, Wang Li, Guan Feng, Qi Benyu, plus Zhou Enlai, Xie Fuzhi, Huang Yongsheng, Wu Faxian, Wang Dongxing, Ye Qun, and Wen Yucheng. Premier Zhou Enlai acted as head of the group. Mao’s move to establish this group as de facto leading body of the CCP was a landmark victory of the ultra-leftist faction led by Jiang Qing; it virtually canceled the operation of the ad hoc “Politburo Standing Committee routine meetings” and put the “extended CCRSG routine meetings,” dominated by the cultural revolutionaries, in their place. The move also helped strengthen Lin Biao’s power in the central leadership since three of his close followers—Generals Huang Yongsheng and Wu Faxian and Lin’s wife Ye Qun—were included in the group. But it was a major setback for Zhou Enlai’s effort to prevent the CCRSG from interfering with the state and military affairs of the SC and CMC. As the only one left of the “old government,” Zhou had to renegotiate his position in this new power circle dominated by cultural revolutionaries and manage state affairs with more caution and more compromise in one of the most difficult periods in his political career.

From then on, the extended CCRSG routine meetings were virtually the highest “cabinet” meetings at which the most important party and state affairs were discussed. These meetings continued to be held from time to time until the dissolution of the CCRSG itself and the
establishment of the new party apparatus in April 1969 at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP, at which all CCRSG members (except Wang Li, Guan Feng, and Qi Benyu, who had been ousted in August 1967 and January 1968) and all of the three Lin associates in the group became members of the new Politburo.

– F –

FEBRUARY 12 PUBLIC NOTICE CONCERNING NATIONAL MASS ORGANIZATIONS (1967). Coded zhongfa [67] 47, this is a public announcement jointly issued by the CCP Central Committee (CC) and the State Council (SC) to delegitimize and disband all national mass organizations. The document begins with the perception of the CC and the SC that all mass organizations at the national level (not necessarily in school or work units or at the city or provincial level) were nondemocratic and that some were even put together by reactionary elements of the Black Five Categories. The CC and the SC therefore ordered that no national mass organization be recognized, that all existing ones be disbanded, that all public funds in the possession of these organizations be returned, and that members of these organizations report to agencies of public security in case of counterrevolutionary activities. On 15 February, the PLA Military Control Commission at the Beijing Bureau of Public Security pronounced three national organizations, including the National Red Workers Rebel Corps, reactionary and six others illegal. Leaders of the first three organizations were arrested. From this point on until the end of the Cultural Revolution, no national mass organization appeared again anywhere in China.

FEBRUARY 23 INCIDENT (1967). Also known as the Zhao Yongfu Incident, this term refers to the violent clash between a mass organization and armed troops on 23 February 1967, in Xining, the capital city of Qinghai Province. Zhao Yongfu was deputy commander of the PLA Qinghai provincial military district. He also served as deputy director of the coordination office set up at the order of Beijing to lead the troops in supporting the left. Following a directive from Beijing authorizing the military control of newspapers and radio stations, the coordination office sent troops to take over the provincial newspa-
per *Qinghai Daily* from the hands of a mass organization called the Xining August 18 Red Guard Battalion. After the Red Guards forced the PLA out, the coordination office decided to take the newspaper office building by force. Zhao Yongfu was entrusted with the command of the operation. On 23 February, as the armed troops moved in, a violent encounter took place between them and the civilians, who were not armed. The fighting ended with 169 civilians and four soldiers dead and 178 civilians and 46 military men injured.

The role of the central leadership in this operation is still shrouded in mystery. According to Zhao Yongfu, he telephoned the office of Lin Biao for instructions ahead of the military operation, and Lin’s office expressed support. According to Wang Li’s recollection, Lin Biao congratulated Zhao on a successful military action but later blamed Marshal Ye Jianying (who was then in charge of daily affairs of the Central Military Commission) for the bloody incident. It is at least clear that, some time after the event, Ye Qun spoke for Lin’s office and denied any knowledge of Operation February 23, while Lin Biao and Jiang Qing began to talk about the bloody event as a local reflection of Beijing’s February Adverse Current. On 23 March, Zhou Enlai announced the decision of the central leadership that Zhao Yongfu had conducted a military coup and suppressed the masses and that he was to be taken into custody and under investigation. Two other ranking officials were also implicated. In the Ten Commands of the Central Military Commission dictated by Lin Biao and approved by Mao on April 6, Zhao Yongfu was mentioned as a “counterrevolutionary.”

After the Cultural Revolution, the CCP Central Committee and the Central Military Commission reinvestigated the Zhao Yongfu case and concluded that Zhao had made a mistake in an early stage of the Cultural Revolution in the midst of the chaos caused by Lin Biao, Jiang Qing, and their followers and that Zhao’s mistake did not go beyond the “contradictions among the people.” For punishment, Zhao was dismissed from all his posts but his administrative rank was to remain intact.

**FEBRUARY ADVERSE CURRENT (1967).** This phrase refers to the eruption of anti-Cultural Revolution sentiment by a number of marshals and vice-premiers at two top-level meetings in January and February 1967. The veteran leaders’ protest concerned what they
perceived as three major problems of the Cultural Revolution: the persecution of the veteran cadres, the elimination of party leadership, and the evolving chaos in the army. The subsequent campaign, known as the “Retaliation against the February Adverse Current” that took place from late February to the end of April 1967, virtually disabled the CCP’s highest decision-making body the Politburo without due process and left the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRS) with power beyond the law.

The old government officials and military leaders let their opposition be felt on two occasions, known as the “two great disturbances”: the Central Military Commission (CMC) meeting on 19–20 January 1967 and the CCP Central Committee (CC) briefing sessions on 11 and 16 February. On the first occasion, members of the CMC and the leaders of all military regions met at Jingxi Guesthouse in Beijing to discuss how the Cultural Revolution should be carried out in the army. Chen Boda and Jiang Qing, of the CCRSG, who were invited to the meeting, insisted that the “great democracy” be enforced in the armed forces, as it was everywhere else, while marshals Ye Jianying, Xu Xiangqian, and Nie Rongzhen strongly opposed the idea on the grounds of national security and stability. At the meeting, Chen and Jiang also attacked Xiao Hua, director of the General Political Department of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA), accusing him of belittling the CCRSG in the matters of the Cultural Revolution. They demanded that Xiao Hua appear at a mass rally of a hundred thousand to conduct self-criticism. Upon Ye Jianying’s timely report after the meeting, Mao’s office advised Xiao Hua to ignore Chen and Jiang. The marshals and generals were encouraged by Mao’s support for Xiao. As the meeting reconvened the next day, they vented their rage, excoriating the CCRSG for persecuting army officers and inciting the rebels against the armed forces. Ye Jianying pounded the table so hard as he spoke that he fractured the bone in his right hand.

The second “great disturbance” took place at Huairn Hall in the Zhongnanhai compound where veteran leaders in charge of the daily affairs of the Party, the government, and the army met members of the CCRSG at briefing sessions chaired by Premier Zhou Enlai. At the meeting on the afternoon of 11 February, Marshal Ye Jianying reproached Kang Sheng, Chen Boda, and Zhang Chunqiao for turning the party, the government, factories, and farms upside down and
for wanting now to stir up the military. He also asked them what they really had in mind when they seized power in Shanghai and adopted the name “Shanghai People’s Commune” without putting such important affairs of state through proper procedures of discussion at the Politburo. Marshal Xu Xiangqian slapped the table in anger and asked if their uprooting the army was aimed to take away the army’s proper role as the main support of the proletarian dictatorship. On 16 February, as the briefing session reconvened, Vice-Premier Tan Zhenlin confronted Zhang Chunqiao at the door, asking him why Chen Pixian, the former first secretary of the Shanghai party committee, was not in Beijing, since Chen, at Zhou Enlai’s suggestion and with Mao Zedong’s approval, was supposed to come to Beijing and be shielded from the abuses of the Red Guards.

At the meeting, Tan once again raised the Chen Pixian question. Joined by other vice-premiers, including Chen Yi, Yu Qiuli, and Li Xiannian, Tan reproached Zhang Chunqiao and other members of the CCRSG for aiming to get rid of all veteran cadres and eliminate party leadership. Tan called the Cultural Revolution the cruelest instance of struggle in party history, while Chen Yi named it the biggest bi-gong-xin (conviction by forced confession) in all of Chinese history. Recalling the CCP rectification movement in Yan’an in the 1940s to make his criticism of China’s current situation suggestive and prophetic, Chen Yi pointed out that the top-ranking leaders who were being denounced, including Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping, had previously been among Mao’s closest supporters. As Li Xiannian blamed an editorial in the party organ Red Flag for initiating attacks on veteran cadres, Zhou Enlai showed his alliance with the veterans with a question to Kang Sheng: “Such an important matter, why didn’t you let us read about it first?” Xie Fuzhi, vice-premier and minister of public security, on the other hand, time and again sided with members of the CCRSG.

Immediately after the meeting, on the night of 16 February, Zhang Chunqiao, Yao Wenyuan, and Wang Li prepared the minutes of the briefing sessions. Jiang Qing arranged to have the sessions reported to Mao on 17 February before Zhou Enlai had a chance to see Mao. In the meantime, Chen Yi continued to criticize certain measures of the Cultural Revolution in a long speech addressed to students on the evening of 16 February, while Tan Zhenlin wrote Lin Biao a letter on 17 February, denouncing the ultraleftists of the CCRSG in
the strongest possible language: “They are completely ruthless; one word and a person’s political life is done. . . . Our party is smeared beyond recognition.” Without mentioning her name, Tan spoke of Jiang Qing as “more of a terror than Wu Zetian” (Empress of the Tang Dynasty, who reigned 685–705). Lin Biao passed the letter to Mao with a comment that Tan’s thinking had unexpectedly deteriorated into confusion.

Mao’s immediate reaction to the news of the briefing sessions was fury. On the night of 18 February, Mao convened part of the Politburo to a meeting, during which he sharply criticized the marshals and vice-premiers. He considered the target of their protest to be himself and accused them of siding with the “black headquarters” of Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping and attempting to reverse the verdicts. Mao also ordered Tan Zhenlin, Chen Yi, and Xu Xiangqian to be on leave to conduct self-criticism. From 25 February to 18 March, seven party cell meetings were held in Huairen Hall to criticize Tan, Chen and others. At these meetings, members of the CCRSG accused the veteran leaders of creating a February Adverse Current to oppose Mao’s Cultural Revolution policies and protect a handful of capitalist-roaders. Kang Sheng called the Huairen Hall “disturbance” “a rehearsal for a kind of coup d’état, a rehearsal for a capitalist revival.” In the meantime, the CCRSG began to spread the news out of the Zhongnanhai compound and initiate a nationwide mass movement to criticize the February Adverse Current and to bring down the marshals and vice-premiers.

Mao, on the other hand, did not want the condemnation of the veterans to go that far. On 30 April, Mao invited the veterans to his home for a “gathering for unity” and allowed them to watch fireworks from Tiananmen on 1 May, the International Labor Day. As these old cadres’ names were listed in all the newspapers on May Day indicating Mao’s judgment, Jiang Qing and her supporters in the CCRSG had to halt for the moment their Retaliation Against the February Adverse Current campaign. They raised the issue again in October 1968 at the Twelfth Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee and in April 1969 at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP, but, without much encouragement from Mao, they could not carry the issue further. After Lin Biao’s downfall in September 1971, Mao essentially reversed his critical attitude and spoke of the “great disturbance at Huairen Hall” as an act against Lin Biao, Chen Boda,
and Wang-Guan-Qi (the alleged Anti-Party Clique). He suggested that the February Adverse Current not be mentioned again.

In early 1979, the CCP Central Committee redressed the February Adverse Current case. Since then the reactionary-sounding referent has often been rephrased in official media as a “February resistance” to indicate the righteousness in the veteran leaders’ clashes with the ultraleftist forces within the CCP during the Cultural Revolution.

**FEBRUARY MUTINY.** This was a rumor used by Kang Sheng in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution to persecute Peng Zhen and He Long. In February 1966, as directed by the Central Military Commission, the Beijing Military Region began organizing a regiment in its Garrison Command to train militia and maintain security, but a suitable barracks was not immediately available. Since some college students were in the countryside participating in the Socialist Education Movement at the time, the Garrison Command at first negotiated with Peking University and the People’s University about the possibility of quartering the troops temporarily in student dormitories but then decided to give up the idea and seek shelter elsewhere. By July 1966, Peng Zhen, mayor of Beijing, was already denounced as a “black gang” member and an “anti-Party element.” Some students at Peking University, in an information-exchange and brainstorming session, recalled the negotiation and began to speculate on a possible connection between the housing issue raised in February and the February Outline that Peng Zhen had helped to produce. Pure speculation soon led to the writing of a big-character poster titled “The Mind-Boggling February Mutiny” in which the dormitory negotiation was assumed to be preparation for a coup by Peng Zhen and Deputy Mayor Liu Ren.

Speaking at a mass rally at Beijing Normal University on 27 July, Kang Sheng charged Peng Zhen and other leaders of the Beijing party committee with plotting a coup. In September 1966, Kang began to incriminate Marshal He Long with sensational details about the so-called February Mutiny: that He Long mustered troops and built fortresses in Beijing suburbs, that people at the National Sports Commission led by He Long were equipped with guns, and that cannons were set in Shichahai Park and were aimed at the Zhongnanhai compound. In the capacity of adviser to the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group, Kang Sheng’s charges were widely believed
to have been based on credible evidence. On 29 June 1974, the CCP
Central Committee (CC) issued a formal notice rehabilitating He
Long which dismisses the February Mutiny as pure rumor. But Kang
Sheng’s role in this notorious persecution case was not mentioned
until 1980 when the CC formally concluded the investigation of the
February Mutiny case, denouncing Kang Sheng for his use of rumor
to bring down Peng Zhen and He Long.

FEBRUARY OUTLINE (1966). Officially titled “Five-Person Cul-
tural Revolution Small Group’s Outline Report Concerning the
Current Academic Discussion,” this CCP document was issued to
party organizations nationwide on 12 February 1966 as a guideline
for the ongoing political criticism of literary and academic writing.
The Politburo’s condemnation of this document three months later
marked the official beginning of the Cultural Revolution.

Since Yao Wenyuan’s critique of Wu Han’s historical play Hai Rui
Dismissed from Office was first carried in Shanghai’s Wenhui Daily on
10 November 1965, the fire of political criticism had been spreading
rapidly across China. Criticism was getting more militant and threat-
ened to implicate more well-known authors. In the meantime, Mao
Zedong’s comment that the “vital area” of Wu’s play is dismissal and
that Peng Dehuai (a minister of defense dismissed in 1959) is also
Hai Rui oriented the movement toward current politics. To provide
guidance for the ongoing political movement, Peng Zhen, mayor of
Beijing and head of the Five-Person Group, convened an enlarged
meeting of the group on 3 February 1966. The ideas discussed at the
meeting were summarized by deputy directors of the CCP Propaganda
Department Xu Liqun and Yao Zhen in the form of an outline report.

The outline was approved by the Politburo Standing Committee on
5 February and by Mao on 8 February. It was issued as an official doc-
ument on 12 February. The outline affirms the criticism of Wu Han
and the discussion and debate such criticism inspired. It defines the
current debate as a great struggle of the proletariat against bourgeois
ideas in ideological and academic spheres. On the other hand, it seems
also to try to retain as much liberal attitude as circumstances permit. It
demands that academic discussions “follow the principles of seeking
the truth and of everyone being equal in front of the truth, convince
others with reason, and not intimidate others with the arbitrariness
and the authority of a scholar-tyrant.” The outline also advises caution
in criticizing a person by name in a newspaper or magazine.
In late March, Mao, contradicting his initial support for the February Outline, called it erroneous and criticized the Five-Person Group, the CCP Propaganda Department, and the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee. At the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966, Peng Zhen was branded head of the Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique largely due to his attempt to limit the issues of a cultural revolution to literary and academic matters. The May 16 Circular, approved at the meeting and considered to be one of the programmatic documents of the Cultural Revolution, was essentially an item-by-item refutation of the February Outline. See also MAY 16 CIRCULAR.

**FIRST MARXIST-LENINIST BIG-CHARACTER POSTER.** This was a common reference to the big-character poster “What Are Song Shuo, Lu Ping, and Peng Peiyun Really Doing during the Cultural Revolution?” by seven faculty members of the Department of Philosophy at Peking University: Nie Yuanzi, Song Yixiu, Xia Jianzhi, Yang Keming, Zhao Zhengyi, Gao Yunpeng, and Li Xingchen. Most of the coauthors participated in the discussion and revision of the first, second, and third draft versions of the poster, which were written, respectively, by Zhao, Song, and Yang, but, because of Nie’s position as party secretary of the department and a senior faculty member, her signature tops the others’ on the final version that was mounted on the wall of a school dining hall on 25 May 1966. Having this particular poster broadcast nationwide on 1 June was one of the most decisive moves Chairman Mao Zedong made to mobilize the masses and stir up the nation for the Cultural Revolution. The poster became known as the “first Marxist-Leninist big-character poster” when Mao called it such in early August in his “Bombarding the Headquarters—My Own Big-Character Poster.”

The poster accuses Song Shuo, deputy director of the university department of the Beijing municipal party committee, Lu Ping, president of Peking University, and Peng Peiyun, deputy-party secretary of the university, of conspiring with the Beijing municipal committee in an attempt to suppress the revolutionary activities of the faculty, staff and students in the name of “strengthening the leadership” and contain the ongoing Cultural Revolution on campus in a theoretical and academic discussion. Their actions were, according to the authors, revisionist and counterrevolutionary.

Despite its high-flown political rhetoric, the poster had much to do with an internal political conflict during the Socialist Education
Movement between Nie and her colleagues, on the one hand, and Lu Ping and the university party committee, on the other: in 1964, Nie and her colleagues had accused Lu and his party committee of carrying out a bourgeois line but eventually lost the political battle after the Beijing municipal party committee led by Peng Zhen stepped in to support Lu, discounting the accusations by Nie and her colleagues. To assist Lu, the municipal committee appointed Song Shuo a leading member of the Peking University Socialist Education Movement work team and made Peng Peiyun a deputy party secretary of the university. The new political movement now provided an opportunity for the comeback of Nie and her colleagues. They wrote the poster also with the knowledge of the downfall of Peng Zhen, along with the entire Beijing municipal committee, at the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966. Furthermore, according to Nie, as they were drafting the poster, they gained moral support from Cao Yi’ou, wife of Kang Sheng and head of an investigation group sent to Peking University by Kang on 14 May 1966.

Since more than a thousand big-character posters that appeared on campus shortly after the poster of the Nie group demonstrated more opposition than support, Yang Keming sought help from Cao Yi’ou. Eventually, on 1 June, a copy of the poster reached Mao via Kang Sheng, and Mao’s reaction was swift and positive. Closely following Mao’s directive, the Central People’s Radio broadcast the poster at 8:30 p.m., and, on the following day, the People’s Daily published the entire text of the poster under the banner headline “Seven Comrades at Peking University Uncover Secret Plot.” The paper also carried a commentary entitled “Hail the Big-Character Poster at Peking University.” The unprecedented publicity for a short big-character poster ignited the fire of a mass movement, especially on school campuses, across China that challenged the CCP leadership at various levels. At the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee (1–12 August 1966), Mao called the poster the “declaration of the Paris Commune of the 1960s—Beijing Commune” and the “first Marxist-Leninist big-character poster in China,” which sent another shock wave across the country, and this time the challenge was aimed squarely at what Mao called the “bourgeois headquarters”—soon to be revealed as President Liu Shaoqi and his supporters in the party leadership.

of “armed uprising” (wuzhuang qiyi), this is allegedly a plan for an armed coup devised in March 1971 in Shanghai by Lin Liguo, son of Lin Biao, and some young People’s Liberation Army (PLA) officers close to him, including Yu Xinye, who was said to have drafted the Summary, Zhou Yuchi, and Li Weixin. The document was reportedly discovered after the September 13 Incident in a red notebook left by Lin Liguo and his associates at a secret depot at an air force academy in Beijing. On 14 November 1971, the CCP Central Committee issued nationwide a document that includes the 571 Project Summary as evidence of the alleged armed coup by Lin Biao and his supporters, though no evidence was given concerning Lin Biao’s involvement in the making of the Summary.

The nine-part Summary claims that a power struggle is going on and that the other side (the Jiang Qing group) is planning to replace Lin Biao with someone else as Mao Zedong’s successor. Mao, referred to by the code name “B-52” in the Summary, is perceived as no longer trusting Lin Biao and his supporters in the army; as a result, the power struggle is “going in a direction that will benefit those working with pens but not those holding guns.” Therefore, rather than waiting to be eliminated, “we”—that is, Lin Biao and his associates—shall launch a “violent revolution,” starting with a military action followed by political control, to stop the current “counter-revolution in the manner of peaceful evolution.” For this purpose, the alleged designers of the Summary prefer to “round up all the high-ranking cadres while they are at a meeting” and force Mao to give up power, but “poison gas, bacterial weapons, bombing, 543 [a missile], car accident, assassination, kidnapping, and urban guerrilla troops” may also be employed if necessary.

Aside from details concerning the armed coup, the Summary contains a series of diagnoses of China’s current political situation that actually articulated the widespread, and yet very much self-censored, discontents of the nation. Among such diagnoses are “the core ruling clique is very unstable in their infighting among themselves for power and profits,” “peasants lack food and clothing,” “educated youths’ going up to the mountains and down to the countryside is virtually forced labor in disguise,” “Red Guards were deceived and used as cannon fodder at the outset (of the Cultural Revolution) and were later put down as scapegoats,” “cadres’ going to May 7 Cadre Schools is virtually job loss in disguise,” and “the freezing of workers’ wages is nothing but exploitation.”
The Summary was also known for its sharp criticism of Mao. According to the Summary, Mao is not a real Marxist-Leninist; rather, he has abused the trust of the Chinese people and become the “Qin-shihuang (First Emperor of Qin, known for his despotism) of modern China.” The Summary dismisses Chinese socialism as fascism and Mao as a paranoid, a sadistic persecutor, and the “biggest feudal tyrant in Chinese history.”

Ironically, when the Summary was distributed nationwide in late 1971 as evidence of Lin Biao’s crimes, many readers, though horrified and disgusted by the alleged coup, nevertheless found its criticism of Mao and the Cultural Revolution to be an echo of their own judgment. Many considered the downfall of Lin Biao and the release of the 571 Project Summary to be the beginning of their disillusionment about Chinese politics and of their consciously critical judgment of the Cultural Revolution.

“FIVE OLD PIECES”. This was a common reference to the five most popular works of Mao Zedong endorsed by the central leadership as core material for political studies during the Cultural Revolution. As an expansion of the “Three Old Pieces” (“The Foolish Old Man Who Removed the Mountains,” “In Memory of Norman Bethune,” and “Serve the People”), already popular before the Cultural Revolution, the “Five Old Pieces” included two more essays: “On Rectifying Wrong Ideas in the Party” (1929) and “Oppose Liberalism” (1939).

FIVE-PERSON CULTURAL REVOLUTION SMALL GROUP (wenhua geming wuren xiaozu). This group was formed in early July 1964 to lead a rectification movement in literature and art circles, which turned out to be an immediate prelude of the Cultural Revolution. On 12 December 1963, and again, on 27 June 1964, Mao Zedong harshly criticized the CCP leaders in literature and art circles for deviating from socialist principles and promoting what he considered to be feudalist and bourgeois art. In his judgment, the CCP leadership in this area had been off course from the correct party policies since 1949. Based on Mao’s criticism and at Mao’s proposal, the CCP Central Committee (CC) decided to conduct rectification in literature and art circles and set up a five-person group to lead the movement. The group consisted of Peng Zhen, Lu Dingyi, Kang Sheng, Zhou Yang, and Wu Lengxi. Peng, mayor and first party secretary of Beijing, was
appointed head of the group, while Lu, director of the propaganda department of the CC and a vice-premier of the State Council, served as deputy head. After Yao Wenyuan published "On the New Historical Drama Hairui Dismissed from Office" in Shanghai’s Wenhui Daily in November 1965 attacking Wu Han, a renowned historian and a deputy mayor of Beijing, Peng ordered Beijing’s newspapers not to reprint Yao’s article, without knowing Mao’s full support for Yao.

In February 1966, as the criticism of Wu Han continued, the five-person group submitted to the CC a policy guideline entitled Outline Report Concerning the Current Academic Discussion, also known as February Outline. Initiated largely by Peng Zhen, the document attempted to confine the criticism of Wu and a few other writers and scholars to the realm of academia and prevent it from becoming a high-pitched political condemnation campaign. With Mao’s approval, the CC quickly distributed the document nationwide. In late March, however, Mao began to criticize the February Outline and accused the Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group of suppressing the Left and protecting the Right. At the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966, the central leadership announced decisions to revoke the February Outline, to dissolve the Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group, and to form a new Central Cultural Revolution Small Group under the Politburo. And with the passage of the May 16 Circular as a critique of the February Outline, the Cultural Revolution was officially launched.


FOUR FELLOWS (si tiao hanzi). The modern Chinese writer Lu Xun coined this pejorative term to refer to Tian Han, Zhou Yang, Xia Yan, and Yang Hansheng in one of his polemics written in 1936. The “Four Fellows” was used again during the Cultural Revolution and publicized much more broadly by the critics of the four persons—all of them now holding important positions in literary and artistic circles: Zhou was a deputy minister of culture and a deputy head of the CCP Propaganda Department; Tian, president of the China Federation of Literature and Art Circles and president and party secretary of the Association of Chinese Dramatists; Xia, a deputy minister of culture (until 1965) and president of the Association of Chinese Film Artists;
and Yang, party secretary of the China Federation of Literature and Art Circles. With Lu Xun’s harsh remark already a liability, the four writers and officials were accused of having carried out a “black line” in the area of literature and art against Mao Zedong’s revolutionary policies since 1949, and they were among the first to fall from power during the Cultural Revolution.

FOUR GREATS (sige weida). This is a reference to Chairman Mao Zedong’s honorific title “great teacher, great leader, great commander, and great helmsman.” In a speech delivered at the mass rally of 18 August 1966, Chen Boda called Mao “the great leader, the great teacher, and the great helmsman.” At the same event, Lin Biao spoke of Mao as the “great commander” of the Cultural Revolution. Lin used the four phrases together for the first time in a public speech on 31 August 1966. Lin’s handwritten slogan “Long live great teacher, great leader, great commander, and great helmsman Chairman Mao” turned out to be a most widely printed piece of calligraphy during the Cultural Revolution.

FOUR NEVER-FORGETS. This is a common reference to “Never forget class struggle, never forget proletarian dictatorship, never forget stressing politics, and never forget holding high the great red banner of Mao Zedong Thought,” one of the most popular political slogans used at mass rallies and struggle meetings during the Cultural Revolution. See also LIN BIAO–MAY 18 SPEECH.

FOUR OLDS (sijiu). A short form for “old ideas, old culture, old customs, and old habits.” See DESTROY THE FOUR OLDS.

FOURTH INTERNATIONAL COUNTERREVOLUTIONARY CLIQUE. Labeled by the government as counterrevolutionary, this was a reading group active in the first half of the 1970s. The leader of the group was Xu Xiao, an educated youth from Beijing. Xu was inspired by her older friend Zhao Yifan’s book-reading salon and organized a correspondent group of some 20 young workers and PLA soldiers in Beijing, Shanghai, and Shanxi Province in the early 1970s. The members of the group shared volumes from two internally published book series known as grey books and yellow books. They wrote to one another describing what they learned from the books
and discussed current politics in their letters without knowing that their correspondence was being monitored by government censors. All members of the group were arrested and imprisoned in late 1975 on the charges of circulating items of counterrevolutionary literature, exchanging ideas against CCP leaders, and opposing the campaign to criticize Lin and criticize Confucius. The label “Fourth International” by which the government named the group was based on an accusation that Xu Xiao and Zhao Yifan attempted to organize a group to initiate a new stage of the international communist movement. The members of the “Fourth International Counterrevolutionary Clique” were rehabilitated in 1978.

FOURTH NATIONAL PEOPLE’S CONGRESS (13–17 January 1975). The only National People’s Congress held during the Cultural Revolution, the meeting opened in Beijing shortly after the Second Plenum of the Tenth CCP Central Committee presided over by Premier Zhou Enlai in which Deng Xiaoping was elected vice-chairman of the Central Committee and member of the Politburo Standing Committee. Three days before the plenum, Deng was also appointed vice-chairman of the Central Military Commission and chief of the general staff of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA). In his “Report on Government Work” delivered at the Fourth National People’s Congress, Zhou reaffirmed the economic blueprint approved by the pre-Cultural Revolution Third National People’s Congress (21 December 1964–4 January 1965) for accomplishing “four modernizations” (the modernization of agriculture, industry, national defense, and science and technology) to make China a strong socialist country by the end of the 20th century. With strong support from Zhou (who was then suffering from cancer and ready to transfer his responsibilities to Deng) and the approval of Chairman Mao Zedong, the Fourth Congress appointed Deng Xiaoping first premier of the State Council. The stage was set for Deng’s 1975 “overall rectification.”

On the political and ideological front, however, the Fourth Congress upheld Mao’s radical policies. Both Zhou Enlai’s speech and Zhang Chunqiao’s “Report on Revising the Constitution” affirmed the party’s “basic line (of class existence and class struggle) for the entire socialist period” and Mao’s theory of continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat. In defining the revolutionary committee at a local level as both the permanent organ of the
local People’s Congress and the local government body, the revised constitution sanctified the power structure established during the Cultural Revolution and granted it both legislative and administrative authority. The 1975 constitution also became the first one to rule officially that the power of a political party was superior to that of the state: following Mao’s suggestion, it abolished the office of the president of the nation; it stipulated that the National People’s Congress was the highest institution of power under the leadership of the CCP and that the chairman of the CCP was also commander-in-chief of all the armed forces. With nonparty members constituting only 23.2% of all delegates attending the Fourth Congress, the lowest nonparty representation of any congress in the PRC history, and with the so-called “democratic consultation” rather than grassroots election as the way of selecting congressional delegates, the people’s congress became a euphemism for party dominance.

FU CHONGBI (1916–2003). Named commanding officer of the Beijing Garrison Command in 1966, General Fu was persecuted by Lin Biao and Jiang Qing in 1968 as a member of the so-called Yang-Yu-Fu Anti-Party Clique. Born in Tongjiang, Sichuan Province, Fu joined the Red Army in 1932 and became a member of the CCP in the following year. Due to his outstanding military service, Fu was named major general in 1955 and became commander of 10th Brigade of the North-China Military Region and then commander of the People’s Liberation Army 19th Division. In addition to the position of deputy commander of the Beijing Military Region that he had held since 1965, Fu was made commanding officer of the Beijing Garrison Command in 1966. In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution when Mao-supported cultural revolutionaries in the central leadership called upon mass organizations to attack a great number of senior party and military officials, Fu Chongbi, in the capacity of the Beijing Garrison Commander, followed instructions from Premier Zhou Enlai and provided certain protection for some senior officials to the displeasure of Jiang Qing and her followers in the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG).

In March 1968, when Lin Biao sought support from the Jiang Qing group for the removal of Generals Yang Chengwu and Yu Lijin, Jiang asked Lin to dismiss Fu as well. As a result of this political bargain, Lin, with the approval of Mao Zedong, named the three generals a Yang-Yu-Fu Anti-Party Clique in March 1968. The charge against Fu
was that he led solders to storm the office of the CCRSG, while the truth was that Fu and three military officers were there for official business with the permission of the CCRSG. Nevertheless, Fu was arrested on this blatantly false charge and was imprisoned for more than six years. After the downfall of Lin Biao and his associates in the army in September 1971, Mao began to seek support from other military factions. He acknowledged some of his mistakes in December 1973, and the CCP Central Committee (CC) dismissed the charges against Yang, Yu, and Fu in July 1974. Fu was reappointed as deputy commander of the Beijing Military Region in 1975 and commanding officer of the Beijing Garrison Command in 1977. In March 1979, the CC officially rehabilitated the case of the Yang-Yu-Fu Affair by publicizing its 1974 decision for the first time. Fu died on 17 January 2003.

FU LEI (1908–1966). A native of Shanghai, Fu Lei read Chinese classics at an early age and was trained in literature and the arts in Paris in his early twenties. Starting his career as a translator of foreign—mostly French—literature in the 1930s, Fu put into Chinese major novels by Honoré de Balzac and Romain Rolland and tales of Voltaire. His translated works totaling five million words, Fu Lei was one of the few prolific, refined, and truly great translators in China. He was also a highly respected literary and art critic and a fine letter-writer in his own right. In 1957, Fu was denounced as a Rightist during the Anti-Rightist Campaign. In the summer of 1966 when the Cultural Revolution broke out, Fu’s house was ransacked by the Red Guards. He and his wife Zhu Meifu became targets of the revolution, subject to public humiliation and physical abuse. In the early hours of 3 September 1966, Fu Lei and Zhu Meifu hanged themselves at home to protest the humiliation and torture they suffered at struggle meetings. On 26 April 1979, the Shanghai literature and art circles held a memorial service for Fu and Zhu. At the memorial service, an official announcement was made that naming Fu Lei as a Rightist in 1957 was a mistake and that all accusations against him in 1966 were groundless.
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GANG OF FOUR (sirenbang). A popular name for the group of diehard cultural revolutionaries in the CCP leadership consisting of
Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, Yao Wenyuan, and Wang Hongwen, the phrase “Gang of Four” was first used by Chairman Mao Zedong in 1974 to criticize the factionalism of the four and was later adopted by the CCP Central Committee (CC) in October 1976 as an alternative reference to the “Wang Hongwen, Zhang Chunqiao, Jiang Qing, and Yao Wenyuan Anti-Party Clique.” The ordering of the names was according to the rankings of the four in the CCP leadership at the time when they were arrested. Later, the official reference to the group was changed to the “Jiang Qing Counterrevolutionary Clique” since Jiang was the real leader of the group.

The Gang of Four was an alliance of Mao’s wife Jiang Qing with three ultraleftists originally based in Shanghai. In 1964 and 1965, Jiang, who had no official position in the government, followed Mao’s instructions and began to be active in the area of literature and the arts. As her push for a revolution in art and literature met resistance in Beijing, she went to Shanghai and received much support from Zhang Chunqiao, a secretary of the CCP Shanghai municipal committee. The two entrusted Yao Wenyuan, director of the art and literature department of the newspaper Liberation Daily, with the writing of a critique of Wu Han’s historical play Hai Rui Dismissed from Office. The publication of Yao’s article in Wenhui Daily on 10 November 1965 was generally considered to be the “blasting fuse” of the Cultural Revolution. In May 1966, when the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) was formed, Jiang became first deputy head, Zhang deputy head, and Yao a member of the group. In November 1966, Wang Hongwen, a cadre in the security section of Shanghai No. 17 Textile Factory and commander of the mass organization Shanghai Workers Command Post, became known for his involvement in a railway blockade at Anting. In February 1967, when the Shanghai revolutionary committee was formed, replacing the old municipal government agencies, Zhang became chairman, Yao and Wang vice-chairmen, though Zhang and Yao stayed most of the time in Beijing for their duties in the CCRSG.

The four became more closely associated after Wang was assigned work in Beijing in September 1972 at Mao’s suggestion, and especially after the Tenth National Congress of the CCP in August 1973 at which Wang delivered the report on the revising of the party constitution and was made vice-chairman of the CC and a member of the Politburo Standing Committee. Wang’s meteoric rise to the third
highest position (after Mao and Zhou Enlai) in the central leadership indicated that Mao was making Wang his successor after the downfall of Lin Biao. Also at the CCP Tenth National Congress, Zhang entered the Politburo Standing Committee, while both Jiang and Yao continued to be members of the Politburo. At the enlarged Politburo sessions of 25 November–5 December 1973, Jiang Qing, with Mao’s approval, formed a “help group” to criticize Zhou Enlai. The group included Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, Yao Wenyuan, Wang Hongwen, Wang Dongxing, and Hua Guofeng. After a few meetings, Wang Dongxing and Hua Guofeng withdrew, and Jiang, Zhang, Yao, and Wang remained close as a group after the Politburo sessions. Frequently meeting at Diaoyutai, they formed an alliance to undermine the efforts of the State Council led by Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping to deradicalize government policies and to carry out a modernization program. Following Mao’s instructions, Jiang, Zhang, Yao, and Wang led such political campaigns as the Criticize Lin and Criticize Confucius, Criticize Confucianism and Evaluate Legalism (piru pingfa; see Confucianism vs. Legalism), and Water Margin Appraisal, all aimed at attacking Zhou and Deng by insinuation.

In the last two years of his life, however, Mao criticized the four on several occasions. The criticism did not concern their ideology, which was closest to Mao’s; rather, Mao was warning them against “factionalism”—the “little faction of four” was the phrase Mao used first, and then the “Gang of Four”—because, apparently, he was concerned that his most trusted cultural revolutionaries, sticking together and lacking political tactics, were isolated from the rest of the central leadership. Eventually, the four had become so unpopular that Mao made Hua Guofeng his successor.

On 6 October 1976, within a month of Mao’s death, Jiang, Zhang, Yao, and Wang were arrested in Beijing on orders of Hua Guofeng, Wang Dongxing, and Ye Jianying in the name of the central leadership. The arrest of the Gang of Four marked the official end of the Cultural Revolution. When the news was made public on 14 October, spontaneous celebrations took place across the nation. Between December 1976 and September 1977, the CC issued three collections of the criminal evidence against the Gang of Four, holding them responsible for virtually all of the excesses of the Cultural Revolution. At the Third Plenum of the CCP Tenth Central Committee, held in Beijing on 16–21 July 1977, a resolution was passed that Wang,
Zhang, Jiang, and Yao (names listed in this order) be dismissed from their official posts and expelled from the party.

**GOLDEN ROAD (jinguang dadao).** This is a multivolume novel written by Hao Ran and published by Beijing People’s Literature Press in 1972 (volume one), 1975 (volume two), and 1994 (volumes one to four). Focusing on the theme of class struggle and the conflict between two “roads” (the capitalist and the socialist roads), in its first two volumes the novel traces the collectivization of agriculture that peasants at Fangcaodi Village undertook in the 1950s. Writing the novel during the Cultural Revolution, Hao Ran took the eight model dramas as the model and adopted artistic formulas promoted by Jiang Qing, such as “three prominences” and “thematic priority”; he used these formulas so closely as to make the name of his protagonist, Gao Daquan, echo Jiang’s creative principle for a positive heroic figure: “high” (gao), “large” (da), and “perfect” (quan; literally “spring” but punning on “perfect”). As a result, Hao Ran’s work was well received by Jiang Qing and her followers in artistic circles, and Hao Ran himself was accorded considerable political privilege. In fact, for quite some time during the Cultural Revolution, he and Lu Xun were the only fiction writers of note with works still in print, so much so that Hao Ran was the “one author” in the novelist Mao Dun’s dismissal of the artistically barren Cultural Revolution as the age of “eight model dramas and one author.” After the Cultural Revolution, Hao Ran remained productive, but his Golden Road was criticized for embracing ultraleftist dogma and misrepresenting the life of Chinese peasants.

**GRAND FESTIVAL (Shengda de jieri).** This film was under production at Shanghai Film Studio in October 1976 when the Gang of Four, its political supporters in Beijing, were arrested. The film, therefore, was never finished. The story of Grand Festival is based on the Anting Incident (1966), in which Wang Hongwen and Zhang Chunqiao played leading roles challenging the authority of the CCP Shanghai municipal committee. Similar roles are given to the two high-minded heroes in the story—Tiegen, a rebel leader at the Railway Bureau, and Jingfeng, deputy party secretary of the Bureau—while two ranking officials representing the status quo are referred to as diehard capitalist-roaders. The historical January
Storm is also in the background of the story. Grand Festival was first produced as a stage drama in Shanghai on 16 May 1976 to mark the 10th anniversary of the adoption of the May 16 Circular. It was adapted to a screenplay by order of the current municipal leadership of Shanghai within the week of its stage production. The shooting of the film started in mid-August but was stopped in mid-October after the fall of the Gang of Four. The film was immediately denounced as a key work of “conspiratorial literature” that served a blatantly political purpose.

GREAT NETWORKING (da chuanlian). Participated in by tens of millions of students and teachers, the “Great Networking” was a nationwide traveling activity initiated by students and encouraged by Mao Zedong as a way to mobilize the masses and spread the fire of the Cultural Revolution from Beijing to other parts of the country. The big-character poster by Nie Yuanzi and six others attacking the party leadership of Peking University shook the country after it was broadcast nationwide on 1 June 1966. Students and teachers began to stream into Peking University and other college campuses in Beijing to experience the revolution firsthand in order to wage battles against the authorities of their own institutions—in Beijing and elsewhere. Mutual exchanges of ideas and experiences took place as well. Many students in other parts of the country who felt that the local authorities were trying to put down their rebellion would also come to Beijing to these campuses and to the reception office established by the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) to seek sympathy and support. This was the beginning of the Great Networking.

By early August 1966, hundreds of thousands of visitors—many now called themselves Red Guards—were in Beijing, and tens of thousands continued to flood in every day. At the Mass Rally of 18 August 1966, Mao came out to receive and inspect an army of one million Red Guards and revolutionary masses in Tiananmen Square. Many of them were visitors. In order to have a glimpse of the Chairman in person, many more came to Beijing from all over the country. In the meantime, Beijing students began to travel to other parts of the country to support their comrades in their battles against local authorities and help them keep up with the development in Beijing. Some established provincial and city liaison offices for their organizations, while mass organizations from other parts of the country
also established their own liaison offices in Beijing. On 31 August 1966 when Mao received Red Guards the second time in Tiananmen Square, Premier Zhou Enlai, representing the central leadership, spoke in support of the Great Networking.

On 5 September, the CCP Central Committee (CC) and the State Council (SC) issued a circular, approving travel for networking purposes by students and teachers of colleges and middle schools and granting free transportation and full accommodation to visitors in Beijing. Though the grand fee waiver was supposed to be applicable in the nation’s capital alone, this policy was actually carried out in other places as well. Following orders from the central leadership, municipal authorities of Beijing converted tens of thousands of warehouses, auditoriums, and classrooms to reception centers to host “Chairman Mao’s guests,” as the visitors were called at the time. The municipal authorities also demanded that Beijing citizens donate blankets, comforters, and pillows for the networkers to use. A similar situation also occurred in other big cities and in such “revolutionary holy lands” (geming shengdi) as Shaoshan (Mao’s hometown), Jinggangshan (where Mao established the first communist base), Zunyi (the site of a party meeting at which Mao’s leadership was beginning to be established), and Yan’an. Mao received Red Guards six more times between September and November 1966, each time drawing at least a million people to Beijing. Some students also formed Long March Teams and traveled on foot for hundreds or even thousands of miles to Beijing and other places.

The Great Networking that helped Mao to mobilize the masses and build an army of rebels against old party authorities across China also threw the country into chaos. During a four-month period, shipments of millions of tons of goods were delayed so that trains carrying networkers might run, which was a heavy blow to the country’s economy that had already slowed down considerably since the Cultural Revolution began in mid-1966. Many people took advantage of free travel, roaming the country for sightseeing or to visit friends and relatives, while the Cultural Revolution movement in their own institutions halted. The country’s transportation system was so overburdened that crowded buses, boats, and trains ran far beyond their capacity for months and were utterly unable to keep to their regular schedules.

By the end of October, it had become clear to central leaders that the Great Networking must stop. Since winter was approaching, the mat-
ter became more urgent because hundreds of thousands of reception centers in the country were not equipped for cold weather. In November and December, the CC and the SC issued a number of notices to halt the Great Networking temporarily—until spring. However, many networkers ignored orders and continued with their travels. On 19 March 1967, the CC announced that the Great Networking would not resume. In the following months, orders were issued to close all of the networker reception centers that had been set up by the government and many liaison offices that had been established by mass organizations. With these concrete measures, the Great Networking eventually came to an end.

GREY BOOKS AND YELLOW BOOKS (huipishu he huangpishu). Printed in the early and middle 1960s for restricted circulation, these translated foreign books were passed around in private among many unintended readers, especially students, during the Cultural Revolution and contributed much to their questioning of official ideology. Some 1,041 titles by well-known modern and contemporary writers of Western countries and of the Soviet-dominated Eastern Bloc were translated and published “internally” (neibu faxing) before the Cultural Revolution. These books can be divided into two groups: the one group with grey covers includes titles in a broad area of politics, law, and culture; the other group with yellow covers consists mostly of literary works. Among the most popular of the first group are William Lawrence Shirer’s The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich: A History of Nazi Germany, Theja Gunawardhana’s Krushchevism, Anna Louise Strong’s The Stalin Era, Leon Trotsky’s The Revolution Betrayed: What is the Soviet Union and Where is it Going? and Stalin, An Appraisal of the Man and His Influence, Milovan Djilas’s The New Class, An Analysis of the Communist System, Friedrich A. Hayek’s The Road to Serfdom, Adam Schaff’s Structuralism and Marxism, Jules Michelet’s History of the French Revolution, Nikita S. Krushchev’s Conquest Without War, and Edward Crankshaw’s Krushchev: A Career. The most popular “yellow books” include I. G. Erenburg’s People and Life: Memoirs of 1891–1917 and The Thaw, Konstantin Mikhailovich Simonov’s trilogy The Living and the Dead, Alexander Solzhenitsyn’s One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich, Jean Paul Sartre’s Nausea, Albert Camus’ The Outsider, John Osborne’s Look Back in Anger, Samuel Beckett’s Waiting for Godot, and J. D. Salinger’s The Catcher in The Rye.
Of particular and consistent interest to Chinese readers of the time is what seemed to them distant echoes of their experience, such as critical views of revolution by dissenting communists, the disillusionment of former revolutionaries, the “revisionist” (Khrushchevist) critique of Stalinism, and the sense of alienation and absurdity of modern humanity. Perhaps, the most notable perception that began to be formed during the Cultural Revolution and has caught the attention of more and more readers since then is the astonishing parallels of the Chinese Cultural Revolution with both Stalin’s purge in the Soviet Union and the Nazi movement in Germany in the 1930s. See also UNDERGROUND READING MOVEMENT.

GUAN FENG (1918–2006). Mao’s radical theorist, deputy editor-in-chief of the CCP official organ Red Flag, and a member of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), Guan was dismissed from office in August 1967 as a member of the Wang-Guan-Qi Anti-Party Clique.

Born in Dongqing, Shandong Province and originally named Zhou Yefeng, Guan joined the CCP in 1933. He was appointed president of the Shandong Political Academy in 1952 and vice-president of the Fourth Mid-Level Party School in 1955. When the CCP established its official organ Red Flag in 1958, Guan was named head of the journal’s philosophy group and a member of the editorial board and, later, deputy editor-in-chief. Guan emerged as one of the CCP’s leftist intellectuals around 1962 when he published several radical pieces in Chinese philosophy under the penname He Ming, which caught the attention of Mao Zedong.

In April and May 1966, at the preparation stage of the Cultural Revolution, Guan wrote several pieces attacking the so-called Three-Family Village Anti-Party Clique and the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee headed by Peng Zhen. An article he coauthored with Lin Jie criticizing Wu Han (Red Flag, 5 April 1966) and his own piece attacking two official publications of the Beijing party committee (Guangming Daily, 9 May 1966), both reprinted in People’s Daily, were quite influential. Guan was also involved in the drafting of the May 16 Circular. With Mao’s approval, Guan became a member of the newly formed CCRSG in May 1966. In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Guan played a significant role in bringing down Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping. During the campaign to criticize
the bourgeois reactionary line in late 1966 and early 1967, Guan and other CCRSG members pushed the rebel movement forward against the old party establishment. With the support of Lin Biao and Jiang Qing and with the approval of Mao, Guan Feng, Wang Li, Qi Benyu, and some other members of the CCRSG began to press the military to adopt Mao’s Cultural Revolution policies in 1967: in their public speeches and in several articles they wrote for official media, they called on the masses to “ferret out a small handful [of capitalist-roaders] inside the army,” which met strong resistance from the rank and file of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA). They also began to make similar radical moves in the area of foreign affairs.

Weighing revolutionary chaos against stability and order, Mao decided to remove Guan and his close comrades to keep order and to pacify the protesting PLA officials and senior party leaders soon after he received an accusatory report from Premier Zhou Enlai. Guan Feng was detained on 30 August 1967, and his long imprisonment began on 26 January 1968. In 1980, a court in Beijing named Guan an accomplice of the Lin Biao and the Jiang Qing counterrevolutionary cliques. He was officially expelled from the CCP at the same time. See also WANG-GUAN-QI AFFAIR.
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HAI RUI DISMISSED FROM OFFICE (1961). This is the title of a historical play by Wu Han. The publication of Yao Wenyuan’s critique “On the New Historical Drama Hai Rui Dismissed from Office” in Shanghai’s Wenhui Daily on 10 November 1965 was generally considered to be the “blasting fuse” of the Cultural Revolution.

At the Seventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee (2–5 April 1959), Mao Zedong spoke favorably of Hai Rui (1514–1587), a legendary upright official of the Ming Dynasty (1368–1644). Much concerned with the widespread fear of speaking the truth about the disastrous Great Leap Forward and the People’s Commune movements, Mao advised that one should learn from Hai Rui’s unbending character and forthright courage to speak. Mao’s openness to truth and criticism, however, was qualified by his own comment that although Hai Rui criticized the emperor, he was after all loyal to him. Three months later as the truth-talking Marshal Peng Dehuai was
criticized and denounced at the Lushan Conference (Enlarged Sessions of the Politiburo, 2 July–1 August 1959 and the Eighth Plenum of the Eighth Central Committee, 2–16 August) as the leader of an antiparty clique, Mao spoke of the “leftist” Hai Rui as the true Hai Rui and the “rightist” Hai Rui as the false one.

Between these two meetings, Hu Qiaomu, of the CCP Propaganda Department, suggested to Wu Han, a famed Ming historian and deputy mayor of Beijing, that he write about Hai Rui in support of Mao’s call for honesty and truthfulness. Wu soon published two articles on Hai Rui. And, at the invitation by the Peking Opera Company of Beijing, he labored on a play script for a year, went through seven revisions while the company was rehearsing it, and finally, at the end of 1960, completed it as Hai Rui Dismissed from Office. The play was first performed in Beijing in January 1961.

In 1962, Jiang Qing began to talk to Mao and those in cultural circles about Hai Rui Dismissed from Office as a play with serious problems. In 1964, Kang Sheng suggested to Mao that the play was related to the Lushan Conference and to the Peng Dehuai question. Jiang and Kang’s demand that the play be criticized was largely ignored in Beijing. In early 1965, with the support of Ke Qingshi, first secretary of the CCP Shanghai municipal committee, Jiang Qing planned an attack with Zhang Chunqiao, an alternate secretary of the Shanghai municipal committee and head of its propaganda department. They entrusted Yao Wenyuan with the task of writing a critical piece. For about eight months while Yao was working on the article, the writing was kept secret from top party leaders in Beijing except Mao, who read the article three times before it was published in Wenhui Daily on 10 November 1965. The article calls Wu Han’s play a “poisonous weed” and accuses the author of disparaging the present with a story of the past. In Yao’s view, the story of Hai Rui forcing local despots to give the seized land back to the peasants was used in the play as a historical echo of the “rightist” anticollectivization policies such as “returning the land” (tuitian) and “going it alone” (dan’gan), which temporarily reversed the radical principles of the Great Leap Forward and the People’s Commune. Likewise, the story of an upright official who is wronged echoed the cries of the early 1960s for a reversal of verdicts for the suppressed “class enemies.”

Yao’s far-fetched accusations and militant style shocked the academic world and inspired speculations on the background of the
attack. There was much resistance in Beijing to reprinting the article after quite a few provincial newspapers did. Mayor Peng Zhen, away from Beijing at the time, specifically instructed that the article not be reprinted until he came back. Upon hearing Jiang Qing’s report about the resistance from Beijing, Mao was convinced that the CCP Beijing municipal committee was an “impenetrable and watertight independent kingdom.” He suggested to Yao Wenyuan that the article be distributed nationwide in pamphlet form. The Beijing municipal committee finally gave in: Yao’s article was reprinted in the Beijing Daily on 29 November with an editor’s note stressing the importance of telling truth from falsehood and of allowing dissenting views in the discussion. On 30 November, the People’s Daily carried the article in its academic research section. The editorial comment was added following instructions from Premier Zhou Enlai and Mayor Peng Zhen. It insists that the discussion follow the principles of “letting a hundred flowers bloom and a hundred schools of thought contend” (baihuaqifang, baijiazhengming, as Mao famously put it), that there should be freedom both to criticize and to rebut, and that one needs to seek truth from facts and convince people with reasoned argument.

Mao, on the other hand, did not take such an academic approach. In late December, he made a devastating comment concerning Wu Han’s play that further politicized the issue. He said that Yao Wenyuan’s article was good but did not quite hit the vital part: “The vital point is dismissal. Emperor Jiaqing dismissed Hai Rui. We, in 1959, dismissed Peng Dehuai. Peng Dehuai is also Hai Rui.”

In the face of the heated debate over Hai Rui Dismissed, Peng Zhen, as head of the Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group, convened an enlarged group meeting on 3 February 1966 to discuss the Wu Han question. The meeting produced the “Outline Report Concerning the Current Academic Discussion” (commonly known as the February Outline), which was approved by the Standing Committee of the Politburo on 12 February as guidelines for the ongoing debate. Despite its leftist-sounding rhetoric, the February Outline was meant to limit discussion and debate within the academic sphere.

In his conversations with Kang Sheng, Jiang Qing, and Zhang Chunqiao in late March, however, Mao began to accuse the Five-Person Group and its Outline of obscuring class lines and confusing right and wrong and to blame the CCP Propaganda Department for suppressing the voice of the left. As a result of Mao’s new directives,
the next two months saw publication of major attacks on Wu Han by Guan Feng and Qi Benyu (both soon to be made members of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group) and a host of militant essays attacking a magazine column called “Notes from a Three-Family Village” to which Wu Han had been a principal contributor. In April, Peng Zhen was charged with “anti-Party crimes” at a top-level meeting. On 16 May, an enlarged Politburo session passed the “Circular of the CCP Central Committee” (which came to be known as the May 16 Circular) that delegitimized the February Outline and officially launched the Cultural Revolution. What originally appeared to be a critique of a historical play had now evolved into a full-scale nationwide political movement.

HAN AIJING (1946– ). One of the well-known “five Red Guard leaders” in Beijing, Han was head of the Red Flag Battalion at the Beijing Institute of Aeronautics and a prominent leader of the Capital College Red Guards’ Representative Assembly during the Cultural Revolution.

A native of Lianshui, Jiangsu Province, Han organized the nationally influential Red Flag Battalion at the Beijing Institute of Aeronautics, a rebel student organization that the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) strongly supported for its battle against the work group at the outset of the Cultural Revolution. During the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line of Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping, Chairman Mao Zedong and his radical supporters relied heavily on the Han-led Battalion as one of the ablest and most reliable mass organizations for attacking their political opponents. Following instructions from Qi Benyu, a member of the CCRSG, Han sent a team to Sichuan to kidnap Marshal Peng Dehuai to Beijing in December 1966 and then subjected him to brutal physical abuse in 1967 at two struggle meetings. Han was named head of the Revolutionary Committee at the Beijing Institute of Aeronautics as well as a member of the Standing Committee of Beijing Municipal Revolutionary Committee in 1967.

During their short political careers as Mao’s soldiers, Han and other rebel student leaders developed their own ambitions, and their organizations engaged in violent factional battles and sometimes became such an intractable mass force for the central leadership that,
in summer 1968, Mao finally decided to end the Red Guard movement. During his meeting with the five Red Guard leaders on the early morning of 28 July 1968, Mao sent a strong signal to Han and others that they should exit China’s political stage. Soon a Workers Propaganda Team and a PLA Propaganda Team were sent to the Beijing Institute of Aeronautics to take over power from Han and his fellow rebel students. Han was detained by the PLA Propaganda Team at the institute in 1971 and remained in custody until 1975.

After the downfall of the Gang of Four, the authorities formally arrested Han in 1978. Han was convicted as a counterrevolutionary and was sentenced to 15 years in prison in March 1983. He was convicted of a variety of crimes including instigating attacks on party and state officials and framing and persecuting innocent people. See also MAO ZEDONG—MEETING WITH THE FIVE RED GUARD LEADERS.

HAO LIANG. See QIAN HAOLIANG.

HE LONG (1896–1969). A top-ranking official of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) and one of the ten marshals of the People’s Republic of China (PRC), He Long was a vice-chairman of the Central Military Commission (CMC), a vice-premier of the State Council, and a member of the Politburo. He was a victim of Lin Biao’s power takeover in the army during the Cultural Revolution.

A native of Hunan Province, He Long was a well-known left-leaning general of the Northern Expedition. At the 1927 Nanchang Uprising, he served as commander-in-chief in response to the CCP’s call for military insurrection. One year later, He joined the CCP. He participated in the Long March as commander of the Red Army’s Second Front Army. He was commander of the 120th Division of the Communist-led Eighth Route Army during the war of resistance against Japan and a leader of the First Field Army of the PLA during the civil war in the second half of the 1940s. He continued to play an important role in both military and civil affairs after the founding of the PRC. He was in charge of the nation’s sports affairs as chairman of the State Physical Culture and Sports Commission beginning in 1952 and a vice-premier from 1954. He became a vice-chairman of the CMC in 1954 and began to take
charge of the daily affairs of the CMC in 1964. He was elected to the Politburo in 1956.

Leading a different faction of the army and having conflicts with He Long that resulted from the long history of army politics, Lin Biao began to plot against He Long at the beginning of the Cultural Revolution in order to gain full control of the CMC. With the support of the cultural revolutionaries, including Jiang Qing and Kang Sheng, Lin and his close associates in the military lodged a false charge against He, accusing him of having ambitions for military power and of plotting a mutiny in February 1966. Mao Zedong resisted Lin’s move at first, but, as the support of Lin and the Jiang Qing group for his Cultural Revolution program became increasingly indispensable, Mao finally approved their proposal to investigate He’s past in September 1967. Zhou Enlai, too, protected He Long initially and even sheltered him in his own residence from the rebelling masses. But Zhou eventually followed Mao’s decision and went along with the radicals’ accusations against He.

Consequently, He Long was placed under house arrest and began what was to be a hard life as a political prisoner; sometimes he was not even given enough food and water. He faced ruthless interrogations conducted by a special case group controlled by Lin Biao’s associates, which forced him to confess his past “crimes” of “turning a traitor” and “killing communists.” In the meantime, he was deprived of proper medical treatment for the diabetes from which he had been suffering for years. Mistreatment and grave illness eventually led to his death on 9 June 1969.

After the fall of Lin Biao in 1971, Mao began to blame Lin for the persecution of He Long and other ranking leaders. In 1974, Mao acknowledged that He was wronged. On 29 September 1974, the CCP Central Committee issued a circular to redress He Long’s case.

**HU SHOUJUN CLIQUE.** This was an underground reading group named counterrevolutionary by the government during the “One Strike and Three Antis” campaign in 1970. Hu Shoujun, the best-known member of the group, was the leader of a student rebel organization involved in two well-known protests—one in January 1967 and the other in April 1968—against Zhang Chunqiao. In the late 1960s, after Hu and his friends at Shanghai’s Fudan University were sent to the countryside, they organized a large underground reading
They read works of Marxism and Western philosophy as well as a number of internally published "grey books and yellow books," including William Lawrence Shirer’s *The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich: A History of Nazi Germany*, whose descriptions of Nazi Germany often shocked readers as a mirror image of the China of the Cultural Revolution. They also recorded some of their reading notes in an underground journal entitled *Correspondence from Comrades-in-Arms Afar*. Some of the writing reflected the contributors’ questioning of the legitimacy of the proletarian dictatorship and their longings for social legality and democracy in China’s political system.

On 3 February 1970, following instructions from Zhang Chunqiao, Deputy Director of Shanghai Revolutionary Committee Xu Jingxian ordered the Workers Propaganda Team and the PLA Propaganda Team at Fudan University to detain Hu Shoujun and ten others on campus. Hu and his friends were accused of forming a counterrevolutionary clique and attacking leaders of Mao’s proletarian headquarters. Later, more than a hundred people were detained as members of the alleged Hu Shoujun Clique, including a number of college professors as instigators and backstage supporters. The Hu Clique became Shanghai’s number one counterrevolutionary case in the “One Strike and Three Antis” campaign. The authorities printed and distributed half a million copies of falsified material for mass criticism and organized four mass rallies to struggle against Hu and his comrades. In the meantime, the hunt for hidden clique members continued, falsely implicating over a thousand people. In May 1975, the Shanghai Supreme Court sentenced Hu to 10 years in prison. Ten others were labeled counterrevolutionaries and put under surveillance.

In 1978, the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee rehabilitated Hu and his comrades and pronounced the charges against them wrongful. See also BOMBARDING ZHANG CHUNQIAO.

**HUA GUOFENG (1921– ).** Mao Zedong’s successor, Hua played a decisive role in bringing down the Gang of Four and putting an end to the 10-year-old Cultural Revolution. But due to his refusal to reverse any decision made by the CCP under Mao and to allow reassessments of the Cultural Revolution as a whole, he was forced to resign in the early 1980s from all of the top positions he had held since 1976.
A native of Jiaocheng, Shanxi Province, Hua Guofeng joined the CCP in 1938. From 1949 to 1971, he held various positions in Hunan Province, including party secretary of Mao’s hometown Xiangtan, deputy governor of Hunan, acting chairman of Hunan revolutionary committee, and first secretary of the CCP Hunan provincial committee. In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, he supported the rebel faction in Hunan. In 1969, Hua was elected to the CCP Ninth Central Committee. In 1971, he was transferred to Beijing and began to work at the State Council (SC). He entered the Politburo in August 1973 and became vice-premier and minister of public security in January 1975.

In late 1975, as Mao was disappointed by Wang Hongwen on the one hand and made wary by Deng Xiaoping’s critical stand toward the Cultural Revolution on the other—both having been candidates to become Mao’s successor—more attention was given to Hua Guofeng. In early February 1976, within a month of Premier Zhou Enlai’s death, Hua became acting premier of the SC. After the April 5 Movement was put down, Hua was appointed premier and first vice-chairman of the CCP at Mao’s suggestion, while Deng Xiaoping was ousted and denounced. In the last days of his life, Mao communicated to Hua his trust: “I feel at ease with you in charge,” Mao wrote. On October 6, within a month of Mao’s death, Hua, along with Wang Dongxing and Ye Jianying, ordered the arrest of Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, Yao Wenyuan, and Wang Hongwen. On 7 October, the Politburo made Hua chairman of the CCP and chairman of the Central Military Commission (CMC). Thus, the ultimate power of the party, of the state, and of the military was all in Hua’s hands.

In the few years after the downfall of the Gang of Four, however, Hua insisted on being literally faithful to whatever directives and decisions Mao had made. He opposed any attempt to reassess the Cultural Revolution. While leading a campaign against the Gang of Four, Hua insisted on continuing the “Criticize Deng, Counterattack the Right-Deviationist Reversal-of-Verdicts Trend” campaign as well. Hua also ignored the repeated calls from both the grassroots and the central leadership to redress the Tiananmen Incident of 1976 and to rehabilitate its victims. Under pressure from the central leadership, Hua resigned from the position of the premier in September 1980 and gave up his title as chairman of the CCP and chairman of the CMC in June 1981. Remaining a member of the CC, Hua was
assigned an advisory position at the State Agriculture Commission, which marked the end of his political career.

HUANG SHUAI INCIDENT (1973). Initially a not-so-uncommon incident of disagreements between a student and a teacher, the case of Huang Shuai, a fifth grader at Zhongguancun No. 1 Elementary School in Beijing’s Haidian District, eventually became, in official propaganda, a story of a student’s rightful rebellion against an authoritarian teacher. The official endorsement of Huang Shuai’s challenge to her classroom teacher touched off a campaign in elementary and secondary schools against the “resurgence of the revisionist line in education” and against “teacher’s authority” (shi dao zunyan) and brought further disruption and chaos to schools nationwide.

In some of her journals written between April and November 1973, which her teacher also read and commented on, Huang Shuai noted her discontent about her teacher’s criticisms. Huang also acknowledged as her inspiration the story of middle school Red Guards in Lanzhou correcting their teachers. Apparently, the conflict intensified when Huang’s parents interfered: they investigated the teacher in private and wrote the teacher and the school authorities a long letter, criticizing the teacher and celebrating the “revolutionary spirit of going against the tide” that they identified as the inspiration for their daughter’s rebellion against her teacher. A few days later, a letter signed by Huang Shuai but containing some passages of her parents’ earlier letter was sent to a number of newspapers in Beijing and Shanghai.

Upon reading the letter carried in the internal publications of the Beijing Daily, Chi Qun and Xie Jingyi, both close associates of Jiang Qing at Tsinghua University, met with Huang Shuai. Following their instruction, the Beijing Daily published the letter, a selection of Huang Shuai’s journal entries and an editor’s note on 12 December 1973. The already highly selective journal entries were also edited in favor of the author as a model of “going against the tide,” while the editor’s note identified the “revisionist line in education” as the tide. Toward the end of the month, the People’s Daily and other newspapers in the country also carried the letter and the journal entries. The 12-year-old Huang Shuai was invited to give speeches and to contribute to newspapers. Similar stories of “going against the tide” were then reported from various parts of the country. On the other
hand, dissenting views were invariably suppressed. Identifying themselves with the joint penname “Wang Ya-Zhuo,” three authors wrote Huang Shuai from Inner Mongolia criticizing her views. With the approval of Jiang Qing and company, these authors were persecuted as elements of the “bourgeois restoration.” Along with the Zhang Tiesheng Incident, a case of a “blank examination paper” that also occurred in the second half of 1973, the much-publicized Huang Shuai story contributed to the worsening of the situation in schools in the final three years of the Cultural Revolution.

HUANG YONGSHENG (1910–1983). A close associate of Lin Biao and popularly known as one of Lin’s “four guardian warriors,” Huang Yongsheng was chairman of the Guangdong revolutionary committee (1968) and, after he was transferred to Beijing, chief of the general staff of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) and head of the Central Military Commission Administrative Group (1968–1971).

A native of Xianning, Hubei Province, Huang was a veteran revolutionary who participated in the Autumn Harvest Uprising against the Kuomintang in 1927 and joined the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) in the same year. He was a division commander in the Red Army during the anti-encirclement struggle in the early 1930s before the Long March. During both the war of resistance against Japan and the civil war of the late 1940s, Huang was a ranking commander under Lin Biao. In 1955, Huang was made full general and commander of the Guangzhou military region.

During the first two years of the Cultural Revolution, Huang remained in a top leadership position in Guangdong Province and was responsible for cases of injustice such as the “Guangdong underground party” and the Guangzhou troops “counterrevolutionary clique,” the former case involving more than 7,000 people who were falsely charged and causing 85 deaths. In February 1968, when the Guangdong revolutionary committee was formally established, Huang became chairman of the committee.

In March 1968, after the Yang-Yu-Fu Affair, Huang was appointed chief of general staff of the PLA upon Lin Biao’s nomination. At the Ninth National Congress of the CCP (1969), Huang was elected to the Central Committee (CC) and the Politburo. In the same year, Huang was appointed president of the PLA’s Military and Political University and member of the Central Military Commission (CMC).
After the Lushan Conference of 1970, at which Mao Zedong dismissed Lin Biao’s ally Chen Boda from office and told Lin’s other supporters, including Huang, to criticize themselves, Huang was slow and reluctant to carry out the subsequent Criticize Chen and Conduct Rectification campaign and was thus reproached by Mao in early 1971. In April 1971, the CC held a meeting reviewing the ongoing campaign. Huang’s written self-criticism, along with those of Ye Qun, Wu Faxian, Li Zuopeng, and Qiu Huizuo, was discussed at the meeting. In his summary report representing the view of the CC, Premier Zhou Enlai chided Huang, Wu, Ye, Li, and Qiu for following a wrong political line and practicing factionalism. In the meantime, Mao began a southern tour, during which he continued to make harsh comments on Lin Biao and his supporters. On 6 September, Huang passed to Ye Qun Mao’s critical remarks on Lin Biao. Huang’s communication allegedly helped Lin Biao and Ye Qun decide on a plan to assassinate Mao (although Lin Biao’s role in the alleged conspiracy, even his knowledge of it, remains a question).

After the September 13 Incident, Huang Yongsheng was taken into custody, and his involvement in Lin’s alleged coup attempt was under investigation. On 20 August 1973, the CC issued a resolution concerning the “Lin Biao anti-Party clique.” As a member of the Lin group, Huang Yongsheng was dismissed from all his official positions and expelled from the CCP. On 25 January 1981, Huang was sentenced to 18 years in prison on the charges of organizing and leading a counterrevolutionary clique, plotting to subvert the government, and bringing false charges against innocent people. On 26 April 1983, Huang Yongsheng died of illness in Qingdao, Shandong Province.

“I FEEL AT EASE WITH YOU IN CHARGE” (ni banshi, wo fangxin). A message Chairman Mao Zedong wrote down for Hua Guofeng in a private meeting on 30 April 1976 which the post-Mao CCP Central Committee (CC) quoted as evidence that Mao designated Hua as his successor. Since Mao’s verbal expressions were becoming increasingly difficult to understand as his health deteriorated, he often wrote down key phrases or key sentences with an infirm hand
for his listeners as he spoke. The two other messages Mao also put down for Hua on the same occasion read “Take your time and don’t worry,” and “Act according to previous policy.” Hua soon related these two messages to the Politburo but did not mention the other message. These messages became important in the power struggle in the CC after Mao’s death. When Jiang Qing and company launched a propaganda campaign to push for their own political agenda in the name of what they called Mao’s “deathbed wish” of “Follow the set plan,” Hua made a correction with the original “Act according to previous policy” and produced the note “I feel at ease with you in charge,” which gave Hua legitimacy as Mao’s heir apparent and lent much force to Hua and his senior colleagues in the CC in their rebuttal of the claim of the Gang of Four to be true successors of Mao’s political legacy. Qiao Guanhua was then minister of foreign affairs with whom Hua shared Mao’s handwritten notes immediately after his meeting with Mao. Years later, Qiao offered a different interpretation of the message “I feel at ease with you in charge.” According to Qiao’s recollection of what he learned from Hua, Mao’s comment was not so much on Hua’s trustworthiness as Mao’s successor as on a concrete plan that Hua had just proposed to resolve factionalism in Guizhou and Sichuan Provinces.

INNER MONGOLIA PEOPLE’S REVOLUTIONARY PARTY.
Commonly known by its abbreviated name the “Inner People’s Party” (nei ren dang), this political organization, formed in 1925, was initially affiliated with the Internationale. It was essentially a CCP-led united front consisting mostly of Mongolian farmers and herdsmen. In the 1930s, some of its members withdrew, and some others continued their revolutionary activities under the leadership of the CCP. By then, the original “Inner People’s Party” had stopped functioning as an independent organization. A new “Inner People’s Party” formed in 1946 did not become a real political force either.

Soon after the Cultural Revolution began, the CCP North-China Bureau held a long meeting (from 22 May to 25 July 1966) with 146 party officials from the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region to criticize Ulanfu, first party secretary of Inner Mongolia since 1952. At the 2 July session of this meeting, Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping denounced Ulanfu in harsh terms for his refusal to carry out the party’s class struggle policies in Inner Mongolia and accused him of being an
“ethnic splittist” promoting “regional nationalism.” At the remaining sessions of the meeting, Liu and Deng’s criticism served as a guideline for the participants to expose and condemn Ulanfu’s alleged mistakes, including Ulanfu’s reluctance to punish former members of the new “Inner People’s Party,” now seen as a reactionary organization. In late 1967, with strong support from radical leaders in Beijing, especially *Kang Sheng, Jiang Qing*, and *Xie Fuzhi*, cultural revolutionaries in Inner Mongolia began to invent and publicize a notorious story about the Inner Mongolia People’s Revolutionary Party’s current underground anti-CCP, anti-PRC activities and used the story against Ulanfu.

In early 1968, as the campaign to **rectify the class ranks** was well under way nationwide, the Inner Mongolian Revolutionary Committee headed by Teng Haiqing set up a work group to investigate the “Inner People’s Party” case and issued an order that all “Inner People’s Party” members must report and register within three days. Soon, special-case personnel, torture chambers, and illegal courts and prisons appeared throughout Inner Mongolia. Having been a member of the “Inner People’s Party” at any time in the past was automatically a crime, while fabrication and forced confessions led to the persecution of a vast number of people as new members of the “Inner People’s Party.” The cruelty of persecution—with more than a hundred methods of torture—matched the cruelest in Chinese history. And persecution was massive: some 346,220 people were framed and denounced, 75% of them Mongols. Widespread humiliation and torture led to 16,222 deaths and 87,188 cases of severe injury, making the “Inner People’s Party” case one of the gravest instances of the injustice perpetrated during the Cultural Revolution.

On 9 March 1979, the CCP Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Committee finally pronounced the “Inner People’s Party” verdict wrongful.

**INSIDE STORY OF THE QING COURT (Qinggong Mishi).** A dramatization of the fated love between the Guangxu emperor and his concubine Zhen against a background of political conflict between the reform-minded emperor and the conservative, dictatorial Dowager Cixi, *Inside Story of the Qing Court* was a Hong Kong film first shown on mainland China in March 1950 and criticized during the Cultural Revolution as a “big poisonous weed.” However, the real target of its most notorious critique, “Patriotism or Betrayal: On the Reactionary
Film *Inside Story of the Qing Court,* written by Qi Benyu with Mao Zedong’s approval and published on 1 April 1967 in the *People’s Daily,* was not the film itself; a classic example of the Cultural Revolution-style political insinuation and slander, the article really aimed at President Liu Shaoqi and called him for the first time in official media the “biggest capitalist-roader within the party” without ever mentioning his name. The title of the article derived from a remark Mao made in the 1950s: “*Inside Story of the Qing Court* is a traitorous film and ought to be criticized. . . . Some say *Inside Story of the Qing Court* is patriotic, but I say it is traitorous, thoroughly traitorous.”

Qi Benyu quoted Mao and then identified Liu Shaoqi as one of those talking about the film as a patriotic one, which Liu indignantly denied. Other than this groundless accusation, Qi’s attack on Liu in the article, such as calling him a “spokesman for imperialism, feudalism, and reactionary bourgeoisie,” an “imperialist comprador,” and an advocate of “national and class capitulationism,” had little to do with the film. Toward the end, Qi listed eight “crimes” in the form of eight rhetorical questions about Liu’s life from the 1930s to the beginning of the Cultural Revolution. “There is only one answer,” Qi concluded. “You are not an ‘old revolutionary’; you are a fake revolutionary, an opponent to revolution. You are simply a Khrushchev sleeping right next to us.” Liu was so enraged that he wrote a response to the eight questions and had it copied and posted as a big-character poster in the Zhongnanhai compound, but in just a few hours the “revolutionary rebels” in Zhongnanhai tore the poster to pieces. Qi’s eight questions and his final condemnation soon became a program of the Liu criticism and drastically escalated the campaign against Liu nationwide.

As the Liu Shaoqi case was redressed in late 1979 and early 1980, articles refuting Qi Benyu appeared in newspapers and magazines. *Inside Story of the Qing Court* was rehabilitated as well—as a “patriotic film.”

---

**JANUARY STORM (1967).** Also known as the January Revolution, the phrase refers to a series of activities carried out by the self-claimed revolutionary rebels in Shanghai, especially the Workers Command Post that was supported, and virtually controlled, by Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan, to take over power from the CCP municipal
committee and the city government in January 1967. To be sure, mass organizations in some provinces seized power earlier than those in Shanghai where the new apparatus of power was not established until early February. And yet, largely due to Mao Zedong’s enthusiastic support for rebels’ taking over the Shanghai newspapers Wenhui Daily and Liberation Daily in early January, Shanghai became a revolutionary model in a nationwide power seizure campaign.

On 6 January, Xu Jingxian, Wang Hongwen, and their supporters organized a mass rally of a million people at People’s Square. First Secretary of the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee Chen Pixian, Mayor Cao Diqiu, and hundreds of ranking officials were forced to appear at the rally as targets of criticism and denunciation. A circular order was issued at the rally that Cao Diqiu no longer be recognized as mayor, that Chen Pixian confess his “counterrevolutionary crimes,” and that the Shanghai party committee be reorganized. As a result, the entire municipal leadership was paralyzed, and power was partially transferred to a number of newly established, Zhang- and Yao-controlled organs. On 8 January, Mao commented on the upheaval in Shanghai as a great revolution that gave hope to the entire nation. Mao’s words were widely read as a call for a nationwide power seizure campaign.

On 5 February, after Zhang Chunqiao put down opposition mainly from student organizations, the new unified power organ “Shanghai People’s Commune” was officially established, with Zhang Chunqiao, Yao Wenyuan, Xu Jingxian, and Wang Hongwen holding the top positions. The name of the organ came from Zhang Chunqiao who, upon hearing Mao’s speculation on naming the municipal leadership in the capital “Beijing People’s Commune,” suggested that “People’s Commune” be the name for Shanghai’s new government. But Mao eventually favored the example of the constitution in Shandong Province with the “three-in-one presence of cadre, military, and masses” in a revolutionary committee. Following Mao’s directive, the new power structure of Shanghai changed its name from the Shanghai People’s Commune to the Shanghai Revolutionary Committee on 24 February.

The “January Storm” that in official records marked the beginning of the power-seizure phase of the Cultural Revolution had boasted at the time a comparison to the Russian October Revolution of 1917 and the French Paris Commune of 1871. Twelve years later, on 4 January 1979, the CCP Central Committee approved a report by the
Shanghai municipal party committee concerning the “question of the ‘January Revolution,’” denouncing the event as a “carefully plotted scheme by Lin Biao, Chen Boda, and the ‘Gang of Four.’” See also BOMBARDING ZHANG CHUNQIAO.

JET PLANE STYLE (penqishi). The phrase refers to a most common form of physical abuse and humiliation used by Red Guards at struggle meetings during the Cultural Revolution. The person being denounced at the meeting was forced to stand or kneel down in front of the crowd, usually on a raised platform. Two guards standing behind the person would press his or her head down while holding his or her arms and raising them up high, like the two wings of a jet plane. The guards might hold their victim in this position for hours while speeches of accusation were read and slogans shouted. Usually, the victim was forced to hang a big sign board from his or her neck with a criminal label written on it and with his or her name crossed in red ink. And usually, a crowd of so-called class enemies were struggled against at such rallies, and they would be forced to line up on the platform, all jet plane style.

JI DENGKUI (1923–1988). A native of Wuxiang, Shanxi Province, Ji was a member of the CCP from 1938 and a prefectural-level party secretary in Henan Province when the Cultural Revolution began. He became vice-chairman of the Henan Revolutionary Committee in 1968 and a member of the CCP Ninth Central Committee and an alternate member of the Politburo in 1969. Remembering his past meetings with Ji during his trips to Henan, Mao Zedong was said to have inquired about Ji in summer 1967 and received him as “my old friend,” which accounted, at least partially, for Ji’s meteoric rise during the Cultural Revolution. In June 1969, two months after the Ninth National Congress of the CCP, Ji was transferred to Beijing at Mao’s suggestion. In 1970, as he was entrusted with responsibilities for the nation’s agricultural production, Ji drafted and advocated a radical political program of building “Dazhai counties” to implement Mao’s instruction, “In agriculture, learn from the Dazhai (production brigade).” After the Lushan Conference of 1970, Mao, enforcing what he called the strategy of “adding sand to the mix,” placed Ji in the Central Military Commission Administrative Group to undermine Lin Biao’s control in the military. Ji was also assigned the important
position of political commissar of the Beijing Military Region, replacing Li Xuefeng, whom Mao suspected to be associated with Lin Biao. Ji was elected to the Politburo of both the CCP Tenth and Eleventh Central Committees. In January 1975, he was made vice-premier of the State Council at the PRC Fourth National People’s Congress.

At the Third Plenum of the CCP Eleventh Central Committee (December 1978), however, Ji was criticized for his ultraleftist tendencies and for his association with the Jiang Qing group. In 1980, Ji resigned, under pressure, from all of his ranking positions. In 1983, he was assigned a researcher’s position at the State Council’s Research Center for Rural Area Development. Ji died of heart failure in June 1988.

JIAN BOZAN (1898–1968). A well-known historian and professor at Peking University, Jian Bozan, along with Wu Han, was denounced during the Cultural Revolution as a chief “bourgeois reactionary academic authority.”

Born in Taoyuan, Hunan Province, of an ethnic Uygur family, Jian Bozan was trained in law and economics in his early years, including two years in the United States, before he turned to Marxism as a guide in his historical studies. A veteran of the Northern Expedition and briefly associated with the Kuomintang, Jian joined the CCP in 1937, published A Course in the Philosophy of History in 1938, and began to work on the long Outline of Chinese History in 1942. After 1949, Jian continued with his teaching and research in history while holding a number of political and administrative positions including vice-president of Peking University. He became the champion of the new Marxist historiography in China.

In late 1965, Jian Bozan came to the defense of writer and historian Wu Han by expressing reservations about Yao Wenyuan’s article on the play Hai Rui Dismissed from Office, an article attacking Wu Han that would turn out to be the “blasting fuse” of the Cultural Revolution. In the meantime, Qi Benyu published the article “Studying History for the Revolution” in the CCP theoretical organ Red Flag in December 1965, attacking Jian Bozan without mentioning his name. On 21 December 1965, Mao Zedong had a conversation with Chen Boda in Hangzhou, in which Mao singled out Wu and Jian as “those intellectuals going from bad to worse,” dismissed as groundless Jian’s view on the “concession policies” of the landlord class toward peasants, and...
praised Qi Benyu’s article—“all but one defect that the target is not
named.” On 20 March 1966, speaking at an enlarged session of the
CCP Politburo, Mao named Wu and Jian as “Communist Party mem-
bers opposing the Communist Party.” Following Mao’s condemnation,
an article coauthored by Qi Benyu and two others attacking Jian came
out in the People’s Daily on 25 March, and when the mass movements
were launched during the Cultural Revolution, Jian was not only ver-
bally denounced as an anticommunist but also physically abused—so
brutally and continuously that on the night of 18 December 1968, Jian
Bozan and his wife Dai Shuwan committed suicide. Jian’s name was
cleared by the authorities of Peking University in September 1978.

JIANG QING (1913–1991). Mao Zedong’s wife, first deputy head
of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), and a
member of the Politburo from 1969 to 1976, Jiang was named by the
post-Mao Central Committee (CC) of the Chinese Communist Party
(CCP) as the leader of the Jiang Qing Counterrevolutionary Clique,
also known as the Gang of Four.

Born as Li Yunhe, Jiang was a native of Zhucheng, Shangdong
Province. She joined the CCP in 1933. In the following year, she
came a film actress in Shanghai, with Lan Ping as her stage name.
There she also worked underground for the CCP. Jiang was arrested
by the Kuomintang government in 1934 but was soon released. After
divorcing her first husband Tang Na, Jiang went to Yan’an where she
met Mao Zedong. Despite the strong reservations of the members of
the CC because of Jiang’s obscure personal history, Mao married her
in 1938 after assuring the CC that Jiang would not be involved in
any work of the CCP leadership in the future. Jiang served as Mao’s
personal secretary in the 1940s and was head of the film section in
the CCP Propaganda Department in the 1950s. During this period,
her role in the party’s political and cultural affairs was minor, and her
poor health kept her at home much of the time.

In the first half of the 1960s when conflicts between Mao and Pres-
ident Liu Shaoqi gradually intensified, Mao began to assign Jiang
an increasingly important role in what turned out to be a preparation
for the Cultural Revolution. As part of Mao’s initial move against the
CCP’s cultural and literary establishments allegedly controlled by
Liu’s supporters, Jiang turned the ongoing reform in Peking Opera,
of which she had been an active participant, into a political movement
called the revolution in Peking opera. With this movement, Jiang expanded her influence in cultural circles and established herself as a “standard-bearer of the revolution in art and literature.” In 1965, Mao sent Jiang to Shanghai to organize an attack on Wu Han, deputy mayor of Beijing and the author of the play *Hai Rui Dismissed from Office*, which would pave the way for Mao’s major offensive against the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee.

In Shanghai, Jiang met her two loyal allies, Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan. They worked together to ignite the “blasting fuse” of the Cultural Revolution by publishing Yao’s article “On the New Historical Drama *Hai Rui Dismissed from Office*.” Lin Biao also supported Jiang’s activities by granting her the title of consultant to the People’s Liberation Army in the area of arts and literature. With the approval of Lin Biao, Jiang Qing invited several ranking military officers in charge of literature and art works in the armed forces to a symposium in February 1966. The outline of the meeting was entitled the *Summary of the Symposium Convened by Comrade Jiang Qing at the Behest of Comrade Lin Biao on the Work of Literature and the Arts in the Armed Forces*, which was partially edited by Mao himself and issued as a CC circular nationwide. It turned out to be another important document in preparation for the Cultural Revolution.

When the Cultural Revolution was launched in May 1966, Jiang assumed a key position as first deputy head of the CCRSG and became the mouthpiece of Mao to lead the radical group. In the early years of the Cultural Revolution, Jiang, as the most powerful woman in China, manipulated the rebel movement closely following Mao’s strategic steps, instigated the masses to attack the noncultural revolutionaries in the leadership of the party and the army, and played the most active role in implementing Mao’s decision to purge Liu Shaoqi and his alleged followers. She also directed artists in creating a repertoire of revolutionary operas, ballets, films, and plays and was largely responsible for restricting all arts to the rigid molds of the so-called eight model dramas. In 1970, Jiang began to be involved, and even exert certain influence, in Mao’s strategic move against Lin Biao and his faction. Jiang attained her seat in the powerful Politburo in April 1969 at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP and remained in that position until her downfall in 1976.

In 1973, Jiang, with Mao’s consent, began to lead her associates in waging a dubious battle against the moderate and pragmatic party
veterans Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping in a series of political campaigns, including the movements to criticize Lin and criticize Confucius, to appraise Water Margin, and to counterattack the Right-Deviationist Reversal-of-Verdicts Trend. In the meantime, Jiang formed a clique of radical Maoists with Wang Hongwen, Zhang Chunqiao, and Yao Wenyuan. Though Jiang’s aggressiveness, unpopularity, and lack of tact prompted Mao’s reference to her group as a Gang of Four, Mao essentially endorsed Jiang and her comrades as the most loyal adherents to his own radicalism, while regarding Zhou and Deng as ideologically unreliable and yet administratively indispensable.

On 6 October 1976, four weeks after the death of Mao, Hua Guofeng and Ye Jianying ordered the arrest of Jiang and her associates. Jiang was charged with a variety of crimes and was held responsible for virtually all of the excesses of the Cultural Revolution. The term “Gang of Four” eventually turned into the official “Jiang Qing counterrevolutionary clique.” On 23 January 1981, a special court of the Supreme People’s Court of the PRC sentenced Jiang Qing to death with a two-year reprieve on charges of leading a counterrevolutionary clique, plotting to overturn the government, engaging in counterrevolutionary propaganda and instigation, and framing and persecuting innocent people. Jiang was unrepentant, however, and she challenged the court during the trial with what she believed to be Mao’s ideas. In 1983, her sentence was commuted to life imprisonment. On 14 May 1991, Jiang committed suicide in Beijing. See also COMRADE CHIANG CH’ING.

JINGGANG MOUNTAIN (jinggangshan). A major Red Guard newspaper, the Jinggang Mountain was the publication of the Tsinghua University Jinggang Mountain Regiment from 1 December 1966 to 19 August 1968, totaling about 190 issues (including special issues). Since the Jinggang Mountain Regiment was a major rebel mass organization, the paper initially focused on the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line, carrying a number of articles and reports about the organization’s successfully executed plots against President Liu Shaoqi and his wife Wang Guangmei, who was deeply involved in the activities of the work group at Tsinghua University in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. From late 1966 on, the Jinggang Mountain Regiment sent its members to many parts of the country, first to stir up the masses for revolution in the provinces and then to engage
in provincial factional fighting. Its provincial liaison offices sometimes published joint issues with local mass organizations. In 1967, the Jinggang Mountain Regiment was split into two factions: the general headquarters and the 4-14 headquarters. The latter began to publish its own newspaper, *Jinggang Mountain News (Jinggangshan Bao)*, on 18 June 1967 and continued until April 1968. During this period, both papers mainly focused on factional battles at Tsinghua. On 27 July 1968, the workers propaganda team and the PLA propaganda team were dispatched to Tsinghua University at the instruction of Chairman Mao Zedong to halt factional violence and take control of the university. Within a month the *Jinggang Mountain* stopped publication.

*JOURNAL OF MIDDLE SCHOOL CULTURAL REVOLUTION (Zhongxue wenge bao).* This was a mass organization publication that carried Yu Luoke’s critiques of the blood lineage theory during the Cultural Revolution. The journal was founded in early 1967 when Mou Zhijing and Wang Jianfu, both students at Beijing No. 4 Middle School and both inspired by Yu Luoke’s pamphlet “On Family Background,” decided to create an outlet for this kind of writing. They named the publication “journal of middle school Cultural Revolution” and registered it in the name of a “Revolutionary Rebellling Headquarters of Capital Middle School Students” with Mou as editor-in-chief. They also had the support of Yu Luowen, a student at Beijing No. 65 Middle School and brother of Yu Luoke, as a co-founder and of Yu Luoke himself as the journal’s main contributor.

The inaugural issue of the journal came out on 18 January 1967, carrying a revised version of “On Family Background.” Yu Luoke wrote for each of the remaining five issues of this journal. The well-known pieces include “On ‘Purity’” (second issue, 2 February 1967), “What Does the Disturbance of the ‘United Action Committee’ Reveal?—Also a Critique of the Criticism of ‘On Family Background’ by the Tsinghua University Middle School Red Guards” (third issue, 10 February), and “A New Counter-Offensive of the Reactionary Theory of Blood Lineage: A Rebuttal of ‘The Big Poisonous Weed ‘On Family Background’ Must Be Torn Up by the Roots’” (fifth issue, 6 March). The contributions from Yu Luoke, who upholds “equal rights”—a taboo at the time—against the bigotry of a new privileged class, take up three-fourths of the journal’s published pages. Aside from Yu Luoke’s writing, the journal also
carries critical commentaries on, and debates about, the blood lineage theory.

The journal became quite popular, with a circulation of 30,000 to 60,000 copies per issue. According to a number of Cultural Revolution memoirs, the editorial board of the journal received thousands of letters from readers per day, most of them supporting the journal’s position against the theory of blood lineage. For the same reason, the office of the journal was attacked several times by some Old Red Guards and members of the United Action Committee that consisted mostly of the children of ranking officials. Despite the effort of the journal’s editorial board to seek support from the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), Qi Benyu denounced the article “On Family Background” in a speech on 13 April 1967. With such pressure from the CCRSG, the journal, already facing much hostility from Old Red Guards, was forced to close.

**JULY 3 PUBLIC NOTICE (1968).** Coded zhongfa [68] 103, this is a public announcement issued by the CCP Central Committee, the State Council, the Central Military Commission, and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) with the approval of Chairman Mao Zedong regarding the escalating violence and chaos in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region. The nationwide issuance of this document, along with that of the July 24 Public Notice (1968) regarding the large-scale violence in Shaanxi, is generally considered to be the first clear indication that Mao and the central leadership had finally decided to put an end nationwide violence and chaos and restore order, which makes summer 1968 an important turning point of the Cultural Revolution.

The chaos resulted from the fierce factional fighting between two mass organizations: the Joint Headquarters was supported by the Guangxi Military District and Wei Guoqing, first party secretary of the autonomous region, while the 4–22 rebel faction initially enjoyed much sympathy from the CCRSG. In May 1968, members of the 4-22 faction began to break into People’s Liberation Army (PLA) warehouses for military weapons and clash with PLA soldiers. They also stopped cargo trains and seized military and other supplies that were being transported to Vietnam. As a result, the large-scale armed conflict between the two organizations turned more violent.

Without mentioning either organization by name but aiming apparently more at the 4-22 faction, the July 3 Public Notice denounces the
violent and destructive activities as “counterrevolutionary crimes” committed by “a small handful of class enemies.” To resolve the chaotic situation, the author of the notice demands that armed struggle end immediately, that railway transportation be back to normal soon, that the looted army supplies and goods for Vietnam be returned without condition, and that those proven guilty of murder and arson, of jeopardizing transportation and communication, storming prisons, stealing state secrets, and setting up unauthorized radio stations be severely punished by law.

The repeated mention of the July 3 Public Notice by top CCP leaders, including Mao himself (who told Red Guard leaders at a reception that the document applies as well to places other than Guangxi), called the public’s attention to it. Its effect on the national scene was beginning to be visible in late July. In the case of Guangxi, however, the issuance of the July 3 Public Notice was followed by another wave of brutal factional fighting to the disadvantage of the 4-22 rebels before violence finally began to subside in August 1968.

JULY 20 INCIDENT (1967). In reaction to the instigation of factionalism by the delegates of the CCP Central Committee (CC), the mass organization Million-Strong Mighty Army, of Wuhan, Hubei Province, took Wang Li, a chief delegate and a member of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), by force and interrogated him at a mass rally on 20 July 1967. From 20 to 23 July, this organization also held a massive demonstration and protest, participated in by both the military and the civilian population of Wuhan, against Wang Li and Xie Fuzhi, another leading member of the CC delegation. Lin Biao, Jiang Qing, and their associates called the event a “counterrevolutionary rebellion,” a “mutiny” conducted by Chen Zaidao, commander of the Wuhan Military Region. Such charges led to the interrogation and persecution of more than a million people and to the downfall of one of China’s most decorated veteran soldiers from the Red Army days; Chen Zaidao’s name came to represent “that small handful (of capitalist-roaders) within the armed forces,” and he became known internationally as a general who dared to “remonstrate with force” against the Cultural Revolution.

During the early stages of factional conflicts in Wuhan and surrounding areas, the left-supporting troops from the Wuhan Military Region sided with the Million-Strong Mighty Army and opposed its rival, a rebel faction called the Workers Headquarters. In March 1967,
the troops and the public security authorities arrested several rebel leaders and ordered the dissolution of the Workers Headquarters and its affiliates. This move was not well received in Beijing. On 2 April 1967, the *People’s Daily* carried an editorial entitled “Treating Properly the Little Revolutionary Soldiers” which alluded to the Wuhan situation as one of repression. On 6 April, the “Ten Commands of the Central Military Commission,” dictated by Lin Biao, was issued that forbade the troops on a mission to support the left to dissolve any mass organization. On 16 April, Jiang Qing spoke of Wuhan as one of the country’s serious problem areas. These signals from Beijing triggered a drastic change in Wuhan. Violent battles between the two mass factions intensified. The city was thrown into chaos.

In the meantime, Mao Zedong started an inspection tour to the south on 9 July and arrived in Wuhan on 15 July. Zhou Enlai was there with him. Wang Li and Xie Fuzhi came up from Chongqing, Sichuan Province, leading the delegation sent by the CC to inspect and resolve local conflicts. On 15 and 16 July, Mao called meetings to hear reports on several provinces and to resolve the conflict in Wuhan. He instructed that the case of the Workers Headquarters be reversed and its leaders released. He also asked the Wuhan Military Command to support both factions, since both were mass organizations after all. On 18 July, just before he left for Beijing, Zhou Enlai accompanied Commander Chen Zaidao and Political Commissar Zhong Hanhua to see Mao. Upon Mao’s admonition, Chen acknowledged his “directional mistake” of siding with one faction, while Mao assured Chen of his trust and support.

Wang Li and Xie Fuzhi, on the other hand, ignored Mao’s apparently broadminded approach to factionalism and Zhou’s specific demands that they not make public appearances for the moment and not express any biased views. They talked to the students of the rebel faction and made themselves known. Upon Zhou’s departure, Xie and Wang went to the Wuhan Hydroelectric Institute Rebels Headquarters, received the organization’s armbands, and expressed their support for the rebel faction. The next day, the Workers Headquarters broadcast Wang and Xie’s comments, especially Wang’s “four directives”: the Wuhan Military Command’s left-supporting direction was wrong; the case against the Workers Headquarters must be reversed; the rebels were the revolutionary left; and the Million-Strong Mighty Army was a conservative organization. The members of the Million-
Strong Mighty Army, on the other hand, began to vent their indignation and rage with anti-Wang posters and slogans.

On 20 July, more than 2,000 Million-Strong Mighty Army members and PLA soldiers demonstrated in front of the Donghu Guesthouse where Wang and Xie were staying. The demonstrators demanded a debate with Wang Li without knowing that Mao was also in Wuhan and that he was actually staying at Donghu. Wang was taken by force to a mass rally on the premises of the Wuhan Military Command for questioning. At Mao’s order, officers of the Wuhan Military Command reasoned with the crowd at the rally and managed to have Wang Li released. In the meantime, four days of mass demonstration began. Slogans posted on the streets were not only against Wang Li and Xie Fuzhi but also against Jiang Qing and Zhang Chunqiao.

Lin Biao saw the opportunity of replacing Chen Zaidao with his ally in Wuhan. On the morning of 20 July, Lin drafted a letter to Mao depicting the crisis in Wuhan as a mutiny and urging Mao to leave. The letter was revised by Chen Boda, Guan Feng, and Qi Benyu, signed by both Lin Biao and Jiang Qing, and secretly carried to Mao by General Qiu Huizuo. On the early morning of 21 July, at two o’clock, Mao left Wuhan for Shanghai, though unsure of the story as told by Lin and Jiang. On the night of Wang Li and Xie Fuzhi’s return to Beijing, a briefing session was called by Lin Biao at which a decision was made to designate the July 20 Incident as a “counterrevolutionary riot.” On 23 July, the CCRSG issued nationwide an emergency notice requiring the civilian population and army troops all over the country to hold armed marches and denounce the July 20 Incident. On 25 July, Lin and Jiang appeared at a rally of over a million people at Tiananmen Square to welcome home Xie and Wang and to support the rebel faction in Wuhan. The meeting ended with cries of “Down with Chen Zaidao!” and “Down with that small handful within the armed forces!” On 27 July, Lin Biao dismissed Chen Zaidao and Zhong Hanhua from their military posts. The “Chen Zaidao types” were hunted everywhere in the military establishment. In Wuhan and surrounding areas, persecution of both civilians and troops who opposed the Workers Headquarters began. In the city of Wuhan alone, more than 600 were beaten to death, and about 66,000 were injured.

On 26 November 1978, the CCP Central Committee issued a notice to redress the July 20 Incident. The document accused Lin Biao and the “Gang of Four” of creating an incident for the purpose
of usurping power within the party. It also announced the rehabilitation of all the victims of the event.

**JULY 21 UNIVERSITY.** This term refers to a type of school that was established following the comments Mao Zedong wrote on 21 July 1968 upon reading a case study entitled “Shanghai Machine Tool Factory’s Way of Training Technicians and Engineers.” The study, made by correspondents of the *Wenhui Daily* and the Xinhua News Agency, reports on the experience of the training school of Shanghai Machine Tool Factory in recruiting students from young workers of their own factory and training them into technicians and engineers. The study compares the factory-trained technicians and engineers with those trained in universities and comes to the conclusion that the factory-trained ones are far more diligent, creative, and productive in conducting work-related research and innovations than the university-trained. Mao wrote after reading the case study, “We still need to have universities; I mean science and engineering universities. But we must shorten the period of schooling, make education reforms, put proletarian politics in command, and take the path of Shanghai Machine Tool Factory to turn factory workers into technicians and engineers. [Universities] should select their students from workers and peasants. After a few years of study, students should return to their fields of practice.” Mao’s comments as well as the case study were made public by the *Peoples Daily* on 22 July.

Mao’s comments led to the establishment of more than 10,000 July 21 universities in the later years of the Cultural Revolution. They also had considerable impact on education reforms in traditional colleges and universities. In 1970, when China’s universities finally began admitting new students after a long halt of four years, they abandoned the tradition of selecting students via national examinations but took new students from workers, peasants, and soldiers recommended by local authorities. In the later years of the Cultural Revolution, the growth of July 21 universities was phenomenal. In 1972, there were 68 such schools in the country with a total of 4,000 students. By July 1976, however, the number of July 21 universities had jumped to 15,000, with 780,000 registered students. Some of these schools were converted from various “spare time schools” affiliated to factories and other enterprises. Most were newly established in response to Mao’s call. Some of these schools had full-day classes; others offered only
half-day or evening classes. Yet many provided merely short training programs. Students were often young workers with work experience but with limited—even just elementary school—education. Most students at July 21 universities learned some practical knowledge and skills for their jobs but rarely received a balanced college education. The July 21 universities generally lacked qualified faculty, necessary equipment, and systematic curricula. Their graduates did not meet the basic standards of traditional higher education. After the Cultural Revolution ended, and after China’s college system returned to normal, July 21 universities became history.

**JULY 24 PUBLIC NOTICE (1968).** Coded zhongfa [68] 113, this is a public announcement issued by the CCP Central Committee, the State Council, the Central Military Commission, and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group regarding violence and chaos in some areas of Shaanxi Province. According to the public notice, some professional teams of armed struggle had been organized in Shaanxi to loot state banks; set fire and use explosives on stores, warehouses, public buildings, and private residences; disrupt public transportation, communication, and postal services; and even storm military facilities and clash with military personnel. To stop the escalating mass violence in Shaanxi, the public notice issued six rules, repeating much of the July 3 Public Notice (concerning the problem of violence in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region) and commanding the masses to follow obediently the measures prescribed in the earlier document. Both documents were region-specific but were distributed nationally; their issuance was considered to be a decisive step by Mao Zedong to end the situation of a civil war across China.

**JUNE 6 CIRCULAR ORDER (1967).** A central document (zhongfa [67] 178) issued on 6 June 1967 by the CCP Central Committee (CC), the State Council, the Central Military Commission, and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) and posted across China, the circular order was the attempt of Mao Zedong and the CC to put under control the nationwide violence and chaos that had been escalating since the summer of 1966. Against what it specifies as a widespread “evil wind of beating, smashing, robbing, confiscating, and arresting” (da za qiang chao zhu), the circular order prescribed seven rules forbidding such common practices of mass organizations
as detaining and interrogating citizens without court procedure; seizing and abusing official files, records, and seals; seizing and abusing state and collective property; engaging in armed struggle and physically abusing people; and searching private homes and confiscating personal belongings. The circular order gave the People’s Liberation Army the authority to implement the rules and urged all mass organizations to comply. The effect of the circular order turned out to be very limited, which may have to do with the fact that all of the activities that the circular order forbade had been encouraged or tacitly consented to by Mao and the cultural revolutionaries in the central leadership, especially members of the CCRSG, since the beginning of the Cultural Revolution.
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KANGPING AVENUE INCIDENT (1966). A brutal attack of one worker organization on another on Shanghai’s Kangping Avenue on 30 December 1966, this event was generally considered to be the beginning of the massive factional violence that subsequently occurred throughout China. In November and December 1966, Mayor Cao Diqiu and the Shanghai municipal party committee were under much pressure from the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) to give in to the demands of the Workers Command Post of Shanghai and to give themselves up as targets of criticism. The Red Defenders Battalion, another citywide worker organization opposed to the Workers Command Post, had supported the Shanghai party committee in the Liberation Daily Incident and then felt betrayed when Mayor Cao Diqiu was forced to endorse the Workers Command Post. Confused and angry, the Red Defenders Battalion decided to go to the secretariat of the Shanghai party committee on Kangping Avenue to reason with Mayor Cao Diqiu and First Secretary Chen Pixian. The leading members of the CCRSG, especially Zhang Chunqiao who handled the Anting Incident in defiance of the Shanghai party committee’s decisions, had been supporting the Workers Command Post against the CCP leadership in Shanghai.

Upon hearing the report on the Kangping Avenue situation, Zhang Chunqiao telephoned Shanghai from Beijing, instructing Wang Hongwen and other leaders of the Workers Command Post to “wage a blow-for-blow struggle” against their rivals. By the evening of 29
December, about 100,000 members of the Workers Command Post and fewer than 30,000 members of the Red Defenders Battalion converged on Kangping Avenue. At 2 a.m. on 30 December, Wang Hongwen led the charge against the other organization. The violent clash left 91 people injured. Taking the law into their own hands, the Workers Command Post issued an urgent order of arrest the next day and illegally detained more than 240 members of the Red Defenders Battalion. The violence left the city in chaos. The Shanghai party committee was completely powerless. With its newly gained dominance among mass organizations, the Zhang Chunqiao-controlled Workers Command Post was ready to engage in the struggle for power in the 1967 January Storm.

KUAI DAFU (1946–). One of the well-known “five Red Guard leaders” in Beijing, Kuai was head of the mass organization Jinggang Mountain Regiment at Tsinghua University and a prominent leader of the Capital College Red Guards’ Representative Assembly during the Cultural Revolution.

A native of Binhai, Jiangsu Province, Kuai was a third-year student in chemical engineering at Tsinghua University when the Cultural Revolution began. At the outset of the Cultural Revolution, Kuai was struggled against at several mass meetings because of his strong opposition to the Tsinghua work group to which Wang Guangmei, wife of President Liu Shaoqi, was adviser; Kuai was denounced and detained as a “reactionary student.” After Mao Zedong made a decision to withdraw work groups from college campuses, a number of ranking leaders, including Zhou Enlai, Deng Xiaoping, Tao Zhu, Li Xuefeng, and some members of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), went to Tsinghua on 5 August 1966 to meet students and to redress wrongful cases there, including Kuai’s.

On 23 September 1966, the Jinggang Mountain Regiment of Tsinghua University, a rebel student organization that was to become nationally influential, was formed, with Kuai as its leader. As one of the founders of the “Capital College Red Guards Revolutionary Rebel Headquarters,” popularly known as the Third Command Post, Kuai organized the mass rally of 6 October 1966, at which more than 100,000 people representing colleges across China gathered to launch the campaign against the bourgeois reactionary line. During this campaign Kuai emerged as a popular hero as well as Mao’s foot soldier. Answering Mao’s call for rebellion and acting on instructions
from the CCRSG, Kuai played a significant role in bringing down Liu Shaoqi and other ranking leaders of the old party establishment; the Jinggang Mountain Regiment was the first mass organization in the country to denounce Liu Shaoqi in name in December 1966. For his achievements as a student leader, Kuai was appointed a member of the Standing Committee of the Beijing Municipal Revolutionary Committee in 1967.

In the chaotic years of 1967 and 1968, Kuai and his organization, like other rebels nationwide, were deeply involved in factional conflicts. During the well-known one hundred-day armed conflict on the Tsinghua campus, for instance, Kuai led the Jinggang Mountain Regiment in a notoriously bloody fight against their rival organization the 4-14 group. Such widespread and seemingly endless factional violence finally led to Mao’s decision, in summer 1968, to end the Red Guard movement altogether. On 27 July 1968, Mao sent a Workers Propaganda Team and a PLA Propaganda Team to Tsinghua University to stop the violence and take control of the campus. On the early morning of 28 July 1968, Mao held a meeting with the five Red Guard leaders, including Kuai Dafu. At the meeting, Mao sent a clear signal to Kuai and others that they should exit China’s political stage. Kuai was soon criticized at mass meetings organized by the propaganda teams. He was taken into custody several times in the remaining years of the Cultural Revolution.

Shortly after the downfall of the Gang of Four, the authorities formally arrested Kuai on a counterrevolutionary charge. On 10 March 1983, the Beijing Intermediate People’s Court sentenced him to 17 years in prison, holding him responsible for the deaths and the injuries caused by both the factional violence on campus and an armed conflict with the propaganda teams and charging him with the crimes of instigating attacks on party and state officials and framing and persecuting innocent people during the Cultural Revolution. See also MAO ZEDONG—MEETING WITH THE FIVE RED GUARD LEADERS.
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LAO SHE (1899–1966). A well-known and much-loved modern Chinese writer, Lao She was an early victim of the Cultural Revolution.
and died in the month of 1966 known as the Red August. Born in Beijing and originally named Shu Qingchun, Lao She was a son of a Manchurian soldier. While a lecturer in Chinese at the University of London’s School of Oriental Studies from 1924 to 1930, he adopted the penname Lao She and began to write novels and short stories in Chinese. Continuing to teach and write after he returned to China, Lao She established himself as a major writer known for his realistic portrayal of the life of city residents in an authentic Beijing dialect. In 1949, he gave up a teaching position in the United States and returned to Beijing, filled with enthusiasm for the newly established People’s Republic. In 1951, he was named “People’s Artist” by the city government of Beijing. Many of his works, including the novels Rickshaw Boy and Four Generations under One Roof and the play Teahouse, became immensely popular. Some of them were adapted as films, and five of his novels were translated into English. Although Lao She was given a number of official titles, including member of the standing committee of the Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference, vice-chairman of the Chinese Writers Association, vice-chairman of China Federation of Literary and Art Circles, and chairman of Beijing Federation of Literary and Art Circles, he was never admitted into the CCP despite having applied for party membership many times.

In summer 1966 when the Cultural Revolution was just beginning, Lao She was ill and was hospitalized. However, anxious to participate in a revolution that he had been struggling to understand, he left the hospital as soon as he could, despite Zhou Enlai’s advice not to hurry. On 23 August 1966, the day after he was released from the hospital, Lao She went to work, only to find himself and his fellow writers and artists in the hands of a group of Red Guards. They took Lao She and his colleagues to the large courtyard of what used to be a Confucius Temple in the Imperial Academy, where a huge pile of costumes and props of the traditional theater—confiscated by the Red Guards as material evidence of the Four Olds—was burning. The Red Guards shaved the heads of their captives in a humiliating yin-yang style, poured black ink on them, hung big signs on their necks that read “black gang element,” “reactionary academic authority,” and “cow-demons and snake-spirits,” and forced them to kneel around the burning fire for a “fire baptism.” One of the criminal charges against Lao She was that he had been an American spy. The Red Guards beat their victims with stage props and broad leather belts with copper
heads. Lao She fainted and fell to the ground. Yet another round of beating followed in the evening in another place and continued into the night. Lao She’s refusal to hold the placard with criminal titles only prompted further abuse from the Red Guards. He was then taken to a nearby police station as an “active counterrevolutionary” and was eventually sent home with an order from his captors that he report to his work place the next day carrying a “counterrevolutionary” label. When Lao She reached home, covered with blood, he found no sign that his family members had full confidence in his complete innocence. On the morning of 24 August, Lao She went alone to the edge of Taiping Lake in the northwestern part of Beijing carrying Mao Zedong’s poems that he had copied. He sat there for the whole day and drowned himself in the lake that evening.

LI DESHENG (1916– ). A native of Xinxian, Henan Province, a member of the CCP from 1932, and an army veteran from the Long March to the Korean War, Li was made major general in 1955. In 1967, Li, then commander of the Twelfth Army of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA), led his troops from Jiangsu to Anhui to stop the violent factional fighting among mass organizations. In April 1968, he became chairman of the newly established Anhui Revolutionary Committee as well as deputy commander of the Nanjing Military Region. Li’s successful handling of factional violence in Anhui caught the attention of Mao Zedong, who introduced Li to those present at the First Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee (April 1969) at which Li was elected an alternate member of the Politburo. In July 1969, Li was transferred to Beijing at Mao’s suggestion. Li’s various military appointments in Beijing, including director of the General Political Department (GPD) of the PLA and commander of the Beijing Military Region, were part of Mao’s strategic moves—“dig corners and add sand to the mix,” as Mao put it—to weaken Lin Biao’s influence in the army. At the time of the September 13 Incident of 1971, Li followed Premier Zhou Enlai’s orders to take over the command of the air force and reportedly directed the operations of the air force for five days without pausing for a break. In 1973, Li became vice-chairman of the CCP and a member of the Politburo Standing Committee.

In the meantime, however, Jiang Qing began to report to Mao about Li’s lack of enthusiasm for the radical cause and significantly weakened Mao’s confidence in him, so much so that in January 1975,
Li was forced to resign from his position as vice-chairman of the CCP while remaining as commander of the Shenyang Military Region. In 1980, the central leadership approved a GPD report dismissing the slanders that the Jiang Qing group had brought on Li in 1973–74. Li was appointed political commissar of the University of National Defense in 1985 and retired from all his military and administrative duties in 1990.

**LI FUCHUN (1900–1975).** One of the chief architects of China’s socialist economy and a close associate of Premier Zhou Enlai, Li Fuchun was vice-premier of the State Council (1954–1975) and member of the Standing Committee of the CCP Politburo (1966–1969). Born in Changsha, Hunan Province, Li joined the CCP while a student in France in 1922. He was a leader of the CCP European general branch. A veteran of both the Northern Expedition and the Long March, over the years Li held various high positions in the CCP, including secretary-general of the Central Committee (CC), director of the CC General Office, and minister of finance and economy. In the early 1950s, Li Fuchun and Chen Yun were in charge of the design and the implementation of the new republic’s first five-year plan. In 1954, Li was appointed vice-premier of the State Council (SC) and director of the National Planning Commission. In the late 1950s and early 1960s, Li played a major role in moderating the radical economic policies of the Great Leap Forward to restore the badly damaged national economy.

After the Cultural Revolution began, Li, as head of the SC business group, assisted Premier Zhou Enlai in running the daily affairs of the state during a chaotic time. He was called by Kang Sheng “the head of the black club” because of the frequent business gatherings of vice-premiers at Li’s residence. At the Twelfth Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee, Li was criticized for his involvement in the February Adverse Current. Although Li was elected to the CC again at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP in 1969, he no longer held any significant leadership position by then. Li Fuchun died of illness in Beijing on 9 January 1975.

**LI JIULIAN (1946–1977).** In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Li was an enthusiastic Red Guard leader at Ganzhou No. 3 Middle School in Jiangxi Province. But her revolutionary fervor soon gave way to skepticism and critical reflection. On 29 February 1969,
while a factory apprentice, Li wrote to her boyfriend about her questions and critical views concerning the Cultural Revolution. She suspected that the Revolution might be a power struggle among different factions within the central leadership, and she sympathized with President Liu Shaoqi whose views, in her opinion, were mostly right for China at present. In her private journal, she also criticized Lin Biao’s promotion of the personality cult of Chairman Mao Zedong and expressed her contempt for such popular ideas as the “red sea” (i.e., covering all street walls with red paint with Mao’s quotations written on them) and “three loyalties and four limitlesses.” In her view, Lin Biao, rather than Liu Shaoqi, might be China’s Khrushchev. Unfortunately, her boyfriend turned her letter over to the authorities, which led to her arrest by police on 3 April 1969.

In July 1972, 10 months after the downfall of Lin Biao, Li was released: the authorities still considered her case to be one of “contradictions between ourselves and the enemy but treated as contradictions among the people.” Li appealed to all levels of government to clear her name but received no response. In spring 1974, during the Criticize Lin and Criticize Confucius campaign, Li put out a number of big-character posters, including one entitled “It Is No Crime Criticizing Lin Biao,” in downtown Ganzhou, calling on the public to support her rehabilitation. Her sympathizers, then, organized an “Investigation Committee on the Li Jiulian Case” and won the support of tens of thousands of Ganzhou citizens. In April 1974, the provincial authorities arrested Li again and cracked down on the movement. Later, Wang Hongwen and Zhang Chunqiao voiced support for the crackdown and named Li a counterrevolutionary. On 30 May 1975, the Xingguo County People’s Court sentenced Li to 15 years in prison. After the downfall of the Gang of Four, Li went on a hunger strike to protest her persecution. She also criticized the new personality cult of Hua Guofeng. With her criticism of Hua as a new charge, Li was executed on 14 December 1977. During the period of 1974–1977, a large number of people in Ganzhou were accused of supporting Li and were persecuted; some of them were imprisoned.

The officials involved in persecuting Li and her supporters tried to dismiss the call of the public to redress the Li Jiulian case until January 1980 when Hu Yaobang, head of the CCP Organization Department, intervened. In April 1981, Jiangxi Provincial Supreme Court finally pronounced Li’s verdict unjust.
Li Qinglin (1928–2004). Li was the author of a well-known letter to Mao Zedong, dated 20 December 1972, that prompted an adjustment in the government’s policy toward educated youths in the countryside and contributed considerably to the improvement of their working and living conditions. An elementary school teacher in Fujian Province and the father of a middle school graduate who had left home to settle in the countryside, Li wrote candidly about the hunger and poverty in which his son had been living, and he also wrote about the common corruption problem called “walking through the back door”: families with political power or with connections had been bringing their children out of the countryside by arranging work for them in state institutions and factories or sending them to colleges, while children of ordinary people like himself, without power and without connections, were doomed to remain in the countryside.

Somehow Li’s letter reached Mao. On 25 April 1973, Mao mailed Li 300 yuan (Chinese currency) and wrote him a brief letter, promising a comprehensive solution to this national problem. Soon the CCP leadership issued Li’s letter nationwide as a CCP Central Committee (CC) document. In June, the State Council (SC) held a long work session on educated youths in the countryside at which proposals were made that a government agency concerning educated youths be established at county level, that one child per family be allowed to stay in the city, and that various forms of state aid to educated youths in the countryside be instituted. On 4 August, the CC authorized the SC work meeting report be issued nationwide, and an editorial in the 7 August issue of the People’s Daily took up the question of educated youths and demanded that cadres be resolute in “resisting the unhealthy social trends” (referring to the problem of “back-door” dealings) and that those abusing urban youths in the countryside be severely punished.

In the meantime, Mao Zedong’s reply made Li Qinglin instantly famous. The ultraleftists associated with the Jiang Qing group characterized him as a hero of “going against the tide”—a fashionable substitution for the “spirit of rebellion” of the Red Guards. Li then began to identify himself with the ultraleftists and published an article simply titled “On Going against the Tide” in the November issue of the CCP organ Red Flag. In 1974, he was elected a delegate to the Fourth National People’s Congress. In January 1975, he was made a member of the National People’s Congress’s Standing Committee. Li Qinglin’s deepening implication in ultraleftist politics at
both national and provincial levels eventually led to his arrest after the downfall of the “Gang of Four.”

LI XIANNIAN (1909–1992). Vice-premier of the State Council (SC) from 1954 and a member of the CCP Politburo from 1956, Li was one of the veteran leaders involved in the February Adverse Current of 1967. A native of Huang’an, Hubei Province, Li joined the CCP in 1927, participated in the Long March, and led the military and guerrilla activities in central China during the war of resistance against Japan and the civil war of the late 1940s. In 1954, Li was appointed minister of finance and became known as a moderate economic policy maker under Zhou Enlai and Chen Yun. In February 1967, as the Cultural Revolution was evolving toward a turbulent power seizure, Li joined the veteran leaders of the SC and of the armed forces at a briefing session in voicing their opposition to the Cultural Revolution and in criticizing the members of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG). Li particularly blamed an editorial in the CCRSG-controlled Party organ Red Flag for initiating attacks on veteran cadres. In 1968, Li was sent to a lumber mill north of the city of Beijing to do manual labor.

After the downfall of Lin Biao in 1971, Li resumed his work as vice-premier and became a member of the newly established Central Military Commission Administrative Conference Office. He assisted both Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping in conducting the daily affairs of the state in the last years of the Cultural Revolution. In 1976, Li played an important role in bringing down the Gang of Four. In 1977, at the First Plenum of the CCP Eleventh Central Committee, Li was elected to the Politburo Standing Committee and became vice-chairman of the CCP. He was also entrusted with the daily affairs of the SC. From 1983 to 1988, Li served in a still higher but more or less ceremonial position as president of the PRC. He died on 21 June 1992.

LI XUEFENG (1907–2003). Born in Yongji, Shanxi Province, Li joined the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) in 1933. In the 1930s, he worked underground as a CCP leader in Shanxi Province and in Beijing. From the early 1940s to the early 1950s, Li was the top CCP official first in the Taihangshan area and then in Henan Province. In 1948, he was appointed deputy secretary of the Central China Bureau of the CCP. In 1954, Li was named deputy secretary general of the
CCP Central Committee (CC), and in 1956, he became head of the Department of Industry and Transportation of the CC and a member of the CCP Central Secretariat. In 1960, Li was appointed first secretary of the CCP’s North-China Bureau and first political commissar of Beijing Military Region.

After Peng Zhen was removed from power at the enlarged Politburo sessions in May 1966, Li Xuefeng replaced Peng as first party secretary of Beijing and was entrusted with the responsibility of reorganizing the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee. Li’s tenure in his new position, however, was rather brief because of his association with President Liu Shaoqi in carrying out a work group policy, which Mao dismissed as a repressive bourgeois reactionary line against the mass movement. Though Li was promoted to the Politburo as an alternate member at the Eleventh Plenum of CCP Eighth Central Committee (August 1966), he and the Beijing party committee under him were pushed to the sidelines when the Criticize the Bourgeois Reactionary Line campaign started in October 1966. In January 1967, Li was reassigned as chairman of the newly established Revolutionary Committee of Hebei Province. However, because of his alleged conspiracy with Chen Boda to attack the Jiang Qing group at Second Plenum of CCP Ninth Central Committee (23 August–6 September 1970), Li was dismissed from office in April 1971 during the Criticize Chen and Conduct Rectification campaign. After Lin Biao’s downfall in September 1971, the investigation of Li’s connection with Chen extended to his alleged involvement with the Lin Biao Anti-Party Clique. As a result, Li was permanently expelled from the CCP in August 1973.

Li’s case was reviewed and rehabilitated after the downfall of the Gang of Four. In 1982, Li Xuefeng was reinstated as a CCP member. In 1985, he was elected to the Advisory Committee of the CCP Central Committee.

LI YIZHE BIG-CHARACTER POSTER. This was the phrase by which the poster “On Socialist Democracy and the Socialist Legal System: Dedicated to the Fourth People’s Congress” was popularly known. This poster was written originally as a petition to the Fourth National People’s Congress (13–17 January 1975) that the rule of law be established in a new constitution to protect the rights of ordinary citizens, including those “open and honest with
their opposing views.” Li Yizhe represented an independent reading group in Guangdong Province. As a pseudonym, it was based on the names of the three major members of the group: Li Zhengtian, Chen Yiyang, and Wang Xizhe. Guo Hongzhi, a senior communist theorist, offered help as an adviser to the group. When the petition was posted on 10 November 1974 at a busy junction of Beijing Avenue in Guangzhou, it attracted large crowds. Subsequently, it was copied, mimeographed, reprinted, and circulated in many Chinese cities.

In the poster, Li Yizhe observes that there has emerged in China a privileged stratum similar to the one in the Soviet Union and that this stratum is a new bourgeois class of party officials represented by Liu Shaoqi and his colleagues before the Cultural Revolution and by Lin Biao and his cronies during the Cultural Revolution. Though echoing Mao Zedong’s theory of continuous revolution against the rise of the new bourgeoisie within the party, the Li Yizhe poster touches upon two issues that potentially challenge Mao’s authority. First, its condemnation of Lin Biao’s “feudalist social fascism” goes beyond the political rhetoric of the times: in the name of social legality it challenges Lin’s cult of Mao and his suppression of dissent. Second, taking “feudal fascism” as the most dangerous threat to China’s proletarian dictatorship, the poster calls for the passage of a new constitution representing the “will of the proletariat and the masses of China,” a constitution under which those who have persecuted innocent people will be punished, those with dissenting political views, however wrong they may be, will be protected, and those who are in power but have abused their power and hence lost people’s trust will be replaced. The author’s attempt merely to bring up the forbidden topic of social legality and the rights of the masses made the poster a milestone in the development of political dissent during the Cultural Revolution and inspired further reflections on both the nature of ultraleftist politics and the possibilities of democracy in a Chinese context.

LI ZAIHAN (1919–1975). One of the few provincial military leaders who supported the rebels in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Li became chairman of Guizhou Provincial Revolutionary Committee in 1967 and an alternate member of the Central Committee (CC) at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP in 1969 but was eventually dismissed from office for his involvement in the violent factional battle in Guizhou.
A native of Fushun, Sichuan Province, Li joined the CCP in 1938. He began his military career as an infantry soldier and eventually became deputy political commissar of the Guizhou Provincial Military District in 1960. When the Cultural Revolution broke out in 1966, Li was named a member of the Cultural Revolution Leading Group under the CCP Guizhou Provincial Committee. When a group of students came from Beijing to the provincial capital Guiyang and incited local residents to criticize the provincial authorities, Li sympathized with the masses that rose against the allegedly manipulative and repressive provincial leaders. He wrote several letters critical of the provincial authorities and reported to the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) on the Guizhou situation. Wang Li, of the CCRSG, then recommended Li to Mao Zedong, referring to him as a “Cultural Revolution activist in the army.”

In January 1967, Li traveled to Beijing to receive Jiang Qing’s instructions concerning power seize in Guizhou. Upon returning, Li formed a rebel organization called the “General Headquarters of Proletarian Revolutionary Rebels in Guizhou” and took over the power of the Guizhou party committee on 25 January 1967. The organization’s power-seize announcement and related documents were soon published in the People’s Daily and the Red Flag, which indicated the approval of Mao and the central leadership in Beijing. As the successful power-seize put Li in the most prominent position in the province as chairman of the Guizhou Revolutionary Committee and first political commissar of Guizhou Military District, factional violence broke out in the province. Li supported one mass faction against the other in a series of bloody battles, including a large-scale armed conflict in Guiyang on 29 July 1969. He also intervened in the mass movement in the neighboring province Yunnan. Li’s deep involvement in factional conflict and his unsettling overreach provoked strong opposition both provincially and nationally. In March 1971, the CC stripped Li of all his official positions and publicly criticized him. Li Zaihan died of illness in 1975.

LI ZUOPENG (1914– ). A close associate of Lin Biao and popularly known as one of Lin’s “four guardian warriors,” Li was deputy chief of the general staff of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA), commissar of the navy, and a member of the Central Military Commission Administrative Group (1968–1971). Born in Ji’an, Jiangxi Province,
Li Zuopeng joined the Red Army in 1930 and participated in the Long March. During the civil war in the late 1940s, Li was a corps commander in Lin Biao’s Fourth Field Army. He was made lieutenant general in 1955 and deputy commander of the PLA navy in 1962. In late 1965, Li, along with Wang Hongkun and Zhang Xiuchuan, both officers in the navy, forged material for Lin Biao to use to bring down Chief of General Staff Luo Ruiqing.

Li Zuopeng was attacked by the rank and file within the navy during the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. Lin Biao intervened and protected him. Lin named him, along with Wu Faxian and Qiu Huizuo, a leader of the “proletarian revolutionaries of the armed forces.” As such a leader, Li authorized the persecution of 120 navy officers and consolidated the pro-Lin forces in the navy. In 1968, Li was appointed deputy chief of the general staff of the PLA, commissar of the navy, and a member of the Central Military Commission Administrative Group. At the Ninth National Congress of the CCP (1969), Li was elected to the Central Committee (CC) and to the Politburo.

At the Lushan Conference of 1970, the conflict between the Jiang Qing faction and the Lin Biao faction within the CCP leadership surfaced. Li joined Chen Boda, Wu Faxian, Qiu Huizuo, and Ye Qun in attacking Zhang Chunqiao and supporting a proposal not to eliminate the office of the national president. Backing Zhang Chunqiao and the Jiang Qing group, Mao singled out Chen Boda as the main target of criticism and also told other supporters of Lin Biao, including Li, to conduct self-criticism. In April 1971, the CC held a meeting reviewing the ongoing Criticize Chen and Conduct Rectification campaign. Li’s written self-criticism, along with those of other associates of Lin Biao, was discussed at the meeting. In his summary report representing the view of the CC, Premier Zhou Enlai criticized Huang, Wu, Ye, Li, and Qiu for following a wrong political line and practicing factionalism. In the meantime, Mao continued to make harsh comments on Lin Biao and his supporters.

On 6 September, Li learned from Liu Feng, commissar of the Wuhan Military Region, the critical comments Mao made in Wuhan about Lin Biao. Li went back to Beijing on the same day to pass the information on to Huang Yongsheng so that Huang might communicate it to Ye Qun in time. After the plot against Mao’s life, allegedly directed by Lin Biao and executed by Lin Liguo, failed on 12 September 1971, Li, duty-bound to work with Zhou Enlai to resolve the
crisis, twice changed Zhou’s orders concerning the aircraft Trident 256 on the early morning of 13 September 1971 so that the plane carrying Lin Biao, Ye Qun, and Lin Liguo, could take off from Shanhaiguan airport and flee the country.

After the September 13 Incident, Li Zuopeng was taken into custody, and his involvement with Lin Biao’s alleged coup attempt came under investigation. On 20 August 1973, the CC issued a resolution concerning the “Lin Biao anti-Party clique.” As a member of the Lin group, he was dismissed from all his official positions and was expelled from the CCP. On 25 January 1981, Li Zuopeng was sentenced to 17 years in prison for organizing and leading a counter-revolutionary clique, plotting to subvert the government, and bringing false charges against innocent people.

LIANG XIAO. A homophonic reference to “two schools,” this was one of the pennames of—and the one used most frequently by—the Peking University and Tsinghua University Great Criticism Group.

LIAO MOSHA (1907–1990). A native of Changsha, Hunan Province, Liao was a writer and veteran member of the CCP (since 1930). In 1961, Liao, while a ranking official in the Beijing municipal government, joined Wu Han and Deng Tuo in coauthoring a column called “Notes from a Three-Family Village” in Frontline, the official organ of the CCP Beijing municipal committee. He contributed learned but also entertaining essays that were often critical of the social evils of the day. A witty piece entitled “On the Harmlessness of Ghosts” was so popular that Mao Zedong, speaking at an enlarged Politburo session on 20 March 1966, mentioned it as evidence that class struggle was going on. As a prelude to the Cultural Revolution, a political campaign was launched in early May 1966 to denounce the so-called Three-Family Village Anti-Party Clique. Liao was named an anti-party, anti-socialist “old-hand” and a member of the “black gang” of the CCP Beijing municipal committee. During the Cultural Revolution, Liao was verbally attacked, publicly humiliated, and physically abused. From 1968 to 1975, he was imprisoned for the alleged crime of betraying the Party. Upon release from prison, he was sent to a tree farm in Jiangxi to do manual work. He was allowed to return to Beijing in 1978.
Liao Mosha was rehabilitated in March 1979 when the CCP Central Committee approved the resolution of the Beijing municipal committee to reverse the verdict of the “Three-Family Anti-Party Clique.” In March 1983, Liao was elected vice-chairman of the Sixth Consultative Committee of the Beijing People’s Congress. Liao died on 27 December 1990.

**LIBERATION DAILY INCIDENT (1966).** This refers to the closing down of the *Liberation Daily*, the official organ of the CCP Shanghai municipal committee, by the Shanghai College *Red Guard* Revolutionary Committee from 1 to 8 December 1966. In late November, the Red Guard Revolutionary Committee newspaper *Red Guard Combat News* came off the press carrying an article denouncing the *Liberation Daily* as a “loyal instrument” of the Shanghai party committee in carrying out the *bourgeois reactionary line*. The organization demanded that this issue of the *Red Guard Combat News* be distributed together with the *Liberation Daily* as a measure of “detoxification.” On 1 December, after the *Liberation Daily* refused to meet their demand, several thousand college Red Guards occupied the office building of the official newspaper and shut it down. The action met with strong opposition from a Shanghai workers organization called the Red Defenders Battalion. The members of the Battalion demonstrated outside the *Liberation Daily* office building, shouting, “We want to read *Liberation Daily!*” The Shanghai *Workers Command Post*, the Battalion’s political rival, supported the college Red Guards. Its members joined the college Red Guards and debated the members of the Battalion. The heat of the debate led to a violent confrontation. The college Red Guards’ occupation of the office building finally ended when the Shanghai party committee gave in to their demands on 5 December 1966.

**LIN BIAO (1906–1971).** Military strategist and Mao Zedong’s designated successor, Lin Biao was minister of defense (1959–1971) and sole vice-chairman of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) during the first five years of the Cultural Revolution.

A native of Huanggang, Hubei Province, Lin entered the Huangpu (Whampoa) Military Academy in 1925 as part of the school’s fourth class and joined the CCP in the same year. In 1927, Lin, a veteran of the Northern Expedition, took part in the Nanchang Uprising as
a company commander and later joined Mao in the Jiangxi Soviet revolutionary base. During the anti-encirclement campaigns (1928–1934), the war of resistance against Japan (1937–1945), and the civil war of the late 1940s, Lin continuously distinguished himself as a military leader. He also served as president of the Anti-Japanese Military and Political University at Yan’an after the Long March. In 1955, Lin was named one of the ten grand marshals of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) and also entered the CCP Politburo. In 1958, he became one of the five vice-chairmen of the CCP and a member of the Politburo’s standing committee. In 1959, as Marshal Peng Dehuai was dismissed for criticizing the Great Leap Forward, Lin replaced Peng as minister of defense at Mao’s insistence.

In the first half of the 1960s, as Mao retreated from the frontline of the central leadership after the disastrous experiment of the Great Leap Forward, Lin Biao moved closer to Mao. At the Central Committee Work Sessions in January-February 1962, Lin voiced support for Mao’s radical policies in contrast to the cautiously critical views given by President Liu Shaoqi and others. In the meantime, embracing Mao’s emphasis on ideology, Lin advocated “politics in command” in the armed forces and authorized the publication of the *Quotations from Chairman Mao*—to be known in the Cultural Revolution as a *Red Book of Treasures*—and the distribution of it among the PLA personnel. Lin also formulated a “peak theory” that elevated Mao and Mao Zedong Thought to an unprecedented status and contributed much to Mao’s personality cult during the Cultural Revolution.

In late 1965, in an attempt to consolidate his power in the armed forces, Lin instructed his trusted generals, including Li Zuopeng, deputy commander of the navy, to fabricate material against Lin’s rival General Luo Ruiqing, chief of general staff of the PLA. In February 1966, Lin allowed his name to be associated with Jiang Qing in a report on a symposium Jiang organized on the work of literature and the arts in the armed forces, which accorded Jiang, a figure largely unknown in the army and to the public, considerable prestige. While Lin’s support contributed considerably to Jiang Qing’s meteoric rise as the leader of the cultural revolutionaries in the CCP leadership, the eventual downfall of Luo Ruiqing as part of the so-called Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique marked Mao’s first major victory in the beginning stage of the Cultural Revolution.
On 18 May 1966, Lin took a further step in the direction of Mao’s Revolution by delivering a high-powered speech at an enlarged Politburo session. In the speech—known today as the “scripture of coup d’état”—Lin, on the one hand, dramatized the imminent danger of an enemy takeover from within the party leadership and, on the other, idolized Mao in superlative terms.

In August 1966, at the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee, as Mao launched an offensive against Liu Shaoqi, Lin Biao was elevated from the sixth to the second highest position in the central leadership and became the only vice-chairman of the CCP. From this point on, Lin was referred to in official media as “Chairman Mao’s closest comrade-in-arms” to whom the masses in their daily prayer wished “everlasting health” after Mao’s “boundless longevity.”

In the next few months, Lin accompanied Mao in his eight mass rally receptions for more than 10 million Red Guards from all over China. Politically, Lin consolidated his power, mainly in the armed forces, by offering protection and support for his trusted generals, especially his “four guardian warriors” Huang Yongsheng, Wu Faxian, Li Zuopeng, and Qiu Huizuo, while eliciting their aid to frame Marshal He Long, Generals Yang Chengwu, Yu Lijin, Fu Chongbi, and other officials of power and influence in the military. In April 1969, the Ninth National Congress of the CCP approved a revised CCP Constitution which specified Lin as Mao’s successor, while Generals Huang, Wu, Li, and Qiu, along with Lin Biao’s wife Ye Qun, were elected to the Central Committee (CC) and the Politburo.

At the Lushan Conference of 1970, the conflict between the Lin Biao group and the cultural revolutionaries led by Jiang Qing surfaced over the revision of the Constitution of the People’s Republic of China (PRC). Following Lin Biao’s lead in the opening speech, Chen Boda, who had been alienated from the Jiang group, and Lin’s other supporters launched an attack on Zhang Chunqiao, of the Jiang faction, without mentioning Zhang’s name. Backing Zhang Chunqiao and the Jiang Qing group as a whole, Mao singled out Chen Boda as the main target of criticism and also told other supporters of Lin Biao to conduct self-criticism. After the Lushan Conference, political pressure on the Lin group continued in a campaign called Criticize Chen and Conduct Rectification. Mao made tactical personnel changes in the armed forces to weaken Lin’s power while continuously, especially during his southern tour (14 August–12 September
1971), making harsh and provocative comments concerning the Lin group and even Lin himself.

In the meantime, Lin Liguo, son of Lin Biao and Ye Qun and deputy director of the general office of the air force, allegedly prepared a coup d’état with his commando group, the United Flotilla, for fear that Mao might remove Lin Biao as he had President Liu Shaoqi. On 6 September 1971, General Huang Yongsheng passed Mao’s criticism of Lin to Ye Qun at Beidaihe resort where the Lins were staying for the summer. In the following few days, Lin Liguo allegedly acted upon Lin Biao’s order and plotted against Mao’s life. The alleged assassination attempt was foiled due to the abrupt changes Mao made to his itinerary. A few hours after Mao’s unexpected return to Beijing on the evening of 12 September, Lin Biao, Ye Qun, and Lin Liguo boarded the jet plane Trident 256 allegedly to flee the country. On the early morning of 13 September, around 2:30 a.m., the plane crashed near Undurkhan within the border of the Mongolian People’s Republic, reportedly having run out of fuel. All nine passengers, including the three members of the Lin family, were killed in the crash.

On 18 September 1971, the CC issued a circular concerning Lin Biao’s “renegade escape,” charging him with treason. The political campaign that had started a year before against Chen Boda now continued to move forward but was renamed the “Criticize Lin and Conduct Rectification” campaign. In August 1973, the CC approved “The Investigative Report Concerning the Counterrevolutionary Crimes of the Lin Biao Anti-Party Clique” and expelled Lin from the CCP. See also CRITICIZE LIN AND CRITICIZE CONFUCIUS; LIN BIAO: MAY 18 SPEECH; LIN LIHENG; MAO ZEDONG: SOUTHERN INSPECTION; SECOND PLENUM OF THE CCP NINTH CENTRAL COMMITTEE; SEPTEMBER 13 INCIDENT.

LIN BIAO: MAY 18 SPEECH (1966). Also known after Lin Biao’s downfall as the “scripture of coup d’état” (zhengbian jing), this is a notoriously militant speech that Lin delivered on 18 May 1966 at an enlarged Politburo session. The speech focused on three issues. First, Lin warns his audience of the ever-present threat of a counterrevolutionary coup. He cites statistics on coup attempts in the world in the past six years, lists numerous cases of usurpation in Chinese history, and finally accuses Peng Zhen, Luo Ruiqing, Lu Dingyi, and Yang Shangkun of conspiring to take power: “A bunch of bastards,”
Lin says, “they take risks, they wait for an opportunity, and they want to kill us. We shall execute them.” Second, Lin talks about the danger of a restoration of capitalism in China. To prevent this from happening, he proposes a slogan, soon to be known as the most popular “four never-forgets”: “Never forget class struggle, never forget proletarian dictatorship, never forget stressing politics, and never forget holding high the great red banner of Mao Zedong Thought.” Third, Lin praises Chairman Mao Zedong in superlative terms. He calls Mao a “genius,” “the greatest man living,” and Mao Zedong Thought the “beacon light of humanity,” the “universal truth.” “As long as he lives,” Lin says, “—ninety, one hundred years old—Chairman Mao will be our Party’s supreme leader. His words are the codes of our conduct. The entire Party and the entire nation will crusade against whoever opposes him. . . . Of Chairman Mao’s sayings, every sentence is truth, and each sentence surpasses ten thousand sentences of ours.”

In his letter to Jiang Qing dated 8 July 1966, Mao expressed reservations about Lin’s flattery. Yet, he interpreted it as a political necessity of the left: in their battle against the demons, they need a fearsome god. With Mao’s approval, the CCP Central Committee issued Lin Biao’s May 18 Speech as an official document.

This speech had a strong impact on the Cultural Revolution in at least two aspects. First, Lin successfully created an image of hateful enemies in the mind of the masses and made people believe that the danger of a counterrevolutionary coup by the “revisionists” was imminent. Hence one of the most popular slogans during the Cultural Revolution: “Be ready to die in defense of the Party Central and Chairman Mao!” Second, the speech as an expression of Lin’s peak theory contributed much to the personality cult of Mao Zedong and promoted the supremacy of Maoism in the ensuing years of Chinese political life.

LIN JIE (1929– ). One of Mao’s radical theorists, Lin was head of the Cultural Revolution group at the CCP official organ the Red Flag. Accused of being a behind-the-scenes backer of the May 16 Counterrevolutionary Clique, Lin was dismissed from office in summer 1967.

A native of Wenzhou, Zhejiang Province, Lin graduated from Beijing Normal University in the 1950s. While an editor at the Red Flag, especially during the political campaign to criticize Wu Han, Jian
Bozan, and other “academic authorities” in early 1966, Lin became a close associate of his Red Flag colleagues Qi Benyu, head of the history group, and Guan Feng, one of the deputy chief editors. Lin co-authored several militant articles with them, including “Comrade Jian Bozan’s View of History Should Be Criticized” (with Qi, on 25 March 1966) and “Hai Rui Criticizing the Emperor and Hai Rui Dismissed from Office Are Anti-Party and Anti-Socialist Poisonous Weeds” (with Guan, on 5 April 1966), both published in Red Flag. These articles were instrumental in setting the stage for the Cultural Revolution.

In the early phase of the Cultural Revolution, Lin was in the forefront attacking the so-called bourgeois headquarters of Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping. He was the first among central leaders to support Tan Houlan against the work group at Beijing Normal University in summer 1966. As head of the Cultural Revolution leading group at the Red Flag, he published a number of editorials criticizing the Liu-Deng bourgeois reactionary line and providing guidelines for the rebel movement. A close follower of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), Lin was deeply involved in the conflict between the CCRSG members and veteran officials of the State Council led by Premier Zhou Enlai. Lin remarked on several occasions that the conflict between the CCRSG and Zhou’s team was one between “a new CCRSG and an old bureaucratic apparatus.” His remark was cited by the May 16 Regiment, an ultraleftist student group, in its attack on Zhou in summer 1967. To assist Wang Li and Qi Benyu in their efforts to spread the fire of the Cultural Revolution into the army, Lin published editorials in Red Flag, calling on the masses to “ferret out that small handful [of capitalist-roaders] within the armed forces.” Soon after Mao decided to remove Wang Li, Guang Feng, and Qi Benyu from the CCRSG in August 1967, Lin Jie was detained on the charge of being a backstage supporter of the May 16 Counterrevolutionary Clique.

After the downfall of the “Gang of Four,” Lin was named a trusted aide of the Jiang Qing group. He was expelled from the CCP in 1984.

LIN LIGUO (1945–1971). Son of Lin Biao and Ye Qun, Lin Liguo was deputy director of both the air force command’s general office and its combat division (1969–1971). He was the chief designer of a coup plan and allegedly tried to execute a plot against Mao Zedong’s life.
Lin Liguo was a freshman student in physics at Peking University when the Cultural Revolution broke out in mid-1966. He joined the PLA in 1967 and served as a secretary in the air force party committee office before he became a party member. On 17 October 1969, Wu Faxian, the air force commander and Lin Biao’s close associate, appointed Lin Liguo deputy director of both the air force command’s general office and its combat division. By a careful arrangement of the supporters of Lin Biao to promote the image of his son, Lin Liguo was given an opportunity—and perhaps a script, too—to speak for an entire day at a cadre meeting of the air force command, discussing his readings of Mao Zedong’s works.

After the Lushan Conference of 1970, during which Mao launched a campaign against Lin Biao’s ally Chen Boda and criticized Lin’s associates in the armed forces, Lin Liguo committed himself to a secret mission of planning and executing an armed coup. Lin Liguo formed within the air force a special intelligence and operation team called the United Flotilla, and under Lin’s command, core members of this team, including Zhou Yuchi and Yu Xinye, drafted an operation plan called the "571 Project” Summary in March 1971. Lin Liguo also established a secret communication network coordinating the gathering of intelligence and the training of the special “combat detachments” in Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, and Beidaihe. Between 8 and 12 September 1971, Lin Liguo, allegedly acting on orders penned by Lin Biao himself, carried out a plan to assassinate Mao during his southern inspection. The plan was foiled since Mao made several unexpected changes to his itinerary and suddenly returned to Beijing on the evening of 12 September.

On the same evening, Lin Liguo boarded the aircraft Trident 256 to fly to Beidaihe, where his parents had been staying. In the early morning of 13 September, Lin Liguo, along with Lin Biao and Ye Qun, fled on Trident 256 and were killed when the plane crashed, supposedly because it ran out of fuel, at Undurkhan within the borders of the People’s Republic of Mongolia.

LIN LIHENG (1944– ). Also known by her familiar name Doudou, Lin Liheng, daughter of Lin Biao and Ye Qun, provided crucial intelligence to the leaders in Beijing the night before her parents fled the country in the early morning of 13 September 1971. Lin joined the PLA and served as a correspondent for the Air Force News in 1967. She later became deputy editor-in-chief of the newspaper. Staying
at the Beidaihe summer resort with her parents during the few days before the September 13 Incident, Lin Liheng alerted the central leadership on the evening of 12 September to unusual developments surrounding Lin Biao: with the help of the security force 8341 troop unit at Beidaihe and in Beijing, Lin Liheng managed to communicate the message through several levels of command to Premier Zhou Enlai that Ye Qun and Lin Liguo (Liheng’s brother) might force Lin Biao to move somewhere and that the central leadership should forbid such a move for Lin Biao’s protection. She also mobilized part of Lin Biao’s office staff to keep watch on Lin’s actions.

After the fatal crash of Trident 256, which killed Lin Biao, Ye Qun, and Lin Liguo, Lin Liheng came under investigation. She was released in July 1974 and assigned work first on a farm and then in a factory in Henan Province. She was still under surveillance then. The Organization Department of the CCP Central Committee finally cleared her in 1981.

LITTLE RED BOOK. See QUOTATIONS FROM CHAIRMAN MAO.

LIU BING (1921– ). A member of the CCP since 1938 and for many years first deputy party secretary of Tsinghua University, Liu Bing was denounced as a member of the “black gang” in association with Peng Zhen’s CCP Beijing municipal committee at the beginning of the Cultural Revolution but reinstated as deputy secretary of Tsinghua in January 1970. On 13 August and 13 October 1975, Liu, along with three other veteran cadres of Tsinghua, wrote two letters to Mao Zedong criticizing Chi Qun, party secretary of Tsinghua and head of the PLA propaganda team, for his overt political ambition and overbearing work style. Xie Jingyi, Chi Qun’s close ally at Tsinghua, was also implicated in Chi’s alleged errors. The letters were passed on to Mao by Deng Xiaoping. Considering the letters attacking Chi and Xie to have represented a widely shared anti-Cultural Revolution sentiment, Mao’s reaction was highly critical. In the harsh comments he wrote on the letters, Mao pointed out that the Tsinghua case was not isolated and that it reflected the current struggle between the two political lines; that is, the “correct” line of the Cultural Revolution and the one that betrayed it—“revisionist” and “right-wing.”

After Mao’s response to Liu’s letters was communicated to members of the CCP Tsinghua committee on 3 November 1975, big-character posters appeared on the Tsinghua campus, accusing Liu
Bing, Education Minister Zhou Rongxin, and some other school and government officials of “negating the revolution in education and reversing the verdicts of the Cultural Revolution.” This wave of criticism was known as the “great debate on the revolution in education” though dissent was not allowed. Liu Bing and his colleagues were soon dismissed from the Tsinghua party committee. Liu’s downfall turned out to be the beginning of a nationwide “Counterattack the Right-Deviationist Reversal of Verdicts Trend” campaign against Deng Xiaoping and his overall rectification program. After the death of Mao and the fall of the Gang of Four in 1976, Liu Bing’s verdict was reversed. In 1978, he was reassigned as party secretary of Lanzhou University in Gansu Province.

Liu Geping (1903–1992). One of the few provincial party leaders who supported the rebels in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Liu became head of the Shanxi Provincial Revolutionary Committee in 1967 and a member of the CCP Central Committee (CC) in 1969 but was eventually dismissed from office for his involvement in armed factional conflict in Shanxi.

Born of an ethnic minority Hui family in Mengchun, Hebei Province, Liu joined the CCP in 1926 and worked underground in both rural and urban areas. The Kuomintang government arrested Liu several times. In the 1930s, Liu was imprisoned at the Beiping Branch of the Military Men’s Introspection House with 61 leading CCP officials including Bo Yibo, An Ziwen, and Liu Lantao. Liu was one of the few who rejected the CC’s proposal that they sign an Announcement Renouncing Communism so that they would be released. Liu served his full sentence and was released in 1947. After 1949, he was appointed to a series of minority-related official positions including president of the Central Institute of Nationalities, deputy head of the United Front Department, and governor and party secretary of Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region. Because of his moderate approach to the CCP ethnic minority policies, Liu was criticized for being an “ethnic splittist” in the early 1960s.

When the Cultural Revolution began, Liu was deputy governor of Shanxi. On 10 January 1967, Liu and four other provincial leaders put out a big-character poster to support local rebel organizations’ power-seizure efforts. On 23 February 1967, the CC appointed Liu head of an ad hoc executive group to lead Shanxi’s Cultural Revolution
movement. In the following month, he became head of Shanxi Provincial Revolutionary Committee. In the meantime, as some Red Guard organizations were working to uncover the case of the 61 party officials, Kang Sheng, Jiang Qing and other members of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group began to label these party cadres as members of a “Sixty-One Traitors Clique.” Liu’s refusal to sign the anticommunist announcement, then, became a much-praised heroic deed, for which he was invited as a public speaker nationwide. In April 1969, Liu became a member of the CC at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP. However, because of Liu’s deep involvement in the massive factional violence in Shanxi and because of his serious conflict with local military leaders, the CC dismissed him from office in July 1969. After the downfall of the Gang of Four, Liu was given an essentially ceremonial title as a member of the National Committee of the Sixth Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference.

LIU JIETING (1920– ). A rebelling official during the Cultural Revolution, Liu became deputy head of Sichuan Provincial Revolutionary Committee in 1967 and a member of the Central Committee (CC) at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP in 1969 but was eventually dismissed from office for his involvement in a factional war in Sichuan and was imprisoned after Mao Zedong’s death.

A native of Shandong Province, Liu joined the CCP during the war of resistance against Japan. In 1963, when he was party secretary of the Yibin Prefecture, Sichuan Province, he and his wife Zhang Xiting, party secretary of Yibin City, along with a few other prefectural and local officials, were arrested on a charge of violating socialist legality and framing innocent people. With the support of Deng Xiaoping, Peng Zhen, and Yang Shangkun in Beijing, Li Jingquan, first secretary of both the CCP Southwest-China Bureau and the CCP Sichuan Provincial Committee, had them expelled from the CCP in 1965. Liu and Zhang began to appeal their case directly to Mao Zedong. In June and August 1966, Liu and Zhang went to Beijing to lodge complaints with the CC and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) against Li Jingquan and his supporters in the central government. Wang Li, of the CCRSG, received them on 30 December 1966 and showed support for what he considered to be their rebel activities. Upon return to Sichuan in early 1967, Liu and Zhang organized a group and began to attack Li Jingquan, the Southwest-China
Bureau, and the Sichuan party committee. Consisting of rebelling party veterans and supported by Mao and the CCRSG, the Liu group became well known nationwide.

On 4 April 1967, the CC issued a circular (zhongfa [67] 154) to redress the Liu Jieting case. Naming Li Jingquan a capitalist-roader and affirming the righteousness of Liu and his comrades in opposing Li in all these years, the circular also acknowledges their right to participate in the Cultural Revolution and calls on the People’s Liberation Army officers in the area to support their revolutionary activities. In May 1968, the CC appointed Liu and Zhang as deputy heads of Sichuan Provincial Revolutionary Committee. In 1969, at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP, Liu became a member of the CC, and Zhang an alternate member. As top-level provincial officials, however, Liu and Zhang abused their power and put in prison many of the officials who had prosecuted them in 1963 and 1965. They were also deeply involved in the massive factional violence in the province, supporting one faction of mass organizations in heavily armed conflicts against the other. In December 1969, the CC dismissed Liu and Zhang from office. On 24 June 1978, Liu and Zhang were arrested on a charge of counterrevolution. On 24 March 1982, Liu was sentenced to 20 years in prison and Zhang to 17 years.

LIU QINGTANG (1932– ). A native of Gai County, Liaoning Province, and a member of the CCP from 1959, Liu was an actor in the Ballet Troupe of the Central Song and Dance Academy. His role as the party representative in The Red Detachment of Women, a modern ballet first produced in 1964 and later listed as one of the eight model dramas, made him well known and caught Jiang Qing’s attention. During the early days of the Cultural Revolution, Liu was known for his loyalty to Jiang and for his denunciations of his colleagues. Despite his unpopularity, he was given a top leadership position in the ballet troupe in 1968, thanks to Jiang’s backing. In 1968 and 1969, Liu followed Jiang’s instructions and attacked and persecuted hundreds of people in literature and art circles. In the early 1970s, he became a member of the State Council Cultural Group, and in January 1975, he was appointed deputy minister of culture.

Liu, along with Yu Huiyong and Qian Haoliang, was the closest ally of Jiang Qing and her group in cultural circles. The three were
instrumental in politicizing art in the later stages of the Cultural Revolution. Liu was actively involved in the making of such propaganda pieces as the dance drama The Battle Song of Youth (qingchun zhan’ge) and the film Counterattack (fanji), both politically motivated and aimed at Deng Xiaoping. Liu was detained on 22 October 1976 as a loyal follower of the Gang of Four. He was officially arrested on 8 September 1982. On 2 November 1983, he was sentenced to 17 years in prison on the charges of being an active member of a counterrevolutionary clique, instigating counterrevolutionary activities, and bringing false charges against innocent people.

LIU REN (1909–1973). A native of Youyang, Sichuan Province, Liu joined the CCP in 1927. He worked underground to lead the labor movement in various cities during the early 1930s. After two years of study in the Soviet Union, Liu came back to Yan’an in 1937 and served briefly as secretary general of the Central Party School. From 1938 to 1949, he was a communist leader in the Jin-Cha-Ji area. After the founding of the PRC, Liu was named head of the Organization Department of the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee. Later, he was appointed second party secretary of Beijing.

As the second highest official in Beijing’s municipal government, Liu’s downfall was inevitable when Mao decided to purge Peng Zhen, mayor and first party secretary of Beijing, because of Peng’s resistance to the campaigns against Wu Han and the so-called Three-Family Village Anti-Party Clique in late 1965 and early 1966. In spring 1966, Mao named the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee as an “impenetrable and watertight independent kingdom” ruled by Peng, of which Liu Ren was part. Liu lost his official positions after the CCP central leadership made a decision in May 1966 at the enlarged Politburo sessions that the entire Beijing municipal committee be reorganized. Liu was denounced as a diehard follower of Peng Zhen, a revisionist, and a traitor and lost his freedom. During the high tide of the Cultural Revolution in late 1966 and 1967, Liu was frequently forced to attend struggle meetings and suffered not only public humiliation but also brutal physical abuse. In early 1968, Liu was imprisoned without a trial. For his refusal to acknowledge false allegations, Liu was handcuffed and fettered for several years. His health deteriorated quickly. Denied adequate and timely treatment for illness, Liu died of tuberculosis on 26 October 1973. His case was rehabilitated in 1978.
LIU SHAOQI (1898–1969). President of the PRC (1959–1968) and vice-chairman of the CCP (1956–1968), Liu Shaoqi was the first party theoretician to formulate Mao Zedong Thought as the CCP’s guiding principle in 1945 at the Seventh National Congress of the CCP and was widely acknowledged as Mao Zedong’s successor from the late 1950s on. In 1966, he became the chief target of the Cultural Revolution and was denounced as the “biggest capitalist-roader within the Party” and “China’s Khrushchev.”

A native of Ningxiang, Hunan Province, Liu enrolled in the Communist University for Laborers of the East in Moscow in 1921 and joined the newly formed Chinese Communist Party (CCP) in the same year. After returning to China in 1922, he began to be involved in the Chinese labor movement and soon became one of its most influential leaders. He was elected to the Fifth Central Committee (CC) of the CCP in 1927 and became full member of the Politburo of the Sixth Central Committee in 1935. After the Long March, Liu went to Beiping to work underground as secretary of the CCP North China Bureau. In 1939 in Yan’an, the CCP revolutionary base, he delivered a series of lectures under the title “The Cultivation of a Communist,” which established his position as a major theoretician for the CCP. In 1941, he became secretary of the CCP’s Central China Bureau and political commissar of the New Fourth Army. At the Seventh National Congress of the CCP held in Yan’an in April–June 1945, Liu delivered a major speech on the revision of the CCP Constitution, which was known for its original and systematic formulation of Mao Zedong Thought. In the same year, when Mao was negotiating with the Kuomintang in Chongqing, Liu Shaoqi served as acting chairman of the CCP.

When the People’s Republic of China (PRC) was founded in 1949, Liu became vice-chairman of the central government. In 1954, at the PRC’s First People’s Congress, Liu was elected head of the Congress’s Standing Committee. In 1956, at the Eighth National Congress of the CCP, Liu delivered the political report highlighting the economy as the new focus of the work of the party. In May 1959, when the disaster of the CCP’s radical economic policies known as “Three Red Banners” (the CCP General Line for Socialist Construction, the Great Leap Forward, and the People’s Commune) had become clear, Mao relinquished his position as head of the state and retreated to the “second line of leadership,” retaining his party chairmanship. Liu, then, was elected president of the PRC and chairman of the National
Defense Commission and became Mao’s heir apparent. He, with Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping, was put in charge of the daily affairs of the central leadership. At the Central Committee Work Sessions in January–February 1962, Liu, representing the CC, delivered a report concerning mainly the lessons from the experience of the CCP leadership since 1958. In his speech, Liu supplemented the written report with his own more candid views, including the well-known assessment of the officially named “three years of natural disaster” (1959–1961) in which 20 million people died of hunger: “three-part natural disaster, seven-part man-made calamity in some areas,” as Liu put it. To revitalize the nation’s economy, especially its agriculture, Liu endorsed policies that permitted farmers to cultivate private plots and sell their products on the market. These policies also allowed contracting output quotas to each farm household.

In response to the pragmatism of Liu and others, Mao decided to launch in the nation’s countryside a radical Socialist Education Movement. In late 1964 and early 1965, Mao criticized Liu for failing to acknowledge the “contradiction between socialism and capitalism” as the essence of class struggle in the ongoing Socialist Education Movement. Mao insisted that the target of the campaign be “those capitalist-roaders within the party,” which would soon become the main target of the Cultural Revolution as well.

In the preliminary stages of the Cultural Revolution in late 1965 and early 1966, Liu, not knowing Mao’s real intentions, opted again for moderation. He and his close associates, such as Peng Zhen, mayor of Beijing, attempted to keep the criticism of Wu Han, Jian Bozan, and other well-known intellectuals within the spheres of academic discussion. After Peng Zhen’s downfall at the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966, Liu consulted Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping on how to lead the Cultural Revolution that was officially launched at the Politburo meeting. With Mao’s acknowledgement, who had been away from Beijing, they decided to dispatch work groups to schools—especially the most chaotic ones—to provide leadership and guidance there, as they did during the Socialist Education Movement. After he came back from the south in July, however, Mao talked with the cultural revolutionaries in the central leadership and ordered withdrawal of all work groups. At the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee (1–12 August 1966), Mao criticized the work group policy as being repressive, and he wrote “Bombarding
the Headquarters—My Own Big-Character Poster,” attacking Liu without mentioning Liu’s name. Liu was forced to criticize himself; he came out of the plenum demoted from number two down to the number eight position within the CCP central leadership.

In October 1966, Mao launched a mass campaign against Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping, condemning their work group policy as a bourgeois reactionary line. Toward the end of the year, Liu was denounced at mass meetings as the “biggest capitalist-roader within the party.” In March 1967, the CC established a special case investigation group on Liu Shaoqi. The group submitted “An Investigative Report on the Crimes of the Traitor, Spy, and Renegade Liu Shaoqi” at the Twelfth Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee (13–31 October 1968). Though made of forced confessions, fabricated evidence, and deliberate contrivances of accusatory material, the report was approved by the CC. Also passed at the plenum was the motion that Liu Shaoqi be stripped of all official positions and permanently expelled from the CCP. In the meantime, Liu was brutally treated by the mass organizations. In October 1969, he was escorted out of Beijing. After suffering from grave illnesses and abuse for over two years, Liu died on 12 November 1969 in Kaifeng, Henan Province.

In February 1980, at the Fifth Plenum of the CCP Eleventh Central Committee, Liu Shaoqi was rehabilitated. The CC dismissed all its earlier decisions on Liu and recognized him as a “great Marxist and proletarian revolutionary.” See also COLLAR LIU BATTLEFRONT; SIXTY-ONE TRAITORS CLIQUE.

LIU TAO (1944– ). A daughter of President Liu Shaoqi and a student at Tsinghua University, Liu Tao was a leader of the early conservative Red Guards at Tsinghua and director of Tsinghua’s short-lived Temporary Cultural Revolution Committee. At the beginning of the Cultural Revolution when conflicts between students and a work group occurred on the Tsinghua campus, Liu Shaoqi sent his wife Wang Guangmei as an advisor to the work group to help resolve the conflicts and guide the mass movement at Tsinghua. Under the influence of Liu Shaoqi and Wang Guangmei, Liu Tao played a significant role in mobilizing students, especially a group of ranking officials’ children at Tsinghua, to support the work group in such activities as struggling against the so-called “black gang” elements (those allegedly associated with the Peng Zhen-led Beijing party committee) and
“reactionary academic authorities,” attacking Kuai Dafu and other rebelling students, and defending Liu Shaoqi and other senior party leaders. Some of these activities continued after the work group withdrew in late July 1966.

In December 1966, when a nationwide campaign against Liu Shaoqi moved forward with full force and when the Temporary Cultural Revolution Committee at Tsinghua University was dismissed, Liu Tao, under great pressure from the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group and the rebel students at Tsinghua, began to criticize herself and denounce Liu Shaoqi and Wang Guangmei with a story of how they had tried to influence the mass movement at Tsinghua through her. Liu Tao’s big-character posters “Rebel against Liu Shaoqi, Follow Chairman Mao, and Carry out Revolution All My Life: My Preliminary Self-criticism” (28 December 1966) and “Look, the Ugly Soul of Liu Shaoqi” (2 January 1967) became well-known examples of the widespread phenomenon that children, either under pressure or swayed by the dominant ideology or both, would break away from their persecuted parents to declare their own revolutionary identity during the Cultural Revolution. In 1968, Liu Tao, along with millions of Chinese youths, was sent to the countryside for reeducation.

“LONG LIVE THE REVOLUTIONARY REBEL SPIRIT OF THE PROLETARIAT.” This is the common title of a series of big-character posters written by the Tsinghua University Middle School Red Guards, which is generally regarded as classic writing of the Old Red Guards in the beginning stage of the Cultural Revolution. This series includes four posters that came out on 24 June, 4 July, 27 July, and 1 September 1966. The title was inspired by Mao Zedong’s words, “The manifold theories of Marxism in the end come down to one sentence: ‘to rebel is justified’. . . . Following this theory, we revolt, we struggle, and we build socialism” (1939; reprinted in the 5 June 1966 issue of the People’s Daily). In turn, Mao’s support for these posters, along with his reception for students at the Mass Rally of 18 August 1966, made of emerging Red Guard organizations an army of crusaders nationwide against the so-called capitalist-roaders, supposedly a following of President Liu Shaoqi.

Rather than a series of arguments for the spirit of rebellion, the first three posters are made of clusters of bold assertions and spirited
battle cries against “revisionists” (those believed to have “revised,” or deviated from, Mao’s political line, particularly in education), the “black line and black gang” (those associated with the fallen Beijing municipal party committee), “bourgeois rightists,” “counterrevolutionaries,” and the “Four Olds.” The role of the Red Guards is that of the legendary Monkey King turning the old world upside down. Employing such metaphors as gunpowder and hand grenades in response to the criticism that they were “too rude” and “too extreme,” the authors of the poster call for more thoroughgoing revolutionary violence and vow to drive out “human sympathy” (renqing): “We shall knock you down on the ground,” they write, “and put a foot on your body.” The second exposition begins with Mao’s words justifying rebellion. But the authors make it clear that they are not challenging China’s ultimate power; rather, as successors of revolution, they are the proletarian power: “We shall allow only leftists to rebel, but not you rightists. If you dare to, we shall put you down immediately. This is our logic. The state apparatus is in our hands after all.” Thus ends the second poster. The fourth and last exposition came out on 1 September 1966 with the subtitle “Vow to Be International Red Guards.” In this poster, the authors consider Chinese Red Guards to be sparks that are to start a prairie fire of revolutionary rebellion across the globe. The enemies now are U.S. imperialism, Soviet revisionism, and the reactionaries of other countries that follow them, while international red guards are to be their “executioners.”

After receiving the first two posters of the series and a letter from the authors, Mao expressed strong support in a reply dated 1 August 1966, which was distributed to all attendees at the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee (1–12 August 1966). On 21 August, the party organ Red Flag published the first three posters with a long note that characterizes the poster series as “magnificent poetry of the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution, a crystallization of the genius and wisdom of the revolutionary youth, and an achievement nurtured by Mao Zedong Thought.” With this enthusiastic endorsement from the central leadership, “carry out the revolutionary rebel spirit of the proletariat” became the most popular slogan in the summer of 1966. The militant rebel spirit represented by these posters spread across the nation and led not only to the shake-up of the party leadership at all levels as Mao hoped for but also to brutal violence against people of nonproletarian background, especially those
of the so-called Black Seven Categories and their children. See also Mao Zedong: Letter to Tsinghua University Middle School Red Guards; Red August.

LONG MARCH TEAMS. Also known as Red Guard Long March Teams, these were self-organized groups of students participating in the nationwide Great Networking activities by traveling on foot to Beijing and to some historical sites of the communist revolution. The first Long March Team was formed by a group of 15 student Red Guards at the Dalian Merchant Marine Institute in late August 1966. At the Mass Rally of 18 August 1966, Chairman Mao Zedong received and inspected an army of a million Red Guards and revolutionary masses in Tiananmen Square to show his support for the Red Guard movement and his determination to push the Cultural Revolution forward across China. In order to have a glimpse of the Chairman in person, the 15 students decided that rather than continue to struggle with the country’s jammed transportation system and wait for the train tickets to be assigned to them, they would travel together on foot to Beijing. They named their group the “Dalian to Beijing Long March Red Guard Team” to show their determination to finish the course in the spirit of the Red Army that marched 25,000 li (12,500 kilometers) from Jiangxi to Shaanxi in the 1930s. With each member carrying a bedroll on his back, the team left Dalian, Liaoning Province, on 25 August 1966 and walked for a month, covering the distance of more than 600 miles between Dalian and Beijing. By the time they arrived in the nation’s capital, China’s train system had been jammed every day by hundreds of thousands of networking travelers for over a month.

Considering traveling on foot to be a way to relieve the nation’s transportation system of this unprecedented overload, leaders in Beijing spoke highly of the Long March Team from Dalian. On 22 October, the People’s Daily ran an editorial entitled “Red Guards Unafraid of the Hardship of the Long March,” urging students and teachers to follow the example of the Dalian team. Soon a great number of Red Guard Long March Teams were formed across China. Some were so ambitious as to vow to retrace the steps of the Red Army all the way. After the CCP Central Committee and the State Council issued a series of directives in late 1966 and early 1967, first to halt and then to end the Great Networking movement, some of the marchers, as
well as the train riders, continued to travel around the country for a while and eventually went home in mid-1967.

LOYALTY DANCE (zhongziwu). This was a ritual of worship widely practiced by people of all ages at the height of the personality cult of Mao Zedong in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. To perform this ritual, each participant would hold in hand a copy of the Quotations from Chairman Mao, and they would dance around a circle while waving the little red book and singing songs in praise of the chairman. And eager participants would reproach those who were unwilling to dance with a popular saying: “Whether one dances well is a question of skill; whether one dances at all is a question of loyalty.”

LU DINGYI (1906–1996). Propaganda chief of the CCP since 1945 and deputy premier since 1959, Lu became one of the first victims of the Cultural Revolution among ranking leaders when he was denounced as a member of the Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique at the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966.

A native of Wuxi, Jiangsu Province, Lu joined the CCP in 1925 when he was a college student in Shanghai. He devoted the rest of his career to promoting and publicizing the political culture and ideology of the CCP. In 1945, Lu was elected to the CCP Central Committee (CC) and appointed head of the CCP Propaganda Department. In 1964 a Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group was formed at Mao Zedong’s suggestion to lead the rectification in cultural circles criticizing what was considered “bourgeois” or “revisionist” works. Peng Zhen, mayor of Beijing, was named director, and Lu deputy director. Reacting to Yao Wenyuan’s militant critique of Wu Han’s historical drama Hai Rui Dismissed from Office, published in Shanghai’s Wenhui Daily in November 1965, the Five-Person Group met and put out a not-so-militant February Outline as a guideline for the ongoing political campaign.

Lu’s involvement in the creation of this document made him a prominent target at the Politburo enlarged sessions in May 1966. Mao named his Propaganda Department a “palace of the King of Hell” and called for the “downfall of the King” and the “liberation of the little ghosts.” Lu was under attack also due to his critical comments on Lin Biao’s way of promoting Mao Zedong Thought—“simplifying” and
“vulgarizing” Mao’s ideas, in Lu’s view—and due to his wife Yan Weibing’s attack on Ye Qun in a series of anonymous letters to the CC. Lu was **struggled against** and physically abused at mass rallies. He was dismissed from his major official posts in late May 1966. He was arrested in May 1968. In late 1975, the CC issued a resolution concerning Lu Dingyi, in which Lu was named an “alien-class element,” an “antiparty element,” and a “traitor” and expelled from the Party.

On 8 June 1979, the CC issued a document dismissing its earlier resolution and clearing Lu’s name. In January 1980, Lu made his first public appearance since his arrest. Later, he was given high ceremonial positions such as vice-chairman of the Political Consultative Conference and membership in the standing committee of the CC Advisory Committee. Lu died on 9 May 1996.

**LU PING (1914–2002).** President and party secretary of Peking University and a major target of what Mao Zedong called the **first Marxist-Leninist big-character poster** by Nie Yuanzi and others, Lu Ping was one of the first victims of the Cultural Revolution. A native of Changchun, Jilin Province, Lu joined the CCP in 1933. He was a student leader of the 1935 December Ninth Protest Movement against Japan in Beijing. After 1949, he held several important party and government positions before he became party secretary and vice-president of Peking University in 1957, and later president of the university.

In 1964, during the **Socialist Education Movement**, Lu and his university party committee were criticized by Zhang Panshi, head of the socialist education work team from the CCP Propaganda Department, and by a group of faculty from the Department of Philosophy, including Nie Yuanzi, for allegedly carrying on a bourgeois and revisionist line. Some party leaders were denounced as **capitalist-roaders**. The Beijing municipal party committee stepped in to support Lu and the party establishment by sending Song Shuo, deputy director of the municipal committee’s university department, to the work team as a new leading member and by appointing Peng Peiyun, also of the university department, as deputy party secretary of Peking University. With the assistance of the municipal committee, and especially with Mayor Peng Zhen’s criticism of the Zhang-led work team in January 1965, the early verdict on Lu Ping and the university party leadership was eventually reversed, and the activism of Nie and her colleagues was put down.
But the launching of the Cultural Revolution by the CCP Politburo in May 1966 provided an opportunity for the comeback of Nie and her colleagues. On 25 May 1966, Nie Yuanzi and six other faculty members of the Philosophy Department put out the big-character poster “What Are Song Shuo, Lu Ping, and Peng Peiyun Really Doing during the Cultural Revolution,” accusing the three of conspiring with the Beijing municipal committee to suppress the revolutionary ideas of the masses and mislead the ongoing Cultural Revolution. This poster soon reached Mao Zedong via Kang Sheng, and Mao’s decision to broadcast the poster nationwide marked the beginning of Lu’s rapid downfall. When the People’s Daily carried the poster on 2 June 1966, the commentator’s reference to Lu was an element of the Three-Family Village Anti-Party Clique. On 3 June, the reorganized CCP Beijing Municipal Committee announced the decision to remove Lu from office. Lu was then incarcerated and frequently struggled against at mass rallies until 1969 when the Peking University Workers Propaganda Team sent him to a farm in Jiangxi Province for reeducation.

In 1975, Lu was appointed deputy head of the Ministry of the Seventh Machine Industry. After the downfall of the Gang of Four, Lu was completely exonerated and rehabilitated. He became a standing committee member and deputy general-secretary of the Chinese People’s Political Consultative Congress in 1983. Lu Ping died on 28 November 2002.

LUO RUIQING (1906–1978). Chief of general staff of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) and deputy minister of defense since 1959, Luo was one of the earliest victims of the Cultural Revolution among ranking CCP leaders: he was removed from power in December 1965 and was denounced as a member of the Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique in May 1966.

A native of Nanchong, Sichuan Province, Luo joined the Chinese Communist Youth League in 1926, and became a member of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) in 1928. A veteran of the Long March, Luo was appointed in 1937 provost and vice-president of the Anti-Japanese Military and Political University in Yan’an and became head of the political department of the Communist-led Eighth Route Army in 1940. After the founding of the People’s Republic of China (PRC), Luo was named minister of public security. In 1959, he became a
vice-premier of the State Council and a most powerful military official as chief of general staff of the PLA, secretary general of the Central Military Commission (CMC), and deputy minister of defense.

Luo’s downfall in 1965 is generally considered to be a political tradeoff between Defense Minister Lin Biao and Chairman Mao Zedong at the dawn of the Cultural Revolution. In 1962, due to Lin Biao’s poor health, Mao asked He Long, a senior marshal, to be in charge of the daily work of the CMC. In 1964, Luo, together with He Long and Marshal Ye Jianying, led a successful army-wide training campaign to enhance the PLA’s combat power, which was complimented by top CCP leaders including Mao. To undermine Luo’s influence in the armed forces, however, Lin Biao, with the assistance of his wife Ye Qun, began to gather fabricated materials against Luo. At the same time, Lin continued with his own political program in the army, promoting the personality cult of Mao and calling on soldiers to study Mao’s published works. In November 1965, Lin sent Ye Qun to Hangzhou for a secret meeting with Mao. Ye brought with her a letter from Lin Biao and fabricated materials against Luo Ruiqing. Frustrated with the resistance within the central leadership to the early steps of the Cultural Revolution and badly in need of Lin and the army’s support, Mao agreed to purge Luo after six hours of lobbying by Ye. From 8 to 15 December, Lin chaired an enlarged session of the Standing Committee of the Politburo in Shanghai, at which, much to the surprise of a number of ranking leaders, Lin Biao’s letter to Mao and the 11 pieces of fabricated material against Luo Ruiqing were circulated, and Luo was accused of opposing the principle of “politics-in-command” in the army and making moves to take over Lin Biao’s power. Luo lost all his positions and his freedom, too, after the meeting. An even more serious charge was brought against Luo in a series of high-level meetings held in March 1966: Luo was accused of opposing Mao and Mao Zedong Thought.

On the night of 18 March 1966, Luo, in a suicide attempt, jumped from the third floor balcony of his residence and broke his left leg. The act was condemned by Lin Biao as a betrayal of the party and the country. Luo was imprisoned afterwards. At the enlarge Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966, Luo was denounced as a member of the Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique. In late 1966 and 1967, Luo was frequently struggled against at mass rallies and was subjected to unbearable public humiliation and physical abuse. Unable to walk because of his
broken leg, he was once thrown into a basket and dragged to the meeting by the Red Guards. Because he was deprived of timely medical treatment, Luo’s infected leg was amputated in 1969.

In late 1973, two years after Lin Biao’s downfall, Luo was finally released from prison. In December of the same year, Mao acknowledged his mistake of allowing Lin Biao to purge Luo Ruiqing. Luo was appointed adviser of the CMC in 1975 and secretary general of the CMC in 1977. He died of a heart attack on 3 August 1978.

**LUO SIDING.** A penname used by the Shanghai Municipal Party Committee Writing Group, a writing team headed by Xu Jingxian and remotely controlled by Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan. The penname is an oblique homonym of the Chinese term for “screw,” echoing the much publicized phrase “a rust-free screw on the revolutionary machine” by Lei Feng, a PLA soldier and the most admired communist hero in the early 1960s. During the Cultural Revolution, the writing group produced numerous articles—many under this penname—to promote the interests of the ultraleftist faction of the CCP central leadership and to attack its opponents.

**LUSHAN CONFERENCE (23 August–6 September 1970).** See SECOND PLenum OF THE CCP NINTH CENTRAL COMMITTEE.

---

**MA SICONG (1912–1987).** A native of Haifeng, Guangdong Province, and precocious in music, Ma went to France twice—from 1924 to 1929 and again from 1930 to 1931—to study violin and composition. Upon returning, he became an accomplished performing artist and professor of music. After the PRC was founded, Ma became the first president of the Central Conservatory of Music and vice-chairman of the China Federation of Literary and Art Circles. In the early months of the Cultural Revolution, Ma was humiliated and tortured. He was threatened at knife point by the Red Guards, and he was called a horse (his surname also means “horse”) and made to eat grass. In November 1966, with the help of his family and close friends, Ma, still holding on to his violin, successfully escaped from Beijing to Hong Kong via Guangzhou. In January 1967, Ma, his wife, and two of their children arrived in the United States, where he
lived for the rest of his life. In Beijing, he was pronounced a “traitor.”
While in the United States, Ma remained active both as a composer and as a performing artist. His music compositions were almost exclusively variations on themes of Chinese classics and Chinese minority cultures. Ma was rehabilitated in Beijing in March 1985 by the CCP Committee of the Central Conservatory of Music. Ma died in the United States in May 1987.

MA TIANSUI (1912–1994). A close associate of Zhang Chunqiao and one of the few veteran cadres who sided with ultraleftists during the Cultural Revolution, Ma came to be known as a “remnant of the Gang of Four in Shanghai” at the end of the revolution. He was an alternate member of the CCP Ninth Central Committee (CC) and a full member of the Tenth CC. He was removed in late 1976 as a secretary of the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee.

Born in 1921 in Tang County, Hebei Province, Ma joined the CCP in the late 1930s. He was appointed a regional deputy party secretary in Anhui Province in 1949 and transferred to Shanghai in 1953, where, with initial responsibilities in the area of industry, he was to become head of the CCP North-China Bureau’s department of industry and a deputy secretary, and then a secretary, of the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee. In 1966, Ma did not show himself as a supporter of the Cultural Revolution at first: he complained about the Great Networking of the Red Guards in a speech he gave in Beijing in November at a symposium of industrial and transportation fronts on the Cultural Revolution. But after Lin Biao’s criticism of his speech, Ma changed his position and offered his service to Zhang Chunqiao and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG). Upon return to Shanghai, he began to support rebels in their battles against the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee headed by First Secretary Chen Pixian and Mayor Cao Diquiu.

Ma was regarded as a model cadre for the three-in-one presence of cadre, military, and masses in the new power structure after the January Storm power-seizure movement of 1967; he was made a deputy head of the Shanghai Revolutionary Committee. Ma then turned himself into Zhang Chunqiao’s right-hand man in Shanghai and persecuted Zhang’s critics, including those who participated in the two mass protests known as “twice bombarding Zhang Chunqiao.” He persecuted Cao Diquiu and other Shanghai officials on false charges. Ma was also involved in the power conflict in Beijing: in
1975, he provided materials for the Jiang Qing group to use in their attack on Deng Xiaoping.

In October 1976 when Jiang Qing and her allies were arrested in Beijing, Ma’s political career was over. He was soon dismissed from office and was expelled from the CCP. Ma suffered a mental breakdown in 1978 while in prison and was thus spared a 1982 court indictment.

MAO YUANXIN (1941– ). Mao Zedong’s trusted aide and kinsman, Mao Yuanxin served as the chairman’s liaison at the Politburo in the last few months of Mao’s life. With what he claimed to be Mao’s directives, he dictated the moves of the CCP leadership, including the decision to dismiss Deng Xiaoping from office in April 1976.

A native of Xiangtan, Hunan Province, Mao Yuanxin was the son of Mao Zemin, Mao Zedong’s younger brother. Mao Yuanxin lost his father at the age of two and grew up under the care of his uncle. He entered the Harbin Institute of Military Engineering in 1960. Transcripts of Mao Zedong’s talks with him during his college years (mostly in 1964) on such topics as class struggle, political training, and education reforms were widely circulated in the mid-1960s. In September 1966, after a few months of military training with a PLA unit, Mao Yuanxin returned to his former school to participate in the Cultural Revolution there. Soon he became a rebel organization leader. He was transferred to Liaoning Province in 1968 and became vice-chairman of the newly formed Liaoning Revolutionary Committee and political commissar of the Shenyang Military Region.

While a young official in Liaoning, Mao Yuanxin launched a number of radical initiatives. In 1973, to challenge the directive from the State Council concerning the importance of college entrance examinations, he made a hero, or what he called a “sharp rock,” of the college applicant Zhang Tiesheng, who wrote a letter of protest and plea instead of answering exam questions. In 1974, he advocated a new college admission and placement program called “from commune and to commune” modeled on the experiment of the Chaoyang Institute of Agriculture that had defined itself as an institution of higher education in the service of the local economy. Mao Yuanxin’s ultraleftist politics also made Liaoning one of the most politically repressive provinces in the nation. He was instrumental in the execution of Zhang Zhixin in April 1975 for her political dissent.
In autumn 1975, Mao Zedong called Mao Yuanxin to Beijing to serve as his liaison with the Politburo. Already closely associated with the Jiang Qing group, Mao Yuanxin identified himself with the ultra-leftist faction of the CCP leadership and spoke ill of Deng Xiaoping in his conversations with Mao, especially in regard to Deng’s critical view of the Cultural Revolution. Mao Yuanxin’s repeated negative report on Deng apparently influenced the political judgment of Mao Zedong, who eventually decided to launch a nationwide campaign called Counterattack the Right-Deviationist Reversal-of-Verdicts Trend. In spring 1976, especially in early April during the time of the April 5 Movement, Mao Yuanxin’s role at the Politburo became even more crucial: Mao was bedridden and completely isolated from the outside world, so much so that Mao Yuanxin became his informer as well as his spokesman. The Politburo, on the other hand, often met just to learn Mao’s directives from Mao Yuanxin. Following Mao’s directives of 7 April as Mao Yuanxin reported, the Politburo replaced Deng with Hua Guofeng as the person in charge. After Mao’s death in September 1976, Jiang Qing proposed that Mao Yuanxin’s position at the central leadership be retained. The proposal was rejected by Hua Guofeng.

In October 1976, Mao Yuanxin was arrested with the members of the Gang of Four. In official media, Mao Yuanxin was referred to as a “diehard follower” (sidang) of the Gang of Four. He was expelled from the CCP in 1979 and sentenced to 17 years in prison in 1986 for persecution and false charge, among other crimes.

MAO ZEDONG (1893–1976). Chairman of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) from 1945 to 1976 and president of the People’s Republic of China (PRC) from 1949 to 1959, Mao was the CCP’s foremost revolutionary thinker, political leader, and military strategist. Putting in practice his theory of continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat, Mao designed and directed the Cultural Revolution as a nationwide campaign to uncover and denounce what he considered to be “capitalist-roaders within the party” and to instill revolutionary ideology and political consciousness in the mind of the masses so as to prevent China from repeating the Soviet path of “revisionism” or “capitalist restoration.” Mao’s legacy of ultraleftism, however, came to an end when the cultural revolutionary faction of the CCP leadership known as the Gang of Four was purged shortly after his death.
Born in Xiangtan, Hunan Province, Mao was trained as a teacher at a provincial normal school. At the time of the May Fourth Movement, Mao was a radical writer and activist in his home province. He attended the first CCP National Congress in Shanghai in July 1921, which marked the founding of the CCP. In the mid-1920s when the CCP and the Kuomintang were united against the warlords in the north, Mao, while holding important positions in both political parties, began to be involved in the peasant movement in Hunan and Guangdong. In 1927, when the CCP and the Kuomintang split, he led the Autumn Harvest Uprising and took the guerrilla army to Jinggangshan on the borders of Jiangxi and Hunan, where he started a land reform and established the CCP’s first rural revolutionary base. In 1928, Mao and Zhu De, who had led his own troops to Jinggangshan, founded the Red Army. In 1931, when the Chinese Soviet Republic was proclaimed in Jiangxi, Mao became chairman of its Central Executive Committee.

During this period, Mao articulated his vision of revolution in an essentially agrarian country and formed the strategy of building rural bases to encircle, and eventually take over, the cities. His writings on this subject as well as his more philosophical writings of the late 1930s were celebrated as successful efforts to sinicize Marxism. In late 1932, however, Mao, resistant to the instructions of the Comintern, was criticized for being too passive in his military strategies and lost his authority in military affairs. He began to come back as both a political and a military leader after the Zunyi Conference (1935) during the Long March. During the Yan’an period, Mao’s authority was gradually consolidated. In 1943, during the CCP’s Rectification Movement, Mao became chairman of both the Politburo and the Central Committee Secretariat. From this point on, he remained at the top of the party leadership. In 1945, at the Seventh National Congress of the CCP and the First Plenum of the CCP Seventh Central Committee, Mao Zedong Thought was designated as the party’s guiding principle, and Mao was elected chairman of the CCP Central Committee (CC) and the Central Military Commission (CMC). In 1949, Mao proclaimed the founding of the PRC and became president of the new Central People’s Government.

In 1956, as Nikita Khrushchev criticized the late Soviet leader Joseph Stalin for violating socialist legality and promoting a cult of personality, the Eighth National Congress of the CCP removed the
reference to Mao Zedong Thought from the CCP Constitution and at the same time declared that the principal contradiction in China’s society had become the contradiction between the “advanced socialist system” and the “backward productive forces.” Mao, on the other hand, was alerted by de-Stalinization in the Soviet Union, the democratic revolution in Hungary, and the shift in focus in the CCP leadership from politics to economic development. As the criticism of the CCP leadership rose in 1957, especially from intellectual circles, in response to Mao’s proposal to “let a hundred flowers bloom and let a hundred schools of thought contend,” Mao decided to crack down on the party’s critics with an Anti-Rightist Campaign. In 1958, he endorsed the radical economic experiment Great Leap Forward, which led to a nationwide famine and the death of 20 to 30 million farmers. In 1959, Mao resigned as state president, retreating from the “first front” of leadership.

However, when Marshal Peng Dehuai criticized the Great Leap policies in the same year, Mao had him dismissed as minister of defense and launched a movement against Rightist elements within the party. In the meantime, efforts made by leaders on the “first front”—President Liu Shaoqi and others—to restore the nation’s economy appeared to Mao as measures of “economism” lacking revolutionary commitment. By 1962 when the Tenth Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee was held in Beijing, Mao seemed to have come to a conclusion about the emerging revisionism in socialist countries, including China. “Never forget class struggle,” he admonished the party officials at the plenum. In the years that followed, Mao directed a theoretical debate with Soviet “revisionists”; he designed and led a Socialist Education Movement in China, mostly in the countryside; and he endorsed a movement led by his wife Jiang Qing to reform literature and the arts. Out of all these movements, Mao’s idea of the Cultural Revolution gradually took shape.

In the preliminary stages of the revolution, Mao stayed away from Beijing while planning and directing the CC’s every move in the capital, including the denunciation of the so-called Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique at the enlarged Politburo sessions in May 1966. He came back to Beijing in July 1966 and made a decisive move in August at the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee: on the one hand, he wrote a big-character poster entitled “Bombarding the Headquarters” implicitly criticizing Liu
Shaoqi and those under his leadership for exercising “bourgeois dictatorship” and suppressing the mass movement; on the other hand, he promoted Marshall Lin Biao to the second highest place in the party hierarchy, replacing Liu Shaoqi—who was soon to be dismissed as China’s Khrushchev and the biggest capitalist-roader within the party—as his heir apparent.

China’s unchallenged supreme leader by now, Mao mobilized the Red Guards to attack presumed capitalist-roaders within the party and the so-called cow-demons and snake-spirits without. He also encouraged mass organizations to take over party and government offices in the provinces and ordered the army to support such efforts. In February 1967, Mao denounced a group of veteran leaders’ anti-Cultural Revolutionary outbursts known as a February Adverse Current and gave much greater power to the ultraleftist Central Cultural Revolution Small Group. In 1968, Mao managed to have President Liu Shaoqi officially expelled from the party. And in April 1969, the Ninth National Congress of the CCP passed a new constitution that designated Lin Biao as Mao’s successor.

Mao had hoped to conclude his Cultural Revolution program at the Ninth Congress. But, as serious political as well as ideological conflicts developed between the Lin Biao faction and the Jiang Qing group, Mao sided with the latter and launched a campaign in 1970 to criticize Chen Boda, who had become an ally of Lin’s. At the same time, Mao made several personnel decisions to undermine Lin’s influence in the military. Eventually, Lin died in a plane crash on 13 September 1971 while allegedly fleeing the country after an aborted assassination plot against Mao.

After Lin’s downfall, Premier Zhou Enlai, Mao’s loyal assistant who had kept the country running throughout the Cultural Revolution and helped Mao during the Lin Biao crisis, became the second highest-ranking leader. But, fearing that Zhou as a moderate leader would be a decisive anticultural revolutionary force after his death, Mao never considered him as his successor; rather, he made Zhou the unnamed target of criticism in a series of political campaigns including the Criticize Lin and Criticize Confucius campaign (1974) and Water Margin Appraisal movement (1975–1976).

In choosing his successor, Mao wavered between Wang Hongwen, a member of the Jiang Qing group soon to be known as the Gang of Four, and Deng Xiaoping, the pragmatist former head of
the CC’s Secretariat who had been denounced as the second biggest capitalist-roader. Though members of the Gang of Four were Mao’s ideological faithful, their increasing unpopularity and their lack of political and administrative skills tilted Mao toward Deng Xiaoping. But the drastic measures that Deng took in 1975 in his Overall Rectification program convinced Mao that Deng was already abandoning his legacy of the Cultural Revolution. Eventually, just a few months before his death on 9 September 1976, Mao had Deng dismissed from office and made Hua Guofeng his successor. Within a month of Mao’s death, however, Hua, in cooperation with a number of ranking leaders and with broad support from the top to the grassroots, arrested the Gang of Four, and the radical program that Mao had cultivated in the last decade of his life was soon to be replaced by Deng Xiaoping’s economic reform that indeed adopted certain measures of capitalism.

In the landmark CCP document Resolution on Certain Questions in the History of Our Party since the Founding of the People’s Republic of China passed in 1981 at the Six Plenum of the Eleventh Central Committee, Mao is criticized for his grave mistake of launching the Cultural Revolution, but he is still acknowledged as the founder of the CCP, the PRC, and the PLA and China’s greatest communist leader.

MAO ZEDONG: INSPECTION TOUR OF THREE REGIONS (1967). From July to September 1967, just as factional conflict was widespread and escalating into a civil war, Mao Zedong toured North, East, and Mid-South China and inspected the situation of the Cultural Revolution in Hebei, Henan, Hubei, Hunan, Jiangxi, and Zhejiang Provinces and the city of Shanghai. On 25 September, the CCP organ People’s Daily carried a news report about Mao’s inspection tour. On 17 October, the CCP Central Committee issued “The Important Directives of Chairman Mao during an Inspection Tour of North, Mid-South, and East Regions.” These directives addressed the following issues: (1) Overall assessment of the Cultural Revolution: Mao considered the situation of the Cultural Revolution in the entire country to be “supremely good” since the masses were truly mobilized. He acknowledged the problem of much chaos in some places but also added that the situation “simply threw the enemy into chaos while the masses were tempered.” (2) Factional conflict: Mao
blamed the “capitalist-roaders” for “deceiving the masses and inciting them to fight against one another.” He called for “a grand revolutionary alliance” of all factions. (3) Cadres: Mao still insisted on “struggling against the capitalist-roaders within the party,” but he also said that they were just “a small handful,” while most cadres were good and could be criticized for their mistakes and be educated by the masses. The words “broaden the range of education; narrow the range of fire” indicated that Mao was moderating his approach on the cadre issue. (4) The Red Guards: In contrast to his full support and encouragement of the Red Guards’ spirit of rebellion in the previous year, Mao’s comments concerning the Red Guards now focused on the need to educate them, reason with them, and warn them against excesses: “Now is the time when they may make mistakes.” During the inspection tour, in late August, Mao also had Wang Li and Guan Feng, two frontline extremists of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group, arrested to prevent further chaos in the military and foreign affairs. Mao’s apparent concern with disorder and violence, however, did not override his generally positive feeling about the Cultural Revolution.

MAO ZEDONG: INSPECTIONS OF RED GUARDS. From 18 August to 26 November 1966, Chairman Mao Zedong held eight inspections of Red Guard troops in Beijing, receiving a total of 11 million students and teachers from all over the country. At these events, Mao wore an army uniform and a Red Guard armband and waved to his audience from the Tiananmen gate tower or an open army vehicle while the feverish crowd cheered on, chanting “Long live Chairman Mao!” This was Mao’s response to the resistance to his radical Cultural Revolution program from within the party leadership. By receiving Red Guards from across China, Mao mobilized the nation’s youths for the task of spreading the fire of revolution across the country and turned Red Guards into a major political force against the party establishment.

The mass rally of 18 August, the first and the best-known of Mao’s eight inspections, was a defining moment of the Red Guard movement. At this event, Mao accepted a Red Guard armband and acknowledged his symbolic role as the commander of the Red Guard army. Lin Biao, standing by Mao’s side, delivered a militant speech to a crowd of one million—mostly from Beijing but also with Red
Guard representatives from the provinces—calling on Red Guards to wage war against “old ideas, old culture, old customs, and old habits.” The news report about the event revealed to the public for the first time the demotion of President Liu Shaoqi from the second-ranked position in the CCP central leadership to the eighth. The “8-18” rally triggered a massive assault on the Four Olds as well as a nationwide travel campaign called the Great Networking. Red Guards and other students began to pour into Beijing on free trains, hoping to have a glimpse of Mao in person, which prompted the chairman to hold further inspections on 31 August, 15 September, 1 October, 18 October, and 3 November.

As a ceremonial speaker at some of these inspections, Lin Biao continued to applaud the Red Guards’ militant activities, assuring them that whatever they did was right. In the meantime, the Red Guards from the capital went to the provinces to instigate the masses there to rebel against the authorities. By mid-November 1966, the masses across China were well stirred up for the revolution, as Mao had expected, whereas the nation’s transportation system was on the verge of breaking down because of the Great Networking campaign. Finally, Mao’s reception for Red Guards came to an end after two rather hasty inspections on 10–11 November and 25–26 November (though they were the most massive of all), in which the chairman rode in an open car and reviewed a total of 4.5 million enthusiastic youths in the chill of Beijing’s early winter season.

MAO ZEDONG: LETTER TO TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY MIDDLE SCHOOL RED GUARDS. Dated 1 August 1966, this is Mao’s response to the request by the Tsinghua University Middle School Red Guards for comments on the first two pieces of their big-character poster series “Long Live the Revolutionary Rebel Spirit of the Proletariat,” which they submitted to Jiang Qing with a letter to Mao on 28 July. In his reply, Mao expresses strong support for the work of the Red Guard organizations at both the Tsinghua University Middle School and the Peking University Middle School and for the “people with the same revolutionary attitude nationwide.” Mao also suggests that Red Guards “leave a way out for those who have committed serious mistakes so that they may start anew with life.” On the day Mao wrote the letter, the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee convened. Although Mao’s letter
was never sent out, it was distributed as an important document at the plenum. On 3 August, the Tsinghua University Middle School Red Guards released the letter to the public soon after they learned its content. With Mao’s personal endorsement—one of the major steps Mao took to stir up the nation for the Cultural Revolution—Red Guard organizations sprang up across China and became an army of crusaders against what Mao saw as the state apparatus controlled by President Liu Shaoqi’s bourgeois headquarters.

MAO ZEDONG: MEETING WITH THE FIVE RED GUARD LEADERS (28 July 1968). Chairman Mao Zedong called this urgent meeting on the early morning of 28 July 1968 at which he spoke with the five most influential Red Guard leaders in Beijing. The five leaders were Nie Yuanzi, of the New Beida Commune at Peking University; Kuai Dafu, of the Jinggang Mountain Regiment at Tsinghua University; Tan Houlan, of the Jinggang Mountain Commune at Beijing Normal University; Han Aijing, of the Red Flag Combat Team at Beijing Aeronautical Engineering Institute; and Wang Dabin, of the “East Is Red” Commune at Beijing Geological Institute. What prompted Mao to call for the meeting was the bloody event that had occurred on the previous day on the campus of Tsinghua University where Jinggang Mountain Red Guards under Kuai’s command opened fire at a joint propaganda team of workers and PLA personnel on a mission to break a prolonged armed conflict between two rival Red Guard organizations there. Mao’s harsh reproach of the Red Guards at the meeting and his decision to send students away from cities afterwards marked the beginning of the end of the Red Guard movement in China.

Despite Mao’s apparently enthusiastic support for the Red Guards and their rebellion against the old party authorities in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, which had caused the Red Guard movement sweep across the country in summer 1966, the focus of the Cultural Revolution as he saw it had shifted after the 1967 power seizure movement from abolishing the old party apparatus to establishing new authorities and restoring order. Mao called for a grand alliance of all rebel forces during his inspection tour of three regions in summer 1967 and warned Red Guard and rebel leaders that it might be their turn to make mistakes. However, factional conflicts among mass organizations between the radical and the conservative factions that resulted
from several campaigns in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution persisted. The determination of each side to gain dominance in the new power structure further intensified the conflict, so much so that many places in the nation had been in a state of war since 1967.

Mao’s determination to end nationwide chaos became clear in July 1968 when he authorized two central party documents—July 3 Public Notice and July 24 Public Notice—targeting the armed factional fighting in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region and Shaanxi Province. Mao’s decision to send the workers and PLA personnel to Tsinghua campus on 27 July was another signal of Mao’s move to stop factional violence. Therefore, when the news of Kuai’s comrades confronting the propaganda team with rifles and spears reached him, Mao was furious and called the meeting in the small hours of 28 July. In addition to the five Red Guard leaders, almost all the top CCP leaders, including Lin Biao, Zhou Enlai, Chen Boda, Kang Sheng, Jiang Qing, Yao Wenyuan, Xie Fuzhi, Huang Yongsheng, Ye Qun, and Wen Yucheng, were present, which made the meeting an unprecedented event.

The meeting started at 3:30 a.m. and lasted for five hours. At the meeting, Mao harshly criticized the widespread factional fighting in the country. To the bewilderment of the Red Guard leaders, Mao told them that he himself was behind the decision to send the propaganda team to Tsinghua and that he might resolve the factional conflict on all college campuses by sending all students away. Mao called the solution “struggle, criticism, go,” which differed greatly from the “struggle, criticism, reform,” the tasks that Mao had previously entrusted to the Red Guards. This solution, combined with the movement of educated youths to go up to the mountains and down to the countryside, turned out to be a decisive step on Mao’s part to resolve the nation’s employment crisis and, at the same time, to end the Red Guard movement altogether. For student Red Guards, the meeting at which Mao met and talked with their leaders at significant length for the first time, was a turning point in their lives: they had been praised as revolutionary pioneers during almost all of the campaigns since the beginning of the Cultural Revolution, but now they were beginning to realize that they would be the targets of the next campaign; that is, to be reeducated by workers and peasants. Before long, both college and middle school students left the city for remote factories and farms, and the Red Guard movement finally came to
an end. See also ONE HUNDRED-DAY ARMED CONFLICT ON
THE TSINGHUA CAMPUS; WORKERS PROPAGANDA TEAM.

MAO ZEDONG: SOUTHERN INSPECTION (14 August–12 Sep-
tember 1971). This was a strategic tour during which Mao Zedong
communicated to party and government officials and military gener-
als outside Beijing his view of the Lin Biao faction. This tour alleg-
edly both triggered and foiled a plan to assassinate Mao. On the early
morning of 13 September, within hours of Mao’s unexpected early
return to Beijing (on the evening of 12 September), Lin Biao, Ye Qun,
and their son Lin Liguo fled in panic and died in a plane crash.

In mid-August 1971, Mao proposed that the Third Plenum of the
CCP’s Ninth Central Committee and the Fourth National People’s
Congress convene around the National Day (1 October). Mao noti-
fied Lin Biao at Beidaihe of this proposal as the decision of the CCP
Central Committee (CC), and then left Beijing for Wuhan by train
on 14 August. During the next 30 days, Mao traveled to Wuhan,
Changsha, Nanchang, Hangzhou, and Shanghai and talked to pro-
vincial party leaders and government officials and generals at five
military regions about what he considered to be the ongoing tenth
line struggle in the history of the CCP that began at the 1970 Lushan
Conference at which Chen Boda, along with Lin Biao’s close asso-
ciates Wu Faxian, Ye Qun, Li Zuopeng, and Qiu Huizuo, allegedly
planned actions in secret and launched a surprise attack to disrupt
the proceedings of the plenum.

Lin Biao’s name came up quite a few times during these conversa-
tions: Mao complained about Lin’s flattery and “peak theory” and
called them “inappropriate”; he suggested that Lin should bear his
share of responsibility for what happened at the Lushan Conference;
and he was particularly sensitive to the commanding power in the
military: “Who said the founder cannot be the commander as well?”
Mao asked rhetorically in response to a popular saying that the PLA
was founded and led by Mao and commanded directly by Lin Biao.
He was apparently concerned with Lin’s influence in the army (with
Wu Faxian as commander of the air force, Li Zuopeng commander of
the navy, and Huang Yongsheng chief of general staff) when he said,
“The army must be united and rectified. I just can’t believe our armed
forces would rebel; I just don’t believe that Huang Yongsheng would
be able to direct the forces to rebel.” Mao also made some critical
comments on Lin Biao’s wife Ye Qun regarding her position as director of Lin’s office. The focus of all these talks was the Lushan Conference, the pivotal event since the downfall of Liu Shaoqi during what Mao saw as the ninth line struggle within the CCP. Liu Shaoqi’s case, however, had a conclusion, while Lin’s, that of the tenth line struggle between the two headquarters, did not: “The business of Lushan had not come to an end; it was not settled.”

Despite Mao’s declared intention to “protect Vice-Chairman Lin,” the words about the unfinished business of Lushan and the pending Third Plenum and the People’s Congress (in both of which leadership might be restructured) particularly alarmed Lin Biao and his close associates. On 5 and 6 September, their sources were finally able to provide them with reliable intelligence concerning Mao’s comments during his southern tour. Then, they were said to begin carrying out an assassination plot. Mao had been staying in Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, since 3 September. On the night of 9 September, Mao ordered that his special train be moved out of Hangzhou to Shaoxing. On the afternoon of 10 September, Mao suddenly changed the original itinerary, called the special train back to Hangzhou, and took the train to Shanghai. When he arrived in Shanghai in the evening, Mao decided to work, meet people, and rest on the train. On the afternoon of 11 September, Mao made another unexpected decision to leave Shanghai for Beijing immediately and not to stop along the way. On the afternoon of 12 September, Mao’s train entered Fengtai station in the suburbs of Beijing. There he ordered the train to stop. At Fengtai, he conferred with the leaders of the Beijing Military Region and municipal authorities for more than two hours aboard the train, finding out about the situation in Beijing and making arrangements. The train carrying Mao arrived at Beijing station at dusk, thus concluding his 30-day southern inspection.

MAO ZEDONG THOUGHT. Designated as the party’s guiding principle at the Seventh National Congress of the CCP (1945) at Liu Shaoqi’s proposal and hailed by Mao’s hand-picked successor Lin Biao in the 1960s as the peak of Marxism-Leninism, Mao Zedong Thought was the dominant ideology of the Cultural Revolution. As represented by the four-volume Selected Works of Mao Zedong, Mao Zedong Thought was considered before the Cultural Revolution to be Mao’s successful sinicization of Marxism; that is, his creative
adaptation of a theory coming out of industrial Europe to the conditions of the essentially agrarian Chinese society. During the Cultural Revolution, Mao’s theory of continuing revolution under the proletarian dictatorship, which informed the ongoing political movement, became a new distinguishing feature of Mao Zedong Thought. In a sanitized version introduced in the resolution of the Sixth Plenum of the CCP Eleventh Central Committee (1981), however, Mao Zedong Thought is defined as a “scientific system” which, while originating with Mao, represents a “crystallization of the collective wisdom of the CCP”; this new definition thus omitted Mao’s idea of the Cultural Revolution. See also QUOTATIONS FROM CHAIRMAN MAO.

MAO ZEDONG THOUGHT PERFORMANCE TEAMS (Mao Zedong sixiang wenyi xuanchuandui or Mao Zedong sixiang wenyi xiaofendui). Under the banner “arts must serve the masses” and “arts must serve the politics,” these teams were formed by both professional and amateur artists to deliver songs, dances, music, and minidramas of pure propaganda value to the masses. These teams were usually small in size, with members from fewer than a dozen to several dozens. At the height of the Cultural Revolution (1966–1968), a large number of such teams were active in all parts of the country. Some were dispatched by professional performing troupes, but the majority of them were amateur groups affiliated with certain schools, colleges, or school districts. Since schools were not in session at the time, there were plenty of energetic, willing, and artistically inclined young men and women available as members of such teams. They performed in schools and factories, and even on the street in cities. Some went on tour through small towns and villages in the countryside. Most of the teams, especially those made of college and middle school students, were dismissed when the entire Red Guard generation of youths was sent to the countryside and factories for reeducation—beginning in late 1968. Some of the performance teams, however, were institutionalized in factories, enterprises, farms, and townships and survived until the end of the Cultural Revolution.

MASS DICTATORSHIP. This is the name for the legal authority that mass organizations assumed to arrest, imprison, and torture ordinary citizens, to search their homes, and to confiscate their personal
belongings. Widespread persecution during the Cultural Revolution took place mostly under mass dictatorship.

The practice of mass dictatorship started at the very beginning of the Cultural Revolution when Red Guards took the law into their own hands while Chairman Mao Zedong and other government leaders cheered them on, offering no protection for innocent people from Red Guards’ verbal and physical abuse and administering no punishment for violators of the law. In many places, especially on middle school and college campuses, illegal prisons known as cow sheds were set up, where people denounced as class enemies, or “cow-demons and snake-spirits,” were detained, interrogated, and tortured. They were forced to perform manual labor during the day and to confess their “crimes” in the morning, in the evening, and sometimes before each meal. They were told to bow their heads while walking and not to speak to one another at any time. Home visits were strictly forbidden.

This kind of persecution was even more widespread in the late 1960s during the Rectify the Class Ranks movement when mass dictatorship became a tool of the new power organ the revolutionary committee. In this period, special case groups set up by mass organizations everywhere assumed the authority of law enforcement agencies, and a vast number of people suspected of having a “problematic history” (lishi wenti) were detained, interrogated, and tortured in illegal prisons on the premises of their work units. Members of these special-case groups traveled across China to gather information in government-held personnel dossiers. Forced confessions were widely used as evidence against the detainees and whoever was named in the confessions. It was a common practice of special case groups to try to break down the detainees by forcing their family members to speak against them. This kind of psychological pressure, in combination with isolation and torture, resulted in numerous suicides. According to official estimate, the total number of people affected by the Rectify the Class Ranks campaign, including those persecuted and their family members, amounted to one hundred million.

MASS RALLY OF 18 AUGUST 1966. Officially named “Mass Rally Celebrating the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution,” also known simply as the “8-18,” the huge gathering of a million people—many of them students and Red Guards—was held at Tiananmen Square following Mao Zedong’s suggestion. The event prompted a massive
response among Chinese youths to Mao’s Cultural Revolution program and created for both China and the world the famous image of Mao as commander-in-chief wearing a military uniform and a Red Guard armband waving on the enthusiastic crowd to join the crusade against the old, nonproletarian world.

At 5:00 a.m. on the day of the rally, Mao, after a sleepless night, walked out of Tiananmen (Gate of Heaven) to join the masses. He invited 1,500 Red Guards to join the party leaders on Tiananmen and review the parade from there. As the rally was in progress, Mao received a Red Guard armband from Song Binbin, a Red Guard from the Beijing Normal University Female Middle School, who was to change her genteel name “Binbin” into an overtly militant one, “Yaowu” (meaning “be valiant”), following Mao’s admonition. The gesture showed Mao’s strong support for the Red Guard movement. In the following three months, Mao was to receive more than 10 million Red Guards from all over China in seven more rallies.

During the 18 August rally, Chen Boda, Lin Biao, and Zhou Enlai delivered speeches. Chen, director of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group presiding over the rally, granted Mao three titles: “the great leader, the great teacher, and the great helmsman.” In his militant speech denouncing “capitalist-roaders, reactionary bourgeois authorities, bourgeois royalists, various activities repressing the revolution, and all “cow-demons and snake-spirits” and calling for the total destruction of “old ideas, old culture, old customs, and old habits” (popularly known as the Four Olds), Lin spoke of Mao as the “great commander of the Cultural Revolution.” From this moment on, the Four Greats (great teacher, great leader, great commander, and great helmsman), along with the “red sun,” became Mao’s most popular prefix, and 18 August 1966 became the unofficial holiday of the Red Guard movement.

MASS RALLY OF 6 OCTOBER 1966. Well-known for the battle cry in its full name “The Oath-Taking Rally of Revolutionary Teachers and Students Present in Beijing to Commence Fierce Firing upon the Bourgeois Reactionary Line,” the gathering of over 100,000 people representing colleges all over the country was organized by the “Third Headquarters” of Beijing college Red Guards and held at the spacious Workers Stadium in Beijing. Attending the rally were Premier Zhou Enlai and key members of the Central Cultural Revolution
Small Group (CCRSG) Chen Boda, Kang Sheng, Jiang Qing, and Zhang Chunqiao. At the rally, Red Guard representatives from Beijing Normal University, Beijing Geological Institute, Beijing Institute of Aeronautics, and schools in provinces like Guangxi, Jiangsu, and Shaanxi criticized the work groups and party organizations for carrying out a bourgeois reactionary line and repressing the Cultural Revolution in the summer months of 1966. Jiang Qing, and then Zhang Chunqiao, and finally Zhou Enlai made speeches in support of the Red Guards. The rally sent out a telegram calling on the entire country to wage war against the bourgeois reactionary line.

Of particular note was a document issued by the Central Military Commission and the PLA General Political Department that Zhang Chunqiao announced in his speech. The document was titled the “Emergency Directive Concerning the Cultural Revolution in all Military Units and Schools.” According to this directive, school party committees were not to resume leadership upon the dismissal of the work groups, and the popularly elected Cultural Revolution groups, committees, and congresses should become legitimate organs of power during the Cultural Revolution. The directive also stipulated that care should be taken to protect those in the minority; that all those branded by the work groups and school party committees as “counterrevolutionaries,” “antiparty elements,” “rightist elements,” or “false leftists and true rightists” during the early stages of the movement were to have their names publicly rehabilitated; that materials written by individuals under duress were to be returned to the persons themselves; that after approval from the masses as well as the individual concerned had been attained, materials used to fabricate evidence were to be publicly destroyed. After reading the directive aloud, Zhang suggested that this important document was suitable not only for military units and military schools; the directive must be carried out thoroughly in non-military institutions and at all levels of party organizations as well.

The mass rally of 6 October 1966 was a significant event for the rebel faction of the Red Guards who were repressed by the work groups and old party committees early on but thrived after the rally. The “Emergency Directive” read at the rally had a strong impact on the evolving mass movement. Slogans such as “kick away the party committees and carry out the revolution” would become actions in the remaining months of 1966, which drove the country further into chaos. Also under this directive, a partial redress of the wrongs
committed in the summer months of 1966 was underway and would become an important part of the Criticize the Bourgeois Reactionary Line campaign.

**MAY 7 CADRE SCHOOL.** This was a new institution set up in the remote countryside where party and government officials and college professionals were sent to perform manual labor. Supposedly, they would be tempered by hard work, educated by local peasants, and reconnected with the real life of the laboring masses. On 7 May 1968, the Revolutionary Committee of Heilongjiang Province set up the first of such schools on a farm in Liuhe, Qing’an County, and named it after Mao Zedong’s **May 7 Directive** (1966). On 5 October 1968, the People’s Daily published a report entitled “Liuhe ‘May 7’ Cadre School Presents New Experience for Revolutionizing Government Agencies,” which speaks highly of cadres’ “relearning” experience at the May 7 cadre school as an effective measure to counter the bureaucratic privilege that had alienated them from the masses. In an editor’s note to the report, a new directive of Mao is made public for the first time, which requires all cadres, except for the elderly, the infirm, or the disabled, to take turns to go down to the grassroots and do manual labor.

Soon after the publication of Mao’s directive and the report on the Liuhe cadre school, thousands of May 7 cadre schools were formed all over the country. Millions of party and government officials and college and research institution professionals were sent down to do hard labor. Some returned to their original posts or were assigned other positions after a year or two of “relearning” in these schools. Others stayed there indefinitely. After the fall of Lin Biao in 1971, more sent-down officials and intellectuals were called back to the cities. In the last few years of the Cultural Revolution, many of these schools were already vacant. On 17 February 1979, the State Council issued a directive to close all May 7 cadre schools in the country. See also **REEDUCATION**.

**MAY 7 DIRECTIVE.** In this letter, dated 7 May 1966, to Lin Biao from Mao Zedong regarding the report of the General Logistics Department of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) on “Further Developments of Agricultural and Sideline Production in Armed Forces,” Mao articulated a utopian view of labor as a certain profession participating
in all other major professional experiences—like a soldier who can be at the same time a worker, a farmer, and a student. On 15 May, one day ahead of the passage of the May 16 Circular with which the Cultural Revolution was formally launched, the CCP Central Committee (CC) authorized a nationwide innerparty issuance of Mao’s letter to Lin with a note that calls the letter “a document of great historical significance, a new and epoch-making development of Marxism-Leninism.”

In the letter, Mao projects his vision of the PLA as an institution at once self-sufficient and educational. In his view, the PLA should be a great school where military professionals not only receive political, military, and cultural training but also engage in agricultural, sideline, and small-to-medium-scale industrial production and participate in all political campaigns, including the Socialist Education Movement (which was still going on) and the Cultural Revolution (which was just beginning). “Thus,” Mao concludes, “the army is integrated with students, peasants, workers, and civilians in general.”

In a similar fashion, Mao suggests that workers, peasants, students, and people from other walks of life participate in other spheres of work and learning experience than their own. In his comments on students, however, there is an additional radical thrust: “Years of schooling should be reduced, education needs to be revolutionized, and bourgeois intellectuals’ dominance over our schools has to end.”

As part of the Cultural Revolution program, Mao’s May 7 Directive was used to name any experiment that integrated divisions of labor, especially intellectual and manual labor, as in the case the May 7 Cadre School, where state officials and administrative workers from the cities went to work as laborers to reform themselves; they were called “May 7 soldiers.”

MAY 16 CIRCULAR (1966). Carefully edited and revised by Mao Zedong and approved by the Politburo on 16 May 1966, the CCP Central Committee Circular revoked the February Outline, disbanded the Peng Zhen-led Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group, and announced the establishment of the new Central Cultural Revolution Small Group under the Politburo. The circular accused Peng Zhen of concocting the February Outline without consulting other members of the Five-Person Group and without reporting it to the CCP central leadership and Mao despite the fact that the outline was produced collectively and that Mao was
consulted in person. According to the circular, the February Outline adopted a bourgeois perspective on the current academic discussion and obscured its political nature; in advocating the principle of “everybody being equal in front of truth,” the Outline denied the class identity of truth and protected the bourgeoisie from the rightful oppression of the proletarian dictatorship; at the same time, the outline attempted to disintegrate the left ranks in the name of rectification and create obstacles to the proletarian Cultural Revolution. The circular condemned the February Outline on 10 counts and concluded with a warning: “The representatives of the bourgeois class who have infiltrated our party, our government, our armed forces, and cultural circles are a group of counterrevolutionary revisionists. When the time is right, they will try to seize power, turning the proletarian dictatorship into a bourgeois dictatorship. Some of these people have already been exposed by us, some have not, and some are still in our trust and being groomed as our successors. They are of the Khrushchev type sleeping right next to us. Party cadres at all levels must be especially aware of this fact.” Such an assessment of China’s political situation called for the uncovering and the massive purging of hidden enemies and served to justify a nationwide campaign. This conclusion, along with the preceding militant attack on the “bourgeois” February Outline, highlighted Mao’s ultraleftist ideology and made the May 16 Circular the first “programmatic document” of the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution. See also ENLARGED POLITBURO SESSIONS, 4–26 MAY 1966.

MAY 16 COUNTERREVOLUTIONARY CLIQUE. This was the term the central leadership adopted in August 1967 to refer to a small organization of college students in Beijing called “The Capital May 16 Red Guards Regiment,” usually abbreviated as “May 16” or “5-16” (read “five-one-six” in Chinese). But after its brief initial stage, in which only this small organization and its associates were targeted, the investigation of the “May 16” became a nationwide political witch-hunt. Though its supposed target no longer existed, over a million innocent people were incriminated, according to official estimate. The movement had no official closure and did not end until after the Cultural Revolution.

The original May 16 Regiment was an ultraleftist group named after the CCP May 16 Circular of 1966. In the summer months of
1967, members of the May 16 Regiment secretly distributed pamphlets and posted slogans calling Premier Zhou Enlai a “black backstage supporter of the February Adverse Current” and a “shameful traitor of Mao Zedong Thought” and accusing him of betraying the spirit of the May 16 Circular.

The May 16 Regiment’s attack on Zhou provoked a public outcry and a quick condemnation by the central leadership, as well. Some time in August 1967 when Mao Zedong was reading a draft of Yao Wenyuan’s article “On Two Books by Tao Zhu,” he named the “May 16” as an example of the counterrevolutionaries who, in Yao’s words, “shout slogans that are extreme left in form but extreme right in essence, whip up the ill wind of ‘suspecting all,’ and bombard the proletarian headquarters:” “The organizers and manipulators of the so-called ‘May 16,’” Mao wrote, “are just such a conspiratorial counterrevolutionary clique and must be thoroughly exposed.” The government’s crackdown on the “May 16” was swift and successful.

However, after the leaders of the “May 16” were arrested, the movement to “ferret out the ‘May 16’” continued. In 1968, the CCP central leadership set up a Chen Boda-led special case group to investigate the “May 16.” At a meeting on 24 January 1970, Lin Biao and Jiang Qing called for further investigation of the “May 16.” By then, the hunt for the “May 16” had already evolved into a nationwide campaign, and the “May 16”—a convenient catch-all label for those on the extreme left—was a term to use to label one’s enemies. In places where factional strife was intense, each side often accused the other of being the “May 16.” In some work units, over 14% of the people were falsely named “May 16” members.

On 27 March 1970, the CCP Central Committee (CC) issued a “Notification Concerning the Investigation of the ‘May 16’ Counterrevolutionary Conspiratorial Clique” to put in check the widespread persecution and confusion. Yet the statement in the “Notification” that it was wrong to deny the existence of the “May 16” and that class struggle was so complicated that the “May 16” was just one of the many counterrevolutionary organizations actually encouraged further political witch-hunting. The “Notification” also named without any factual basis four generals, Xiao Hua, Yang Chengwu, Yu Lijin, and Fu Chongbi, together with three fallen cultural revolutionaries, Wang Li, Guan Feng, and Qi Benyu, as behind-the-scenes manipulators of the “May 16 clique.”
On 8 February 1971, with Mao’s approval, the CC announced its decision to form a 13-member special case task force to lead the investigation of the “May 16.” Chen Boda, head of the “May 16” special case group established in 1968, was now pronounced the backstage supporter of the “May 16.” In 1972, shortly after Lin Biao’s downfall, Lin and Chen were named together as chief backstage manipulators of the “May 16.” In the meantime, the CC special case task force continued to operate until its dissolution in late 1978 when the investigation of the “May 16” finally came to an inconclusive end.

**MORNING REQUEST, EVENING REPORT (zaoqingshi wanhui-bao).** The phrase refers to the ritual of the masses during the Cultural Revolution to request instructions from Chairman Mao Zedong in the morning and report to him in the evening about what one thought and did during the day. The ritual was invented in the early stage of the Cultural Revolution when the personality cult of Mao was at its height. It soon became a common practice across China. Usually performed in groups with participants standing in front of Mao’s portrait at home or in public, the ritual would begin with a prayer for Mao’s longevity and Vice-Chairman Lin Biao’s long-lasting health while everyone in the group waved the pocket-size Quotations from Chairman Mao. The prayer was followed by the reading of Mao’s quotations. The ritual usually ended with an oath that Mao’s instructions just chanted would be carried out in action during the day. The beginning prayer was the same for the evening ritual just before bedtime. Then each participant would examine closely his or her deeds of the day against Mao’s instructions and express his or her determination to follow Mao’s instructions more closely and overcome any shortcomings the next day. Similar to this ritual is a slightly less common practice of reading Mao’s quotations before each meal.

When gigantic Mao statues were erected on many school campuses and on the premises of state institutions in 1968, the morning ritual in the public square in front of Mao’s statue became a spectacle: hundreds, and even thousands, of people (depending on the size of the institution) would come in groups and speak to the statue of the chairman in a loud voice. The so-called class enemies—those being denounced, illegally detained, and usually forced to perform manual labor—were not allowed to participate in the ritual the way other people did; they were often herded by Red Guards to line up in front
of the statue, bow their heads, and perform a “Morning Confession,” in which they were forced to name their “crimes” against the chairman and ask for punishment. In summer 1969, the central leadership attempted to stop the practice of “Morning Request, Evening Report” by dismissing it as “formalistic” in a party central document (dated 12 June 1969). But the ritual continued to be performed in many places until after the downfall of Lin Biao in 1971.

– N –

NANJING 12 FEBRUARY COUNTERREVOLUTIONARY CASE 1970. A wrongful case against a group of students in Nanjing for their protest against the “One Strike and Three Antis” campaign and their opposition to Lin Biao and Jiang Qing. This case was related to another unjust verdict in Nanjing: on 12 February 1970, when the “One Strike and Three Antis” campaign was just beginning, a young man named Jin Chahua became the first victim of the campaign and was executed in Nanjing because of his critical views of the Cultural Revolution and his efforts as an organizer of a Marxism-Leninism study group. Sympathizing with Jin’s views and outraged by his execution, Chen Zhuoran, a student at the Nanjing No. 8 Middle School, and some of his friends and fellow students went out on the night of Jin’s execution and posted on the streets six slogans including “Immortal is the martyr Jin Chahua,” “We need true Marxism,” “Down with Lin Biao,” and “Down with Jiang Qing.” In April 1970, Chen and his friends were arrested for their involvement in the “12 February counterrevolutionary case.” On 28 April 1970, a public trial was held, during which Chen Zhuoran’s death sentence was pronounced; Su Xiaobin, one of Chen’s friends, was sentenced to 15 years in prison. Wang Maoya, another student involved, was driven insane by the harsh measures and eventually committed suicide. On 13 May 1981, the Nanjing Intermediate People’s Court redressed the case and pronounced all of the verdicts unjust.

NANJING INCIDENT (1976). A spontaneous political movement in the form of a public mourning for the late Premier Zhou Enlai as well as a mass protest against the Jiang Qing group took place in Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, in late March and early April 1976. The
immediate cause of the protest was a series of slanders in the media against Zhou Enlai, especially an implicit reference to Zhou as a capitalist-roader supporting Deng Xiaoping in an article published in the 25 March issue of ultraleftists-controlled Shanghai newspaper Wenhui Daily. While careful readers across China were enraged by such insinuations and writing and calling the newspaper to protest, people in Nanjing were the first to be organized and take to the street. With the approach of the traditional Qingming Festival (4 April in 1976)—a day to visit cemeteries and remember the dead—numerous wreaths honoring the late premier were made and placed in the Yuhuatai Cemetery of Revolutionary Martyrs at Meiyuan in the suburbs of Nanjing.

On 28 March, more than 400 students and teachers from Nanjing University held Zhou’s portrait, carried a flower wreath, and marched through Nanjing’s busiest streets to Meiyuan. The traffic police gave them green lights, while all vehicles made way for them. More and more people joined the march while a huge number of pedestrians stood silently along the streets. The next day, college students posted or painted slogans on the walls in the city and on the trains passing through Nanjing—slogans commemorating Zhou, protesting against Wenhui Daily, and denouncing the Jiang Qing group although without mentioning names. On 1 April, an official notice came from Beijing via telephone charging the authors of posters and slogans with the crime of “splitting the central leadership.” The notice further irritated the masses, so much so that not only did protest activities intensify in Nanjing, but they spread quickly to other cities, including Beijing. In the few days in late March and early April, about 667,000 people visited the Yuhuatai cemetery, and over 6,000 wreaths were laid there in honor of the late premier. Nanjing provided the first instance of public mourning as a form of protest, the signature of the nationwide April 5 Movement.

NATIONAL RED WORKERS REBEL CORPS (quanguo hongse zaofanzhe zongtuan). An organization of contract and temporary workers formed on 8 November 1966 and one of China’s first national mass organizations, the Rebel Corps was known for its practical orientation in fighting for its members’ job security and economic benefits. The organization initially won support from the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), particularly Jiang Qing who, in
a speech given on 26 December 1966, blamed President Liu Shaoqi for the unfair treatment of contract and temporary workers and called upon the Rebel Corps to criticize Liu’s revisionist line. Yet, as the organization continued to work for its members’ economic interests and as Mao Zedong expressed dissatisfaction with such an economic distraction in a political movement and supported some mass organizations in Shanghai in their critique of economism, the CCRSG eventually turned its back on the Rebel Corps. On 12 February 1967, the CCP Central Committee and the State Council issued a public announcement (zhongfa [67] 47) to disband all national mass organizations, including the Rebel Corps. On 15 February, the Military Control Commission of Beijing Public Security Bureau pronounced the Rebel Corps a “reactionary organization” and ordered the arrest of its leaders. See also ECONOMISM.

NEW PEKING UNIVERSITY (xinbeida). A major mass organization newspaper during the Cultural Revolution, the New Peking University was the publication of the Peking University Cultural Revolution Committee from 22 August 1966 to 17 August 1968, totaling approximately 200 issues. Publication began on the day when Mao Zedong, upon request from Peking University cultural revolutionaries led by Nie Yuanzi, inscribed the title “New Peking University” to replace that of the old official news bulletin. In the issues published in the early stage of the Cultural Revolution, the New Peking University devotes much space to attacking school authorities, especially Lu Ping and Peng Peiyun, for their close association with the so-called “black gang” of the former CCP Beijing municipal committee led by Mayor Peng Zhen. During the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line, the paper shifted its focus to criticizing the work group of Peking University. From late 1966 on, the New Peking University Commune, the dominant mass organization that virtually controlled the school’s Cultural Revolution Committee, sent its members to many parts of the country, first to stir up the masses for revolution in the provinces, and then, to engage in provincial factional fighting. Its provincial liaison offices sometimes published joint issues with local mass organizations.

When sectional battles turned into a civil war in 1967, the Peking University Cultural Revolution Committee was split into two factions: the original New Peking University Commune and a new group
including the Revolutionary Rebels Headquarters, Jinggang Mountain Regiment, and Fluttering Red Flag, all of which joined hands later on to form the New Peking University Jinggang Mountain Regiment. The latter began to publish its own newspaper *New Peking University News* (*xinbeida bao*) on 12 July 1967. At this stage, both newspapers of Peking University mainly focused on factional battles. On 28 July 1968, at his meeting with the five Red Guard leaders, including Nie Yuanzi of Peking University, Mao was sharply critical of the widespread Red Guard factionalism. Within a month the *New Peking University* ceased publication; its last issue came out two days before the workers propaganda team and the PLA propaganda team entered the Peking University campus and took control of the school.

**NEW TREND OF IDEAS** (*xinsichao*). This phrase denoted a strain of radical ideas embraced by some student thinkers and writers during the Cultural Revolution. The phrase first appeared as the title of a journal that a group of students at Beijing Normal University established in late 1966 and early 1967. The leader of the group was Li Wenbo who argues in “A Commune Is No Longer a State” (17 October 1966) and some other big-character posters that China’s socialist government structure, having derived from a bourgeois system, is still a hotbed for the growth of a capitalist class, revisionism, and bureaucracy. Therefore, Li believes, the old state and party apparatus must be completely dismantled, and a new form of government must be created following the principles of the Paris Commune of 1871 by which people have the right to elect as well as replace government officials. This transformation, according to Li, is the goal of the Cultural Revolution. Pursuing a similar line of argument, the collective author of “On the New Trend of Ideas: The Declaration of the 4-3 Faction” (11 June 1967) holds that since in socialist China some government officials have become members of a privileged class, cultural revolutions are needed to purge capitalist-roaders within the party, to strip them of their newly acquired privileges, and to redistribute property and political power.

Yang Xiguang, a middle school student in Hunan Province, further radicalized this line of thinking in his article “Where Is China Going?” (6 January 1968). A self-labeled ultraleftist, Yang denounces a new class of red capitalists that consists of 90% of ranking officials with Premier Zhou Enlai as their current general representative. In
his view, Chairman Mao Zedong’s ultimate political vision, the real goal of his Cultural Revolution program, is to do away with the old state and party apparatus completely and to establish a new form of government called “Chinese people’s commune,” a mass dictatorship that had already existed briefly in the Paris Commune of 1871, the Shanghai January Storm of 1967, and what Yang calls China’s “partial revolutionary civil war” of August 1967. Mao’s decision to establish the revolutionary committee (which includes military leaders and officials from the pre-Cultural Revolution government) instead of the commune as the organ of power is to Yang a necessary concession to the bourgeoisie at the moment, a great strategic move; when the masses are mature enough, Yang predicts, they will understand Mao’s vision, abandon the revolutionary committee, and turn China into a completely new society governed by the masses. Though some of these radical ideas may indeed underlie Mao’s cultural revolution theory, they were invariably suppressed by the government. Yang Xiguang, the most prominent voice of the “new trend” was imprisoned for 10 years from 1968 to 1978.

NIE RONGZHEN (1899–1992). A senior leader of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and the People’s Liberation Army (PLA), Nie played a significant role in the CCP’s political and military affairs before 1949 and in the modernization of the Chinese military after the founding of the People’s Republic of China (PRC). In the Cultural Revolution, Nie was one of the veteran officials involved in the 1967 February Adverse Current.

Born in Jiangjin, Sichuan Province, Nie took part in the communist movement in the early 1920s while a student in France. He joined the CCP in 1923 after his return to China and was trained in the Soviet Union from 1924 to 1925. A veteran of the Northern Expedition and a leader of both the Nanchang Uprising and the Guangzhou Uprising, Nie served on prominent military posts in the Red Army in 1930s, in the Communist-led Eighth Route Army during the war of resistance against Japan, and in the PLA’s Western-China Field Army in the civil war in the second half of the 1940s. After the founding of the PRC, Nie was appointed to various high-level positions in the party, the state, and the army, including mayor of Beijing, deputy chief of general staff of the PLA, vice-premier of the State Council (1958–1975), vice-chairman of the CCP Central Military Commission (CMC) (1959–1987), and
chairman of the National Defense Science and Technology Commission. He was one of the 10 marshals of the PRC and a member of the CCP Seventh and Eighth Central Committee (CC). In August 1966, Nie became a member of the Politburo—an indication of his support for Mao Zedong at the outset of the Cultural Revolution.

In early 1967, Nie was, however, involved in the first of the “two great disturbances” of what was soon to be known as the February Adverse Current, in which a group of senior party and military leaders confronted the ultraleftists of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) and accused them of persecuting veteran cadres and interfering with military affairs. Mao sided with the CCRSG and criticized Nie and his comrades. The veterans were under attack again in 1969 at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP; though Nie retained his membership in the CC, his power and influence in state and military affairs were much reduced. After Lin Biao’s demise in 1971, Mao began to seek support from the “old government” faction of the central leadership and sent friendly signals to Nie and other senior party and military leaders. Nie reappeared at the CMC Standing Committee and was named a vice-chairman of the National People’s Congress in 1975. In the post-Mao era, Nie became a member of the Politburo of both the Tenth and the Eleventh CC and vice-chairman of the State Central Military Committee of the Sixth National People’s Congress. Nie Rongzhen retired in 1987 and died in 1992.

Nie Yuanzi (1921– ). One of the well-known “five Red Guard leaders” in Beijing and an alternate member of the CCP Ninth Central Committee (CC), Nie was chair of the Peking University Revolutionary Committee and head of the Capital College Red Guards’ Representative Assembly during the Cultural Revolution.

A native of Hua County, Henan Province, Nie joined the CCP in 1938. Unlike the other four student members of the “five Red Guard leaders,” Nie was a faculty member and party secretary of the Department of Philosophy at Peking University when the Cultural Revolution began. What Mao Zedong called the first Marxist-Leninist big-character poster, which Nie cosigned with six other authors on 25 May 1966, made her nationally famous. After 1 June 1966 when Mao ordered the nationwide broadcast of this poster for the purpose of mobilizing the masses to shake up the party leadership, Nie herself became one of the henchmen upon whom Mao and the
Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) relied heavily in implementing their radical policies at the grassroots. Nie, along with a few other prominent rebel Red Guard leaders, organized the mass rally of 6 October 1966, at which more than 100,000 people representing colleges across China gathered to launch the campaign against the bourgeois reactionary line of Liu Shaoqi. During and after this campaign, Nie and her supporters participated in the nationwide bombing of party and state leaders who allegedly did not side with Mao and the CCRSG. In 1967, Nie was appointed deputy head of the Beijing Municipal Revolutionary Committee.

During her brief career of two years as the most powerful person at Peking University, Nie became popularly known as laofoye, an appellation of the old Dowager Cixi of the Qing Dynasty, and was responsible for the persecution of many people. She was much involved in violent factional conflicts on the university campus as well. By summer 1968, mass organizations led by Nie and other Red Guard leaders had become so intractable that Mao finally decided to end the Red Guard movement altogether. On the early morning of 28 July 1968, Mao held a meeting with the five Red Guard leaders, including Nie Yuanzi. At the meeting, Mao sent a strong signal to Nie and others that they should exit China’s political stage. Shortly after the meeting, a Workers Propaganda Team and a PLA Propaganda Team were sent to Peking University to take over power from Nie and her supporters. The propaganda teams soon took her into custody and forced her to do penal labor under surveillance.

Shortly after the downfall of the Gang of Four, Nie was formally arrested on a counterrevolutionary charge. On 10 March 1983, the Beijing Intermediate People’s Court sentenced her to 17 years in prison. She was accused of a variety of crimes including instigating attacks on party and state officials and framing and persecuting innocent people.

NINGXIA COMMUNIST SELF-STUDY UNIVERSITY (ningxia gongchanzhuyi zixiu daxue). This reading group was formed by 13 college and middle school students in Yinchuan, Ningxia Province, in November 1969 for the purpose of studying and discussing classic texts of Marxism-Leninism. The group had a mimeographed publication called Journal of Learning. A total of two issues were published, carrying six articles and three reports on the condition of the Chinese
In these writings, as well as their correspondences to one another, some members of the group are critical of the personality cult of Mao Zedong and obscurantist policies of the CCP. One member, for instance, draws an analogy between the Cultural Revolution and the Republican Revolution of 1911 in which Lin Biao is compared to Yuan Shikai as a hidden usurper of state power. In March 1970, during the One Strike and Three Antis campaign, the authorities named this group an “active counterrevolutionary clique.” Three members of the group were executed, one committed suicide, four received prison sentences varying from three years to life, and the rest were put under surveillance by the state. On 5 August 1978, the Ningxia Autonomous Region Supreme Court pronounced the case of the Communist Self-Study University misjudged.


After years of anticipation and preparation during which grave events, especially the post-Great Leap Forward economic crisis and the turmoil in the early part of the Cultural Revolution, had interrupted the regular meeting schedule of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP), the Ninth National Congress finally opened in the beginning of April 1969, 13 years—instead of 5 years as specified in the CCP Constitution—after the Eighth Congress. The entire meeting was held in secret under heavy security due to war concerns over the Sino-Soviet border dispute. Some 1,512 delegates attended the meeting representing 22 million CCP members. Chairman Mao Zedong called for unity in his opening speech, but the ceremonial seating on the rostrum was highly suggestive of the division within the Party: Mao was seated in the center; on his left were Lin Biao, Kang Sheng, Jiang Qing, and other new stars of the Cultural Revolution; on his right were Zhou Enlai and prominent pre-1966 “old government” leaders.

Lin Biao, representing the Central Committee (CC), delivered the Ninth Congress Political Report. Lin spoke of the Cultural Revolution as a great political movement guided by Mao’s theory of continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat. He surveyed the CCP history, especially its post-1949 socialist period, as merely a preparation for the Cultural Revolution and denounced Liu Shaoqi as the general representative of capitalist-roaders within the party. Liu’s “counterrevolutionary conspiracy” to restore capitalism in China, according to Lin, was detected by Mao long ago and finally
defeated during the Cultural Revolution. Mao’s idea that class struggle exists in the entire historical period of socialism gained official status in Lin’s report as the basic line of the CCP, while Mao Zedong Thought as a whole, Lin said, must command everything.

The Ninth Congress adopted a new party constitution. Mao’s theory of class struggle and continuous revolution became part of the constitution’s general program. Also in the general program was a specific goal to overthrow the imperialism led by the United States, the modern revisionism of the Soviet Union and its allies, and the reactionaries of all countries. According to the new constitution, party members had only compulsory duties; the rights defined in the original constitution were eliminated. In a move unprecedented in the history of the CCP, the new constitution specified Mao Zedong as the leader of the CCP and Lin Biao as Mao’s “close comrade-in-arms and successor.”

The election of the Ninth Central Committee was the last item on the agenda. The candidate nomination and selection proceeded with strict regulations in favor of the new establishment: Mao Zedong and Lin Biao were designated as “natural candidates;” 12 participants of the extended Central Cultural Revolution Small Group routine meetings and three members of the Central Military Commission Administrative Group were “unanimously approved candidates;” the number of candidates from the Eighth Central Committee was not to exceed 53. Before the election, there was much backstage maneuvering by Lin Biao, Jiang Qing, and their supporters who tried to embarrass the old leaders by reducing their votes. Behind-the-scenes activities also included those of Lin’s close allies undermining the power of Jiang’s group. The election results were predictable: 170 members for the CC were elected from exactly 170 candidates; 109 alternates were elected from the same number of candidates as well; Mao was elected chairman of the CC; and Lin Biao the only vice-chairman.

The personality cult of Mao Zedong was at its peak at the Ninth Congress. Delegates often talked about their two greatest desires: first, to see Chairman Mao as much as possible and to hear his “great voice” as much as possible; second, to have a picture taken with Mao. Mao’s brief opening speech was interrupted dozens of times by the audience shouting the slogan “Long live Chairman Mao” and singing the song “Chairman Mao, the Red Sun in Our Heart.” Delegates even gathered in the Great Hall of People, the congress site, and did the “loyalty dance” to demonstrate their love for the Chairman. The elevation of
Lin Biao’s status was no less phenomenal. As delegates were electing the all-powerful presidium at the opening session, Mao proposed that Lin be elected chairman. Lin protested, and Mao’s proposal was naturally turned down. Yet, with Mao giving the opening speech and Lin announcing the adjournment of the congress at the end, and with the downfall of Liu Shaoqi and the entry of Lin Biao’s name, together with Mao’s, into the party constitution, the question of a successor to Mao that had much to do with Mao’s desire for a cultural revolution seemed resolved—at least for the moment.

**NIXON VISIT (1972).** See **UNITED STATES-CHINA RELATIONS.**

**NOTES FROM A THREE-FAMILY VILLAGE (sanjiacun zaji).** See **THREE-FAMILY VILLAGE ANTI-PARTY CLIQUE.**

---

**OIL PAINTING CHAIRMAN MAO GOES TO ANYUAN (1967).**

Highly praised by Jiang Qing, this well-known piece by Liu Chunhua and his fellow students and teachers at the Central College of Arts and Crafts promoted the image of Mao Zedong at the expense of historical reality. The historical setting of the painting is an Anyuan miners’ strike in the early 1920s. Although Mao had been to the Anyuan Coal Mine, it was Liu Shaoqi who played the leading role in organizing the Anyuan miners’ union, the first major union led exclusively by the CCP. However, a popular 1961 painting entitled Liu Shaoqi and the Anyuan Coal Miners that portrays Liu as the leader of the strike was labeled a “poisonous weed” in the Cultural Revolution. In the meantime, in 1967, the Museum of Chinese Revolution planned an exhibition (entitled “Mao Zedong Thought Lit up the Anyuan Miners’ Movement’) with a clear political purpose. Following the instructions of the exhibition organizers, Liu Chunhua and his comrades produced the oil painting portraying Mao in a long blue gown carrying a red umbrella on his way to Anyuan as the organizer of the miners’ strike. On 1 October 1967, the painting was displayed at the exhibition and enthusiastically received by the audience. Jiang Qing then called it a model painting. On 1 July 1968, the piece was printed as a large single page attached to both the People’s Daily and the Liberation Army
The painting was also made into posters, stamps, and badges and became the most reproduced icon of Mao during the Cultural Revolution, with copies of various kinds totaling 900 million.

OLD RED GUARDS (lao hongweibing). Also known as “Old Guards” (lao bing), Old Red Guards were members of Red Guard organizations established in the early stage of the Cultural Revolution mostly in middle and high schools in Beijing and a few other large cities. These organizations adopted a politically discriminating membership policy and only admitted those from families of the so-called Five Red Categories. Some Old Red Guards also promoted a notorious blood lineage theory. Old Red Guards labeled themselves “old” when a new school of Red Guards, the rebels (zaofanpai), began to form organizations and allow those from nonproletarian families to join. In the summer of 1966 when the Cultural Revolution was first launched, Old Red Guards were the major force in denouncing the “revisionist line in education” and in attacking teachers, school authorities, “black gang” members, and people of the Five Black Categories. They were the ones who first embraced the words “to rebel is justified” and made this lesser known 1939 quotation of Mao the slogan of Red Guards. They were also the most enthusiastic in the campaign to destroy the Four Olds and considered themselves to be the heroes of the violent and bloody Red August.

However, when Mao Zedong moved to take on the old party establishment and attack Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping in the Criticize the Bourgeois Reactionary Line campaign in autumn 1966, Old Red Guards—especially children of the party officials under attack—became much less enthusiastic, while the newly emerging rebel faction began to take their place as Mao’s crusading army. While continuing to assail alleged traditional class enemies mostly outside the party, some Old Red Guards began to denounce the radical Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) for hijacking Mao’s Cultural Revolution program with its own agenda to overthrow old party officials. In December, a group of hardcore Old Red Guards formed a Capital Red Guard United Action Committee in Beijing and attempted to launch a campaign against the CCRSG’s “new bourgeois reactionary line.” But this time, unlike the early stage of the revolution, the central leadership did not support their rebellion; rather, the United Action Committee
was named a “counterrevolutionary organization” by the authorities, and many of its members were arrested. Despite their attempt to reassert themselves as revolutionaries, Old Red Guards were never able to come back again as an effective political force.

OLD THREE CLASSES (laosanjie). The term refers to both middle-school and high-school classes graduating in 1966, 1967, and 1968. Students of these three classes were a major force of the Red Guard and rebel movements during the early stages of the Cultural Revolution while regular school programs were suspended. In 1968 and 1969, most of these students were assigned work in the countryside, at the frontier, as well as in mines and factories, thus ending their turbulent revolutionary years. See also EDUCATED YOUTHS; UP TO THE MOUNTAINS AND DOWN TO THE COUNTRYSIDE.

“ON FAMILY BACKGROUND” (chushen lun). A celebrated essay by Yu Luoke criticizing the blood lineage theory. “On Family Background” first appeared in a hundred mimeographed copies pasted on wire poles along the streets of Beijing in December 1966. Yu put “Family Background Study Group” as the author. Its revised version of about 15,000 words was published on 18 January 1967 in the Journal of Middle School Cultural Revolution, a mass organization newspaper to which Yu Luoke was the main contributor and of which Yu Luowen, Luoke’s younger brother, was a cofounder. About 90,000 copies of this issue and a later special edition were sold in Beijing within a short period of time, and more than a million copies were printed in various forms by other mass organizations nationwide. The editors received numerous letters of support from across China.

“On Family Background” argues for the “emphasis on performance” (zhongzai biaoxian), a phrase in the CCP class line statement: Yu writes, “On the grounds of performance, all youths are equal.” But the essence of Yu’s argument is equality and human rights, especially equal political and education rights for millions of youths who had been discriminated against in Chinese society because of their non-proletarian family backgrounds. “We don’t recognize any right that is not achieved through one’s personal efforts,” Yu writes. Although Yu’s point of departure is a critique of the blood lineage theory crystallized in a notorious Red Guard couplet, “If the father is a hero, the son is a real man. If the father is a reactionary, the son is a bastard,”
the real target of his criticism is a government-sanctioned system of discrimination that underlies the CCP class policy. Under this system, "those from the families of the so-called 'Black Seven Categories'—the ‘sons-of-dogs,’ that is—have already become secondary targets of the proletarian dictatorship; they are born ‘sinners’ . . . and treated beneath human dignity." "How do they differ, then," Yu asks, "from those living in other caste systems like blacks in America, Sudras in India, and untouchables in Japan?" Yu denounces as "serious violations of human rights" such government-sanctioned actions as verbal and physical abuse, body searches, and illegal detention that Red Guards carried out to appear "super-Maoist." Yu is also the first to note that "a new privileged class has emerged" in China and that the blood-lineage theory serves to protect the vested interests of this group. Yu’s embrace of equality and human rights, and his use of these very terms—a taboo during the Cultural Revolution—makes the article "On Family Background" a "declaration of human rights" in the east," as a contemporary reader called it, and a precursor of the post-Cultural Revolution democracy movement in China.

**ONE HUNDRED-DAY ARMED CONFLICT ON THE TSINGHUA CAMPUS (Qinghua yuan bairi da wudou).** This armed confrontation between two rival Red Guard organizations on the campus of Tsinghua University lasted for about a hundred days from late April to late July 1968. This prolonged military-style factional conflict was the bloodiest incident of its kind in Beijing, causing 18 deaths and more than 1,100 injuries. The incident triggered Mao Zedong’s decision to dissolve all Red Guard organizations and end the Red Guard movement altogether.

The origin of the factional conflict at Tsinghua can be traced to an event in April 1967 when several columns of Red Guards under the Jinggang Mountain Regiment, one of the most influential Red Guard organizations in the country, formed a “4-14 Revolutionary Networking Group” to distinguish themselves from the rest of the Regiment on the basis of their dissenting views, especially in regard to how former party officials should be treated: the 4-14 group considered it necessary and right to rehabilitate most cadres and include them in the yet-to-be-formed power organ the revolutionary committee at both departmental and university level, whereas the leaders of the Regiment, including Kuai Dafu, who was known as the “commander,”
believed that the former party officials were basically not worthy of rehabilitation, except those who had confessed their “crimes” and were willing to “turn their spears around and strike.” The issue was pressing, though, since the Cultural Revolution had already entered a new phase marked by the establishment of the new power structure in many other places. Kuai, who viewed himself as the leader of the country’s Red Guard movement, pushed hard for an agenda of establishing Tsinghua’s revolutionary committee by the end of May, but he was unable to settle differences with his dissenters. On 29 May 1967, the 4-14 group formally broke with the Jinggang Mountain Regiment and established its own organization called the 4-14 Regiment, which made Kuai’s goal impossible to accomplish.

In spring 1968, after a series of small-scale clashes between the two competing organizations at Tsinghua, including the kidnapping and the torturing of each other’s members, Kuai Dafu gave orders to launch a full-scale offensive, perhaps hoping either to subdue his rivals or force the radical faction of the CCP leadership, which had supported him and his organization before, to intervene in his favor. On 23 April, the Jinggang Mountain Red Guards began to attack a 4-14-occupied building on campus. About 50 people were injured in the first day of fighting. In the next few days, both sides occupied more buildings and turned them into fortifications, while each side tried to seize the other’s territory. During the continuous battle, rocks, bricks, and spears were used as weapons, and then rifles, incendiary bottles, homemade bombs, hand-grenades, and even homemade cannons. Casualties increased on both sides, including several deaths and hundreds of injuries. On 3 July 1968, the CCP Central Committee issued nationwide a public notice concerning the armed conflict in Guangxi Province, sending a clear signal to the country that armed fighting would no longer be tolerated. In Beijing, the municipal revolutionary committee made several attempts, in the name of publicizing and implementing the July 3 Public Notice, to end the conflict at Tsinghua: it urged both parties to stop fighting, but nothing was accomplished.

On 27 July, an army—known as a propaganda team—of more than 30,000 workers from 61 factories in Beijing led by the PLA officers of central leaders’ guards regiment Unit 8341 was sent to Tsinghua campus to stop the factional battle. When unarmed team members started to dismantle fortifications and remove roadblocks and barbed
wire entanglements, the 4-14 members gave in to the team’s demand and disarmed themselves, whereas the Jinggang Mountain Regiment, following orders from Kuai Dafu, opened fire at workers and also attacked them with rocks, spears, and hand-grenades, leaving five team members dead and more than 700 injured. Under pressure from the Beijing Municipal Revolutionary Committee, which had conducted intense negotiations with Red Guard leaders at Tsinghua, members of the Jinggang Mountain Regiment eventually began to withdraw from the campus at 2:30 a.m. on 28 July. The hundred-day armed conflict on the Tsinghua campus was finally over.

At the moment when the Jinggang Mountain Red Guards started to withdraw, Mao was calling for an emergency meeting with the five most influential leaders of Beijing Red Guards including Kuai Dafu. The meeting lasted for five hours from 3:30 to 8:30 a.m. on 28 July, which turned out to be the beginning of the end of the Red Guard movement which Mao had once so enthusiastically supported. See also MAO ZEDONG: MEETING WITH THE FIVE RED GUARD LEADERS.

**ONE STRIKE AND THREE ANTIS** (*yida sanfan*). This was a nationwide movement guided by three central party documents: “Directive Concerning the Strike against Counterrevolutionary Destructive Activities” issued on 31 January 1970, and “Directive Concerning Anti-Graft and Embezzlement and Anti-Speculation and Profiteering” and “Notice on Anti-Extravagance and Waste” issued on 5 February 1970. While the effort of the “Three Antis” mainly focused on economic affairs, the “One Strike” supposedly aimed at those inside China who coordinated with a Soviet-U.S. conspiracy to invade China; such “destructive counterrevolutionary activities” were named a “noteworthy new direction of the current class struggle.” Although neither the foreign conspiracy nor the domestic echo was substantiated, according to official assessment, 1.87 million people were persecuted as traitors, spies, and counterrevolutionaries, over 284,800 were arrested, and thousands were executed during the 10-month period from February to November 1970. Prominent among the persecuted “counterrevolutionaries” were those who openly criticized the Cultural Revolution. The best known cases include those of Yu Luoke and Zhang Zhixin: Yu, author of “On Family Background” criticizing the blood lineage theory, was executed on 5 March 1970; Zhang, a most outspoken and
loyal communist, was sentenced to life in prison on 20 August 1970. Later Zhang was sentenced to death and was executed.

OVERALL RECTIFICATION (quanmian zhengdun) (1975). A major effort led by Deng Xiaoping to counter the ultraleftist policies of the Cultural Revolution with pragmatic ones, to energize the national economy, and to restore normality to the country, the nationwide rectification in all major economic and sociopolitical spheres began in February 1975 but was forced to end in November of the same year when Chairman Mao Zedong, concerned with the criticism of the Cultural Revolution implicit in Deng’s tactics, proposed to the Central Committee (CC) of the CCP that a campaign be launched to counterattack the right-deviationist reversal-of-verdicts trend.

In January 1975, Deng was appointed to a number of key leadership positions including vice-chairman of the CC, a member of the Politburo Standing Committee, vice-chairman of the Central Military Commission (CMC), chief of general staff of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA), and first vice-premier of the State Council (SC). With strong support from Premier Zhou Enlai, who was hospitalized for cancer treatment, and with blessings from Mao, Deng was formally entrusted with power to preside over the daily affairs of the party leadership, the administration, and the military.

In late February, Deng began his overall rectification program by taking the first step in a railway transportation reform to make sure that both freight and passenger trains ran full, fast, and on time. Following Deng’s instruction closely, Wan Li, minister of railways, was instrumental in overhauling the nation’s inefficient transportation system and setting up a rectification model for other sectors. In step with the railway transportation reform was the rectification in different areas of industry and, later and to a lesser extent, in agriculture.

In the summer, the rectification program was carried out further in various fields. On 14 July, at an enlarged session of the CMC, Deng called for a reform and restructuring in the PLA to resolve five problems: “overstaffing,” “disorganization,” “arrogance,” “extravagance,” and “indolence.” Also in July, Hu Yaobang was sent to the Academy of Sciences to lead the rectification work there. Both Hu and Deng put much emphasis on science and technology as the leading force in China’s quest for modernization, and they both called for respect and reward for intellectual and professional work, which had been
denied since the beginning of the Cultural Revolution. With Deng’s support, Zhou Rongxin, minister of education, spoke out about the serious problems in education caused by the Cultural Revolution. In the sensitive area of culture, literature, and the arts, Deng used Mao’s slogan “let a hundred flowers bloom” and pushed for the release of certain new and classic works that had been condemned by the Jiang Qing group. Finally, on the party organization and personnel front, the major tasks of the rectification included the enforcement of tough measures against the lingering factionalism (paixing) and the demolition or dismissal of incompetent officials who had enjoyed a meteoric rise on political capital during the Cultural Revolution. Largely due to the overall rectification program, China’s economy succeeded in 1975: gross national output increased by 11.9% in 1975, compared to 1.4% in 1974. And with this program Deng won broad support across the country.

Much resistance and opposition to Deng’s program came from the ultraleftist faction of the central leadership. In spring 1975, Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, and Yao Wenyuan insisted that empiricism was the main danger at present, referring to Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping’s pragmatic approach to economy. But Mao dismissed the idea and allowed the rectification to continue. However, Deng’s aggressive measures made Mao question his stand concerning the Cultural Revolution. When Mao Yuanxin, Mao Zedong’s nephew and Jiang Qing’s close associate, became the Chairman’s liaison at the Politburo in October 1975, the increasingly isolated Mao became more skeptical of Deng’s rectification program. Knowing the discontent within the party leadership about the Cultural Revolution, Mao hoped that the Politburo members could reach a consensus, and he proposed that Deng be in charge of drafting a resolution concerning the Cultural Revolution. Mao wanted the overall appraisal to be “30 percent error and 70 percent achievement.” Deng refused, saying that he was away from the scene of revolution most of the time. At a Politburo meeting, Deng also refuted Mao Yuanxin’s alleged words to Mao Zedong that a revisionist line had emerged in the central leadership of the party. In late November, Mao Zedong finally issued instructions that a campaign to fight the trend of the “right-deviationist reversal of verdicts” begin across the country. Deng Xiaoping thus became a representative of the right deviation, and his overall rectification came to a halt.
PAN FUSHENG (1908–1980). One of the few provincial party leaders who supported rebels in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Pan became head of the Heilongjiang Provincial Revolutionary Committee in 1967 and a member of the Central Committee (CC) at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP in 1969 but was eventually dismissed from office for his involvement in factional violence and the persecution of his political rivals in Heilongjiang.

A native of Wendeng, Shandong Province, Pan joined the CCP in 1931. In the 1950s, Pan was labeled a conservative in a number of political campaigns, including the agricultural collectivization movement and the Anti-Rightist campaign. Because of his sympathy for Peng Dehuai’s view on the disasters of the Great Leap Forward, Pan was branded a Right-opportunist and dismissed from office in the late 1950s. But he was exonerated and rehabilitated by the central leadership in 1963. When the Cultural Revolution began, Pan was first party secretary of Heilongjiang Province. After the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee, which he attended as an alternate member of the CC, Pan supported rebel Red Guards in their bombing of the Heilongjiang party committee. In January 1967, he and army leaders of the Heilongjiang Provincial Military District supported the rebels in their power seizure movement, and together, they established a temporary institution which was regarded by Mao Zedong as a model of the “three-in-one presence of cadre, military, and masses” in a new power structure. In March 1967, Pan was named head of the Heilongjiang Provincial Revolutionary Committee. He was granted full membership of the CC at the Twelfth Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee (13–31 October 1968).

Shortly after the establishment of the provincial revolutionary committee, however, mass organizations in Heilongjiang were divided into two factions, and one faction opposed Pan and attempted to bring him down from power. Pan, then, began to be involved in factional violence, supporting those loyal to him against his opponents in massive armed confrontations. He put in prison a number of party officials and ordinary citizens of the rival camp. He also had serious conflicts with local army leaders. So great was his involvement in factional conflicts that, in June 1971, the central leadership in Beijing decided to remove him from power and subject him to an investiga-
tion. In 1980, Pan died of illness. In 1982, the CC issued a document criticizing Pan Fusheng for the serious mistakes he made during the Cultural Revolution.

**PEAK THEORY** (*dingfenglun*). This was a reference to the much publicized assertion of Lin Biao that Mao Zedong Thought was the peak of Marxism-Leninism. *See also* CONTINUING REVOLUTION UNDER THE DICTATORSHIP OF THE PROLETARIAT; LIN BIAO–MAY 18 SPEECH; PERSONALITY CULT.

**PEKING UNIVERSITY AND TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY GREAT CRITICISM GROUP.** Formed in March 1974, this was a writing team in the service of the Cultural Revolution faction of the central leadership. The team was controlled by Chi Qun and Xie Jingyi, who were sent by Mao Zedong to Tsinghua University as members of the PLA propaganda team and the workers propaganda team in 1968 and later became party secretary and deputy party secretary of Tsinghua University. By the time the writing team was organized, Chi and Xie had already been known as the “two soldiers” of Mao and become such close associates of Jiang Qing that Jiang was able to direct every move of the writing team through Chi and Xie.

Along with the Shanghai Municipal Party Committee Writing Group, its counterpart in China’s most populous city, the writing team of Peking University and Tsinghua University produced many articles to promote the interests of the Jiang Qing group and to attack—mostly by innuendo and by allusion—the leaders of the moderate faction, especially Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping. Published mostly in top official organs such as the People’s Daily and the Red Flag under the penname Liang Xiao and reprinted immediately by many provincial and local newspapers across China, these articles were often viewed as indicating the new moves of the CCP central leadership. The team was disbanded soon after the downfall of the Gang of Four. *See also* ALLUSORY HISTORIOGRAPHY; CONFUCIANISM VERSUS LEGALISM; CRITICIZE LIN AND CRITICIZE CONFUCIUS.

**PEKING UNIVERSITY CULTURAL REVOLUTION BULLETIN NO. 9.** This was a brief report by the Peking University work group on an eruption of violence and brutality on the university campus on
the morning of 18 June 1966. On 20 June, the CCP Central Committee (CC) distributed the bulletin to party organizations at all levels nationwide in hopes of preventing similar violence elsewhere.

As Mao Zedong’s radical policies of class struggle on a massive scale were being articulated and advocated in such militant pieces as the People’s Daily editorial “Sweep Away All Cow-Demons and Snake-Spirits” (1 June 1966), several hundred Peking University students deliberately ignored the authority of the work group and held physically abusive struggle meetings against more than 40 so-called black gang members (officials allegedly associated with the Beijing party committee under Peng Zhen), “bourgeois academic authorities” (accomplished scholars), and “reactionary students.” According to the bulletin report, some “bad people,” including students, workers, and a number of people off campus, conspired in tormenting people on a “platform for fighting demons,” and similar incidents happened across campus in which the so-called class enemies were smeared on the face with black ink and were paraded through the streets wearing tall paper hats; some female “targets of struggle” were sexually harassed. The Peking University work group called this incident one of “disordered struggle” and was highly critical of it in its bulletin report. President Liu Shaoqi, who was in charge of the daily affairs of the CC at the time during Mao’s absence, concurred with the work group’s view upon reading the report and decided to distribute the report and a brief supporting comment together in the form of a CC circular.

In early August, however, Mao commented harshly on the bulletin and on Liu’s view of it and labeled Liu’s move as repression and bourgeois dictatorship. Following Mao’s instructions, the CC issued a notice on 9 August 1966 to withdraw the 20 June circular. This conflict between Mao and Liu concerning the Peking University incident anticipated the movement against the bourgeois reactionary line, which would lead to the downfall of Liu Shaoqi.

PENG DEHUAI (1898–1974). An outspoken senior leader of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and the People’s Liberation Army (PLA), Peng was dismissed from office in 1959 for his criticism of Mao Zedong’s radical Great Leap Forward policies. To launch and justify the Cultural Revolution, Mao brought up the Peng Dehuai case again in late 1965 in connection with Wu Han’s historical play Hai Rui Dismissed from Office.
A native of Xiangtan, Hunan Province, Peng was a veteran of the Northern Expedition. He joined the CCP in 1928. In the same year, he led the Pingjiang Uprising and became one of the founders of the Red Army. He served as commander of the Fifth Corps of the Red Army in the 1930s, deputy commander of the Eighth Route Army in the war of resistance against Japan, and deputy commander of the PLA during the civil war of the second half of the 1940s. In 1950, after certain initial reluctance, Peng accepted the appointment as commander of the Chinese army during the Korean War. A strong advocate for building a professional army, Peng was made the PRC’s first minister of defense in 1954 and one of 10 marshals in 1955—he ranked second next to Marshal Zhu De. As a senior party and government leader, Peng was a member of the Politburo from 1935 and a vice-premier from 1954.

On 14 July 1959, at the CCP Central Committee’s Lushan Conference, Peng wrote Mao a candid personal letter to call his attention to the serious problems in the CCP’s Great Leap Forward and People’s Commune policies. Mao considered the letter to be an offense against the CCP leadership and passed it to those attending the conference as a target for criticism. On 16 August, the Central Committee (CC) passed a resolution denouncing Peng and a few other officials as a “right-opportunist antiparty clique.” Peng was soon dismissed as minister of defense and moved out of Zhongnanhai. In 1962, after the disaster caused by the Great Leap policies became clear—with 20 million peasants having died of famine—President Liu Shaoqi suggested that the verdict on Marshal Peng Dehuai be reconsidered, but the suggestion was dismissed by Mao. Peng wrote Mao another letter, of 80,000 words, to clarify himself, only to find himself in a more difficult situation: he was stripped of all official titles, and a special case committee was formed to investigate him further.

In September 1965, however, Mao made a surprise decision to send Peng to Sichuan Province as deputy director of the “third front” construction project as part of war preparations. But just before his departure from Beijing, Yao Wenyuan’s article “On the Historical Drama *Hai Rui Dismissed from Office*” was published in Shanghai with Mao’s approval. The article, with implicit references to the Peng Dehuai case, was to become known as the “blasting fuse” of the Cultural Revolution. On 21 December 1965, soon after Peng’s arrival in Sichuan, Mao made a devastating remark concerning Peng Dehuai. Yao Wenyuan’s article was good, Mao said, but it did not quite hit
the vital part: “The vital point is dismissal. Emperor Jiajing dismissed Hai Rui. We, in 1959, dismissed Peng Dehuai. Peng Dehuai is also Hai Rui.”

As soon as the Cultural Revolution broke out, the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group began to seek a way to get Peng back to Beijing as a target of public criticism. Following Jiang Qing and Qi Benyu’s instructions, two Red Guard organizations—the Red Flag Combat Team of the Beijing Institute of Aeronautics and the East-is-Red Commune of the Beijing Institute of Geology—sent their members to Sichuan to kidnap Peng Dehuai. They brought Peng back to Beijing on 28 December 1966. Peng lost his freedom, but the Beijing Garrison Command acted upon instructions from Premier Zhou Enlai and put Peng in confinement, which sheltered him temporarily from the Red Guards’ abuse.

In July 1967, however, Kang Sheng, Chen Boda, and Qi Benyu called upon the Peng special-case investigation group and college rebels to get Peng out of the Garrison Command and struggle against him at mass rallies. Several struggle meetings, then, were held by Red Guards, at which Peng was so brutally beaten by the crowd that, with ribs broken, he had to be carried back to the Garrison quarters after these meetings. Peng was also placed on a truck, bareheaded and with a heavy placard hanging from his neck, and paraded through the streets of Beijing. Struggle meetings against Peng were held much more frequently in August and September by various schools and work units—more than a hundred times within the two-month period.

In the meantime, major CCP organs the People’s Daily, the Red Flag, and the Liberation Army Daily carried five editorials in July and August 1967, denouncing Peng as the military representative of the biggest capitalist-roader within the Party and turning him into a main target of the CCP firepower. On 17 September 1970, Huang Yongsheng, chief of the general staff of the PLA and Lin Biao’s close associate, approved a report by the Peng special-case group that charges Peng with the crimes of opposing the party and having illicit relations with foreign powers and calls for his expulsion from the CCP and a sentence of life imprisonment. In April 1974, Peng was diagnosed with advanced colon cancer. On 29 November 1974, he died in a small, heavily guarded jail-like ward with newspaper-covered windows. His body was secretly transported to Sichuan and cremated under the false name Wang Chuan.

**PENG-LUO-LU-YANG ANTI-PARTY CLIQUE.** This was the charge brought against Peng Zhen, Luo Ruiqing, Lu Dingyi, and Yang Shangkun at the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966. The denunciation of the four ranking party leaders was celebrated at the time as the first major victory of Mao Zedong’s proletarian revolutionary line over a bourgeois revisionist one in the course of the Cultural Revolution.

There was, however, no evidence of the four conspiring against the Party. Peng Zhen, mayor of Beijing and director of the Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group, was denounced because of his resistance to the campaign against Wu Han in late 1965 and because of his involvement in the making of the 1966 February Outline that defines the campaign as an “academic discussion.” His apparent reservations about what would soon be known as the “blasting fuse” of the Cultural Revolution prompted Mao’s remark that the Beijing party committee led by Peng was an “impenetrable and watertight independent kingdom.” At an enlarged meeting of the Politburo Standing Committee held in Shanghai in April 1966, Peng Zhen was suspended from his duties as mayor of Beijing. At the Politburo’s May meeting, the February Outline was delegitimized, the Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group dismissed, and Peng Zhen branded as the leader of the Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique.

The downfall of General Luo Ruiqing, chief of general staff of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA), had mainly to do with power conflicts and political differences between himself and Marshal Lin Biao, minister of defense. Due to Lin’s poor health, Luo conducted many PLA affairs. In 1964, with the authorization of Mao and the Central Military Commission, Luo led an armywide “dabivu,” a mass exercise competition that focuses on combat skills. Lin made Mao believe that this exercise was meant to counter Lin’s principle of “emphatic politics” and challenge Mao’s ideological line. Luo was also known for contradicting Lin’s “peak theory” that claims Mao Zedong Thought to be “the highest and the most flexible Marxism-Leninism.” Luo’s view of the “peak theory” as in itself a negation of Mao Zedong Thought was interpreted in a party document as evidence of Luo’s
extreme hostility to Mao Zedong Thought. Luo was already dismissed from office and criticized at two high-level party meetings before he was named as part of the Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Clique in May 1966.

Lu Dingyi, head of the Propaganda Department of the CCP Central Committee (CC), showed little interest in the Lin Biao-style promotion of political and ideological work and opposed “simplifying, vulgarizing, and making pragmatic” Mao Zedong Thought. He was also resistant to the first wave of political criticism in academic fields beginning in late 1965. He did not consent to the publication of some militant articles. Mao was critical. He called the Propaganda Department the “palace of the King of Hell” (yanwangdian), and Lu was condemned by analogy as the King himself. Lu was also suspected of conspiring with his wife Yan Weibing against Lin Biao and his wife Ye Qun, since Yan had been sending letters under pseudonyms to the CC exposing Ye Qun mostly on personal matters and the character issue. Yan Weibing was arrested in April 1966. Lu Dingyi was condemned as a member of the Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Clique a month later.

Yang Shangkun was dismissed as director of the General Office of the CC in November 1965 on a charge of breaching security protocols in such activities as taping Mao’s conversations. There was no evidence that these ranking leaders were against the party. Their “cases” were not related to one another, either. But, with Mao’s support, Kang Sheng, Zhang Chunqiao, and Chen Boda delivered tone-setting speeches at the Politburo’s enlarged meeting in May 1966 incriminating the four, while the Lin Biao May 18 speech (also known as the “scripture of coup d’état”) targeted the four as a group conspiring to stage a coup and to restore capitalism. The Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang case was used as evidence to support Mao’s view that there was a bourgeois revisionist line within the party represented by capitalist-roaders; a cultural revolution was therefore necessary. The dismissal of Peng, Luo, Lu, Yang, and the Peng-led Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group prepared the way for the rapid ascent of Lin Biao to the second leadership position and of Kang Sheng, Jiang Qing, Chen Boda, and Zhang Chunqiao as part of the newly formed powerful Central Cultural Revolution Small Group.

PENG PEIYUN (1929– ). Deputy party secretary of Peking University and one of the three people under attack in what Mao Zedong called the first Marxist-Leninist big-character poster by Nie Yuanzi and her
colleagues, Peng was an early victim of the Cultural Revolution. Born in Liuyang, Hunan Province, Peng was one of the many left-leaning students drawn to the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) during the war of resistance against Japan and the civil war that followed. Peng joined the CCP in 1946 while she was a student at Southwest Union University carrying out underground activities on college campuses against the Kuomintang government. After 1949, she held office related to higher education at both Tsinghua University and the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee. In 1964, during the Socialist Education Movement, when Peking University President Lu Ping and his school party committee were under attack by the socialist education work team and by a number of philosophy department faculty including Nie Yuanzi, the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee led by Peng Zhen stepped in to support Lu Ping and to put down Nie and her colleagues; to reinforce Lu’s power, the municipal committee appointed Peng Peiyun deputy party secretary of Peking University as Lu’s main assistant.

Largely because of Peng Peiyun’s involvement in this political conflict on the campus of Peking University, Nie and her six colleagues made her one of the targets of criticism in the big-character poster “What are Song Shuo, Lu Ping, and Peng Peiyun Really Doing in the Cultural Revolution,” which was posted on 25 May 1966. This poster accuses Peng, Song, and Lu of conspiring with the Beijing municipal committee to suppress the revolutionary masses and mislead the ongoing Cultural Revolution. On 2 June 1966, a People’s Daily commentary further accuses her of being a member of the Three-Family Village Anti-Party Clique. On 3 June, the newly organized CCP Beijing Municipal Committee announced a decision to remove Peng Peiyun from office. Peng, then, became a main target of endless struggle meetings. She was also forced to do manual labor to reform herself.

Peng was reassigned work at Peking University in 1975. After the Cultural Revolution, she was rehabilitated and assumed various important positions in education institutions and other government agencies, including deputy minister of education in 1982. As a prominent female politician in her late years, Peng became a member of the CCP’s Fourteenth and Fifteenth Central Committee and was elected vice-chairman of the Ninth National People’s Congress.

PENG ZHEN (1902–1997). Mayor and first party secretary of Beijing, a member of the Politburo, and head of the Five-Person Cultural
**Revolution Small Group.** Peng was among the first few ranking CCP leaders to fall at the beginning of the Cultural Revolution. He was criticized for misleading the Cultural Revolution (in its developing early stage) with a revisionist *February Outline*. Mao Zedong particularly accused him of turning Beijing municipal government into an “impenetrable and watertight independent kingdom” and attempting to keep out the Cultural Revolution in the city he ruled.

A native of Quwo, Shanxi Province, Peng joined the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) in 1923 and soon became a CCP underground leader in north China. In 1929, Peng was arrested in Tianjin by the Nationalist government. After his release in 1935, Peng was appointed party secretary of Tianjin and director of the CCP North China Bureau’s organization department, working under Liu Shaoqi, the head of the Bureau. He was one of the first CCP leaders to call for the “sinicizing” of Marxism. In the 1940s, Peng was assigned positions in the central leadership in Yan’an. He was named director of the CCP Department of City Work in 1944 and head of the CCP Department of Organization in 1945. He became a member of the Politburo in 1945. After the communists took over Beijing, Peng led the municipal government of the nation’s capital—as both first party secretary (1948–1966) and mayor (1951–1966).

In July 1964, a Five-Person Group (to be known later as the “Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group”) was established at Mao Zedong’s suggestion to lead a rectification movement in art and literature circles. As a ranking leader with a certain theoretical edge, Peng was named head of the group. But due to Peng’s resistance to the emerging ultraleftist forces in cultural circles, they, especially Jiang Qing, had to plan what would come to be known as the “blasting fuse” of the Cultural Revolution outside Beijing.

After much planning and work by Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, and Yao Wenyuan in Shanghai, *Wenhui Daily*, a Shanghai newspaper, finally published Yao Wenyuan’s article “On the New Historical Drama *Hai Rui Dismissed from Office*” on 10 November 1965 with Mao’s approval. Wu Han, the author of the historical play, was a renowned historian and also a deputy mayor of Beijing with whom Peng Zhen sympathized. Peng also did not approve of Yao’s politicizing what he believed to be an academic issue. Without knowing Mao’s support for Yao, Peng ordered Beijing’s newspapers not to reprint Yao’s article and did not change his mind until 29 November...
after Zhou Enlai’s intervention. In February 1966, as the criticism of Wu Han and a few other “academic authorities” continued, the Five-Person Group produced a policy guide to keep the criticism of Wu Han and others from getting too political. This document, soon to be known as the “February Outline,” was disseminated nationwide.

Peng’s action was perceived by Mao as a direct challenge to his developing Cultural Revolution program. In late March, Mao criticized the February Outline, the Beijing party committee, and the Five-Person Group on several occasions. This led directly to Peng’s downfall; even his effort to conduct a campaign in the municipal media against Deng Tuo, culture and education secretary of the Beijing party committee and a victim of the Cultural Revolution at its preparation stage, could not save him. From 16 to 26 April, Mao chaired an enlarged meeting of the Standing Committee of the Politburo. At the meeting Peng Zhen was charged with anti-Party crimes, and decisions were made to abrogate the February Outline and replace the Five-Person Group with a new group which would soon be named Central Cultural Revolution Small Group. On 1 May, Peng Zhen made no public appearance at the International Labor Day celebration. He was denounced as a member of the Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique and was removed from all his positions at the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966. Soon Peng lost his freedom. During the high tide of the Cultural Revolution in late 1966 and 1967, Peng was forced to attend struggle meetings and was subjected to much humiliation and physical abuse at the hands of the masses.

In February 1979, the post-Mao CCP central leadership rehabilitated Peng Zhen. In June of the same year, he was added to the Standing Committee of the Fifth National People’s Congress as a vice-chairman, and in September he became a member of the CCP Politburo. In 1983, he was elected chairman of the Standing Committee of the Sixth National People’s Congress. Peng died on 26 April 1997.

PERSONALITY CULT. Promoted by Lin Biao with Mao’s acquiescence, a personality cult of Chairman Mao Zedong became widespread in the early years of the Cultural Revolution. On the eve of the Cultural Revolution, Lin spoke of Mao as such a rare genius that it took the world hundreds of years, and China thousands of years, to produce one, that every sentence he spoke was truth and worth ten-thousand
sentences in an ordinary discourse. Mao Zedong Thought, in Lin’s view, was the peak of Marxism-Leninism. Lin’s praise of Mao became all the more influential after he was elevated to the second highest position in the CCP leadership as “Chairman Mao’s dearest comrade-in-arm[s].”

The Mao cult during the Cultural Revolution bore much resemblance to the imperial worship of the past: the Chinese prayer “wansui” (literally, “May someone live ten thousand years”) that used to be reserved for emperors was now the most popular prayer for Mao, and the masses hailed Mao as the “great savior of the Chinese people” and the “reddest sun in our heart.” Mao’s statues were erected in public squares all over China, Mao’s portraits were enshrined in private homes, and Mao’s quotations were written on walls everywhere, usually in gold against a red background. Such religious rituals as the “morning request, evening report” and the “daily reading [of Mao’s works]” were widely practiced. Many quotations of Mao were set to music and chanted at public meetings. In summer and autumn of 1966, tens of millions of Red Guards went on a pilgrimage to see the supreme leader in Beijing, and Mao granted their wishes by holding eight inspections of Red Guard troops between August and November 1966. In 1970, Mao himself began to voice reservations about the cult of the personality. But, at the same time, he defended the practice as a strategy necessary in his battle against President Liu Shaoqi and the party establishment. The fervor of the Mao cult gradually receded after the downfall of Lin Biao in 1971. See also BADGES OF CHAIRMAN MAO; FOUR GREATS; LIN BIAO–MAY 18 SPEECH; LOYALTY DANCE; QUOTATIONS FROM CHAIRMAN MAO; THREE LOYALTIES AND FOUR LIMITLESSNESSES.

PING-PONG DIPLOMACY (1971). The decision Mao Zedong made upon careful deliberation to invite the United States ping-pong team to visit China was the major diplomatic move of the People’s Republic of China (PRC) toward normalizing United States-China relations. In late March and early April 1971, the 31st Table Tennis World Championship Game was held in Nagoya, Japan—the first international sports event in which a Chinese team participated since the beginning of the Cultural Revolution. Considering the game to be an occasion for promoting China’s relations with the outside world and thinking of the strategy of “people diplomacy” that he had been exercising in China’s
contact with Japan, Premier Zhou Enlai set up a principle called “friendship first, competition second” for the Chinese ping-pong team. On 11 March, at a meeting with officials from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the National Sports Commission, Zhou anticipated the Chinese team’s contact with the U.S. team and contemplated the possibility of mutual visits. “If the U.S. team is progressive,” Zhou said, “we may invite them to come here and compete. If we can compete with the U.S. team, then the non-contact no longer makes sense.”

During the game, the Chinese ping-pong team invited teams from a number of countries to visit China after the competition but had to report to Beijing and request instructions when the U.S. team expressed its wish to receive such an invitation. On 3 April, a decision was made in Beijing by the National Sports Commission and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs not to invite the U.S. team, and the draft of the decision was presented to Zhou Enlai for approval. Zhou wrote “Considering approval” but also penned on the margin, “[You] may take their address but should indicate clearly to their principal representative that the Chinese people are opposed to the conspiracy of ‘two Chinas’ or ‘one China and one Taiwan.’” On 5 April, Mao approved the decision. On 6 April, an internal Chinese publication carried reports of foreign news agencies about the friendship between Chinese and American ping-pong players. Late in the evening, Zhou informed Mao that in mid-March the U.S. government lifted all restrictions on travel to the PRC and that there were different views at the National Sports Commission and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs on whether to invite the U.S. ping-pong team. On the early morning of 7 April, Mao reversed the earlier decision and decided to issue an invitation to the U.S. delegation right away.

The U.S. ping-pong team’s 8-day visit started on 10 April. Zhou Enlai gave much personal attention to the delegation’s itinerary. He received all of its members in the Great Hall of the People and gave a warm speech on 14 April. With his authorization, the Forbidden City, which had been closed since the beginning of the Cultural Revolution, was open for visitors on 14 April. Also on 14 April, the Richard Nixon administration lifted trade sanctions against China. The visit of the U.S. ping-pong team, the first U.S. delegation to come to China since the founding of the PRC in 1949, marked the beginning of the end of decades of hostility between the two countries. See also UNITED STATES-CHINA RELATIONS.
PIONEERS (chuangye). Based on a script by Zhang Tianmin and produced by Changchun Film Studio in early 1975, this film celebrates the hard work and self-reliance of Chinese workers in their effort to tap the nation’s oil resources. The protagonist Zhou Tingshan is modeled on Wang Jinxi, the best known hero in China’s oil industry. Because of its attention to work and production—more than just words and revolutionary rhetoric characteristic of the literary and artistic models of the time—the film was perceived as a challenge to the Cultural Revolution faction of the central leadership. Jiang Qing placed the film under restrictions soon after its official release on the day of Spring Festival (11 February) in 1975 that coincided with the beginning of the overall rectification movement set in motion by Deng Xiaoping. She and her supporters at the Ministry of Culture listed 10 counts of the film’s “serious political and artistic problems” and held a struggle session against Zhang Tianmin. Refusing to accept Jiang’s judgment as final, Zhang wrote Mao Zedong on 18 July 1975, asking for a reevaluation. Via Deng Xiaoping, Zhang’s letter reached Mao. In a brief comment he wrote on 25 July, Mao defends the film as “having no great errors.” The re-release of the film that immediately followed Mao’s recommendation was celebrated nationwide. Pioneers became one of the few genuinely popular films produced during the Cultural Revolution.

PLA PROPAGANDA TEAM (junxuandui). This was a short form for the “People’s Liberation Army Mao Zedong Thought Propaganda Team” (jiefangjun Mao Zedong sixiang xuanchuan dui). As part of Mao Zedong’s effort to restore order—and, in some cases, to end factional violence immediately—in the country, the teams consisting of army officers and soldiers began to be dispatched in summer 1968 to the schools, research institutions, and some government agencies plagued with factional conflicts. The first PLA propaganda team was sent to Tsinghua University in Beijing on 27 July, together with a workers propaganda team, to stop a prolonged bloody clash between two rival Red Guard organizations there. As in the case of Tsinghua, a PLA propaganda team was often joined by a workers propaganda team when it was dispatched to a certain institution, and PLA officers usually held leading positions of the combined group. The propaganda teams were instrumental in taking control of widespread anarchy and helping to establish new authorities. But
they were much less effective in governing the institutions not just because of their characteristic adherence to a line of political propaganda but also because of their lack of necessary knowledge and experience in managing cultural, educational, academic, and government affairs. In August 1972, about a year after the downfall of Marshal Lin Biao, the CCP Central Committee issued an order to pull out PLA propaganda teams from the institutions where the party authorities had been reestablished. Before long, all PLA propaganda teams withdrew, while workers propaganda teams, already diminished and still diminishing, stayed on until a year after the Cultural Revolution was over. See also WORKERS PROPAGANDA TEAM.

POISONOUS WEED (ducao). This is the label for any writing or art deemed antiparty, antisocialist, and nonproletarian. During the Cultural Revolution, almost all artistic and scholarly works of the past, Chinese or foreign, were dismissed as “poisonous weeds.” Numerous campaigns, large and small, were launched to criticize these works. It was an important part of Mao Zedong’s Cultural Revolution program to involve the masses in such campaigns so that they might become sensitive to anything that might have deviated from Mao’s radical ideology.

POLITBURO SESSIONS, 4–7 APRIL 1976. The Politburo held emergency sessions daily between 4 April and 7 April in the face of a sudden explosion of public rage against the ultra-leftist faction of the party led by Jiang Qing—a spontaneous mass demonstration that took the form of public mourning during the traditional Qingming Festival season in Beijing’s Tiananmen Square in memory of the late Premier Zhou Enlai. Hua Guofeng chaired these sessions, while Mao Zedong, sick and bedridden, controlled the sessions by communicating his instructions to the Politburo via his liaison Mao Yuanxin. A number of Politburo members, including Deng Xiaoping, Ye Jianying, Li Xiannian, and Su Zhenhua, were absent from some of the sessions. Deng attended the 5 April session but remained silent while Zhang Chunqiao was attacking him as China’s Imre Nagy (leader of Hungary’s democracy movement of 1956). At the session on 7 April, the Politburo passed two resolutions proposed by Mao Zedong: that Deng Xiaoping be dismissed from office in both the party and the government, although he could keep his party
membership, and that Hua Guofeng be appointed first vice-chairman of the CCP Central Committee and premier of the State Council. In the resolution dismissing Deng, the protest movement at Tiananmen Square was labeled a “counterrevolutionary incident.”

POOR PEASANTS MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE *(pinguanhui)*. This was a short form for the Poor and Lower-Middle Peasants School Management Committee *(pinxiazhongnong guanli xuexiao weiyuanhui)*. Comparable to the *workers propaganda teams* sent to educational institutions in the cities, the poor peasant management committees were established to lead elementary and middle schools in the countryside. Their establishment was based on *Mao Zedong*’s judgment that the country’s education system had been controlled by bourgeois intellectuals. School management committees were formed across China after Mao’s directive concerning school leadership was publicized in *Yao Wenyuan*’s article “On the Supreme Leadership of the Working Class” that appeared in the CCP official journal *Red Flag* on 25 August 1968.

In Mao’s view, education reform must be led by the working class; in the cities, this leadership was represented by the workers propaganda teams in all educational institutions, while in the countryside, “poor and lower-middle peasants, the most reliable ally of the working class, shall manage schools.” School management committees were made of local farmers in poor and lower-middle peasant families. Some committees also included a few teacher representatives. Most peasant members had little education. Many were illiterate. Although the committee was supposed to replace the school principal and serve as the ultimate decision-making body for all school affairs, it seldom assumed this charge effectively. In the later years of the Cultural Revolution, many committees existed merely in name. After the Cultural Revolution ended, all school management committees in the countryside were dissolved.

POWER SEIZURE *(duoquan)*. This term refers to the activity of mass organizations to take control of the state and party apparatus at various levels, including those of provincial government and ministries of the central government. The power seizure movement started in a few provinces in early 1967 and quickly spread out to other parts of the country. *See also* JANUARY STORM.
PROFESSOR EXAMINATION INCIDENT ( kaojiaoshou shijian )
(1973–1974). In the midst of controversy over the legitimacy of college entrance examinations, the testing of college professors on such general subjects as mathematics and science took place first in Liaoning and then in Beijing, Shanghai, Tianjin, and some other places in late 1973 and early 1974. Similar to the Zhang Tiesheng Incident, in which an applicant who wrote an essay pleading his case rather than answering questions at a college entrance examination was hailed as a hero daring to “go against the tide,” the testing of professors for the purpose of humiliation was a reaction of cultural revolutionaries to the proposal made by the State Council’s Science and Education Group in April 1973 that college applicants’ examination scores be taken seriously in the admission process. The proposal, along with the positive response from the educational circles, was seen by Jiang Qing and her supporters as a clear indication of a “resurgence of the revisionist line in education.” At a reception for Politburo members and military leaders on 12 December 1973, Mao Zedong also spoke of Zhang Tiesheng with approval and went on to suggest that professors at “eight colleges” in Beijing be gathered and given a test.

On the evening of 30 December 1973, 631 college professors in Beijing were told that they were invited to symposiums, only to find themselves at 17 different examination sites. They were forced to solve problems in areas that had nothing to do with their expertise. Some professors protested by refusing to take the test or by writing critical comments on the prevailing anti-intellectual trend. This event, as well as similar examinations held in other places, was publicized in symposiums, bulletins, and publications in education circles, in which the predictably low scores of the unexpected tests were used both to humiliate the professors and to trivialize testing in general.

PROVINCIAL PROLETARIAN ALLIANCE ( shengwulian ). This is the abbreviated name for the Committee for the Great Alliance of the Proletarian Revolutionaries of Hunan Province. The “Provincial Proletarian Alliance” was formed by some 20 mass groups on 11 October 1967, against the competing Preparation Group of Hunan Revolutionary Committee appointed by the leadership in Beijing. Although it was a “hodgepodge” of diverse groups, as Mao Zedong and other CCP leaders called it, the organization became well-known across China due to the publicity of some radical ideas articulated by
one group. This was a group of middle school students led by Yang Xiguang, a self-labeled ultraleftist, who, in a long article entitled “Where Is China Going,” further radicalizes what he believed to be Mao’s original conception of the Cultural Revolution and calls for the total destruction of the party and state apparatus and the complete eradication of what he saw as a new “red capitalist class” made of 90% of senior CCP officials. Yang also sees as the goal of the Cultural Revolution the establishment of a “Chinese people’s commune” under Mao, a mass dictatorship modeled on the Paris Commune of 1871. On 24 January 1968, Zhou Enlai and members of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group received the representatives of mass organizations from Hunan and denounced the “Provincial Proletarian Alliance” as a reactionary organization. At the reception, Kang Sheng gave a point-by-point critique of Yang’s article, which Kang considered to be the political program of the organization. See also NEW TREND OF IDEAS.

– Q –

QI BENYU (1931–). One of Mao Zedong’s radical theorists, head of the history group at the CCP official organ Red Flag, deputy director of the Secretarial Bureau of the CCP General Office, and a member of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), Qi was removed from power in January 1968 as a member of the Wang-Guan-Qi Anti-Party Clique.

A native of Weihai, Shandong Province, Qi joined the CCP in the early 1950s while a student at the Central School of the Chinese Communist Youth League. Upon graduation, Qi was assigned work at the CCP General Office as an assistant to Tian Jiaying, Mao Zedong’s secretary and deputy director of the General Office. In 1963, his article on Li Xiucheng, a leader of the Taiping Heavenly Kingdom peasant uprising, challenging the historian Luo Ergang’s authority on the subject, won the applause of Mao, which led to his appointment on the editorial board of the Red Flag; he later became head of the journal’s history group.

Following the publication of Yao Wenyuan’s critique of Wu Han’s historical play Hai Rui Dismissed from Office, Qi put out “Study History for the Revolution” in a December 1965 issue of the Red
Flag, in which he attacks a number of historians including Wu Han and Jian Bozan. Mao responded positively to the article but thought that it would have been even better had Qi named these scholars. With Mao’s encouragement, Qi coauthored an essay criticizing Jian Bozan and wrote a piece by himself attacking Wu Han, both published in the People’s Daily (on 25 March 1966 and 2 April 1966, respectively). These articles established his name as a radical theorist and critic. In May 1966, Qi was appointed a member of the CCRSG and began to play a significant role in bringing down the Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping faction of the central leadership. During the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line in late 1966 and early 1967, Qi and other CCRSG members pushed the rebel movement forward against the old party establishment.

Of particular importance in his many speeches and writings of this period was the article “Patriotism or Betrayal? A Critique of the Reactionary Film Inside Story of the Qing Court,” published in the People’s Daily on 30 March 1967. Approved and highly praised by Mao, this article is a classic example of the Cultural Revolution-style political insinuation and slander; it aimed beyond the film at President Liu Shaoqi and referred to him for the first time in official media as the “biggest capitalist-roader within the party” and as “China’s Khrushchev” without ever mentioning his name. The article stirred up a new wave in a nationwide campaign against Liu. Qi also engaged in a number of manipulative actions against Liu and other senior party leaders: he directed Red Guards to kidnap Marshal Peng Dehuai and instructed rebels to struggle against Liu Shaoqi, Deng Xiaoping, and Tao Zhu inside and outside Zhongnanhai compound.

Following Mao’s strategic plans and supported by Lin Biao and Jiang Qing, Qi Benyu, Wang Li, Guan Feng, and some other members of the CCRSG began to press the military to adopt Mao’s Cultural Revolution policies in 1967: in their public speeches and in several articles they wrote for official media, they called on the masses to “ferret out a small handful [of capitalist-roaders] inside the army,” which met strong resistance from the rank and file of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA). They also began to make similar radical moves in the area of foreign affairs. Weighing revolutionary chaos against stability, Mao decided to remove Qi and his close comrades in the Cultural Revolution faction of the central leadership to keep order and to pacify the protesting PLA officials and senior party leaders. On 13 January
1968, about four and a half months after the dismissal of Wang and Guan, Qi was detained. On 26 January 1968, Qi’s long imprisonment began.

On 14 July 1980, the post-Mao authorities officially arrested him on a counterrevolutionary charge. On 2 November 1983, the Beijing Intermediate People’s Court named Qi Benyu an accomplice of the Lin Biao and the Jiang Qing counterrevolutionary cliques and sentenced him to 18 years in prison for engaging in counterrevolutionary propaganda and instigation, bringing false charges against innocent people, and inciting the masses to violence and destruction (da-za-qiang). After he served his prison sentence, Qi was assigned work at the Shanghai City Library. See also WANG-GUAN-QI AFFAIR.

QIAN HAOLIANG (1934– ). Also known as Hao Liang, a name given by Jiang Qing and adopted by Qian during the Cultural Revolution, Qian was born in Shaoxing, Zhejiang Province, and became a member of the CCP in 1959. He was trained as a Peking opera singer from childhood. In 1963, Qian distinguished himself playing Li Yuhe, the hero of the revolutionary model opera The Red Lantern and began to associate himself with Jiang Qing. His portrait as Li Yuhe soon became a Cultural Revolution icon. In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Qian led a rebel organization and attacked his superiors and his colleagues. He was made vice-chairman of the revolutionary committee of the China Peking Opera Troupe in 1967, a member of the State Council Cultural Group in 1971, and deputy minister of culture in 1975. In all of these positions, Qian carried out Jiang Qing’s orders dutifully. He, Yu Huiyong, and Liu Qingtang became the closest followers and allies of Jiang Qing in cultural and art circles.

In October 1976, when the Gang of Four was purged, Qian was also detained. For the next 5 years, he was under investigation as a close associate of the Gang of Four. Expelled from the CCP but spared criminal prosecution, Qian was released from detention in 1981. He taught Peking opera at the Hebei Academy of Arts and performed both classical and modern Peking operas in various cities until retirement.

QINGTONGXIA INCIDENT (28 August 1967). In this deadly incident, PLA troops attacked civilians during a factional conflict in Qingtongxia County, Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region. During
the power seizure movement in 1967, mass organizations in Ningxia were sharply divided into two camps. There was the Preparation Committee that sided with Zhu Shengda, commander of the Ningxia Provincial Military District and a close associate of Marshal He Long, and there was the General Headquarters which a field army unit on a left-supporting mission endorsed because Kang Sheng, who was at the time entrusted with the responsibility of resolving the factional conflict in Ningxia, had dismissed the Preparation Committee faction as "conservatives."

In August 1967, the civilians and the peasant militia supporting the Preparation Committee launched offensives, blocking railways and highways in the region; armed fighting in Qingtongxia County was especially heavy. In a speech given on 26 August, Kang Sheng denounced Zhu Shengda as the leader of a “reactionary line” and voiced support for an “armed suppression” of the mass organizations supporting Zhu. With Kang’s consent, the field army unit launched an attack on 28 August on the Preparation Committee faction in Qingtongxia County, killing 101 civilians and wounding 133. On 30 August 1967, the CCP Central Committee (CC) issued a directive in support of the massacre, denouncing the Preparation Committee offensive in Qingtongxia as a “counterrevolutionary rebellion.” On 21 January 1979, the CC redressed the case of the Qingtongxia Incident and pronounced the verdict of “counterrevolutionary rebellion” unjust.

QIU HUIZUO (1914–2002). A close associate of Lin Biao and popularly known as one of Lin’s “four guardian warriors,” Qiu Huizuo was director of the General Logistics Department (GLD) of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) (1959–1971), deputy chief of general staff of the PLA, and a member of the Central Military Commission Administrative Group (1968–1971).

Born in Xingguo, Jiangxi Province, Qiu joined the Red Army in 1929, became a member of the CCP in 1932, and participated in the Long March in 1934–1935. In the late 1940s, Qiu was a ranking political officer in Lin Biao’s Fourth Field Army. Qiu was made lieutenant general in 1955 and director of the GLD in 1959.

In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Qiu, known for his “wayward” life style, was a popular target of the rebels in the GLD. In response to his request for help, Lin Biao arranged a dramatic rescue, moving Qiu from the GLD’s compound to a safe place in
Beijing’s Western Hills in the early hours of 25 January 1967—a moment of “rebirth” in Qiu’s own words. In May 1967, Lin named him, along with Li Zuopeng and Wu Faxian, a leader of the “proletarian revolutionaries of the armed forces.” Qiu, in return, helped Lin fight his political enemies and consolidate power for Lin in the armed forces. In particular, he lashed out at General Xiao Hua, director of the General Political Department of the PLA, and created chaos in that department. In his own GLD, Qiu authorized the torture and persecution of 462 people, causing eight deaths. In 1968, Qiu was appointed deputy chief of general staff of the PLA and member of the Central Military Commission Administrative Group. At the Ninth National Congress of CCP (1969) Qiu was elected to the Central Committee (CC) and the Politburo.

At the Lushan Conference of 1970, the conflict between the Jiang Qing faction and the Lin Biao faction surfaced. Qiu joined Chen Boda, Wu Faxian, Li Zuopeng, and Ye Qun in attacking Zhang Chunqiao and supporting a proposal not to eliminate the position of the president of state. Backing Zhang Chunqiao and the Jiang Qing group, Mao Zedong singled out Chen Boda as the main target of criticism and also told other supporters of Lin Biao, including Qiu, to conduct self-criticism.

After the September 13 Incident of 1971, Qiu’s involvement in Lin Biao’s alleged power-seizing scheme was under investigation. On 20 August 1973, the CC issued a resolution concerning the “Lin Biao anti-Party clique.” As a member of the Lin group, Qiu Huizuo was dismissed from all his official positions and was permanently expelled from the party. On 25 January 1981, Qiu was sentenced to 16 years in prison for organizing and leading a counterrevolutionary clique, plotting to subvert the government, and bringing false charges against innocent people.

QUEEN OF THE RED CAPITAL (hongdu nühuang). A biography of Jiang Qing published in Hong Kong in the mid-1970s, this book was for quite some time mistaken for a Chinese translation of Roxane Witke’s Comrade Chiang Ch’ing. See also COMRADE CHIANG CH’ING.

QUOTATIONS FROM CHAIRMAN MAO. Also known as the “little red book” (mostly outside China), this collection of 427 quotations of
Chairman Mao Zedong in 33 categories, produced in pocket size with a red plastic cover, was the most printed and the most widely distributed book during the Cultural Revolution. The Quotations was originally a product of the political education program Lin Biao initiated in the early 1960s after he became minister of defense and was put in charge of the daily work of the Central Military Commission. Advocating the study of Mao’s works as a shortcut to studying Marxism-Leninism, Lin recommended that soldiers in the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) learn by heart short passages from Mao’s works. Following Lin’s instruction, the Liberation Army Daily began in May 1961 to carry on the front page of each issue a quotation from Mao. In January 1964, the PLA General Political Department put out a collection based on the Liberation Army Daily selections. A fuller version of 433 entries came out later in the year and was distributed widely in the PLA. By the time when the second edition consisting of 427 definitive entries with Lin Biao’s inscription was issued on 1 August 1965, the Quotations had already been distributed far beyond the PLA.

The image of Lin Biao standing next to Mao and waving a copy of the Quotations—a picture taken at Mao’s reception for the Red Guards—fueled the explosive popularity of this book in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution and contributed much to the hegemony of Maoism and the personality cult of the Chairman. The book was further popularized by its late 1966 reprint that carries a “Foreword to the Second Edition” which, written in the name of Lin Biao, hails Mao as “the greatest Marxist-Leninist of our times who, with genius and creativity, has inherited, defended, and developed Marxism-Leninism in all areas and brought Marxism-Leninism up to a brand new stage.” The Foreword also recommends a method of studying Mao’s words with questions in mind for quick results, like “raising a pole to see the shadow.” This edition is the most widely distributed official version—about 740,000,000 copies were printed between 1966 and 1968, almost one copy per person in the People’s Republic of China (PRC).

The Quotations, then, became a scripture everyone carried. People read and recited passages from it during the daily ritual of “morning request, evening report” and political study hours and waved the booklet as they cheered or shouted slogans at mass rallies. Popular quotations were all set to music. They were sung at public events, broadcast on the radio, and used as accompanying music for the
loyalty dance and keep-fit exercises. In 1966, the Quotations was also beginning to be translated into all major languages and distributed outside China. It became a bestseller worldwide.

– R –

REBELS (zaofanpai). The term refers to the radical mass faction during the Cultural Revolution. The early rebels, later known as Old Red Guards, were mostly children of ranking officials in middle schools in Beijing and a few other cities. When the first organization of Red Guards was established at Tsinghua University Middle School, the founders were inspired by the words of Chairman Mao Zedong, “To rebel is justified,” and put out three expositions of a big-character poster entitled “Long Live the Revolutionary Rebel Spirit of the Proletariat” in June and July 1966. The authors of the poster claim that rebellion is the soul of Mao Zedong Thought and that following Mao’s command, they would turn the old world upside down, smash it to pieces, and create a proletarian new world. In August 1966, the CCP theoretical organ Red Flag carried the three-part poster in support of the rebelling of the Red Guards. “Rebels,” then, became a popular revolutionary term for enthusiastic youths.

In the name of rebellion, this first group of rebels, the Old Red Guards, attacked the traditional enemies labeled Black Seven Categories and launched a culturally devastating battle against the so-called Four Olds. As the Cultural Revolution continued to unfold, however, the early rebels soon became conservatives (though they never called themselves as such) in line with the old power establishment represented by many of their parents, who were now denounced as capitalist-roaders. The notorious blood lineage theory that some of them had embraced in the early stage of the Cultural Revolution also became a target of criticism during the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line.

In the meantime, a new mass faction emerged during this campaign. Many people—especially many students—in this group had been politically discriminated against due to their non-proletarian family background. There were also temporary and contract workers without job security and some CCP members unfairly treated in the political movements before the Cultural Revolution. In the early stage of the
Cultural Revolution, some members of this group were persecuted by party officials, the work groups, and Old Red Guards. Eventually, it was this group, rather than the early Red Guards, that the term “rebels” comes to identify. Supported by Mao and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group and joined by a great number of people with working class background, this faction of mass organizations became the major force against the old party and state apparatus in the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line and during the power seizure movement initiated by the January Storm of 1967.

During the nationwide violent factional battles in 1967 and 1968, all competing factions, radical or moderate, invariably called themselves “rebels” and often dismissed their rivals as “conservatives.” By 1969 when Mao decided to put the mass movement to an end, some rebels had already become part of the newly established power structure and served on the revolutionary committees at local and provincial levels, and a number of them, such as Wang Hongwen, were even elected to the Central Committee at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP. Yet, some others became targets of the Ferret Out the “May 16” campaign, the Rectify the Class Ranks campaign, and the One Strike and Three Antis movement largely due to their involvement in prolonged factional violence and in activities that the central leadership deemed politically extreme and destructive.

RECTIFY THE CLASS RANKS. Commonly known as “Rectify Ranks” (qingdui), this was a campaign conducted by the revolutionary committee at various levels to investigate and uncover class enemies—traitors, spies, capitalists, “Kuomintang dregs,” capitalist-roaders within the CCP, and those of the “Black Five Categories” without—who had supposedly infiltrated the revolutionary camp and messed up class ranks. As an important step toward accomplishing the tasks of the Cultural Revolution, “struggle, criticism, and reform,” as Mao Zedong conceived them, the Rectify Ranks campaign began in late 1967; it lasted longer and claimed more lives than any other movement during the Cultural Revolution.

The term “rectify the class ranks” was first introduced by Jiang Qing in her talk with the representative of Beijing workers on 27 November 1967. On 21 February 1968, a team made of the personnel of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) unit 8341, Mao’s guards regiment at Zhongnanhai, moved into the Beijing Xinhua Printing
Factory to establish military control. They soon produced the “Experience of the Military Control Commission at the Beijing Xinhua Printing Factory in Mobilizing the Masses to Struggle against the Enemies.” Following Mao’s directive, the CCP Central Committee (CC) and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group distributed the “Experience” nationwide on 25 May 1968 as a model for conducting the Rectify the Class Ranks campaign. Later, both the editorial that the People’s Daily, the Red Flag, and the Liberation Army Daily jointly carried on 1 January 1969 and the political report of the CC for the Ninth National Congress of the CCP (April 1969) publicized Mao’s directive on the Rectify Ranks campaign: the campaign should be “firmly grasped,” said Mao, and yet, at the same time, “give a way out” for those being investigated; “no one is to be executed; most are not to be arrested.”

However, despite Mao’s warning against massive and relentless persecution, which already implied the existence of the problem, illegal imprisonment, systematic torture, trial by suspicion, and conviction by forced confessions were common during the campaign. The almost routine use of isolation, torture, and particularly the so-called ideological work that special case personnel under the leadership of the current power organ the revolutionary committee often forced family members and relatives to perform on the victims, made this campaign psychologically the cruellest. It had the highest suicide rate of all political campaigns and persecuted the largest number of people. For instance, at Tsinghua University, one of the exemplary “Six Factories and Two Schools” where Mao’s 8341 security unit sent PLA propaganda teams, 1,228 of its 6,000 staff members were investigated; in the first two months of the Rectify Ranks campaign, more than 10 people were harassed to death on the Tsinghua campus. According to official estimate, in the 10 months from February to November 1970, 1.87 million alleged “traitors,” “spies,” and “counterrevolutionaries” were uncovered in the nation, and more than 284,800 people were arrested. All together, the number of people affected by the campaign either as victims or as family members of the victims reached an unprecedented one hundred million, an eighth of China’s population. Since its later stages merged with the One Strike and Three Antis campaign and with the investigation of the so-called May 16 Counter-revolutionary Clique, the campaign to rectify the class ranks had no closure until the end of the Cultural Revolution itself.
RED AUGUST (hong bayue). This term refers to a time in late summer 1966 when a series of landmark events in the Red Guard movement took place while brutal violence against innocent citizens surged, especially in Beijing. To Red Guards of the time who proudly named August 1966 as such, it was a month of excitement, empowerment, and glory, a month of Red Guards, while to many ordinary citizens, especially the surviving victims of Red Guard violence, “Red August” meant lawlessness, bloodiness, and terror.

On 1 August 1966, Mao Zedong wrote to Tsinghua Middle School Red Guards in response to their letter to him and their big-character poster series entitled “Long Live the Revolutionary Rebel Spirit of the Proletariat.” Mao reiterated his own words “to rebel is justified” and expressed strong support for the Red Guard movement. In the eye of Red Guards, Mao’s writing marked the beginning of a “red” August. The distribution of Mao’s letter as a party document on 3 August led to an explosive development of Red Guard organizations nationwide. The second, and greater, wave of such development came after Mao inspected over a million Red Guards and “revolutionary masses” (mostly students) at a mass rally in Tiananmen Square on 18 August. His inspection set off a Red Guard traveling campaign called the Great Networking, in which tens of millions of Red Guards from the provinces rode on free trains to Beijing to see Mao and to acquire revolutionary experience while Red Guards in Beijing traveled to other parts of the country to spread the fire of the Cultural Revolution.

Mao’s support also inspired Red Guards to expand revolution from schools to the whole society. Beginning on 19 August, Beijing’s Red Guards launched on city streets a campaign called “Destroy the Four Olds.” In a few days, they changed the names of hundreds of streets, shops, restaurants, hotels, shopping plazas, schools, and hospitals, dismissing traditional names as feudalist, capitalist, imperialist, or revisionist and replacing them with proletarian labels. They issued orders to barbershops, tailor shops, shoe shops, and photo studios to ban any product or style deemed nonproletarian. Standing on the corners of busy streets, they would stop any passerby with what they considered an unacceptable look and punish the person on the spot. Red Guards even changed the traffic rules (for a short period) so that red—signifying revolution—meant “to go” and green “to stop.” They stood with traffic police officers at intersections to redirect the traffic, making
sure that the color red should prevail. The government endorsed all these activities.

The war against the “old world” led to the destruction of countless churches, temples, theaters, libraries, used-book stores, and historical sites. With the government’s acquiescence, and even support and assistance, Red Guards ransacked private homes and confiscated personal belongings of the alleged “class enemies,” especially people of the so-called Black Five Categories. Brutality against teachers, school officials, people of the “Black Five Categories,” and the so-called black gang members became widespread. On 25 August, the Capital Red Guard Pickets was formed in Beijing’s Xicheng District—and soon in several other districts as well—to exercise control over the lawless activities and violence committed by the city’s many independent Red Guard organizations. But, contrary to this intention, some members of the Pickets themselves set up private courts in their schools to torture, and even kill, innocent people. According to statistics from Beijing Public Security Bureau, in a period of 40 days in late August and September in the city of Beijing alone, 1,772 people were killed or committed suicide, 33,695 homes were ransacked, and 85,000 people of the “Black Five Categories” were expelled from Beijing to their hometowns, mostly in poverty-stricken rural areas. This kind of lawlessness and violence was committed by Red Guards in other parts of the country as well.

RED BOOK OF TREASURES (hongbaoshu). This was a popular term during the Cultural Revolution for the four-volume set of the Selected Works of Mao Zedong. It was also a popular name for the much shorter Quotations from Chairman Mao. Published in 1951, 1952, 1953, and 1960, the four volumes began to be massively produced in the initial years of the Cultural Revolution. Over 86.4 million copies were printed in the year 1967 alone, some of them with red covers. In late 1960s, the one-volume edition of the Selected Works came out, wrapped in a red plastic jacket and available in portable size as well. A bible for the population, the Selected Works became a common gift item and an object of worship, which contributed much to the hegemony of Mao Zedong Thought and the personality cult of the Chairman.

RED FIVE CATEGORIES (hong wulei). A political term widely used during the Cultural Revolution, the “Red Five Categories” refers
to people from the families of workers, poor and lower-middle class peasants, revolutionary cadres, revolutionary military personnel, and revolutionary martyrs. Early Red Guard organizations adopted a membership policy admitting only those from families of the “Red Five Categories.” Of the “revolutionary cadre” category, according to popular definitions made by some Red Guards, those who joined the CCP before 1938 (before the war of resistance against Japan) or—in some cases—before 1945 (before the civil war of 1946–1949) were more authentic or more legitimate revolutionary cadres than late-comers. With their family background as inherited political capital, people of the “Red Five Categories” enjoyed considerable political privilege during the Cultural Revolution. Some of them, proud and self-righteous, considered their family background to be evidence of their political identity and therefore saw themselves as natural successors to the revolutionary cause and to the communist regime.

**RED GUARDS (hongweibing).** This is a generic name for the youth organizations that were formed at the beginning of the Cultural Revolution and served as a major political force for Chairman Mao Zedong in the battle against traditional culture and the old party establishment from summer 1966 to summer 1968. The term also refers to the members of these organizations. Red Guards shocked the world with their radical communist idealism, their rebel spirit in defiance of authorities, and their extreme—often violent—social behavior.

The first Red Guard organization was formed on 29 May 1966 by a dozen students at Tsinghua University Middle School. They chose the name “Red Guards” to express their vow to be Mao’s guards fighting against those who, in Mao’s words, conspired to change the color of communist China. In June and July, the Tsinghua Middle School Red Guards put out a series of big-character posters entitled “Long Live the Revolutionary Rebel Spirit of the Proletariat,” which helped popularize Mao’s words “to rebel is justified” as a catchphrase of the Red Guard movement. During the same period, students from other middle and high schools in Beijing also formed Red Guard organizations, adopting such fashionable names as “Red Flag,” “East Wind,” or “East Is Red.” On 1 August, Mao, after reading a copy of the posters on rebel spirit, wrote the Tsinghua Middle School Red Guards a letter demonstrating his “enthusiastic support” for their “rebellion against the reactionaries.” Mao’s letter was never posted, but after it was circulated as a party document and made its
way to the public in early August 1966, Red Guard organizations mushroomed in the country. The early Red Guard organizations carried a politically discriminating membership policy in accordance with a notorious “blood lineage theory,” admitting only students from families of the “Red Five Categories.”

On 18 August, Mao, wearing a Red Guard armband on his left arm, inspected a million Red Guards and revolutionary masses from the Tiananmen rostrum while Lin Biao, the vice-chairman of the CCP standing next to Mao, called for a thoroughgoing attack on “old ideas, old culture, old customs, and old habits.” As Mao’s inspection hastened the birth of tens of thousands more new Red Guard organizations in the country, Lin’s call inspired Red Guards to launch a “Destroy the Four Olds” campaign against traditional culture, first in the city of Beijing and then all over the country. The campaign led not only to severe damage to countless temples, churches, and cultural and historic relics but also to the youthful rejection of many traditional values and virtues of Chinese society such as respect for teachers and older people, loyalty to friends, and humaneness based on empathy. During this campaign, Red Guard brutality became widespread against teachers, school officials, and other alleged “class enemies” old and new.

In the meantime, Mao’s inspections of Red Guards continued. A nationwide traveling campaign called the Great Networking brought tens of thousands of Red Guards from all over the country to Beijing each day whereas Red Guards from Beijing went to the provinces to stir up revolution there. The Great Networking campaign effectively energized millions of Chinese youths with fearless rebel spirit to serve as Mao’s crusaders against his opponents and their alleged followers in the central, provincial and local party leadership. As Mao directed the revolution’s focus from criticizing liberal intellectuals and a small number of “black gang” members to toppling party and government officials in October 1966, Red Guard organizations began to divide into two factions: the Old Red Guards who played a major role in earlier campaigns now became less enthusiastic since many of their parents were party officials and had become targets of the new round of attacks, while the newly emerging rebel Red Guards, a faction that included many members from non-proletarian families, were now supported by the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group and replaced the Old Red Guards as Mao’s crusading
army against the old party establishment in the campaign to criticize the bourgeoisie reactionary line, which eventually led to the downfall of Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping.

As the Cultural Revolution developed into its power-seizure phase in 1967, beginning with Shanghai’s January Storm, factional conflict among Red Guard organizations (and among other mass organizations as well) intensified in the country. The fight for a greater share of power in the new organ of power, the revolutionary committee, often led to both verbal dispute and physical violence. To restore order, Mao ordered army troops to intervene in the name of “supporting the left” and called upon all mass factions to form a “grand alliance.” And yet, the factional conflict continued to escalate and became increasingly violent. By late 1967 and early 1968, large-scale armed confrontations occurred in many places, and the country was in chaos.

Finally, in July 1968, Mao made a few decisive moves to end factional violence: after approving nationwide issuance of two public notices concerning the armed conflict in two provinces, Mao, on 27 July, dispatched a workers propaganda team of 30,000 led by PLA officers to break up a prolonged factional confrontation known as the “one hundred-day armed conflict on the Tsinghua campus.” After Red Guards at Tsinghua University opened fire and killed five and injured hundreds of the propaganda team members, Mao called an emergency meeting with the leaders of the five most influential Red Guard organizations in Beijing. At the meeting, the chairman showed his determination to end the country’s chaos and informed the Red Guard leaders of his plan to send all students away from the country’s college campuses. Mao’s decision, along with the movement of educated youths to go up to the mountains and down to the countryside, effectively put an end to the Red Guard movement.

After the exit of this generation of Red Guards from China’s political scene, the name “Red Guards” was retained for a youth organization at middle and high schools under the control of the school authorities—an ad hoc substitute, in many cases, for the official Communist Youth League. In August 1978, the Chinese Communist Youth League announced its decision to abolish the Red Guard organization. See also MASS RALLY OF 18 AUGUST 1966; MAO ZEDONG-MEETING WITH THE FIVE RED GUARD LEADERS.
RED GUARDS’ REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY (*hongdaihui*). Born of a need for coordinating activities among Red Guard organizations, the assembly generally functioned as the headquarters of participating Red Guard organizations. On 22 February 1967, the Representative Assembly of the Capital College Red Guards was established in Beijing with the support as well as the advice of the central leaders. On 25 March of the same year, middle school Red Guards in Beijing established their assembly as well. Before long, such assemblies were formed in many other places in the country at county, city, regional, and provincial levels. The purpose of establishing an assembly was to place a certain collective authority over self-governed, largely independent, poorly disciplined, and often factionally inclined Red Guard groups so that coordination and a certain degree of control could be exercised to shape the direction and priorities of the Red Guard movement.

However, factionalism continued to hold the upper hand and undermined severely the authority of many assemblies; some of them ceased functioning before long because of the conflicts between rival Red Guard organizations. A national representative assembly of Red Guards was never formed. When the new power organ revolutionary committee was established as a result of the power seizure movement of 1967, a few positions on the committee were usually given to the leaders of the local assembly as representatives of the Red Guards. In 1968 and 1969, when the Red Guard movement came to an end while the traditional Communist Youth League resumed its function after the Ninth National Congress of the CCP, Red Guard assemblies were gradually phased out.

RED PERIPHERIES (*hong waiwei*). This is a humiliating name for those students who willingly and actively participated in the campaigns launched by Red Guards but who were not allowed to join Red Guard organizations because their family backgrounds were not of the hardcore “Red Five Categories” though not of the so-called Black Five Categories, either. These included children of ordinary teachers, civil servants, free lancers, street vendors, poor urban residents, middle peasants, and so forth. The term “Red Peripheries” was used only for a short period in the early phase of the Cultural Revolution when some Red Guards were actively promoting a blood lineage theory. This was also the time when Mao Zedong began to voice his
warm support for the Red Guard movement and receive Red Guards in Tiananmen Square, which accorded a Red Guard high revolutionary status with which tens of millions of Chinese youths wanted to identify themselves. However, most Red Guard organizations at the time accepted only those with proletarian family backgrounds known as the Red Five Categories.

Enthusiastic about the revolution and afraid of being viewed as less revolutionary than Red Guards, some students from non-proletarian families followed the actions of Red Guards as much as they could without being accepted as members of the organizations. These students were often referred by the Red Guards as their “Red Peripheries” or “Red Exteriors.” When the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line started in October 1966, many new Red Guard or rebel organizations were formed. With admission policies much less rigid than those of the Old Red Guards, these organizations opened doors to students with less favorable family backgrounds though admissions for those from families of the Black Five Categories remained difficult. By now the term “Red Peripheries” had become obsolete.

REEDUCATION (zaijiaoyu). This is a term Mao Zedong used several times during the Cultural Revolution to refer to what he considered to be a necessary ideological reform for intellectuals, professionals, and middle school, high school, and college graduates. In Mao’s view, they had been book educated and school trained, but their ideas were often nonrevolutionary, with marks of bourgeois and revisionist ideology. Therefore, they needed to live with workers, peasants, and soldiers, be identified with them, and be reeducated by them, so as to become proletarian. See also EDUCATED YOUTHS; MAY 7 CADRE SCHOOL; UP TO THE MOUNTAINS AND DOWN TO THE COUNTRYSIDE.

REGULATIONS ON STRENGTHENING PUBLIC SECURITY DURING THE GREAT PROLETARIAN CULTURAL REVOLUTION (1967). Popularly known as the Six Regulations of Public Security, this document, coded zhongfa [67] 19, was issued nationwide by the CCP Central Committee (CC) and the State Council on 13 January 1967. It not only reiterated legal action against common criminals but also legitimized harsh measures of political repression
and social discrimination in the name of public security. Aside from forbidding various kinds of violent crime and illicit contact with foreign governments, the Regulations defines as punishable counterrevolutionary crimes any “slandering on the great leader Chairman Mao and his dear comrade-in-arms Lin Biao” in the form of sending anonymous letters, posting pamphlets, or writing and shouting slogans.

The Regulations also prescribe restrictions for citizens belonging in a long list of categories of political outcasts. These categories included those who are labeled landlords, rich peasants, counterrevolutionaries, bad elements, and Rightists, those who are or used to be reformed through labor, the former backbone members of the Kuomintang and its affiliated youth league, the former leaders of cults or religious groups, those who used to serve in the Nationalist army or government at or above certain levels (which the Regulations specify meticulously), former but “not yet reformed” convicts, profiteering vendors, and family members of those punished by law. People in these categories were not allowed to travel to participate in Great Networking activities, to join mass organizations by changing their names or falsifying their personal history, to play any backstage role in any organization, or to establish their own organizations; violation of any of these regulations is severely punishable. The Regulations led to the persecutions of a large number of innocent people by both mass organizations and the security agencies at various levels. Soon after the Cultural Revolution, the CC annulled this document and then charged the Gang of Four and Minister of Public Security Xie Fuzi with the crime of formulating such repressive measures.

REN YI. See “SONG OF THE EDUCATED YOUTHS OF NANJING.”

RESOLUTION ON CERTAIN QUESTIONS IN THE HISTORY OF OUR PARTY SINCE THE FOUNDING OF THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA (guanyu jian’guo yilai dang de ruogan lishi wenti de jueyi). Adopted on 27 June 1981 at the Sixth Plenum of the Eleventh CCP Central Committee (CC) and covering the 32 years of CCP rule since 1949, especially the 10 years of the Cultural Revolution (May 1966–October 1976), this document represents by far the most comprehensive assessment of the Cultural Revolution made by the post-Mao CCP leadership. It is also the first
official central document that takes a critical stand, both politically and theoretically, toward the Cultural Revolution.

The CC considered the Resolution to be both necessary and important, so much so that the CC waited more than two years after the Cultural Revolution to begin the drafting of the document, and it took more than a year and a half for a writing team led by Hu Qiaomu, a chief CCP historian and theorist, to finish the project. The stated purpose of the Resolution was to review Mao Zedong’s legacy and conclude a highly problematic chapter in the CCP history—“preferably in broad strokes rather than in detail,” as Deng Xiaoping suggested—so that both the party and the nation might be united, leave the past behind, and look ahead. Of the fairly detailed instructions that he offered on nine occasions between March 1980 and June 1981, Deng considered the appropriate assessment of Chairman Mao to be the most important. On the one hand, Deng said, the Resolution should be critical of Mao’s mistakes, truthfully and unequivocally; on the other hand, the legitimacy of the Twelfth Plenum of the Eighth CCP Central Committee (at which President Liu Shaoqi was officially expelled from the CCP) and the Ninth National Congress of the CCP (at which a new CCP Constitution was adopted that designates Lin Biao as Mao’s successor) must be acknowledged, and the banner of Mao Zedong Thought should not be abandoned; for to abandon this banner means to deny the “glorious history of our party.”

Embracing Deng’s concern for both the truth of the Cultural Revolution and the legitimacy of the party leadership under Mao as the principal guideline, the Resolution deals with two conflicting issues. On the one hand, it names the Cultural Revolution as the cause for “the most severe setback and the heaviest losses the party, the state, and the people had suffered since the founding of the PRC,” criticizing Mao’s ultraleftism as an erroneous ideology informing the Cultural Revolution, and recognizes the partial responsibility of the CCP central leadership for the Revolution. On the other hand, the Resolution blames Lin Biao, Jiang Qing, and the two counterrevolutionary cliques led by them for taking advantage of Mao’s errors, committing crimes behind his back, attempting to seize power, and so on, and charges them as chief culprits responsible for causing the national disaster. The Resolution upholds Mao Zedong Thought as the guiding principle of the CCP while excluding Mao’s theory of continuing revolution under the
dictatorship of the proletariat from Mao Zedong Thought proper in spite of Mao’s own judgment.

Apparently, the Resolution reflects a dilemma the post-Mao CCP leadership faced: the leadership gained its legitimacy by following the will of the people and abandoning Mao’s Cultural Revolution program, but a thoroughgoing critique of the Cultural Revolution might again put the legitimacy of the CCP leadership itself in question. As a landmark central document intended to bring closure to the most troubling period of the CCP history, the Resolution clarifies a number of historical issues to some extent and serves a strategic purpose, but its value is nevertheless reduced because of its inherent contradictions.

REVOLUTION IN EDUCATION (jiaoyu geming). Also known as “educational reform” (jiaoyu gaige), the project was proposed by Mao Zedong in the late 1950s to make education serve the interest of proletarian politics and to integrate education with labor and production. In a letter to Lin Biao, dated 7 May 1966, Mao demanded that years of schooling be shortened and that education be revolutionized, and he called for an end to the “reign of bourgeois intellectuals in our schools.” These words made the “revolution in education” one of the most important tasks of the Cultural Revolution. The revolution in education took such radical steps as abolishing the college entrance examination system, politicizing educational material for schools at all levels, recruiting college students only from workplaces and army units, and reducing college education uniformly to three years. There was also an invention called “open-door schooling” in which college students went off campus and took factories and fields as classrooms where workers and peasants assumed the role of professors. A nationwide enforcement of radical measures like these, along with the official propaganda degrading learning in general, resulted in a drastic degradation of knowledge at all levels of education and a decline in educational quality during the Cultural Revolution.

In the early 1970s, Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping, with broad support from educational circles, made some efforts to restore normality to the nation’s chaotic education system, such as reintroducing entrance exam as a part of the selection process for college admissions and allowing high school graduates to be directly enrolled in college, but these efforts were denounced by the ultraleftist faction of the
CCP central leadership as signs of a massive “right-leaning reversal of verdicts” and eventually failed. The real reversal of the cultural revolutionary educational reform did not take place until late 1977, a year after the end of the Cultural Revolution, when the national college entrance examination system was reinstalled. See also MAY 7 DIRECTIVE; WORKER-PEASANT-SOLDIER STUDENTS.

**REVOLUTION IN PEKING OPERA.** The term refers to the reform efforts to modernize the traditional Chinese music theater Peking opera (*jingju*) that started in the early 1960s but later became politicized and radicalized by Jiang Qing. At a CCP Central Committee work session in September 1963, Mao Zedong called upon artists in the traditional Chinese theater to “weed through the old to bring forth the new” (*tuichen chuxin*) so that characters on stage would not be just “kings and princes, generals and ministers, talented scholars and lovely beauties” (*diwangjiangxiang caizijiaren*). In fact, before 1963, quite a few theatrical companies, not only of Peking opera but also some other regional operas, had already begun to experiment with traditional forms and to produce contemporary musical dramas with revolutionary themes. Following Mao’s 1963 directive, several troupes created a number of new revolutionary Peking operas including *Shajia Creek* (*Shajiabang*), *Taking Tiger Mountain by Stratagem* (*zhiqu weihushan*), *Raid on the White Tiger Regiment* (*qixi bai-hutuan*), and *The Red Lantern* (*hongdeng ji*).

From 5 June to 31 July 1964, a festival of Peking opera on contemporary themes was held in Beijing. Twenty-nine opera troupes and about 2,000 people participated in the festival and produced 37 theatrical works. Jiang Qing attended this festival and delivered the speech “On the Revolution in Peking opera,” in which she dismissed all historical plays as feudalist and bourgeois works and advocated such propaganda principles as “giving prominence to politics” and “giving prominence to positive revolutionary figures.” In her effort to further radicalize the Peking opera reform, Jiang virtually drove all historical plays and most artists off the stage. When Jiang Qing’s speech was published in the CCP theoretical organ *Red Flag* in May 1967, it became a guideline that all artists and writers had to follow.

Under the direction of Jiang Qing, the original Peking opera reform soon turned into a political movement and became the “revolution in Peking opera.” Jiang also took advantage of the reform, tempered
with a few of its early accomplishments, such as *Shajia Creek*, *Taking Tiger Mountain by Stratagem*, and *The Red Lantern*, and claimed credit for their creation. During the Cultural Revolution, these plays, along with a few others, were officially named the **eight model dramas**, and Jiang became “the great standard-bearer” of revolution in art and literature.

**REVOLUTIONARY COMMITTEE.** This was the name of the new power organ established after old government and party apparatuses were abolished in 1967. The 1967 **January Storm** in Shanghai marked the beginning of a nationwide **power seizure** movement in which mass organizations overthrew party and government authorities and took the governing power in their hands. Encouraged by **Mao Zedong**, this movement was carried out systematically at all levels, including local and provincial government and the leadership of all the nation’s schools, state institutions and organizations, factories, and collectively owned communes in the countryside in 1967 and 1968. The new power organ that was established to replace the old governing authorities was first named in Shanghai as a “people’s commune,” but Mao Zedong eventually favored the example of Heilongjiang and Shandong Provinces with the three-in-one presence of party cadres, military officers, and representatives of mass organizations in a “revolutionary committee,” which made the new power organ in Shanghai change its name on 24 February. This name became official when the CCP organ the *Red Flag* published an editorial on 10 March 1967 that cited Mao’s directive. The PRC Constitution revised in 1975 and, again, in 1978, designated the revolutionary committee as the official organ of power. A decision was made in July 1979 at the Second Session of the Fifth National People’s Congress that the use of the revolutionary committee as a name of any governing body be discontinued. *See also* SHANGHAI PEOPLE’S COMMUNE.

**RONG GUOTUAN (1937–1968).** Born in Hong Kong, Rong came to mainland China in 1957 as an outstanding ping pong player. In 1959, when he won the men’s singles championship at the 25th Table Tennis World Championship Game, he instantly became a national hero, since this was the very first world championship won by a Chinese in any international sports event. In 1961, at the 26th World Championship Game, he led his team to the team title. He was appointed head coach of the Chinese women’s ping pong team in 1963 and turned it
into a world champion team at the 28th World Championship Game. Suspected of being a foreign agent, Rong was persecuted during the Cultural Revolution. Rong hanged himself on 20 June 1968, with a note in his pocket that read, “I am not a spy.” Rong’s name was cleared by the National Sports Commission in June 1978.

**ROTATION OF MILITARY REGION COMMANDERS (December 1973).** Following Mao Zedong’s suggestion that commanders of military regions should rotate because it was not good for anyone to stay in one place for too long, the Central Military Commission issued an order on 22 December 1973 to reassign eight military region commanders by having the current commanders exchange places with one another.

**ROYALISTS** (*baohuangpai*). Also known as “bourgeois royalists.” See CONSERVATIVES.
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**SCRIPTURE OF COUP D’ÉTAT** (*zhengbian jing*). See LIN BIAO: MAY 18 SPEECH.

**SECOND PLENUM OF THE CCP NINTH CENTRAL COMMITTEE (23 August–6 September 1970).** Also known as the Lushan Conference, the Second Plenum of the Ninth Central Committee of the CCP marked a significant turning point in the course of the Cultural Revolution. The plenum was held at the state resort at Lushan, Jiangxi Province, and presided over by Chairman Mao Zedong. At the meeting a fissure appeared within the “proletarian headquarters” newly consolidated at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP. A power struggle between two groups of leaders—Lin Biao and his associates on the one hand, and Jiang Qing and her supporters on the other—took the form of a noisy dispute over two questions: whether Mao Zedong was a genius and whether the Chinese leadership should eliminate the position of the president of state. As Mao voiced support for the Jiang group and denounced Chen Boda (who had been gradually alienated from Jiang and had become more closely associated with Lin), making Chen the first victim of his strategic move against Lin Biao, the downfall of Mao’s handpicked successor began.
There were three discussion topics on the original plenum agenda: a revision of the Constitution of the PRC, the nation’s economic planning, and China’s war-readiness (regarding mainly the potential threat from the Soviet Union). But these issues were overshadowed at the meeting by a bizarre drama of power intrigue. At the opening ceremony, Lin Biao delivered a long speech that he had supposedly cleared with Mao. In the speech, Lin said that Mao was a genius and that it should be ordained by the Constitution that Mao be the “head of the proletarian dictatorship,” referring to the position of the president of state that Mao, in the eye of others, either declined to take due to modesty or simply wished to abolish. On 24 August, a pamphlet called “Engels, Lenin, and Chairman Mao on Genius,” compiled by Chen Boda and approved by Lin Biao, was distributed among delegates. Knowing that Jiang Qing’s close ally Zhang Chunqiao had opposed the inclusion of “genius” along with two other modifiers praising Mao in the revised PRC Constitution, Chen Boda, Ye Qun, Wu Faxian, Li Zuopeng, and Qiu Huizuo, all Lin Biao’s associates, stirred up a storm in discussion groups, denouncing those who denied Mao’s genius and vowing to “uncover” the counterrevolutionaries. Most delegates enthusiastically embraced the “genius” theory and supported the request that Mao be president of state, although perhaps only a few veteran leaders, who also voiced support, acted with the understanding that the radical faction of the central leadership was under attack.

The situation took a dramatic turn after Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, and Yao Wenyuan went to see Mao on the morning of 25 August and lodged a complaint against Chen Boda and his comrades. Mao personally convened an enlarged session of the Politburo to stop discussion of Lin Biao’s speech and to order Chen Boda to go through self-examination. Mao also ruled that the issue of installing the national president not be raised again. On 31 August, Mao wrote “Some Views of Mine,” in which he dismissed Chen’s theory of genius as “fabrication,” “sophistry,” and the “tricks of those who claim to but do not really understand Marx.” During the remainder of the plenum, the denunciation of Chen continued. Lin Biao’s cohorts disassociated themselves from Chen and criticized themselves.

The plenum concluded with Mao’s call for ranking cadres to study Marxism and with the decision of the Central Committee to investigate Chen Boda’s case. A year later, just before Lin Biao’s fatal
plane crash (known as the **September 13 Incident**), Mao was to define the conflict at the Lushan conference as a “struggle between two headquarters;” and the “tenth [inner-party] line struggle.” He also spoke of the activities of the Lin group at the meeting as premeditated, organized, and guided by a program that consisted of a theory on “genius” and a call to install the national president, despite the fact that Mao himself had allowed the association of his name with “genius” to enter previous party documents and that the proposal to install the national president was supported by almost all the delegates at Lushan, including Kang Sheng, the adviser to the **Central Cultural Revolution Small Group**. See also **ELIMINATING THE OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL PRESIDENT**.

**SEPTEMBER 13 INCIDENT (1971).** Or simply called “9-13,” this term refers to the plane crash on the morning of 13 September 1971 in Mongolia that killed Lin Biao, Ye Qun, their son Lin Liguo, and six others as they were fleeing China allegedly after an aborted coup d’état. The incident became a turning point in the Cultural Revolution: the downfall of Mao Zedong’s “closest comrade-in-arms,” who promoted the **personality cult** of Mao in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, whose ascent in the CCP had been celebrated as a major achievement of the Cultural Revolution, and whose future as Mao’s successor had been written into the CCP Constitution, shocked the nation to such an extent that many were disillusioned by politics and began to think critically of the Cultural Revolution. However, it took 10 more years for the CCP Central Committee (CC) to formulate its own judgment on the Cultural Revolution in connection with Lin Biao’s case: the historic **Resolution on Certain Questions in the History of Our Party Since the Founding of the People’s Republic of China** states that the downfall of the Lin Biao clique “virtually pronounced the failure in both theory and practice of the Cultural Revolution.”

According to the official version of the September 13 Incident and of the events leading up to it, Lin Biao aimed to take power by schemes and intrigues as early as August and September 1970 at the **Second Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee**, also known as the **Lushan Conference**. Speaking against Mao’s wish to **eliminate the office of the national president**, Lin insisted in his opening speech at the plenum that Mao was a genius and that it should be
ordained by the Constitution that Mao be the “head of the proletarian dictatorship.” Taking Lin’s speech as a signal for action, Chen Boda and Lin’s close associates Wu Fuxian, Ye Qun, Li Zuopeng, and Qiu Huizuo stirred up a storm in group sessions denouncing those who dared to deny Mao’s genius, particularly Zhang Chunqiao who had followed Mao’s wish (largely unknown to others) and insisted on excluding the word “genius” and two other modifiers in praise of Mao from the new Constitution. Later, Mao interpreted Lin’s support for restoring the office of the national president and his insistence on calling Mao a genius as the political program and the theoretical program for power seizure. Although not attacking Lin directly while condemning Chen and chiding Lin’s cohorts during the Criticize Chen and Conduct Rectification campaign after the Lushan Conference, Mao considered Lin Biao to be behind the power intrigue: “Someone couldn’t wait to become president,” he said.

In October 1970, the Investigation and Research Group under the CCP Office of the Air Force Command, a secret intelligence team for Lin Biao, was renamed the United Flotilla with Lin Liguo as the leader or kang-man-de, a self-styled code name simulating the English “commander.” On 21 March 1971, the core of this group of air force officers met in Shanghai to assess the political situation regarding Lin Biao’s position in the CCP leadership and to plot a power seizure for him. Those attending the meeting, including Lin Liguo, decided to proceed with their plan by peaceful means for the moment, considering Mao’s authority and popularity, but at the same time to be prepared for an armed coup. The blueprint for the latter, at Lin Liguo’s suggestion, was to be called the “571 Project,” as the pronunciation of the numbers wu-qi-yi homophonically rhymes with the Chinese characters for “armed uprising.” Yu Xinye, a key member of the Flotilla, was entrusted with the drafting of the 571 Project Summary, which he finished on 23 March. Lin Biao was named in the official history of the CCP as the commanding force behind both the formation of the United Flotilla and the creation of the 571 Project.

During his southern inspection tour from 14 August to 12 September 1971, Mao Zedong repeatedly talked about the tenth line struggle in the CCP’s history and the struggle between two headquarters, both referring to the power intrigue at the 1970 Lushan Conference. He voiced strong criticism of Chen Boda and Lin Biao’s close associates but at the same time warned his audience not to pass
on his words to Beijing. On 5 and 6 September when Mao’s criticism finally reached Beidaihe resort where Lin Biao and Ye Qun had been staying for the summer, Lin and Ye came to the conclusion that at the Third Plenum of the CCP’s Ninth Central Committee and the Fourth National People’s Congress, supposedly to be held soon around 1 October 1971, their political career would come to an end. So they, according to the official version of the event, decided to assassinate Mao in Shanghai and stage a coup in Beijing. On 7 September, Lin Liguo told the members of the United Flotilla to be first-degree war-ready. On 8 September, Lin Biao was said to have given his handwritten command for an armed coup: “Act according to the order carried by Comrades Liguo and Yuchi.” (“Yuchi” refers to Zhou Yuchi, Lin Liguo’s close associate.) Lin Liguo then consulted with the key members of the Flotilla and came up with five ways of assassinating Mao in and near Shanghai. In the meantime, Mao, while in Hangzhou, must have noticed something suspicious. He made a series of abrupt changes to his travel schedule and came back to Beijing on the evening of 12 September.

After the assassination plan failed, Lin Biao allegedly decided to proceed with the second plan: on 13 September, he and his family were to fly south to Guangzhou, where he and Ye Qun were to meet his generals Huang Yongsheng, Wu Fanxian, Li Zuopeng, Qiu Huizuo, and others to form another central government for a divided country of north and south. On the evening of 12 September, Lin Liguo boarded the aircraft Trident 256 and flew from Beijing to Shanhaiguan, the city nearest Beidaihe with an airfield. He intended for his father to use this special plane on his flight the next day to Guangzhou. At 10:30 P.M., Premier Zhou Enlai received a telephone call from the office of the security troop unit 8341 communicating a report from Lin Liheng, Lin Biao’s daughter, concerning the unusual developments at Beidaihe. A series of decisive moves made by Zhou upon hearing the report, including a telephone conversation with Ye Qun, made Lin Biao and Ye Qun believe that they were being watched. Lin Biao, then, allegedly decided to abandon the second plan (of flying south to Guangzhou) and adopt the third one: flying northwest out of the country to Irkutsk in the Soviet Union. Lin and his followers rushed through the Beidaihe security to Shanhaiguan airfield in Lin’s bulletproof sedan, and Trident 256, with nine people aboard, took off at 12:32 A.M. on 13 September.
After Lin Biao left Beidaihe, Lin Liheng notified Beijing. Zhou Enlai issued orders to turn on the radar system in north China to track the path of Trident 256 and to communicate a message to those onboard the plane that they should return, that he would meet them in person at any airport. Zhou also reported to Mao Zedong, who reportedly replied, “Rain will fall, mother wants to remarry. Can’t help it.”

In the meantime, Trident 256 reached China’s border and crossed into the air space of the Mongolian People’s Republic at 1:50 a.m. Forty minutes later, the plane crashed to the ground near Undurkhan, reportedly due to a lack of fuel. The crash killed all of the passengers, eight men and one woman. On 18 September 1971, the CC issued a circular concerning Lin Biao’s “renegade escape,” charging him with treason.

More than any other major event in the Cultural Revolution, the 9-13 Incident, along with the events leading up to it, lacks a definitive account. Various versions and interpretations conflict in significant ways with the official one summarized above. Some historians argue that there was no solid and convincing evidence that Lin Biao was ever interested in the position of president of state, while others, not necessarily disagreeing with the former on the issue of the president of state, consider Lin’s promotion of the cult of Mao to be sufficient evidence of his political ambition and opportunism. Still some others argue that Lin, suffering from serious ill health and reluctant to engage in politics, was forced into a high position by Mao and then became a victim of Mao’s obsession with power. More specifically relevant to the 9-13 Incident were the forming of the United Flotilla, the drafting of the 571 Project Summary, and the plan to assassinate Mao Zedong. But, in some personal as well as scholarly accounts, evidence of Lin Biao’s directing all these actions, and even of his knowledge of them, was at best circumstantial. And finally, as Lin Liheng reported to Beijing on the night of 12 September, she saw the trouble at Beidaihe as a problem of kidnapping: Ye Qun and Lin Liguo plotted together without Lin Biao’s knowledge and eventually forced Lin Biao to flee the country.

**SHAKING HANDS THE SECOND TIME** (*di’erci woshou*). Widely circulated and hand copied during the Cultural Revolution, this is a novel about love and patriotism among Chinese intellectuals for which its author Zhang Yang was arrested and imprisoned. Due to the popularity of the novel, the persecution of Zhang Yang became one
of the best known wrongs in the later stage of the Cultural Revolution. Inspired by real-life stories of some foreign-trained scientists coming back to serve their beloved motherland, Zhang began to work on a long story about their patriotism in 1964. His writing was interrupted when the authorities arrested him during the One Strike and Three Antis campaign in 1970 because he had made critical remarks about Lin Biao with friends in a reading group in the Hunan countryside. After his release in December 1972, Zhang resumed writing and named a 200,000-word manuscript version of his novel Return. While still in the revision stage, the manuscript was shared by friends and soon copied by many hands and circulated among educated youths in many parts of China. The final version of the novel incorporates numerous stylistic improvements and some additional subplots from the hands of anonymous readers. The title of the novel was also changed to Shaking Hands the Second Time.

While the second handshake refers to the reunion of two lovers after years of separation, the story focuses on the woman, a distinguished nuclear physicist trained in the United States, who extricates herself from various obstructions set up by the U.S. government and comes back to China, only to find her beloved already married. As she is about to leave Beijing for the United States, broken-hearted, Premier Zhou Enlai comes to the airport and persuades her to stay and work for her native land. In five years, with her contributions, China successfully detonates its first nuclear bomb. As patriotic as the story was, the authorities still found its high regard for intellectuals unacceptable and labeled the novel reactionary. Following Yao Wenyuan’s instruction, the Hunan Provincial Bureau of Public Security arrested Zhang on 7 January 1975 and attempted to impose a death sentence upon him. After the downfall of the “Gang of Four,” Zhang began to appeal his case but was not successful until 1969. In July that year, a few months after Zhang’s release, Shaking Hands the Second Time was published and, with 4.3 million copies sold, became one of the bestsellers in the history of the PRC.

SHANGHAI MUNICIPAL PARTY COMMITTEE WRITING GROUP. This was a team of writers in the service of the Cultural Revolution faction of the central leadership. It was controlled by Xu Jingxian, deputy head of the Shanghai Revolutionary Committee, who also served as party secretary of the writing group. Zhang
Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan, both originally based in Shanghai and both having played major roles in turning Shanghai into a cultural revolutionary base, directed every move of the writing group through Xu. Along with the Peking University and Tsinghua University Great Criticism Group, its counterpart in Beijing, the Shanghai writing team produced numerous articles to publicize Mao Zedong’s political programs, to promote the interest of the Jiang Qing group, and to attack—mostly by innuendo and by allusion—the leaders of the moderate faction, especially Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping. These articles were often carried in major newspapers in Beijing and Shanghai under such pen names as Ding Xuelei and Luo Siding and reprinted immediately by provincial and local papers across China. The team was disbanded soon after the downfall of the Gang of Four. See also ALLUSORY HISTORIOGRAPHY; CONFUCIANISM VERSUS LEGALISM; CRITICIZE LIN AND CRITICIZE CONFUCIUS.

SHANGHAI PEOPLE’S COMMUNE. This was the name initially adopted by the new organ of power of Shanghai at the suggestion by Zhang Chunqiao after the January Storm of 1967. Zhang called the Shanghai power-seizure movement the “January revolution” and apparently based his naming of the new power organ on two sources. First, in his “Bombarding the Headquarters” (5 August 1966), Mao Zedong spoke highly of a big-character poster by Nie Yuanzi and others at Peking University as the “declaration of the Paris Commune of the 1960s—Beijing Commune.” Second, the “Sixteen Articles,” adopted at the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee on 8 August 1966, designates that power organs established during the Cultural Revolution should be modeled on the Paris Commune of 1871. Mao, however, never conceived the goal of the Cultural Revolution as abolishing the supreme leadership of the communist party. In a conversation with Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan in mid-February 1967, he questioned the place of the party in a commune and indicated his preference for “revolutionary committee” as the name for the new power organ. Following Mao’s directive, Shanghai People’s Commune changed its name to Shanghai Revolutionary Committee on 24 February.

SHI CHUANXIANG (1915–1975). A nightman (someone whose job is to clean out neighborhood excrement) and a well-known national
model worker, Shi was a deputy to the National People’s Congress before the Cultural Revolution. Born in a poor peasant’s family in Shandong Province, Shi became a nightman in Beijing at a young age. After 1949, his hard work and loyalty to the party earned him the title of national model worker. Chairman Mao Zedong, President Liu Shaoqi, and some other CCP leaders received him several times. The picture of Liu Shaoqi’s handshake with Shi at the 1959 National Labor Heroes Conference was carried in the CCP official organ People’s Daily, which made Shi instantly famous. In the early months of the Cultural Revolution, Shi, knowing little about the power struggle in the central leadership, led a conservative mass organization called the Capital Workers Defense Regiment in Beijing and took a clear stance to defend Liu Shaoqi, whom Mao was trying hard to overthrow.

When members of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) began to push the rebel movement forward following Mao’s battle plan, Chen Boda accused Shi of having been “bought over by the bourgeoisie,” and Jiang Qing called him a “blackleg.” In December 1966, during the Criticize the Bourgeois Reactionary Line campaign, Shi’s organization was crushed by the rebels with strong support from the CCRSG. Shi himself was subject to public humiliation and brutal physical abuse at many struggle meetings. Many times he was also paraded through the streets of Beijing on a truck—tied up, bareheaded, with a placard hanging from his neck which read “blackleg” or some other denunciatory and insulting title. His handshake with Liu Shaoqi became criminal evidence against him. In 1971, Shi was expelled from Beijing to his hometown in a rural area in Shandong. The ruthless abuse had physically destroyed Shi: he was paralyzed and was unable to speak. Upon the intervention of Premier Zhou Enlai, Shi was brought back to Beijing for medical treatment in 1973. He died in May 1975. Shi Chuanxiang was officially rehabilitated in 1978.

SHI YUNFENG (1948–1976). A worker at the First Optical Instrument Factory in Changchun, Jilin Province, Shi was executed for his critical judgment of the Cultural Revolution and his sympathy for President Liu Shaoqi. During the Cultural Revolution, Shi read a number of Marxist books and learned a great deal about Chinese politics from his uncle and other party veterans who were critical of
the Cultural Revolution. On 26 October 1974, he mailed to 14 CCP organizations at the provincial, city, and district levels about two dozen leaflets in which he named the Cultural Revolution an anachronistic and reactionary turmoil. The Revolution was, in his view, a serious “anti-party incident” since it started with a coup illegally ousting President Liu Shaoqi and since it violated party principles in promoting the personality cult of Mao Zedong. Shi also posted a slogan on a main street of Changchun denouncing the ultraleftists for causing the disaster of the Cultural Revolution and calling for the rehabilitation of Liu Shaoqi.

Shi’s protest caught the attention of the leadership in Beijing. Wang Hongwen and some other central leaders soon sent public security agents to Changchun to oversee the case. On 24 December 1974, Shi was arrested on a counterrevolutionary charge. Due to his direct criticism of Mao, Shi was sentenced to death by the city intermediate court even after the downfall of the Gang of Four. On 19 December 1976, Shi was executed after a public trial in the form of a mass rally. In March 1980, the CCP Jilin Provincial Committee pronounced Shi’s conviction unjust.

SINGLE SPARK COMBAT TEAM (Xingxingzhihuo zhandoudai). Active only for a brief period of time in late August and early September 1967, this small student organization was known for its unequivocal opposition to the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG). The Combat Team was made up of a number of Old Red Guards and “moderate” rebels at Beijing No. 26 Middle School. They distributed leaflets at midnight to publicize their political views, which were in some ways similar to those of the Capital Red Guard United Action Committee. They considered the current state of the Cultural Revolution to be one of political hijacking in which key members of the CCRSG, especially Jiang Qing, carried out ultraleftist policies for their own political gains in the name of Chairman Mao Zedong. Regarding college campuses as the CCRSG’s only base, the Combat Team accused the radical faction of the CCP leadership of alienating the great majority of “conservative” workers, peasants, and soldiers who were actually resistant to the Cultural Revolution. They also detected the conflict between the CCRSG and Premier Zhou Enlai and supported Zhou. On 6 September 1967, Kang Sheng denounced the Single Spark Combat Team as
a counterrevolutionary organization. Its key members were arrested on 12 September. The case against this organization was redressed after the Cultural Revolution.

**SIX FACTORIES AND TWO UNIVERSITIES (liuchang erxiao).**

This is a common reference to the institutions to which Chairman Mao Zedong dispatched personnel of the PLA 8341 Unit, the central leaders’ guards regiment, to conduct experiments with the tasks of the Cultural Revolution called “struggle, criticism, reform” so that models might be set up for the whole country. The six factories were Beijing Knitting and Weaving General Plant, Beijing Xinhua Printing Factory, Beijing No. 3 Chemical Factory, Beijing Beijiao Timber Mill, Beijing February 7 Locomotive Factory, and Beijing Nankou Locomotive and Machinery Plant. The two universities were Peking University and Tsinghua University.

The experiments began in early 1968 when the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) teams were beginning to be dispatched to the factories. From mid-1968 to the early 1970s, a series of reports were written about the ways Mao’s policies were carried out in these institutions, which came to be known as the “experiences of the six factories and two universities.” As accounts of activities to be emulated, some of the reports were distributed nationwide as documents of the CCP Central Committee, often with Mao’s comments, including his admonition against torture and his advice to “offer a way out” for intellectuals. And some others were published with striking headlines in the party organs *People’s Daily* and *Red Flag*.

While in some ways having served the purpose of regulating the otherwise completely haphazard and violent actions of mass organizations across the country, these reported models themselves were highly problematic. Most of them still emphasized class struggle, and many examples of implementing moderate policies turned out to be fake. For instance, the well-known report of Tsinghua University (dated 20 January 1969) that focuses on gentler approaches to intellectuals demonstrates ways of “reeducating” (as compared to “denouncing”) intellectuals and “offering them a way out.” However, in reality, more than a fifth of the staff members in Tsinghua were investigated as special cases, 178 of them were named class enemies, and more than 10 people died of persecution during the first two months of the Rectify the Class Ranks movement. After the Cultural
Revolution, Tsinghua party committee declared all the persecution cases wrongful and, at the same time, concluded that the report of 20 January 1969 was falsified. The post-Cultural Revolution Chinese government came to similar conclusions about most of the so-called experiences of the six factories and two universities.

**SIX REGULATIONS OF PUBLIC SECURITY (gongan liutiao).**

See REGULATIONS ON STRENGTHENING PUBLIC SECURITY WORK DURING THE GREAT PROLETARIAN CULTURAL REVOLUTION.

**SIXTEEN ARTICLES (shiliu tiao).** This was a common reference to the 16-part “Resolutions of the CCP Central Committee Concerning the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution.” Adopted at the **Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee** on 8 August 1966, the Sixteen Articles, along with the **May 16 Circular**, was named a “programmatic document” providing guidelines for the Cultural Revolution. The document defines the tasks of the Revolution as “struggle, criticism, reform;” that is, to **struggle against** the capitalist-roaders (“those in power within the party who are taking the capitalist road”), to criticize bourgeois academic authorities and bourgeois ideology, and to conduct reform in education, literary and art production, and other social institutions. The document calls on party leadership at all levels to mobilize the masses and encourage them to express their views freely by writing **big-character posters** and participating in great debates and to expose all **cow-demons and snake-spirits** (that is, class enemies). This, according to the document, is the way of the masses’ self-liberation and self-education.

The Sixteen Articles also names cultural revolution groups, cultural revolution committees, and cultural revolution congresses as temporary organs of power during the Revolution. They should be modeled on the Paris Commune of 1871 and established through general elections under the leadership of the CCP. The document laments the failure of a great number of leaders to understand the Cultural Revolution and calls for the removal of capitalist-roaders and the seizure of power by leftist forces. On 9 August, the day after its passage at the plenum, the Sixteen Articles appeared on the front pages of all major newspapers in the country with banner headline in bold red characters. Since the May 16 Circular was distributed internally at certain levels
of party leadership and was yet to be made public, the Sixteen Articles became the first published official document laying out Mao Zedong's radical policies as guidelines for the Cultural Revolution.

SIXTY-ONE TRAITORS CLIQUE. The case of the so-called Sixty-One Traitors Clique was framed by Kang Sheng and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) not only to bring down ranking officials including Bo Yibo, An Ziwen, and Liu Lantao but also to incriminate President Liu Shaoqi.

In 1936, Ke Qingshi, head of the Organization Department of the CCP's North China Bureau, suggested to Liu Shaoqi, representative of the CCP Central Committee (CC) at the Bureau, that the CCP members captured by the Kuomintang and currently imprisoned at the Beiping Branch of the Military Men’s Introspection House be asked to sign the Announcement Renouncing Communism so that they could be released and work for the party. Liu Shaoqi and the North China Bureau approved Ke's proposal and reported to the CC accordingly. Zhang Wentian, general secretary of the CCP, and the CC approved it as well. After Bo Yibo and his fellow prisoners acted upon the instructions from the CC and obtained their release, they received work assignments from the CC in due course. In 1945, at the Seventh National Congress of the CCP, the Credentials Committee ruled in the cases of 12 delegates and two alternates among the 61 that they were not to be affected by this experience and that they met the qualifications for delegates.

In August 1966, Kang Sheng, who was actually on the Credentials Committee at the Seventh Congress and therefore knew firsthand the truth of the case, ordered the Task Force on the Special Case of Peng Zhen to investigate this clear and long-settled matter. In the meantime, with Kang Sheng’s support, some Red Guards in Nankai University “uncovered” a “clique of sixty-one traitors” as they searched through pre-1949 newspapers of Beiping (as Beijing was called at the time). On 16 September 1966, Kang Sheng sent Mao Zedong photocopies of the newspapers that carried the anticommunist announcements. Kang wrote that he had long been suspicious of the decision Liu Shaoqi had made 30 years before, and that now evidence showed that the decision was indeed anticommunist. Members of the CCRSG, Jiang Qing, Guan Feng, and Qi Benyu, soon joined Kang Sheng to incite the Red Guards to probe further the case of the 61.
Zhou Enlai, on the other hand, tried to persuade both Mao and the Red Guards to do otherwise. Regarding the CCP Northwest Bureau’s request for a ruling on a Red Guard inquiry about the release of Liu Lantao, first secretary of the Northwest Bureau, from the Kuomintang prison, Zhou wrote Mao on 24 November 1966, suggesting that the CC admit that it knew this case and that the case was already resolved. Mao then wrote, “Handle the case accordingly,” and Zhou acted immediately upon Mao’s ruling by wiring the Northwest Bureau on behalf of the CC. Two days later, a similar request came from the Jilin provincial party committee concerning Zhao Lin, acting first secretary of Jilin Province and one of the 61. To protect Zhao, Zhou Enlai responded personally by wire to the Jilin Normal University Red Guards on 30 November, stressing the CC’s full knowledge of Zhao Lin’s release from prison and advising them not to make announcements or do interrogation at mass meetings and not to distribute pamphlets or to paste up slogans.

Despite Zhou’s effort, Mao changed his original stand on this matter and sided with Kang Sheng, Jiang Qing, and their supporters. On 16 March 1967, with Mao’s approval, the CC issued the “Instructions on Materials Concerning Such Self-Confessing Traitors as Bo Yibo, Liu Lantao, An Ziwen, and Yang Xianzhen.” The “Instructions” ruled that “Liu Shaoqi, with consent of Zhang Wentian and behind Chairman Mao’s back, planned and decided upon the action of self-confessing and betrayal taken by Bo Yibo and others.” Further attempts were made to incriminate Liu Shaoqi. With specific instructions from Kang Sheng, the Nankai Red Guards tried to force Zhang Wentian to make false confessions and place all the responsibility on Liu. Zhang’s refusal led to a 523-day virtual house arrest. An Ziwen was asked three times to provide evidence that Liu Shaoqi was a traitor. After his third refusal, An, who was already in jail then, was put in shackles. The instigation of Kang Sheng and company along with the ruling of the CC on the case of the “Clique of Sixty-One Traitors” led to a nationwide witch-hunt to “ferret out traitors,” which resulted in widespread persecution.

On 26 December 1978, with the CC’s approval of an investigative report filed by its organization department, the case of the 61 was finally redressed, and its victims were rehabilitated.

SNAIL INCIDENT (woniu shijian) (1973–1974). As the United States–China relations were beginning to be normalized thanks to
the mutual efforts of both governments, the Fourth Machine Ministry proposed to the CCP Central Committee (CC) in 1973 that China import a color kinescope production line from the United States. Upon the approval of the CC, the Fourth Machine Ministry contacted the Corning Corporation and dispatched a 12-member fact-finding team to the United States at the end of the year. During their visit, each member of the delegation received a fine glass snail, a Corning product, as a souvenir. In February 1974, more than a month after the delegation’s return, a young cadre at the Fourth Machine Ministry wrote Jiang Qing about the glass snails. Jiang personally came to the Fourth Machine Ministry on 10 February and talked about the “snail incident” as an insult to China because the choice of the gift implied that China was moving forward at a snail’s pace. Jiang also suggested that a protest be lodged at the newly established U.S. Liaison Office in Beijing, that the glass snails be returned, and that the projected acquisition of a color kinescope production line be cancelled.

In the week that followed, mass rallies denouncing the U.S. were held at the Fourth Machine Ministry and two other ministries, and tremendous pressure was put on Premier Zhou Enlai. Zhou instructed the Ministry of Foreign Affairs to investigate and clarify the matter and then consented to the conclusion of the investigative report, which was to be approved by Mao Zedong as well, that the snail is a fond image in the eye of Americans and that the Corning Corporation’s reception of the Chinese delegation was warm and friendly. With Zhou’s instruction, the Politburo also met and decided to stop issuing the script of Jiang Qing’s 10 February speech at the Fourth Machine Ministry and to recall those that had already been issued. With these concrete steps taken by Zhou, the disturbance caused by the so-called snail incident was soon quieted.

**SOCIALIST EDUCATION MOVEMENT (1962–1966).** Also known as the “Four Cleans” (siqing) movement, this was a nationwide campaign following a radical line of class struggle and political education initiated by Mao Zedong at the trend-setting Tenth Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee in September 1962, in response to some CCP leaders’ remedial approach to the disastrous consequences of the Great Leap Forward of the late 1950s. The movement started in late 1962. Its middle stage—the fourth year of the projected seven years—merged with the beginning of the Great
Proletarian Cultural Revolution (which was once called the Socialist Cultural Revolution). Its focus on the struggle against the “capitalist-roaders” became the focus of the Cultural Revolution though the main site of the struggle shifted in 1966 from rural areas and local governments to the cities and higher levels of the CCP leadership.

The Socialist Education Movement had its local beginnings as a campaign against family farming and official corruption in the provinces of Hebei and Hunan and then was launched as a nationwide campaign to “clean up accounts, warehouses, assets, and work-points” (hence the “Four Cleans”) in communes and county-level government, along with the urban “Five Antis” (against corruption, profiteering, waste, decentralism, and bureaucracy) as a sideline. In 1963, the CCP issued two documents commonly known as the “Early Ten Articles” (May 1963) and the “Later Ten Articles” (September 1963) to guide the movement. The “Early Ten Articles” includes Mao’s warning that in the absence of class struggle “landlords, rich peasants, counterrevolutionaries, bad elements, cow-demons and snake-spirits” would all come out, and corrupted officials would collaborate with enemies, which would inevitably lead to a “counterrevolutionary restoration” on a national scale in the near future. In November 1963, government workers as well as college professors and students were organized into work teams and sent to the countryside to help carry out the campaign. Leaders of these work teams included ranking officials such as Chen Boda and Wang Guangmei, wife of President Liu Shaoqi. Wang Guangmei’s work report, the “Taoyuan Experience,” induced Liu Shaoqi’s remark that the party branch at the Taoyuan production brigade of Luwangzhuang Commune in Hebei Province was “basically not communist.” Liu passed the report to Mao. It was later distributed among party members as a work model for the Socialist Education Movement.

From 15 December 1964 to 14 January 1965, the CCP Politburo held a work meeting to assess the ongoing Socialist Education Movement. At one of the few sessions he attended, Mao criticized Liu Shaoqi for complicating and therefore obscuring the main issue of the campaign with the so-called overlapping contradictions while failing to acknowledge the contradiction between socialism and capitalism as the essence of class struggle in the Socialist Education Movement. At Mao’s suggestion, the Politburo adopted a document entitled “Some Current Problems Raised in the Socialist Education Movement,” also
known as the “Twenty-Three Articles,” to override all the previous
documents. The “Four Cleans” is redefined in this document as the
“purification of politics, economics, organization, and ideology.” The
key differences between Mao and Liu are reflected in Article Two,
“The Nature of the Campaign,” which includes the statement that the
“focus of this movement is to punish those in power within the party
who take the capitalist road.” The Article also states, “Of capitalist-
roaders some are in the foreground; some in the background. . . . Even
in the central government there are those who are against socialism.”
For the first time since the Socialist Education Movement began,
capitalist-roaders were named as the main target. The same point was
to be emphasized in the party guideline for the Cultural Revolution,
the **Sixteen Articles**.

By the end of 1965, the Socialist Education Movement had been
carried out in about a third of the counties and communes in the
nation. During the first half of 1966, members of “Four Cleans” work
teams were called back to the cities to participate in the Cultural
Revolution. The Socialist Education Movement ended without an
official closure.

**“SONG OF THE EDUCATED YOUTHS OF NANJING”** (*nanjing
zhiqingzhige*). This is a song about the pensive mood of the educated youths in the countryside for which its author/composer Ren Yi was persecuted. A student of Nanjing No. 5 High School, Ren went to work in a rural area of Jiangsu Province at the end of 1968. In May 1969, at the request of his friends in the village, Ren, a guitar player, composed a song dedicated to educated youths, based on an earlier melody, and put down Nanjing No. 5 High School students as the collective author. Originally consisting of three verses and entitled “My Hometown,” the song soon spread across China and became so popular among educated youths in the countryside that the title was changed by its singers in different places to “Song of the Educated Youths” with their own place name. The song also began to have dozens of different versions developed and modified by its singers; the longest one had seven verses. Present in most of these versions were the themes of parting sorrows and homesickness, of love and friendship, and of the harshness and uncertainty of life.

In just a few months after its original creation, the song had become so well known that Radio Moscow broadcast a choral version of it in
August 1969 with the title “Song of the Educated Youths of China.” The attention of a “revisionist” country soon brought misfortune to the original author of the song. On 19 February 1970, largely due to the pressure from Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, and Yao Wenyuan, Ren was arrested by the local authorities. Charged with the crime of “composing a reactionary song and sabotaging the movement of educated youths going up to the mountains and down to the countryside,” Ren was sentenced to 10 years in prison on 3 August 1970. In August 1978, the district court that had sentenced him finally pronounced him not guilty but still judged his song as “representing a strong petty bourgeois sentiment.”

SONG SHUO (1921–1969). Deputy head of the university department of the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee and a major target of what Mao Zedong called the first Marxist-Leninist big-character poster by Nie Yuanzi and others, Song Shuo was an early victim of the Cultural Revolution. A native of Zhejiang Province, Song joined the CCP in 1945 while an organizer of the underground student movement in Beijing. After 1949, he served as deputy head of the university department of the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee and party secretary of Beijing Industrial University. In 1964, during the Socialist Education Movement, when Peking University President Lu Ping and his school party committee were under attack by the socialist education work team and by a number of philosophy department faculty including Nie Yuanzi, the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee led by Peng Zhen stepped in to support Lu Ping and to put down Nie and her colleagues; to assist Lu, the municipal committee sent Song Shuo to Peking University and made him a leading member of the socialist education work team.

Largely because of Song’s involvement in this political conflict on the campus of Peking University, Nie and her six philosophy department colleagues attacked him in the big-character poster “What are Song Shuo, Lu Ping, and Peng Peiyun Really Doing in the Cultural Revolution,” which was posted on 25 May 1966. This poster accuses Song, Lu, and Peng of conspiring with the Beijing municipal committee to suppress the revolutionary ideas and activities of the masses and mislead the ongoing Cultural Revolution on campus. On 2 June 1966, a People’s Daily commentary names Song a member of the Three-Family Village Anti-Party Clique. On 3 June, the newly
organized CCP Beijing Municipal Committee announced a decision to remove Song Shuo from office. Song, then, became a main target of endless struggle meetings and incarceration. He died of lung cancer on 29 October 1969. His name was cleared in 1979 by the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee.

**SPRING SEEDLING (chunmiao).** Directed by Xie Jin and others and produced by Shanghai Film Studio in 1975, *Spring Seedling* was the first feature film set in the Cultural Revolution. Its release was celebrated by the ultraleftist-controlled official media but criticized by Deng Xiaoping, whose judgment of the film became his liability during the campaign to counterattack the right-deviationist reversal-of-verdicts trend. The film was adapted from a play about a barefoot doctor fighting against a revisionist line in health care and seizing power in a commune hospital. To make insinuations against Deng Xiaoping, however, Xu Jingxian, a leader of the CCP Shanghai municipal committee and a close ally of the Jiang Qing group, instructed the film crew to change the character of the old hospital director from a problematic, error-making, and yet ultimately savable cadre into an “unrepentant” capitalist-roader. When the film was being previewed by party leaders before its public release, Deng was said to have pronounced it “ultraleftist” and left in the middle of the preview session. After Deng was dismissed from office for the second time in January 1976, the writing groups of the Gang of Four criticized his view on the film in several articles. But, eventually, the post-Cultural Revolution Chinese leadership concurred with Deng’s view and dismissed *Spring Seedling* as the first conspiratorial film made under the influence of the Gang of Four.

**SS FENGQING INCIDENT (fengqinglun shijian) (1974).** The maiden voyage of the SS Fengqing, a Chinese-made 10,000-ton oceangoing freighter, was taken by Jiang Qing and her supporters as an opportunity for making insinuations against Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping for promoting a “worship of foreign things” and adopting a “slavish comprador philosophy.” The aggressiveness of the attack led to a direct confrontation between Deng Xiaoping and Jiang Qing at a Politburo meeting on 17 October 1974 and eventually to Mao Zedong’s admonition to Wang Hongwen not to follow Jiang Qing.
In early 1974, as the Shanghai branch of the China Oceangoing Transportation Company, a state agency under the Ministry of Transportation, was checking the SS Fengqing upon delivery, their questions were interpreted as a sign of “worshipping things foreign.” After the freighter departed from the port of Shanghai on 4 May, and especially as it sailed past the turbulent waters of the Cape of Good Hope, Jiang Qing and Zhang Chunqiao complained about what they considered to be less-than-adequate news coverage and decided to make the SS Fengqing’s homecoming a huge political event—an occasion for both celebration and attack. The ship arrived on 30 September, but, concerned that the coverage of the 1 October National Day celebrations might overshadow that of the SS Fengqing, Yao Wenyuan made arrangements so that there would be no news report about the ship’s homecoming until 9 October. On 12 October, the Shanghai Liberation Daily and Wenhui Daily carried long articles celebrating the successful completion of the SS Fengqing’s first voyage. These articles characterized the development of shipbuilding in modern Chinese history as a series of struggles between those who worship Confucianism and foreign things and patriots who oppose Confucianism. Aiming to implicate Zhou Enlai by way of allusory historiography, the authors of these articles named a number of historical personages from the Qing officials Zeng Guofan and Li Hongzhang to the denounced communist leaders Liu Shaoqi and Lin Biao as pursuers of “a slavish comprador philosophy.” “As to shipbuilding,” the authors wrote, “they held that building ships was not as good as purchasing them and that purchasing was not as good as chartering. They all carried out a policy of national betrayal.”

On 14 October, Jiang Qing and her followers received a long report on Li Guotang and Gu Wenguang, two cadres from Beijing with assignments onboard the SS Fengqing during its first voyage. According to the report, during the voyage Li and Gu expressed reservations about the ultraleftists’ criticism of the State Council and Ministry of Transportation policy of shipbuilding and purchasing; they considered the combination of building and purchasing as both practical and necessary and refused to characterize it as a policy of “national betrayal.” Jiang Qing singled out Li Guotang, deputy political commissar of the SS Fengqing crew, along with the Ministry of Transportation and the State Council, as targets of her “proletarian indignation” and wrote furious comments, with which Zhang Chunqiao, Yao Wenyuan, and
Wang Hongwen invariably concurred. Due to the comments from the **Gang of Four**, Li and Gu were **struggled against** at mass rallies, and the “Li-Gu incident” was termed a “reactionary political incident.”

In the meantime, Jiang Qing brought the issue to the Politburo. At a Politburo meeting on the evening of 17 October, Jiang and her supporters pressed Deng Xiaoping for an opinion on the SS Fengqing incident. Deng said the issue required further investigation that was actually being undertaken. In response to Jiang Qing’s further question of whether he was for or against criticizing a “slavish comprador philosophy,” Deng retorted: How could the Politburo members cooperate, since you impose your views on others? Should everyone write down an opinion in agreement with yours? The four of the Jiang group met after the Politburo meeting and decided that Wang Hongwen fly to Changsha, Hunan, to relate to Mao their version of the Politburo meeting before Deng Xiaoping could give his. The purpose of the trip, according to Wang’s later confession, was to prevent Deng from becoming the first deputy premier, since such had long been Zhou Enlai’s wish and since Mao had just made a proposal earlier in October that Deng be given that important position in charge of the central government’s daily affairs while Premier Zhou was in the hospital for cancer treatment. In his conversation with Mao the following day, Wang Hongwen described the 17 October Politburo meeting as another **Lushan Conference** of 1970 (in which the Jiang Qing group was under attack). Wang also informed Mao of Zhou Enlai’s frequent meetings with Deng Xiaoping, Ye Jianying, Li Xiannian, and others and offered his suspicion that these meetings might concern personnel issues to be finalized in the forthcoming **Fourth National People’s Congress**. Much to Wang’s disappointment, Mao did not side with the Jiang Qing group this time as he did at the Lushan Conference; instead, he advised Wang to talk more with Premier Zhou and Marshall Ye, to be careful about Jiang Qing, and not to follow her. These words from Mao sufficiently put the SS Fengqing Incident to an end.

**STRUGGLE AGAINST (dou or pidou).** A commonly used term during the Cultural Revolution, especially in its early stages, to “struggle against” someone means to denounce and criticize the person publicly in a group meeting or at a mass rally. **“Struggle meeting,”** the name of such a gathering, derives from the verbal phrase “struggle
against.” In addition to verbal harassment, a struggle meeting often involved physical abuse of the victims. See also JET PLANE STYLE; VIOLENT STRUGGLE.

STRUGGLE, CRITICISM, REFORM (dou pi gai). This is a brief reference to the tasks of the Cultural Revolution proposed by the CCP Central Committee in August 1966. See SIXTEEN ARTICLES.

STRUGGLE MEETING (duozhenghui or pidouhui). This is the name for any group meeting or mass rally at which people labeled “class enemies” were “struggled against” during the Cultural Revolution. The victims at such a meeting were usually forced to stand with heads hung or kneel down on the edge of a raised platform facing the crowd. They were often forced to hang a big sign board from the neck with a denunciatory label written on it and with the victim’s name crossed out in red ink. On some occasions, there were guards standing behind them and holding their arms in a humiliating and painful position called the “jet plane style.” Sometimes, the victims were forced to wear humiliating attire, as in the case of Wang Guangmei, wife of President Liu Shaoqi, among 300 alleged class enemies and “cow-demons and snake-spirits” at a rally of 300,000 people. Accusatory speeches were read aloud at struggle meetings, with intermittent slogan-shouting. The victims were often subjected to brutal physical abuse at such meetings. In the early stage of the Cultural Revolution, the army belt with a bronze buckle was the Red Guards’ favorite instrument of torture for such occasions. There were numerous cases, especially in summer and autumn 1966, in which victims committed suicide after a struggle meeting.

SUMMARY OF THE SYMPOSIUM CONVENED BY COMRADE JIANG QING AT THE BEHEST OF COMRADE LIN BIAO ON THE WORK OF LITERATURE AND ARTS IN ARMED FORCES (Lin Biao tongzi weituo Jiang Qing tongzi zhaokai de budui wenyi zuotanhui jiyan). This is one of the key documents with which Mao Zedong made a foray into the field of literature and the arts so as to launch the Cultural Revolution. At the request of Jiang Qing in Mao’s name, Lin Biao endorsed her proposal to hold a symposium on 2–20 February 1966 with four ranking officers in charge of the work of literature and the arts in the
armed forces. During the 19 days of the symposium, Jiang watched films with the participants, took them to dramatic performances, led the study sessions of Mao’s works concerning literature and the arts, and conducted discussions and interviews. The summary report of the symposium, which was twice revised by Chen Boda, Zhang Chunqiao, and two other officials and three-times edited by Mao himself, reflects Jiang Qing’s harsh judgment on China’s literary and art productions since 1949. The final version of the Summary was issued by the CCP Central Committee on 10 April 1966 to the provincial and ministerial party committees.

According to the Summary, almost all of the literary and artistic works created in the first 16 years of the PRC were politically problematic because they were dictated by an “anti-party and anti-socialist black line in opposition to the thought of Chairman Mao, a black line that combines bourgeois literary theory and modern revisionist literary theory with the so-called Literature and Arts of the 1930s.” This was the first time that such a completely negative assessment of the state of literature and the arts appeared in an official document. More specifically, the Summary enumerates the Eight Black Theories dominating the field of literature and arts, which were to become guidelines in the ensuing militant attacks upon writers and artists during the Cultural Revolution. In contrast to such slandering of the majority of writers and artists, the Summary celebrates the rise of “modern revolutionary Peking operas,” products of Jiang Qing’s “experimental fields.” The flattering of Jiang Qing in the Summary as an inspiring reader of Mao Zedong Thought and an experienced worker in the fields of art and literature served to prepare her rise on China’s political scene during the Cultural Revolution.

“SUSPECTING ALL” (huaiyi yiqie). Also worded with an additional phrase as “suspecting all, overthrowing all,” the slogan was embraced by many Red Guards in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. The story of Karl Marx’s conversations with his daughter in which the father names “Suspect all” as his favorite motto made the slogan popular among rebellious youths. In summer and fall 1966, several big-character posters were written by both Old Red Guards and rebels to promote thoroughgoing skepticism as a guiding principle of the mass movement. Tao Zhu, then number four in rank in the CCP central leadership, voiced conditional endorsement for the slogan in
step with Chairman Mao Zedong’s call upon the masses to dismantle old party and state apparatchiks. Since revolutionary committees were beginning to be established as new organs of power in early 1967, however, CCP leaders began to talk about the slogan in their public speeches as anarchistic and “Left in form but Right in essence.” According to a speech Zhang Chunqiao gave on 1 February 1967, it was a strategic decision of the CCP central leadership not to denounce the slogan earlier because, at that time, a negative response to the slogan from the central leadership might have been used by those still in power as an excuse to suppress the ongoing mass movement. Later in the year, in an article published in the 8 September 1967 issue of the People’s Daily, Yao Wenyuan took Tao Zhu’s earlier remark out of context and charged him with the crimes of using the slogan to turn the spearhead of the mass movement against Mao. With the publication of this article, “suspecting all” as a popular slogan was officially outlawed.

“SWEEP AWAY ALL COW-DEMONS AND SNAKE-SPRITS” (hengsao yiqie niuguisheshen). Written under the direction of Chen Boda, head of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) and leader of the work group for People’s Daily, and also revised by Chen himself, this notoriously titled piece was a People’s Daily editorial published on 1 June 1966, which served publically to inaugurate the Cultural Revolution. While attempting to explain the ideological and cultural spheres as the main battleground of class struggle after the proletariat took power and to define the Cultural Revolution as such a battle, the article specifies in the beginning paragraphs “bourgeois experts, scholars, and academic authorities” as major enemies (referred to as “cow-demons and snake-spirits”) to be swept away. The editorial also calls on the masses to crusade against “old ideas, old cultures, old customs, and old habits” of the exploiting classes. These terms were introduced for the first time and soon became known as the “Four Olds” in popular vocabulary. As one of the most influential pieces of political writing during the Cultural Revolution, this editorial made Chinese intellectuals (teachers, writers, and artists), instead of party officials (dangguanpai) as specified in the CCP document May 16 Circular, initial targets of violent attacks by the Red Guards, and, with its condemnation of the “Four Olds,” caused unprecedented damage to traditional culture.
The official endorsement of the term “cow-demons and snake-spirits” served to dehumanize the targets of the campaign and contributed to the widespread abuse of innocent people.

– T –

TAN HOULAN (1937–1982). One of the well-known “five Red Guard leaders” in Beijing, Tan was head of the mass organization Jinggang Mountain Commune at Beijing Normal University and a prominent leader of the Capital College Red Guards’ Representative Assembly during the Cultural Revolution.

A native of Wangcheng, Hunan Province, Tan joined the CCP in 1958. When the Cultural Revolution broke out, she was a student of political education at Beijing Normal University. Because of her opposition to the work group at Beijing Normal, she was named a reactionary student during the Anti-Interference campaign initiated by the Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping leadership in late June and early July 1966. With support from the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), her name was eventually cleared. Then Tan founded a nationally influential rebel student organization, the Jinggang Mountain Regiment (which later changed its name to Jinggang Mountain Commune) at her university. The organization, with Tan as its leader, soon became a major mass force at the service of Mao Zedong and the CCRSG in their offensive against the so-called capitalist-roaders during and after the Criticize the Bourgeois Reactionary Line campaign in late 1966 and early 1967.

Following instructions from Qi Benyu, of the CCRSG, Tan led more than 200 Red Guards to Qufu, the hometown of Confucius in Shandong Province, in November 1966 to sweep away the “Four Olds” there. They held anti-Confucian mass rallies of 100,000 people on 28 and 29 November. Together with local student rebels, they destroyed thousands of tombs, stone tablets, ancient books, and valuable calligraphies and paintings. The historic Confucian Homestead, Confucian Temple, and Confucian Cemetery were all vandalized. In the chaotic years of 1967 and 1968, Tan and her organization were closely associated with such ultraleftist party officials as Wang Li, Guan Feng, Qi Benyu, and Lin Jie and deeply involved in nationwide factional violence and in the campaign to “ferret out the small
handful [of capitalist-readers] in the army." They also closely followed the orders of the radical officials to attack such ranking party and state leaders as Tan Zhenlin and Luo Ruiqing. For her achievements as a student leader, Tan was named head of the Revolutionary Committee of Beijing Normal University and appointed a member of the Standing Committee of the Beijing Municipal Revolutionary Committee in 1967.

However, Tan’s downfall began in summer 1968 when Mao decided to put an end to the Red Guard movement. On the early morning of 28 July 1968, Mao met with the five Red Guard leaders, including Tan Houlan. At the meeting, Mao sent a strong signal to Tan and others that they should exit China’s political stage. Shortly after Mao’s reception, a Workers Propaganda Team and a PLA Propaganda Team were sent to Beijing Normal University to take over power from Tan and rebel students. Tan was taken into custody by the propaganda teams from 1970 to 1975. In 1978, after the downfall of the Gang of Four, the Beijing Public Security Bureau ordered the arrest of Tan on a counterrevolutionary charge. Tan was released on bail for medical treatment of cancer in summer 1981. In June 1982, five months before her death, the Beijing People’s Prosecutor’s Office announced that, on the grounds of Tan’s sincere confessions of her crimes, it would not bring a suit against her. See also MAO ZEDONG—MEETING WITH THE FIVE RED GUARD LEADERS.

TAN LIFU (1945– ). Son of a ranking official, Tan was a leader of Red Guards at Beijing Industrial University and a strong supporter of the work group policy adopted by the central leadership under Liu Shaoqi in summer 1966. Tan was also the most notorious advocate of the blood lineage theory in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. Shortly after the couplet “If the father is a hero, the son is a real man; if the father is a reactionary, the son is a bastard” appeared at Beijing middle schools, Tan enthusiastically embraced the couplet as a manifestation of truth as well as a revolutionary slogan.

In the big-character poster “Words Prompted by the Antithetical Couplet” (12 August 1966) which Tan coauthored with Liu Jing, also a son of a ranking official, Tan suggested that the couplet as a creation of the masses based on experience be adopted as the party’s class line. On 20 August 1966, Tan gave a long speech at a debate about the fate of the work group at Beijing Industrial University. In
the speech, Tan called on Red Guards and students with a proletarian family background to struggle against those from “bad” families, whom he referred to as “sons-of-dogs,” “reactionary students,” and “Rightists.” Tan’s naming of his fellow students as targets of the Revolution served well to divert the focus of the political movement and protect the work group and party officials, which went contrary to the battle call Mao Zedong made early in the month for “bombarding the headquarters” within the party.

Already a key member of the Preparation Group of the Cultural Revolution Committee of Beijing Industrial University largely due to his earlier support for the work group and to his political activism, Tan now became a political star: his 20 August speech was printed and distributed in cities and towns across China by work groups and party establishments at various levels and was used as a tool by work groups and party officials for switching the main targets of the movement from capitalist-roaders within the party to traditional class enemies of the so-called Black Seven Categories and their children. Consequently, political persecution and physical abuse of people, especially teachers and students, with a “bad” family background, surged nationwide, and “Tan Lifu” became a terrifying and infamous name. In October 1966, Mao launched a campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line, in which the blood lineage theory became one of the main targets of criticism; Tan himself was named a reactionary student.

TAN ZHENLIN (1902–1983). Vice-premier in charge of agriculture and a close associate of Premier Zhou Enlai, Tan was known for his volcanic rage against members of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) during the February Adverse Current of 1967. A native of Youxian, Hunan Province, Tan Zhenlin joined the CCP in 1926 and served in various ranking positions in the Red Army in the late 1920s and early 1930s. He conducted guerilla warfare against the Nationalist forces in the south after the Red Army marched north. Later he became a division commander in the New Fourth Army during the war of resistance against Japan and deputy political commissar of the Third Field Army during the civil war of the late 1940s. After 1949, Tan became governor of Zhejiang and then of Jiangsu. He also became third secretary of the CCP East China Bureau. Tan was transferred to Beijing in 1954, elected to the CCP Politburo in 1958, and appointed deputy premier in 1959.
The most outspoken and the most quick tempered of all Zhou Enlai’s cabinet members, Tan Zhenlin was annoyed by the politically ambitious Jiang Qing in the early days of the Cultural Revolution and called her the “[Empress] Wu Zetian of today’s China.” On 16 February 1967, at a top-level briefing session in Huairen Hall at the CCP headquarters in the Zhongnanhai compound, Tan—furious with Zhang Chunqiao and other members of the CCRSG—accused them of persecuting veteran cadres. Calling the Cultural Revolution “the cruelest struggle in party history,” he vowed to fight the ultraleftists through to the end even if the cost was imprisonment and death. The next day, Tan wrote Lin Biao a letter reiterating his stand against the cultural revolutionaries and venting his rage. On the night of 18 February, Mao Zedong convened part of the Politburo to a meeting, during which he sharply criticized the veteran officials who had protested against the Cultural Revolution at the Huairen Hall briefing. In the subsequent political campaign against the February Adverse Current, Tan was singled out as the leader of the rebellious veteran cadres and “struggled against” by the masses. At mass rallies, Jiang Qing often called for Tan’s downfall. Of the marshals and deputy premiers who spoke out on 16 February, Tan was the only one to be put on a capitalist-roader list with Liu Shaoqi, Deng Xiaoping, Peng Zhen, and others in a number of 1968 CCP official documents. Also in 1968, Tan was sent to Guangxi to do manual labor.

At the end of 1972, Zhou Enlai, taking a hint from Mao, arranged to move Tan Zhenlin back to Beijing. Tan was officially “liberated” (jiefang) in May 1973. But any titles and positions given to him from this point on were mostly ceremonial, including vice-chairman of the standing committee of both the fourth (1974) and fifth (1978) National People’s Congress and vice-chairman of the CCP Advisory Committee (1982). Tan was formally rehabilitated by the CCP Central Committee in January 1980. He died on 30 September 1983.

TANG WENSHENG (1943– ). A young diplomat and English interpreter, Tang was one of Mao Zedong’s liaisons at the Politburo in the early 1970s and deputy head of the North America and Oceania Department of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in the later years of the Cultural Revolution. Born in New York City in 1943 and known by her English name Nancy Tang, Tang was a daughter of the overseas CCP veteran Tang
Mingzhao. When her parents returned to China in 1950, they brought the seven-year-old Tang Wensheng with them. Upon graduation from the Beijing Institute of Foreign Languages in 1965, Tang became an English interpreter at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. In the early years of the Cultural Revolution, Tang was a staunch conservative, supporting Minister Chen Yi and Premier Zhou Enlai and opposing the rebels and the so-called May 16 Counterrevolutionary Clique. Tang was soon chosen by the premier as his chief English interpreter. At the end of 1970 when Chairman Mao Zedong received the American journalist Edgar Snow, Tang began serving as Mao’s main English interpreter, while her colleague and friend Wang Hairong was the note taker. Assisting Mao in his diplomatic activities, Tang was involved in some of the most important events in the foreign affairs of the PRC during the Cultural Revolution, including much of the work that eventually led to normalization of United States–China relations.

Due to her convenient access to Mao, Tang also became deeply involved in top-level CCP politics. Leaders of all factions within the central leadership sometimes had to depend on her, as well as on her friend and ally Wang Hairong, for communications with Mao. At the Tenth National Congress of the CCP (24-28 August 1973)—just two years after she joined the CCP—Tang entered the Central Committee (CC) as an alternate member. In 1974, she was appointed deputy head of the North America and Oceania Department of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. As one of the new power-holders at the ministry, she was also involved in the persecution of a number of innocent cadres and government workers during a series of political campaigns.

After Mao’s death in 1976, Tang remained in office; she retained her seat in the CC as an alternate member in August 1977 at the Eleventh National Congress of the CCP. However, not long after the Eleventh Congress, she was taken into custody and put under investigation. Tang was reassigned as deputy editor-in-chief of the China Daily, the official English newspaper, in 1984 and then became director of the Foreign Affairs Bureau of the Ministry of Railways. Since 1999, she has been deputy chair of the All-China Federation of the Returned Overseas Chinese.

TAO ZHU (1908–1969). Promoted to the Standing Committee of the CCP Politburo at Mao Zedong’s suggestion, Tao ranked fourth in the party central leadership at the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth
Central Committee (1–12 August 1966). He assisted Premier Zhou Enlai in tending to daily affairs of the state and carried out certain moderate measures during the early stages of the Cultural Revolution before he was pronounced the nation’s “biggest royalist” and number three capitalist-roader after Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping.

A native of Qiyang, Hunan Province, and a member of the fifth graduating class of the Huangpu (Whampoa) Military Academy, Tao Zhu joined the Chinese Communist Party in 1926. In 1927, he participated in the Nanchang Uprising as a company commander. In 1930, he led a well-known operation in Xiamen, Fujian Province, to rescue Communists from a Kuomintang prison. Tao was arrested by the Kuomintang in 1933 and was imprisoned until the beginning of the war of resistance against Japan in 1937. Tao went to Yan’an in 1940 and held various ranking positions in charge of political and ideological work in the army. In 1948, Tao represented the CCP and the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) negotiating with the Kuomintang general Fu Zuoyi for the PLA’s peaceful takeover of power in Beijing. From 1949 to 1965, Tao was a top CCP official in southeastern China whose positions included governor of Guangdong Province, first secretary of the CCP South China Bureau, and political commissar of the Guangzhou Military Region. A man of letters, Tao also published in this period two collections of essays on self-cultivation in the spirit of communist ideals.

Tao was appointed deputy premier of the State Council in 1965. At the enlarged Politburo sessions in May 1966, he was made executive secretary of the CCP Central Committee (CC) Secretariat and director of the CCP Propaganda Department (replacing Lu Dingyi). He also became an advisor of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG). With these positions, and as a member of the Politburo Standing Committee after August, Tao Zhu worked more closely with Zhou Enlai than with the ultraleftists in the CCRSG: on the one hand, he talked frequently with representatives of mass organizations and offered instructions on the Cultural Revolution as Mao intended it; on the other hand, he helped design and enact policies to minimize the disruptive impact of the Cultural Revolution on the nation’s economy. Tao also made attempts to protect a number of people, including the well-known historian Professor Chen Yinque and a member of the CCRSG, Wang Renzhong, against attacks from the masses; he was sometimes confrontational in his meetings with Red Guards and rebels.
Eventually, Tao alienated himself from the CCRSG. On 4 January 1967, Jiang Qing attacked Tao Zhu in a public speech. On 8 January, Mao spoke against Tao at a meeting of central leaders, accusing him of being dishonest, of carrying out the Liu-Deng political line, and of promoting Liu’s and Deng’s public image. Tao was then dismissed from office and brought down as “China’s biggest bourgeois royalist,” “counterrevolutionary two-face,” “traitor,” along with a host of other pejorative labels. In September 1967, Mao approved publication of Yao Wenyuan’s article “On Two Books by Tao Zhu,” which made Tao the highest CCP leader officially denounced at the time—before Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping, who were denounced but yet to be named by official media. Tao was both physically and mentally abused at “struggle meetings.” He was sent to Anhui province in October 1968 when he became gravely ill. He died on 30 November 1969.

On 24 December 1978, the CC held a memorial service for Tao Zhu to redress the case and to clear Tao’s name.

TENTH NATIONAL CONGRESS OF THE CCP (24–28 August 1973). Held in Beijing about two years after the downfall of Mao Zedong’s handpicked successor Lin Biao, the Tenth National Congress was a meeting of 1,249 delegates representing 2.8 million members of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP). A few days before the meeting, on 20 August 1973, the Central Committee (CC) approved “An Investigative Report on the Counterrevolutionary Crimes of the Lin Biao Anti-Party Clique” and expelled from the party Lin Biao, Chen Boda, Ye Qun, Huang Yongsheng, Wu Faxian, Li Zuopeng, and Qiu Huizuo, all of whom except Lin and Chen were newly elected into the Politburo at the First Plenum of the Ninth National Congress of the CCP. However, the Tenth Congress refused to reflect on grave errors of the Ninth Congress and of the party’s Cultural Revolution policies in general; on the contrary, it affirmed the “correctness of both the political and the organizational lines of the Ninth Congress.”

At the meeting Zhou Enlai, on behalf of the CC, delivered the political report, which was drafted mostly by Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan and approved by Mao. The report denounced Lin Biao and his cohorts for carrying out antiparty activities behind the façade of Mao worship. It also acknowledged that China was still a poor developing country and needed to build a stronger socialist economy. Yet the report also criticized a draft version of the Ninth Congress
political report, allegedly written by Chen Boda following Lin Biao’s instructions but dismissed by Mao; the draft version was said to have embraced Liu Shaoqi’s economism. The report thus contradicted Zhou’s recent effort against ultraleftist policies and reaffirmed Mao’s notion of class struggle and intraparty struggle which, according to the report, “will last for a long period of time and will occur again ten times, twenty times, thirty times.”

The second item on the Congress agenda was Wang Hongwen’s report on the revision of the CCP Constitution. In the draft version of the Constitution he submitted, and later adopted by the Congress, the words in the Ninth Congress-approved Constitution identifying Lin Biao as Mao’s “close comrade-in-arms and successor” were deleted. Additions included Mao’s recent call upon party members to “go against the tide,” a clear indication of Mao’s concern that the trend had increasingly favored ending and negating the Cultural Revolution.

With Deng Xiaoping, Chen Yun, Tan Zhenlin, Zhao Ziyang, and a few other “old government” officials elected into the CC, moderate and pragmatic elements in the party leadership gained some ground at the Tenth Congress. At the opening of the Congress, Deng Xiaoping was also elected member of the presidium. On the other hand, the Tenth Congress saw further consolidation of power of the cultural revolutionaries closely associated with Jiang Qing: Zhang Chunqiao was elected general secretary of the Congress and became member of the powerful Politburo Standing Committee. Kang Sheng was promoted to vice-chairman of the CC. And months before the Congress, Mao recommended Wang Hongwen to take charge of the CCP Constitution revision group and later to chair the Congress Election/Preparation Committee (both Zhou Enlai and Ye Jianying were under Wang’s leadership in this committee). At the First Plenum of the CCP Tenth Central Committee held immediately after the Congress, Wang was elected a member of the Politburo Standing Committee and one of the five vice-chairmen of the CC. The meteoric rise of Wang, who was on his way to becoming a member of the “Gang of Four,” was a major part of Mao’s plan to select, test, and train another successor after the fall of Lin Biao.

TENTH PLENUM OF THE CCP EIGHTH CENTRAL COMMITTEE (24–27 September 1962). The first plenum of the CCP Central Committee (CC) after the great famine of 1959–1962 (com-
monly known by the euphemism of “three years of natural disasters” or “three difficult years”) that claimed 20 million lives, this meeting marked a crucial transition in party policies from pragmatic approaches rectifying the mistakes of the late 1950s Great Leap Forward to a radical ultraleftist line emphasizing class struggle. At the meeting, those who supported remedial measures, such as allowing contract production and private plots in the countryside in the early 1960s, were criticized for “taking the capitalist road.” Two committees were formed to investigate the “verdict-reversing attempts” of the Peng Dehuai and Xi Zhongxun “antiparty cliques.” The Plenum Bulletin contains a statement that Chairman Mao Zedong carefully edited and revised concerning the long-lasting struggle between proletarian and bourgeois classes and between socialist and capitalist courses in postrevolution period.

The statement specifies the capitalist tendencies of a small portion of the Chinese population and the pressures from imperialist countries as evidence of class struggle and of the danger of the restoration of capitalism. Inevitably, it warns, both domestic and foreign bourgeois influences will find their way into the ruling communist party and foster revisionism (an implicit reference to the post-Stalin Soviet liberalization) within the party. Although the economic guideline of “readjustment, consolidation, substantiation, and upgrading,” formulated by Li Fuchun and Zhou Enlai and adopted at the previous plenum, was upheld, and although the proposal from Liu Shaoqi and others about the “priority of economy” was accepted, Mao’s “reaffirmation of class struggle” at the Tenth Plenum set the tone for Chinese political life in the years leading up to the Cultural Revolution. The statement concerning class struggle anticipated the late 1960s formulation of Mao’s theory of continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat. And the slogan “Never forget class struggle” as a summary of the Bulletin was to become a rallying cry during the Cultural Revolution.

THIRD COMMAND POST (sansi). This was short for the “Capital College Red Guards Revolutionary Rebel Headquarters” (shoudu dazhuan yuanxiao hongweibing zaofan zong silingbu). Perhaps the most influential Red Guard alliance in Beijing’s Red Guard movement, this citywide conglomerate was formed on 6 September 1966. In order to differentiate itself from two existing college Red Guard
headquarters in Beijing, the coalition referred to itself as the “Red Third Command Post (hong sansi),” or “Third Command Post.” Organizations in this alliance were generally of a school of Red Guards known as “rebels.” Their members, especially the early members, had resisted the work groups and their policies in the early phase of the Cultural Revolution and had therefore been repressed by those in power, including school party committees and work groups. Another distinctive characteristic of these organizations was their critical stand toward the blood lineage theory promoted by some Old Red Guards who accepted only students from the families in the Red Five Categories to be members of their organizations. The leaders of the Third Command Post included Kuai Dafu, of Tsinghua University, and Wang Dabin, of Beijing Geological Institute.

After a slow beginning due to the pressure from rival Red Guard organizations, the alliance and its member organizations grew quickly in October 1966 when the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line started, and, with the support of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), the Third Command Post became a major battling force in the campaign. It also became well known as the first mass coalition openly denouncing President Liu Shaoqi on the streets of Beijing in October 1966 when Liu was still in power in the public eye. With the endorsement from the CCRSG and with their own relatively inclusive policy toward students from politically less privileged families, many member organizations of the alliance turned their minority status quickly into a dominant one among competing organizations in their institutions, which made the Third Command Post the largest Red Guard force in Beijing and the most influential one in the country till the end of the Red Guard movement in late 1968.

THREE-FAMILY VILLAGE ANTI-PARTY CLIQUE (sanjiacun fandang jituan). This was the charge that cultural revolutionaries within the CCP Central leadership brought against Deng Tuo, culture and education secretary of the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee and editor-in-chief of the Beijing party committee’s official journal the Frontline, Wu Han, historian and deputy mayor of Beijing, and Liao Mosha, director of the Department of the United Front of the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee, in spring 1966 for the alleged con-
spiration of the three in making insinuations in their writings against socialism and party leadership.

From 1961 to July 1964, Deng, Wu, and Liao coauthored a column called “Notes from a Three-Family Village” (sanjiacun zhaji) in the Frontline. During this period, each of them contributed about 20 essays to the column. These pieces, often short, are wide-ranging in topic and sometimes address the ills of the times either directly or by embedding criticism in their discussion of history, philosophy, culture, and literature. The column was well received by readers.

On 10 November 1965, after much preparation, the cultural revolutionaries published Yao Wenyuan’s article “On the New Historical Drama Hai Rui Dismissed from Office” in Shanghai’s Wenhui Daily. The article, approved by Mao Zedong and soon to become the “blasting fuse” of the Cultural Revolution, accused Wu Han, the author of the historical play, of using a story of the past to criticize the present. Without knowing Mao’s firm support for Yao, Peng Zhen, first secretary of Beijing municipal party committee, refused to reprint the article in the city’s newspapers. He, as head of the Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group, also led the Group in preparing a policy guide—to be known as “the February Outline”—to keep the criticism of Wu Han and others within the realm of academia. With the approval of the CCP Central Committee, the document was disseminated to the entire nation in February 1966. Considering Peng’s actions to be attempts to stop the progress of the Cultural Revolution, Mao launched a major offensive against Peng Zhen and his Beijing party committee. On several occasions in March 1966, Mao harshly criticized the Beijing party committee, the Five-Person Group, and the “Notes from a Three-Family Village,” prompting the cultural revolutionaries to take action.

On 8 May 1966, the Liberation Army Daily and the Guangming Daily carried articles attacking the “Notes from a Three-Family Village.” Two days later, the Shanghai newspapers Wenhui Daily and Liberation Daily published Yao Wenyuan’s article “On Reactionary Nature of the Three-Family Village’s Evening Chats at Yanshan and Notes from a Three-Family Village.” A massive nationwide campaign against the three immediately followed. On 18 May, shortly after the campaign started, Deng Tuo committed suicide. And, with their freedom lost, Wu and Liao were subject to brutal physical abuse by the
masses at numerous struggle meetings. Wu died in prison in October 1969. Liao, also imprisoned, was the only survivor of the three. In 1979, the post-Mao Beijing party committee pronounced the verdict of the Three-Family Village Anti-Party Clique unjust.

“THREE LOYALTIES AND FOUR LIMITLESSNESSES” (sanzhongyu siwuxian). This was a common reference to two slogans popular at the height of the personality cult of Chairman Mao Zedong in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution: “Be loyal to Chairman Mao, to Mao Zedong Thought, and to Chairman Mao’s proletarian revolutionary line” and “Love, believe, worship, and be loyal to Chairman Mao, Mao Zedong Thought, and Chairman Mao’s proletarian revolutionary line without limit.”

THREE OLD PIECES (laosanpian). This was a popular reference to the three essays of Mao Zedong that Lin Biao promoted in the 1960s as the main course for a “revolution of consciousness” and a shortcut to studying Marxism-Leninism. The pieces are “In Memory of Norman Bethune” (1939, a tribute to the Canadian communist Dr. Bethune who died helping the Chinese fight the Japanese invaders); “Serve the People” (1944, a funeral speech in memory of Zhang Side, an altruistic communist soldier); and “The Foolish Old Man Who Removes the Mountains” (1945, an essay on perseverance). In his talk to ranking military officers on 18 September 1966 about “bringing the study of Chairman Mao’s works to a new stage,” Lin Biao spoke of the “Three Old Pieces” as works not only suitable for soldiers but also necessary for officers to read as well; they are “easy to understand but difficult to apply” and need to be taken as “mottoes.” Lin Biao’s words were widely publicized by official media, especially in “Take the ‘Three Old Pieces’ as a Required Course for Nurturing the New Humanity of Communism” by the editorial department of the People’s Daily (28 October 1966) and “The ‘Three Old Pieces’ as Mottoes for a Revolutionary” by the editorial department of the Liberation Army Daily (3 December 1966). The three essays, then, became part of the core material for the “daily reading” (tiandiandu) of the masses. As a result of repeated study, many, especially elementary and middle school students, learned the Three Old Pieces by heart. The popularity of these pieces also helped promote the personality cult of Mao during the Cultural Revolution.
THREE PROMINENCES (santuchu). This is a reference to the formula coined by Jiang Qing for artists to follow in their creative work: give prominence to positive characters among all characters; give prominence to main heroes among positive characters; and give prominence to the central character among main heroes. See also EIGHT MODEL DRAMAS.

THREE TRIPS TO TAOFENG. The Jin opera (local opera of Shanxi Province) Three Trips to Taofeng was named a “big poisonous weed” and became a target of criticism nationwide in early 1974 for its alleged attempt to overturn the Liu Shaoqi verdict and negate the Cultural Revolution. Coauthored by artists at the provincial Cultural Bureau of Shanxi and based on a true story told in the report, “A Horse,” in the 25 July 1965 issue of the People’s Daily, the opera portrayed good peasants: farmers of one production brigade offered apologies, abundant compensation, and further production assistance to another brigade after the former cheated the latter on a horse deal. When the opera was staged in Beijing in late January and early February 1974, Yu Huiyong, a close associate of Jiang Qing in the Ministry of Culture, attacked the opera on two accounts: First, “Taofeng” replaced yet suggested “Taoyuan,” the place where not only the original true story was said to have taken place, but also Wang Guangmei, wife of Liu Shaoqi, led a work team conducting the Socialist Education Movement in the mid-1960s; therefore, according to Yu, the authors must have intended to reverse the verdict against Liu Shaoqi. Second, with no depiction of class conflict, the opera advocated Liu Shaoqi’s theory on the extinction of class struggle.

On 28 February, a long critique of the opera by a writing team, with Yao Wenyuan’s editorial touches and Jiang Qing and Zhang Chunqiao’s approval, appeared in the People’s Daily. The article was carried in 32 major newspapers across the nation. Its views were echoed in more than 500 pieces of criticism. More than 30 pieces of fiction and drama were labeled “copies of Three Trips to Taofeng” and criticized. Titles with “tao” (peach) or “ma” (horse) in them became suspect. Xie Zhenhua, first party secretary of Shanxi Province was verbally abused by Jiang Qing and Wang Hongwen and denounced by the masses in his provincial capital. The main author Jia Ke was dismissed from his official post at the provincial Cultural Bureau. And the young critic Zhao Yunlong from Shanxi was harassed to death.
because of his unpublished article that was mildly critical of Jiang Qing’s ideas of literature and arts. The literary inquisition and the political persecution related to *Three Trips to Taofeng* were part of the movement that the Jiang Qing group had attempted to mount against an alleged “return of the black line” in literature and arts. The case of *Three Trips to Taofeng* was in fact fabricated: Taoyuan was not the place where the real story took place, as Yu Huiyong suggested, and therefore the Wang Guangmei connection was completely false.

**TIAN HAN (1898–1968).** Playwright, president of the China Association of Dramatists, and vice-president of the China Federation of Literary and Art Circles, Tian Han was attacked during the Cultural Revolution both for his play writing and for his being one of the Four Fellows allegedly antagonistic to, and ridiculed by, the revered modern Chinese writer Lu Xun in the 1930s.

Born in Changsha, Hunan Province, Tian Han was educated in normal schools in both Changsha, China, and Tokyo, Japan, and established himself as a playwright in Shanghai in the 1920s. He joined the League of Leftist Writers in 1930 and became a member of the CCP in 1932. The song “March of the Volunteers” (*yiyongjun jinxingqi*), which Tian Han wrote in 1934 for a film, was so popular and spiritually uplifting as to become the national anthem of the People’s Republic of China (PRC) in 1949.

After the founding of the PRC, Tian was given a number of ranking administrative and honorary positions. In the meantime, Tian continued to write plays and operas, mostly based on historical or legendary material. One of these works finally caused problems for him: the historical drama *Xie Yaohuan* was named a “big poisonous weed” in a long article published in the *People’s Daily* on 1 February 1966. Tian Han was considered reactionary because, along with Wu Han, Tian was said to be “pleading in the name of the people” (weimin qingming) against the CCP’s mistakes and misrule. During the Cultural Revolution, Tian’s association with Zhou Yang, Xia Yan, and Yang Hansheng in the 1930s, dismissed by the sharp-tongued Lu Xun as the “Four Fellows,” became a crime. Tian Han was struggled against by the masses and abused both verbally and physically. He was imprisoned without due process of law. Tian died in prison on 10 December 1968. A memorial service was held by the China Federation of Literary and Art Circles in April 1979 to clear Tian Han’s name.
TIANANMEN INCIDENT (1976). Also known as the Tiananmen Square Incident, the gathering of millions of people at Tiananmen Square during the traditional Qingming festival season in early April 1976 was at once an outpouring of grief over the death of Premier Zhou Enlai and a mass protest against the cultural revolutionaries within the CCP leadership—namely the Jiang Qing group supported by Chairman Mao Zedong. Branded “counterrevolutionary” by the CCP central leadership at the time but formally redressed two years after the Cultural Revolution, the Tiananmen Incident, as part of a broader April 5 Movement in urban China, not only pronounced the bankruptcy of the Cultural Revolution but also marked the first time that ordinary citizens came together and challenged the regime.

The death of Zhou Enlai on 8 January 1976 caused profound sorrow in the nation. Most people sympathized with the premier as he pushed for China’s modernization program but was constantly abused by the cultural revolutionaries within the central leadership. Sorrow turned into indignation when orders came from the party leadership restricting mourning activities. In late February, citizens of Beijing, Shanghai, and other major cities were beginning to conduct mourning activities in defiance. People were further enraged when the Wenhui Daily, a newspaper controlled by Jiang Qing and her supporters in Shanghai, attempted twice in March to defame the late premier by insinuation.

On 28 March, college students and teachers at Nanjing University first turned a mourning ritual into an openly political act challenging the ultraleftists in Beijing. The spirit and the strategy of what was to be known as the Nanjing Incident soon spread to other cities, including Beijing. In late March and early April, despite orders from the central leadership forbidding mourning, people in Beijing streamed into Tiananmen Square and gathered around the Monument of People’s Heroes. By official estimate, more than two million people visited the square on the Qingming Festival Day (4 April in 1976) alone. Scrolls with highly charged elegies commemorating Zhou and denouncing Jiang Qing and her supporters were hanging on wreaths and from trees. Political statements were composed as poems and were posted on the base of the Monument and on lamp posts and tree trunks. Some people were giving political speeches or chanting poems, while others were listening and applauding. Such a broad sharing of sorrow and spontaneous political engagement was unprecedented in China’s recent history.
In the meantime, the central leaders were preparing for a crackdown. Plainclothes police were sent to the square to take pictures, copy poems, and record speeches. The Politburo, led by Hua Guofeng, met on the evening of 4 April and came to the conclusion that what was taking place at the center of Beijing was a premeditated and well-organized counterrevolutionary act. With Mao’s approval, 8,000 police and militia men and 200 vehicles were deployed in the early morning of 5 April to clear the square of all wreaths, scrolls, and flowers, and 57 citizens guarding the wreaths at the square were arrested.

During the day on 5 April, some citizens came back to the square and demanded the return of the wreaths and the release of their comrades. Clashes occurred between civilians and the police. Some police vehicles were turned over and burnt. The Workers Militia Headquarters near the square was also set on fire by the angry crowd. In the evening, Chairman of Beijing Revolutionary Committee Wu De’s speech was repeatedly broadcast at Tiananmen Square labeling the event at the square as reactionary and urging people to leave. Late that evening, more than 200 citizens remaining in the square were severely beaten and were taken away by the combined force of police, militia men, and PLA soldiers. On the evening of 7 April, the Politburo met and, at Mao’s proposal, passed two resolutions: that Hua Guofeng be appointed the first deputy chairman of the CCP Central Committee (CC) and the premier of the State Council and that Deng Xiaoping, whose name was mentioned in association with the “counterrevolutionary event” at Tiananmen Square, be dismissed from his posts in both the party and the state. In the weeks that followed, extensive investigations and arrests took place in Beijing and other cities.

At the Third Plenum of the CCP Eleventh Central Committee held in December 1978, the official reassessment was made that the “Tiananmen Incident of 1976 was entirely a revolutionary event,” and the decision was reached that the earlier erroneous resolutions of the CC be withdrawn.

**TIANANMEN POEMS.** An anthology of poems posted or chanted at Tiananmen Square during the April 5 Movement (1976), the Tiananmen Poems was edited by Tong Huaiyin and published in 1978 by
People’s Literature Press. Tong Huaizhou, the penname adopted by the 16 faculty members at Beijing Second Foreign Language Institute who collected the poems, puns on “sharing memories [of Premier Zhou]” and strikes the major theme of the poems. The 1978 edition was based on a widely distributed and enormously popular two-volume *Revolutionary Poems* edited by the same group and unofficially published in 1977. The collection includes literary pieces in a variety of poetic forms, such as classical and modern poems, songs, elegiac couplets, and memorial speeches.

In addition to paying homage to the late Premier Zhou Enlai, many of these works are an outlet of rage of the authors against the ultraleftist faction of the CCP leadership, especially Mao Zedong’s closest followers and Zhou’s political enemies Jiang Qing, Zhang Chunqiao, and Yao Wenyuan, who are referred to in these poems as “careerists,” “conspirators,” “monsters,” and “demons.” The officially repressed and privately censored resentment of the populous toward the Cultural Revolution finds its expression for the first time, which also marks a turning point for Chinese literature and art during the turbulent decade. Despite the government’s repressive measures, including orders to search for and confiscate the Tiananmen poems, these highly political and emotional pieces were treasured by readers and were secretly preserved and circulated throughout China. Eventually, the post-Mao leadership rehabilitated the Tiananmen Incident in December 1978—soon after the publication of the Tiananmen Poems.

“TO REBEL IS JUSTIFIED” (*zaofan youli*). A popular slogan of the Red Guards and rebels during the Cultural Revolution, these words were first pronounced by Mao Zedong in a speech he delivered in Yan’an on 20 December 1939 to mark the 60th birthday of Joseph Stalin: “The manifold theories of Marxism in the end come down to one sentence: ‘to rebel is justified’ . . . Following this theory, we revolt, we struggle, and we build socialism.” The CCP organ *People’s Daily* first published this quotation on 5 June 1966, which inspired considerable enthusiasm and violence of the Red Guards in their attacks upon the Black Seven Categories and Four Olds in the first wave of the Cultural Revolution. In autumn and winter 1966, during the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line, the four-character slogan
developed into an eight-character couplet: “Revolution is no crime (geming wuzui); to rebel is justified,” and became even more popular. It was chanted everywhere in China by rebels at struggle meetings against capitalist-roaders.

TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY 4-14 FACTION. See ZHOU QUAN YING.

TWELFTH PLENUM OF THE CCP EIGHTH CENTRAL COMMITTEE (13–31 October 1968). Also known as the “Enlarged Twelfth Plenum,” the meeting was held in Beijing to expel Liu Shaoqi from the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and to make preparations for the Ninth National Congress of the CCP. The legitimacy of the plenum organization was highly questionable under the existing CCP Constitution: A majority of the Central Committee (CC) members and alternate members having been denounced and criticized by this time, only 40 of the 87 living CC members and 19 of the 86 living alternate members were allowed to attend the plenum. Ten of the 19 attending alternate members had to be selected to fill the vacancies as full members so that the total number of delegates from the Eighth Central Committee would be more than 50%. The plenum was enlarged to include non-CC members that made up over 57% of the delegates; they were granted the right to vote as well.

In his opening speech, Chairman Mao Zedong called upon the delegates to assess the Cultural Revolution, while his own words made any critical judgment virtually impossible: “this Great Cultural Revolution is entirely necessary and extraordinarily timely in strengthening the proletarian dictatorship, preventing the restoration of capitalism, and building socialism.” As the question of assessment became the focus of small group discussions, Lin Biao, Jiang Qing, and their close associates seized the opportunity to attack the top-ranking leaders Chen Yi, Ye Jianying, Li Fuchun, Li Xiannian, Xu Xiangqian, and Nie Rongzhen as major forces in the anti-Cultural Revolution “February Adverse Current” of 1967. Zhu De, Chen Yun, and Deng Zihui were also attacked for their “consistent right deviation.” The Plenum Communiqué celebrated the defeat of the February Adverse Current and of the “evil wind” of spring 1968—allegedly stirred up by military generals Yang Chengwu, Yu Lijin, and Fu Chongbi to reverse the
verdict on the February Adverse Current—as a great victory of Mao’s proletarian revolutionary line over the bourgeois reactionary one.

The CC Special Cases Investigation Group led by Zhou Enlai and controlled by Jiang Qing, Kang Sheng, and Xie Fuzhi submitted “An Investigative Report on the Crimes of the Traitor, Spy, and Renegade Liu Shaoqi.” The report consisted of forced confessions, fabricated evidence, and deliberate contrivances of accusatory material. This document and the motion that Liu Shaoqi be permanently expelled from the CCP were passed at the plenum. All delegates supported the motion but Chen Shaomin, the only CC member who refused to raise her hand when votes were taken. A proposal was made that Liu be put on public trial and that Deng Xiaoping, the “number two capitalist-roader,” be expelled from the party as well. But the proposal was not adopted due to Mao’s disapproval.

Because all major newspapers carried the Plenum Communiqué after the meeting adjourned, Liu Shaoqi was identified officially for the first time with “China’s Khrushchev” and the “biggest capitalist-roader within the Party,” two charges that official organs had so far frequently cited without mentioning the name of the accused. The downfall of Liu Shaoqi was a decisive victory for Mao over what he called “bourgeois headquarters.” The CCP, in Mao’s judgment and in the words of the Plenum Communiqué, was “finally ready, ideologically, politically, and organizationally, for the Ninth Congress” and for a drastic overhaul of the party leadership and the party constitution.

TWO NEWSPAPERS AND ONE JOURNAL (liangbao yikan). This was a common reference to the newspapers the People’s Daily (Renmin ribao) and the Liberation Army Daily (Jiefangjun Bao) and the journal Red Flag (Hong Qi), three periodicals that frequently carried joint-editorials articulating instructions of the central leadership as guidelines for the ongoing Cultural Revolution. The People’s Daily is the official paper of the CCP and has been the party’s most effective propaganda tool since its initial publication on 15 May 1946. During all political campaigns, including the Cultural Revolution, its editorials were often published with the approval of Chairman Mao Zedong, and sometimes even written by Mao himself. The Liberation Army Daily is the official publication of the Central Military
Commission—initially a weekly (1956–1958) and then a daily paper. The paper began as early as 1961 to carry on its front page quotations of Mao, which were to be assembled into the *Quotations from Chairman Mao*. A harbinger of the hegemony of Mao Zedong Thought even before the Cultural Revolution, the paper continued to play an important role throughout. The *Red Flag* has been the official theoretical journal of the CCP Central Committee since June 1958. It usually publishes articles of considerable length articulating the party’s political programs and policies. During the Cultural Revolution, all three publications were under the tight control of the radical faction of the CCP leadership.

---

**ULANFU (1906–1988).** The top Mongolian official of the CCP, Ulanfu was first party secretary of the Inner Mongolian Autonomous Region, a member of the Politburo, vice-premier of the State Council, and chairman of the Nationalities Affairs Committee. He was brought down and imprisoned in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution for his alleged “regional nationalism.” He was also accused of leading an “antiparty, antistate clique of the new *Inner Mongolia People’s Revolutionary Party.*”

Born in the Tumet Banner of the Bayan Tala League in Inner Mongolia, Ulanfu distinguished himself as a revolutionary student leader in the early 1920s. After he joined the CCP in 1925, Ulanfu went to Moscow to study Marxism at the Sun Yat-sen University. Upon returning to China in 1929, he worked underground in western Inner Mongolia for some time and then was appointed to a number of leading positions in the CCP ethnic minorities front, including political commissar of the Independent Mongol Brigade of the National Army, provost of the Yan’an Nationalities Institute, chairman of the Nationalities Affairs Committee of the Shaanxi-Gansu-Ningxia Border Region Government, chairman of the People’s Government of the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, and commander and political commissar of the Inner Mongolia Self-Defense Army. He was elected an alternate member of the Central Committee (CC) at the Seventh National Congress of the CCP in 1945. After the founding of the People’s Republic of China (PRC), Ulanfu was appointed first party secretary of the
Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region and second secretary of the CCP North-China Bureau. He entered the Politburo as an alternate member at the Eighth National Congress of the CCP in 1956.

Ulanfu was one of the first victims among CCP provincial leaders at the outset of the Cultural Revolution. Since he strongly opposed Han chauvinism and insisted on the uniqueness, and hence the real autonomy, of the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region before 1966, the Beijing leadership accused him of promoting “regional nationalism” and called him a “revisionist” and “ethnic splittist.” Based on this judgment and under the guidance of Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping, the CCP North-China Bureau held a special meeting from 22 May to 25 July 1966 to denounce Ulanfu. At the meeting, Liu and Deng criticized Ulanfu in harsh terms for his refusal to carry out the party’s class struggle policies in Inner Mongolia and accused him of creating an “independent kingdom.” On 27 July, two days after the meeting, the Bureau adopted a resolution to dismiss Ulanfu from office, pending approval of the CC. On 27 January 1967, the CC issued a document (coded zhongfa [67] 31) transmitting the North-China Bureau’s July 1966 report concerning Ulanfu’s mistakes. Ulanfu was now named the biggest capitalist-roader in Inner Mongolia, and his long imprisonment began. In 1968, during the Rectify the Class Ranks movement, the cultural revolutionaries in the central leadership fabricated evidence of a “new Inner Mongolia People’s Revolutionary Party.” Ulanfu was named the leader of this organization and accused of opposing the CCP and betraying the state. Widespread violence and brutality in the campaign to uncover the “new Inner Mongolia People’s Revolutionary Party” was the cause of over 16,000 deaths.

Ulanfu returned to China’s political scene in 1973 when he regained his CC membership at the Tenth National Congress of the CCP. In 1975, he was elected vice-chairman of the National People’s Congress. After his formal rehabilitation in 1979, Ulanfu was appointed to several prominent positions in the central leadership, including membership in the Politburo, Vice-President of the PRC, and vice-chairman of Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference. Ulanfu died on 8 December 1988.

UNDERGROUND READING MOVEMENT (dixia dushu yundong). This refers to the widespread phenomenon of youths reading banned books during the Cultural Revolution, especially after 1967
when students’ initial enthusiasm about the revolution was receding and when the movement of educated youths going up to the mountains and down to the countryside began. Due to the fact that almost all books—except works of Mao Zedong and officially sanctioned Marxist and revolutionary authors—were branded “feudalist, bourgeois, and revisionist” at the time and therefore were restricted in all library collections and were inaccessible to the public, private collections that survived the Destroy the Four Olds movement in the early days of the Cultural Revolution became the only source of books outside the official canon. These books came to be circulated among friends and sometimes even copied by hand to reach more readers. Urban youths from the same school but sent to different rural areas often managed to stay in touch and exchange books by getting together while on furlough visiting their parents in the cities and by paying one another visits in the countryside.

Prominent among the books they shared were the popularly named “grey books and yellow books,” two series of recent foreign works in translation, including books on Nazi Germany and Stalinist and post-Stalin “revisionist” Russia, which were internally published for restricted circulation before the Cultural Revolution. Readers of the Cultural Revolution generation were particularly drawn to them because they found in these books revealing parallels of the current Chinese situation.

In late 1960s and early 1970s, quite a number of reading and correspondence groups were formed in Beijing, Shanghai, and some provinces. Members of these groups exchanged books and engaged in discussion and debate over political issues of their shared interest. Well known among these groups were the Baiyangdian poet school and two groups censored by the government during the One Strike and Three Antis campaign as the Hu Shoujun Clique (at Shanghai’s Fudan University) and the Fourth International Counter-revolutionary Clique (a network of readers and correspondents based in Beijing with pen pals in Shanghai and Shanxi Province). Despite government suppression, however, the readership of the so-called feudalist, bourgeois, and revisionist books continued to grow as the poverty of culture deepened over the years and became more keenly felt. And books of this kind, especially those about Germany and Russia in the 1930s, stimulated readers as they struggled to free
themselves from the shackles of official ideology and groped for a critical perspective on the Cultural Revolution.

**UNINTERRUPTED REVOLUTION** (*buduan geming*). This was Mao’s theory guiding the Cultural Revolution. See CONTINUING REVOLUTION UNDER THE DICTATORSHIP OF THE PROLETARIAT.

“**UNITED ACTION**” (*liandong*). This was an abbreviated name for the Capital Red Guard United Action Committee, whose members were mostly children of ranking CCP officials. Comprised of society’s elites, the United Action Committee was known for its members’ conceited, arrogant, and sometimes violent behavior, and bold actions to challenge the authority of the **Central Cultural Revolution Small Group** (CCRS). This organization was formed on 5 December 1966 by a group of **Old Red Guards** from a number of middle and high schools in Beijing. Many of these Old Red Guards came from families of CCP officials and were founding members of the earliest **Red Guard** organizations in the country’s capital. They believed that a Red Guard organization should only admit students from politically privileged families of the **Five Red Categories**, that the primary task of the Cultural Revolution was to ensure the country’s power stay in the hands of “red descendents,” and that they themselves, as red descendents, were to be tempered by experience during the Cultural Revolution so as to be prepared to succeed to the power in the future.

In the early phase of the Cultural Revolution, these Old Red Guards were enthusiastic in waging battles against the “revisionist line” in education and in attacking allegedly antisocialist intellectuals, “**black gang**” members in the old Beijing municipal government, and traditional “class enemies” of the **Five Black Categories**. However, when **Mao Zedong** directed the revolution to aim at those in power as the main targets, the Old Red Guards lost their passion for the revolution and hence lost support from the CCRSG. At this point, a new school of Red Guards known as **rebels** took their place as fearless warriors. In this new political development, their parents were under attack, and their status as red descendents was lost. Feeling betrayed by the revolution, especially by the radical faction of the central leadership the CCRSG, the leaders from a dozen Old Red Guard organizations
in Beijing met on 27 November to analyze current political trends and discuss strategies in response to these trends. A proposal was made at this meeting that a committee be formed to coordinate actions for Beijing’s Old Red Guards.

Soon after the United Action Committee was formally established on 5 December, its members put out big-character posters on the walls around Tiananmen Square and in several busy intersections in Beijing protesting the purge of high-ranking officials and attacking the radical CCRSG. In these posters, they criticized the CCRSG for carrying out a “new bourgeois reactionary line” and called for the restoration of Mao Zedong Thought as it was before the Cultural Revolution. They also clashed with the CCRSG-supported rebels on several occasions. These actions led to the detention of several members of the United Action Committee, which in turn triggered the move of the organization to storm the offices of the Ministry of Public Security several times in December 1966 and January 1967. Their open challenge to the cultural revolution faction of the central leadership both in word and in deed provoked further reactions from the authorities. On 17 January 1967, the United Action Committee was named a reactionary organization. Most of its members were soon put in prison. On 22 April, Mao Zedong, having met considerable protest from veteran leaders, ordered the release of all United Action Committee members.

UNITED STATES-CHINA RELATIONS. Relations between the United States and China underwent a dramatic change during the Cultural Revolution as both governments’ perceptions of the international geopolitics changed, especially in regard to the commonly felt threat from the Soviet Union. U.S. President Richard Nixon’s historic visit to China in February 1972 and the signing of a joint communiqué (known as the “Shanghai Communiqué”) officially ended an era of hostility and conflict that had existed between the two nations since 1949.

Hostility between the two countries since the founding of the People’s Republic of China (PRC) and the Korean War continued into the mid-1960s: the U.S. was denounced by China as the world’s number-one imperialist power, although a “paper tiger,” as Mao Zedong put it, while China was considered by the U.S. as part of the East Bloc sharing a communist ideology with the Soviet Union. As the China-Soviet debate, a theoretical prelude to the Cultural Revolution,
intensified, and as the relations between the two countries drastically
deteriorated, China began to call the USSR a superpower of “social
imperialism” and its leadership a “new tsar.” In Mao’s theory of three
worlds, the Soviet Union, along with the United States, was part of
the First World. In the beginning years of the Cultural Revolution,
Beijing considered both the United States and the Soviet Union as its
enemies. Toward the end of the 1960s, however, Moscow’s increas-
ingly heavy military buildup on the China-Soviet border on the one
hand and the friendly signals from Washington on the other made the
Chinese leaders reconsider their geopolitical strategies.

Subtle diplomatic gestures indicating willingness to normalize
relations between China and the United States were made by the U.S.
in 1969 soon after President Nixon took office: while armed conflicts
were taking place on the border between China and the Soviet Union,
President Nixon was requesting assistance from President Yahya Khan
of Pakistan and President Nicolae Ceaușescu of Romania for mediat-
ing contact between the U.S. and China. In early 1970, ambassador-
level talks between the U.S. and China resumed in Warsaw, and in
late 1970, as Nixon was beginning to refer to China as the People’s
Republic, Chairman Mao Zedong, already acquainted with Nixon’s
intention that came through both the Romanian and the Pakistani
channels, received the American author and journalist Edgar Snow
both in public and in private and told him that Nixon was welcome
to visit Beijing in any capacity he chose. In March 1971, the Nixon
administration lifted restrictions on travel to China by U.S. citizens.
In April of the same year, improvising what was soon to be known as
ping-pong diplomacy, Mao approved the issuing of an invitation to
the U.S. ping-pong team to visit China after the World Championship
Game in Japan, and Premier Zhou Enlai gave an exceptionally warm
reception to all members of the team.

With the help of President Yahya Khan, Nixon’s National Security
Advisor Henry Kissenger made two secret visits to Beijing—in July
and October 1971. These two trips prepared President Nixon’s state
visit to China on 21–28 February 1972. Nixon met Mao and talked
with Zhou Enlai. On 27 February, the two countries issued a joint
communiqué, with both sides embracing the prospects of normal-
izing relations. The most crucial statement in the communiqué was
the U.S. acknowledgement that there was only one China and that
Taiwan was part of China. In 1973, liaison offices were set up in both
Beijing and Washington. Scientific and trade ties were soon established. In 1979, three years after the Cultural Revolution, the PRC and the U.S. finally established formal diplomatic relations.

**UP TO THE MOUNTAINS AND DOWN TO THE COUNTRYSIDE** *(shangshan xiaxiang)*. Officially known as “the movement of the educated youths going up to the mountains and down to the countryside,” the descriptive phrase refers to the nation’s unprecedented massive relocation of urban and suburban middle-school and high-school graduates during the Cultural Revolution, especially between 1968 and 1969 when the Red Guard generation—the middle- and high-school old three classes *(laosanjie)* of 1966, 1967, and 1968—along with the middle-school class of 1969, left the city for the countryside. Ideologically, the movement was supposedly part of Mao Zedong’s Cultural Revolution project to temper book-educated youths against the corrupting influence of the city-dwelling bourgeoisie and to bridge the gap between the city and the country, between mental and manual labor. Economically, though without official acknowledgement at the time, this program might have been the government’s response to the enormous nationwide employment crisis caused by the ongoing Revolution.

Beginning spontaneously from the grassroots and supported by Mao and the central and local governments in the mid-1950s, urban and suburban youths’ moving to and settling down in the countryside actually preceded the Cultural Revolution. But it did not become a nationwide exodus until late 1968 when the turbulent initial stage of the Cultural Revolution, of which urban youths had been the major force, was coming to an end while there was still no college or employment available for them in the cities. The movement gained momentum after the People’s Daily published, on 22 December 1968, Mao’s directive calling on “educated youths to go to the countryside to receive reeducation from the poor and lower-middle peasants.” The year 1969 saw more urban youths move to the countryside than in any other year, while 1980, four years after the official termination of the Cultural Revolution, marked the end of the movement. By then, the number of youths who had gone to the countryside had reached 17 million.

There were two very different kinds of relocation for youths from cities. One kind was working on a state-owned farm: on the gigantic quasi-military farms called “production-construction corps” *(jianshe...*
bingtuan) in such frontier provinces and minority autonomous regions as Heilongjiang, Yunnan, and Inner Mongolia, new farm workers from cities earned a low but guaranteed monthly salary and were entitled, theoretically at least, to free health care and family leave. The other kind was “joining a production team” (chadui): in a usually poverty-stricken area of the country, youths from cities joined local peasants in a collectively owned production unit and had to manage to survive on their own, as local peasants did, without help from the state. The second kind of settlement was much harder for middle and high school graduates.

Out of desperation for his son’s hardship as he joined a production team in the countryside, a schoolteacher named Li Qinglin, of Fujian Province, wrote Mao Zedong on 20 December 1972, detailing his son’s hard life in the countryside on the one hand and exposing the corruption of officials (whose children were able to leave the countryside and return to the city because of their parents’ connections) on the other. A brief but sympathetic response that Mao wrote on 25 April 1973 led to a special State Council work meeting in June 1973 and the passage of new state policies that, among other things, allowed one child in the family to stay with the parents in the city and instituted state financial support for urban youths in the countryside. In August 1973, the CCP Central Committee authorized a nationwide issuance of the State Council work meeting report, and a People’s Daily editorial called for the protection of the educated youths in the countryside and the punishment of those who abused them. To a certain extent, the new policies and propaganda helped improve the living conditions and the political environment of the urban youths in the countryside. But the improvement could not reverse the “going-back-to-the-city trend” (fanchengfeng) that was in the forming. By 1980 when the nation’s urban youth relocation program was abandoned, most of those who went to the countryside earlier had already returned to their home cities.

URGENT ANNOUNCEMENT (9 January 1967). This was a public notice signed by 32 mass organizations in Shanghai to denounce “economism”—a series of materialistically motivated activities prompted by the demands that contract and temporary workers made in late 1966 for pay raises, job security, and other benefits that regular state employees enjoyed. The announcement assumes the authority of
the law and government and prescribes 10 measures against the escalating chaos caused by the economic malpractices of both the rebellious workers and the managing government officials. These measures include upholding Mao Zedong’s dictum to “grasp revolution and promote production,” forbidding workers’ networking (chuanlian) activities, postponing solutions to the problems of wages and benefits until the end of the Cultural Revolution, and punishing those who dare to oppose the revolution and sabotage production. The last measure is an authorization for the Shanghai municipal party committee and bureau of public security to carry out the measures prescribed in the announcement itself and charge whoever violates these measures with the crime of sabotaging the Cultural Revolution.

Following Mao’s instruction, the CCP Central Committee, the State Council, the Central Military Commission, and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group sent the collective author of the announcement a congratulatory telegram on 11 January 1967, in which these mass organizations are praised for “taking the destiny of the proletarian dictatorship and the destiny of the socialist economy firmly in [their] own hands.” The joint authorship of a congratulatory note, or of any document, by the four top agencies of Beijing was unprecedented. Given such prestige, Shanghai, already both the vanguard and the stronghold of ultraleftism, was on its way to becoming a model for power seizure that Mao was establishing for the whole nation.

– V –

VIOLENT STRUGGLE (wudou). The term refers to a common practice, conducted mostly by Red Guards, of physically abusing citizens denounced as class enemies in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. Victims were usually beaten at struggle meetings, in their own homes when the residence was being searched and ransacked by Red Guards, and on the street through which they were forced to parade, with tall hats on their heads and huge placards hanging from their necks identifying them as criminals. The broad leather belt with a bronze buckle was the Red Guards’ favorite instrument of torture at the time; it was used as a whip. Physical abuse and public humiliation led to numerous deaths nationwide. During a period of 40 days from late August through September 1966, 1,772 innocent people died of torture or suicide in the city of Beijing alone.
“Wudou,” the Chinese original for “violent struggle,” also means “violent conflict” in another context. See also ARMED CONFLICT.

WANG DABIN (1944–). One of the well-known “five Red Guard leaders” in Beijing, Wang was head of the mass organization East-Is-Red Commune at the Beijing Geological Institute and a prominent leader of the Capital College Red Guards’ Representative Assembly during the Cultural Revolution.

In the early stage of the Cultural Revolution, Wang followed his schoolmate Zhu Chengzhao to oppose the work group sent by the Ministry of Geology. In August 1966, Zhu, Wang, and a few other students formed a rebel organization called the “East-Is-Red Commune” at the Beijing Geological Institute. At this time and during the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line, Mao Zedong and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) supported the East-Is-Red Commune and used the organization as an effective force in their offensive against the so-called capitalist-roaders within the party. In December 1966, Wang Dabin and Zhu Chengzhao followed the instructions from Qi Benyu, of the CCRSG, and led a team to Chengdu, Sichuan Province, to kidnap Marshal Peng Dehuai. However, after talking with Peng and reading much classified material about the Lushan Conference of 1959, Zhu began to see problems in party politics and the CCRSG. Because Zhu and his inner circle were planning an offensive against the CCRSG, Wang leaked the information to the central leaders. Zhu was soon taken into custody, and Wang was named head of the East-Is-Red Commune and later became head of the Revolutionary Committee of the Beijing Geological Institute. He was also appointed as a member of the Standing Committee of the Beijing Municipal Revolutionary Committee. In 1967 and 1968, Wang and his organization were deeply involved in nationwide factional violence and in the campaign to “ferret out the small handful [of capitalist-roaders] in the army.”

Wang’s downfall began in summer 1968 when Mao decided to end the Red Guard movement. In the early morning of 28 July 1968, Mao held a meeting with the five Red Guard leaders, including Wang Dabin. At the meeting, Mao sent a strong signal to Wang and others that they should exit China’s political stage. Shortly after Mao’s
reception, a **Workers Propaganda Team** and a **PLA Propaganda Team** were sent to the Beijing Geological Institute to take over power from Wang and rebel students. In 1978, Wang was arrested and sentenced by the Wuhan Intermediate People’s Court to 9 years in prison for instigating counterrevolutionary activities and framing and persecuting innocent people. *See also* MAO ZEDONG: MEETING WITH THE FIVE RED GUARD LEADERS.

**WANG DONGXING (1916–).** Mao Zedong’s trusted top-level security chief, Wang was promoted to the CCP Politburo during the Cultural Revolution. He played a key role in bringing down the **Gang of Four** shortly after Mao’s death but was forced to give up his active political duties in 1980 due to his literal adherence to Mao’s legacy.

A native of Yiyang, Jiangxi Province, a member of the CCP from 1932, and a veteran Red Army officer in the Long March, Wang was made a major general in 1955. On 11 November 1965, Wang, while bearing the chief responsibility for the security of the central leadership, especially that of the Zhongnanhai compound, replaced **Yang Shangkun** as director of the general office of the CCP Central Committee (CC). During the Cultural Revolution, Wang, along with **Xie Fuzhi**, minister of public security, had control over the release of personal files of the central leaders. From 1968 on, Wang served as chief of both the CC Security Bureau and the PLA General Staff Security Bureau.

At the **Second Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee** held in Lushan, Jiangxi Province, in August and September 1970, Wang misread Mao’s intention in proposing to **eliminate the office of the national president** and offered support for Lin Biao and Chen Boda when both spoke against the proposal, implicitly attacking Zhang Chunqiao. After Mao denounced Chen Boda and criticized Lin Biao’s associates, Wang Dongxing began to criticize himself at the general office of the CC and also at the gatherings of the 8341 central security troop unit, of which Wang himself was in charge. From 14 August to 12 September 1971, Wang accompanied Mao on his **southern inspection**, during which Mao spoke well of Wang’s self-criticism and trustworthiness while making insinuations against Lin Biao. An alternate member of the Politburo since 1969, Wang became a regular member in 1973 at the First Plenum of the CCP Tenth Central Committee.
After Mao’s death in September 1976, Wang Dongxing worked closely with Hua Guofeng and Ye Jianying to purge the Gang of Four. His role as security chief in this episode was acknowledged when he was elected vice-chairman of the CCP and a member of the Politburo Standing Committee at the First Plenum of the CCP Eleventh Central Committee in August 1977. However, Wang did not consider the purge of the Jiang Qing group as the first step the CCP leadership took in reversing Mao’s Cultural Revolution policies in general, and he insisted on being literally faithful to Mao’s legacy. In 1977, he supported Hua Guofeng in promoting a slogan called “two whatever’s” (adhere to whatever directives Mao had given and whatever decisions Mao had made), and in 1978, he challenged Deng Xiaoping’s reformist dictum, “Practice is the only test for truth.” Wang also objected to the CC’s reversal of verdict on the Tiananmen Incident and opposed the reinstatement of those veteran leaders who had been dismissed or denounced by Mao, such as Chen Yun, Peng Zhen, and Bo Yibo.

Wang’s opposition to rehabilitation and reform met with so much critical reaction from ranking cadres in the central leadership that he had to conduct self-criticism at the Politburo sessions in late 1978 and resign from his position as vice-chairman of the CCP in early 1980. At the Twelfth National Congress of the CCP (September 1982), Wang was elected an alternate member of the CC. In 1985, and again in 1987, he was elected to the CC’s Advisory Committee. His 1997 memoir on Mao’s conflict with Lin Biao, recording and interpreting past events from a strictly Maoist perspective, provoked considerable criticism from historians.

WANG-GUAN-QI AFFAIR (1967–1968). The detention and investigation of the cultural revolutionaries Wang Li and Guan Feng in August 1967 and of Qi Benyu in January 1968 was a strategic move Mao Zedong made to pacify the protesting senior party officials and military leaders and hence a major setback for Mao’s own ultraleftist policies during the Cultural Revolution.

Known as the “Three Littles” of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) in charge of propaganda, broadcasting, and newspapers/periodicals, Wang Li, Guan Feng, and Qi Benyu were among the most active members of the group. In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, their writing and speeches contributed greatly to the prevalence of leftist extremism, including Red Guard violence. In
1967, encouraged by Lin Biao and Jiang Qing and with the approval of Mao, Wang, Guan, Qi, and some other members of the CCRSG began to press the military to adopt Mao’s Cultural Revolution policies: they called on the masses to “ferret out a small handful [of capitalist-roaders] inside the army,” which met strong resistance from the rank and file of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA). They also began to make similar radical moves in the area of foreign affairs, including Wang Li’s notorious 7 August speech in which Wang supported the rebels’ demand to “collar” Foreign Minister Chen Yi (here Wang was actually rephrasing Mao’s instructions) and backed their effort to seize power at the Ministry. As a result, the party committee office of the Foreign Ministry was soon shut down by the rebels. Chaos in foreign affairs was further intensified when Red Guards stormed the office of the British Chargé in Beijing on 22 August. Weighing revolutionary chaos against stability and order, Mao decided to sacrifice Wang and his two close comrades to keep order and to pacify protesting PLA officials and senior party leaders soon after he received an accusatory report from Premier Zhou Enlai. Following Mao’s specific instructions, Zhou called a meeting on 30 August 1967 to announce Mao’s order that Wang and Guan “take a leave and criticize themselves.” Qi Benyu was detained later—on 13 January 1968. On 26 January, all three were sent to the prison at Qincheng.

Their downfall was made known to the public for the first time on 24 March 1968 by Lin Biao and Jiang Qing as they addressed an audience of some 10,000 military officers. Both spoke vehemently against their three former followers. According to Jiang, the three had been working for Liu Shaoqi, Deng Xiaoping, and Tao Zhu ever since the beginning of the Cultural Revolution. Wang, Guan, and Qi, then, became “chameleons” and “crawling insects” in public propaganda. Officially, they were known as the Wang-Guan-Qi Anti-Party Clique. In 1980, a court in Beijing named Wang Li and Guan Feng accomplices of the Lin Biao and the Jiang Qing counterrevolutionary cliques. They were officially expelled from the CCP at the same time. In November 1983, Qi Benyu was tried and convicted of specific instances of slandering and persecuting innocent people and inciting the masses to violence and destruction, including the destruction of the Confucian Temple in the hometown of Confucius. Qi was sentenced to 18 years in prison.
WANG GUANGMEI (1921– ). Wang suffered severely from public humiliation, physical abuse, and 12 years of imprisonment during the Cultural Revolution largely because she was the wife of President Liu Shaoqi, the so-called number one capitalist-roader in the country.

A native of Tianjin, Wang graduated from Furen University in Beijing in 1943 as a student of physics. In January 1946, she was hired as an interpreter for the CCP delegation to the Beiping Military Coordinating Bureau, an organization consisting of representatives of the Kuomintang (the Nationalists), the CCP, and the United States to oversee the implementation of the truce agreement between the Nationalist and the Communist armies. Wang went to Yan’an in October of the same year and became an interpreter for the CCP Central Military Commission. In 1948, she joined the CCP and married Liu Shaoqi in August. After the founding of the PRC, Wang worked for the General Office of the CCP Central Committee (CC) as a personal secretary of Liu.

In November 1963, Wang joined the work team dispatched by the CCP Hebei Provincial Committee to the Taoyuan production brigade in Funing County to lead the Socialist Education Movement there. At a meeting held by the CCP Hebei Provincial Committee in July 1964, Wang, as deputy team leader, presented a report on the team’s work experience at Taoyuan. Upon recommendation by Liu Shaoqi and approval by Mao Zedong, the report was disseminated nationwide in September 1964 as a party-sanctioned exemplar for the ongoing political movement. In the early stage of the Cultural Revolution, Wang, again, became a member of the central leadership’s task force the work group: on 19 June 1966, Liu sent Wang Guangmei as an advisor to the work group at Tsinghua University, where clashes between students and the work group had occurred. Before long, Kuai Dafu and a few others at Tsinghua who had tried to drive the work group off campus were denounced as “antiparty students” until Mao pronounced the work group policy repressive after his return to Beijing and ordered the withdrawal of all work groups on 29 July.

Wang’s activities as an advisor to the work group at Tsinghua and her earlier involvement in the Socialist Education Movement at Taoyuan soon became the targets of criticism by Red Guards;
they were used to implicate Liu Shaoqi as well in the Criticize the Bourgeois Reactionary Line campaign. The Tsinghua Red Guards, led by Kuai Dafu and encouraged by the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), spearheaded a national campaign to demonize Liu Shaoqi. As a part of the campaign, the Red Guards denounced Wang’s work group activities at Tsinghua and condemned her Taoyuan report as an antiparty and anti-socialist “poisonous weed.” On 10 April 1967, the Tsinghua Red Guards, with full support of the CCRSG, forced Wang, along with 300 “black gang” members, including Peng Zhen, Lu Dingyi, Bo Yibo, and Jiang Nanxiang, to attend a mass rally on the university campus and subjected all of them to public humiliation and physical abuse. Wang was forced to stand in front of a crowd of 300,000 people, wearing a necklace of ping-pong balls, a pair of high-heel shoes, and an embarrassingly small old silk dress. The sensational Red Guard tabloids with large photographs and cartoons of Wang were freely distributed on the streets of Beijing the day after the rally and quickly circulated nationwide.

In September 1967, Wang was formally arrested though she had been detained separately from her husband since 18 July after a violent struggle meeting in Zhongnanhai compound, the residential quarters of top CCP officials. Wang spent the next 12 years in prison. The last time she saw her husband was 5 August 1967 at a struggle meeting held in their own home by the rebels in Zhongnanhai. At the Twelfth Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee (13–31 October 1968), Liu was named a “traitor, spy, and renegade” and expelled permanently from the CCP. He died on 12 November 1969. Wang did not learn about the death of her husband until several years later. In 1969, Kang Sheng and Jiang Qing attempted to bring a death sentence against Wang, but their effort was deterred by Mao.

Wang was released from prison and rehabilitated in 1979. She was appointed head of the Foreign Affairs Office of the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences in the same year. In February 1980, the CC formally rehabilitated her husband Liu Shaoqi. In 1983, Wang was elected a member of the Standing Committee of the Sixth Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference.

WANG HAIRONG (1938– ). A young diplomat, Wang was a rising star on China’s political scene as Mao Zedong’s liaison at the Politburo in the early 1970s. Wang was also appointed head of the
Protocol Department of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and deputy foreign minister during the Cultural Revolution.

A native of Changsha, Hunan Province, and a granddaughter of Mao Zedong’s cousin Wang Jifan, Wang was a student of foreign languages at the Beijing Teachers’ College in the early 1960s. On the eve of the Cultural Revolution, Wang became known for her conversations with Mao concerning school education, which were to inspire Red Guards in rebellion against their teachers and the so-called revisionist line in education at the beginning of the Cultural Revolution. Upon completion of her short-term training in English at the Beijing Institute of Foreign Languages in 1965, Wang was assigned work at the General Office of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Wang was a staunch conservative supporting Minister Chen Yi and Premier Zhou Enlai and opposing the rebels and the so-called May 16 Counterrevolutionary Clique. Because of her special relationship to Mao, Wang had the privilege of entering Zhongnanhai and conversing with Mao, which lent much authority to her voice in the mass movement.

At the end of 1970 when Mao received the American journalist Edgar Snow, Wang Hairong started to serve as a note taker for Mao, while her close friend and political ally Tang Wensheng was Mao’s chief English interpreter. Wang was appointed head of the Protocol Department of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in 1971 and later was promoted to the position of deputy minister. Assisting Mao in his diplomatic activities, Wang and Tang were involved in China’s most significant events in foreign affairs during the Cultural Revolution, including major steps toward normalization of United States–China relations.

The convenient access to Mao also led to Wang and Tang’s deep involvement in top-level CCP politics. On the one hand, leaders of all factions within the central leadership, including Zhou Enlai, Deng Xiaoping, Kang Sheng, and the Jiang Qing group later to be known as the Gang of Four, sometimes had to depend on them for communications with Mao. On the other hand, Mao used them as liaisons between himself and the Politburo. With the great privilege of attending a number of CCP Politburo meetings, Wang and Tang earned the nickname “probationary Politburo members.” Assuming positions as Mao’s spokespersons and closely following Mao’s shifting attitudes toward different factions of the central leadership, Wang and Tang were known to have insulted not only the cultural revolutionaries of
the Jiang Qing group but also the moderate leaders such as Zhou Enlai (at the enlarged Politburo sessions, 25 November–5 December 1973), and Deng Xiaoping (in 1975 during the campaign to counter-attack the right-deviationist reversal-of-verdicts trend). On their way to positions of power at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, they were also involved in the persecution of a number of innocent cadres and government workers in a series of political campaigns.

After Mao’s death in 1976, Wang was dismissed from office and put under investigation. Then, after a few years of training at the Central Party School, Wang was reassigned as deputy head of the Consultant Section of the State Council in 1984.

WANG HONGWEN (1934–1992). A rebel leader turned vice-chairman of the CCP and a member of the Gang of Four, Wang enjoyed a meteoric rise to power and became the third highest ranking leader and a candidate for Mao Zedong’s successor after the downfall of Lin Biao.

A native of Changchun, Jilin Province, Wang was a Korean War veteran and joined the CCP in 1953. When the Cultural Revolution broke out in 1966, Wang was a security officer at a textile factory in Shanghai. In late 1966, Wang, as a leader of the Shanghai mass organization Workers Command Post, was involved in both the Anting Incident (a transportation crisis on 20 November) and the Kangping Avenue Incident (factional violence on 30 December). Wang followed Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan closely in Shanghai’s January Storm of 1967 to take power from the municipal government. In February 1967, Wang became vice-chairman of the newly formed Shanghai Revolutionary Committee. Later in the year, Wang orchestrated a bloody factional battle known as the August 4 Incident in the Shanghai Diesel Engine Factory. In 1969, he was elected to the Ninth Central Committee of the CCP.

In September 1972, Wang Hongwen was transferred to Beijing at Mao’s suggestion. He was given the privilege of attending the meetings of the Politburo, the State Council, and the Central Military Commission. Also at Mao’s suggestion, Wang was put in charge of revising the CCP Constitution. At the Tenth National Congress of the CCP (August 1973), Wang was made vice-chairman of the CCP and a member of the Politburo. He ranked number three in the CCP leadership, after Mao and Zhou Enlai. In 1974, while Premier Zhou
was hospitalized for cancer treatment, Wang was entrusted for a short period of time with the responsibility of managing the daily affairs of the central government.

Already closely associated with Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan in Shanghai, Wang Hongwen soon became part of the inner circle of the Jiang Qing group after he came to Beijing. In October 1974, Wang flew to Changsha, Hunan Province, to brief Mao on the SS Fengqing Incident and the subsequent confrontation between Jiang Qing and Deng Xiaoping at a Politburo meeting. Wang’s mission for the Jiang group was to attack Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping so as to prevent Deng from becoming first deputy premier at the forthcoming Fourth National People’s Congress, but Mao responded with an admonition that he stay closer to Zhou Enlai than Jiang Qing. Mao was apparently disappointed in Wang; eventually he chose Hua Guofeng as his successor instead of Wang Hongwen. In the meantime, Wang stayed close to the Jiang group and became a member of the Gang of Four. He continued to pay close attention to the cultural revolutionary base Shanghai, and in 1975, the year of success for Deng Xiaoping’s overall rectification program, Wang told his supporters there that the Shanghai militia should be prepared for a guerilla war.

On 6 October 1976, within a month of Mao’s death, Wang was arrested in Beijing as a member of the Gang of Four. Due to his formal ranking in the central leadership (as vice-chairman of the CCP), he was listed as the first of the four in the 14 October news release about their arrest. In July 1977, Wang was formally dismissed from all his official posts and expelled from the party. On 23 January 1981, Wang was sentenced by a special court of the Supreme People’s Court of the PRC to life imprisonment on a number of charges including plotting to subvert the government and instigating a military rebellion. Wang Hongwen died on 3 August 1992.

WANG LI (1921–1996). Mao Zedong’s radical theorist, deputy editor-in-chief of the CCP official organ the Red Flag, deputy head of the CCP Foreign Liaison Department, and a member of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), Wang was dismissed from office in August 1967 as a member of the Wang-Guan-Qi Anti-Party Clique.

A native of Huai’an, Jiangsu Province, Wang Li joined the CCP in 1939 while working for the CCP in the Nationalist Northeast Army.
In the 1940s, Wang held various regional posts responsible for propaganda work in Shandong Province under the CCP East-China Bureau. Between 1953 and 1955, he served as an adviser to the Vietnamese Communists in the area of propaganda and education. In 1958 Wang was appointed to the editorial board of the Red Flag. He soon became one of the CCP’s leading writers on the theoretical and political issues of the international communist movement. In the first half of the 1960s, Wang actively engaged in theoretical writing in the China-Soviet Debate. Under the leadership of Kang Sheng, Wang took part in the drafting of the “nine commentaries” criticizing Soviet revisionism and in the negotiations with Soviet leaders as a member of the CCP delegation to Moscow in 1962. During this period, Wang was promoted to deputy editor-in-chief of the Red Flag and deputy minister of the CCP Foreign Liaison Department. In 1964, Wang began to attend meetings of the Politburo Standing Committee as a nonvoting delegate.

In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Wang actively engaged in the drafting of a number of significant political documents, including the May 16 Circular: its appendix “Chronology of the Two-Line Struggle at the Cultural Front from September 1965 to May 1966;” and the 2 June 1966 People’s Daily commentary “Hail the First Big-Character Poster from Peking University.” On 28 May 1966 when the CCRSG was formed, Wang became a member of the group. During the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line in late 1966 and early 1967, Wang and other CCRSG members pushed the rebel movement forward against the old party establishment. In 1967, it was partly through Wang’s formulation, which Mao Zedong appreciated and approved, that Mao’s Cultural Revolution theory became a theory of continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat: on 18 May 1967, to mark the anniversary of the passage of the May 16 Circular, the People’s Daily and the Red Flag carried a joint editorial entitled “A Great Historical Document” which, written by Wang Li and revised by Mao, published the phrase “revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat” for the first time and called Mao’s theory represented by this phrase “the third great landmark in the development of Marxism.”

In July 1967, the central leadership sent a delegation, of which Wang was a member, to Wuhan to resolve factional conflicts. Because Wang expressed support for the local rebel faction, members of the conservative mass organization Million-Strong Mighty Army
and soldiers of the Wuhan Military Region took him by force and interrogated him at a mass rally on 20 July 1967, which came to be known as the **July 20 Incident**. Upon his return to Beijing, Wang received a hero’s welcome from Lin Biao and other central leaders. In the meantime, interpreting what he considered to be Mao’s intention, Wang, along with Guan Feng and Qi Benyu, attacked Foreign Minister Chen Yi and began to interfere with foreign affairs: in a speech he gave on 7 August 1967, Wang supported the rebels’ effort to seize power at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs—a radical move about which Premier Zhou Enlai soon lodged a complaint to Mao. With the support of Lin Biao and Jiang Qing and with the approval of Mao, Wang, Guan, Qi, and some other members of the CCRSG also began to press the military to adopt Mao’s Cultural Revolution policies: in their public speeches and in several articles they wrote for official media, they called on the masses to “ferret out a small handful [of capitalist-roaders] inside the army,” which met strong resistance from the rank and file of the PLA.

To keep order in foreign and military affairs, to reassure Zhou Enlai, and to pacify military leaders, Mao soon decided to remove Wang and his colleagues from power and named them the Wang-Guan-Qi Anti-Party Clique. At the end of August 1967, Wang Li and Guan Feng were detained. On 26 January 1968, the two, along with Qi Benyu, were imprisoned.

In 1980, a court in Beijing named Wang an accomplice of the Lin Biao and the Jiang Qing counterrevolutionary cliques. He was officially expelled from the CCP at the same time. Wang remained imprisoned until January 1982. After his release, Wang wrote about the early stages of the Cultural Revolution in *Witnessing History: The Memories of the Cultural Revolution* (Hong Kong: 1993) and *Wang Li’s Reflections* (Hong Kong: 2001), both of considerable value as an insider’s accounts of China’s recent history. Wang died of cancer on 21 October 1996. See also WANG-GUAN-QI AFFAIR.

**WANG RENZHONG (1917–1992).** A native of Jingxian, Hebei Province, and a member of the CCP from 1933, Wang served after the founding of the PRC as first party secretary of Hubei Province, first secretary of the CCP Mid-South Bureau, and political commissar of the Wuhan Military Region. On 28 May 1966, when the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRS) was formed,
Wang was named deputy director of the group. On 16 July 1966, he escorted Mao Zedong in the latter’s much publicized swim in the Yangtze River. Wang’s lack of enthusiasm for the ultraleftist cause of Mao, however, eventually led to his downfall: as he stayed in the southern city of Guangzhou in the fall of 1966 recuperating from an illness, Jiang Qing and some other members of the CCRSG, especially Guan Feng and Qi Benyu, offered support to some mass organizations in Wuhan in their attack on Wang. On 8 September 1967 when the People’s Daily carried an article by Yao Wenyuan criticizing Tao Zhu, Wang Renzhong was implicated; he was referred to as Tao’s man and a counterrevolutionary revisionist. In 1978, a year after the purge of the Gang of Four, Wang began to assume important leadership positions again. He was appointed first party secretary of Shaanxi Province. Later he served as head of the CCP’s propaganda department and vice-premier of the State Council. He was a member of the CCP’s Eleventh, Twelfth, and Thirteenth Central Committee. Wang Renzhong died in Beijing on 16 March 1992.

WANG SHENYOU (1946–1977). An outspoken critic of the radical policies Mao Zedong had engineered since the 1950s, Wang was persecuted during the Cultural Revolution and was executed by the post-Mao government in 1977. A student of East-China Normal University, he was branded a reactionary and was imprisoned for two years in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution because he sympathized with the early targets of the revolution, such as Wu Han and Deng Tuo, and because he wrote his dissenting views into his diary and named the Cultural Revolution as a movement doomed to bring China backward. After his release, Wang was forced to do manual labor on campus and at a May 7 Cadre School. During a period of eight years under surveillance, Wang read widely and studied Marxist works and economics and wrote friends and family members about what he learned and thought.

In September 1976, after he resisted the attempt of his supervisor from the workers’ propaganda team to take away a long letter he was writing to his girlfriend, Wang was arrested again. While in prison, he was ordered to write out the same letter as his “confession.” The result was an article of 60,000 words in several chapters, in which Wang, from what he believes to be an authentic Marxist perspective, offers a comprehensive critical assessment of China’s economic and foreign
policies and political programs since the collectivization movement of the mid-1950s. While acknowledging Mao’s achievements as a leader of Chinese revolution before 1949, Wang criticizes Mao for ignoring China’s historical and economic conditions and deviating from Marxism in his Great Leap Forward and People’s Commune policies which resulted in a human disaster in the late 1950s and the early 1960s. He was also sharply critical of Mao’s political campaigns, including the Anti-Rightist Campaign of 1957, the Anti-Right-Deviationist Campaign of 1959, the Socialist Education Movement of the mid-1960s, and the 10 years of the Cultural Revolution, and his criticism engages Mao on a theoretical front as well. For instance, citing Engels on the importance of productivity and a strong economic base, Wang considers Mao’s blueprint of social transformation—the establishment of a commune and elimination of division of labor for contemporary China, as laid out in Mao’s May 7 Directive—to be a utopian dream divorced from historical reality and deviating from socialism. On the other hand, Wang speaks highly of Marshal Peng Dehuai, who was dismissed from office in 1959 for writing Mao about the problems of the Great Leap Forward policies. Much of Wang’s view is echoed, though with much euphemism, in the Resolution on Certain Questions in the History of Our Party since the Founding of the People’s Republic of China, which the CCP Central Committee adopted in 1981.

Wang’s private letter, however, was judged by the post-Mao government to be a reactionary piece against Mao. On 27 April 1977, 6 months after the downfall of the Gang of Four, Wang was executed after a public trial, at which he learned the sentence for the first time but was not allowed to defend himself. For the reversal of the Wang verdict, the leaders of the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee met 19 times and debated among themselves. In the 1980s, they eventually approved a low-key statement to redress the Wang Shenyou case.

WANG XIAOYU (1914–1995). One of the few provincial party leaders who supported the rebels in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Wang became head of the Shandong Provincial Revolutionary Committee in 1967 and a member of the CCP Central Committee (CC) in 1969 but was eventually dismissed from office for his involvement in armed factional conflict in Shandong.

A native of Yidu, Shandong Province, Wang joined the CCP in 1938 and worked in the rural area of northern Shandong for a long period.
of time. Wang was appointed deputy chief-prosecutor of Shandong Province in 1954. He was named a Rightist during the Anti-Rightist campaign and demoted until 1964 when his case was redressed. When the Cultural Revolution broke out, Wang reported to the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group that some party officials in Qingdao were planning to mobilize workers and peasants against the rebel students who were bombarding the city leadership. For this report, Wang was praised by Mao Zedong and then emerged as a rising star on China’s political scene. During the January Storm of 1967, Wang, following secret instructions from Kang Sheng, organized rebels to seize power in Qingdao.

On 3 February 1967, Wang became head of the newly-established Shandong Provincial Revolutionary Committee. He gathered more power later on as head of the CCP core group of the Shandong Revolutionary Committee and first political commissar of the Jinan Military Region. Shortly after he took these leading positions, however, Wang became deeply involved in widespread factional violence in the province. He also persecuted a large number of innocent cadres and ordinary citizens who did not side with him. Of particular importance was Wang’s conflict with local military leaders, which was a main reason for his downfall: in May 1969, barely a month after he became a member of the CC at the Ninth National Congress of CCP (1–24 April 1969), the central leadership named him a bourgeois careerist. Two years later, Wang was dismissed from office and was taken into custody. Wang was formally expelled from the CCP in 1979.

WANG XIUZHEN (1935–). A leader of rebel workers in Shanghai and a close associate of Zhang Chunqiao, Wang was a member of both the Ninth and the Tenth Central Committee (CC) of the CCP, a deputy head of Shanghai Revolutionary Committee, and a secretary of the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee. In late 1976, she was removed from power as a “remnant of the Gang of Four in Shanghai.”

A native of Liaoyang, Liaoning Province, and a provincial model worker, Wang joined the CCP in 1952. In 1956, she was enrolled in the Shanghai School of Textile Industry. Upon graduation, she began to serve as a technician at the Shanghai Thirtieth Textile Factory. At the beginning of the Cultural Revolution, Wang was the first worker at the factory to write big-character posters criticizing the factory party leadership and the work group. In the early stages of her career
as an activist and rebel leader, she met Wang Hongwen, a security officer at the Shanghai Seventeenth Textile Factory. They worked together to found the Shanghai Workers Revolutionary Rebel Headquarters, commonly known as the Workers Command Post, and to organize campaigns against the municipal authorities headed by First Secretary Chen Pixian and Mayor Cao Diqui. Wang was involved in the Anting Incident of November 1966, during which she met Zhang Chunqiao. After the incident was resolved with the official acknowledgment of the Workers Command Post by Zhang and the central leadership, Wang became a loyal follower of Zhang and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG).

During Shanghai’s power seizure movement known as the January Storm, Zhang Chunqiao named Wang Xiuzhen a deputy head of the Shanghai Revolutionary Committee. Later, Wang and other leaders of the Committee, including Ma Tianshui and Xu Jingxian, helped Zhang persecute his critics, especially those involved in the two incidents of the Bombarding Zhang Chunqiao campaign. Wang and her associates also brought false charges against Cao Diqui, Wei Wenbo, and other senior officials in Shanghai. Later, Wang and other followers of the Gang of Four in Shanghai became deeply involved in the power conflict in Beijing and attacked Deng Xiaoping and other party veterans.

In October 1976 when the Gang of Four were detained in Beijing, Wang and other “Gang remnants” plotted an armed rebellion in Shanghai, but the plan was aborted. As soon as Hua Guofeng and the central leadership took full control of Shanghai, Wang was arrested. She was dismissed from office and expelled from the CCP. On 21 August 1982, the Shanghai Supreme Court convicted Wang of several counts of counterrevolutionary crimes and sentenced her to 17 years in prison.

WATER MARGIN APPRAISAL (ping shuihu) (1975–1976). A political movement in the final stage of the Cultural Revolution, the reading and critiquing of the classical Chinese novel Water Margin was defined by official media as a political education for the masses against revisionism and capitulationism, but the real, yet unnamed, purpose of the campaign was to make insinuations against Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping as “capitulators within the party” betraying Mao Zedong’s Cultural Revolution program.
The campaign was initiated by some comments Mao made on *Water Margin* on the evening of 14 August 1975 to Lu Di, a reading companion from Peking University. Mao considered *Water Margin* to be good as a negative example for teaching the masses about capitulators. In the novel, Song Jiang, chief of the outlaws at Liangshan, renamed Chao Gai’s Hall of Brotherhood the Hall of Righteous Loyalty and encouraged the outlaws to accept amnesty and serve the emperor. In just three hours after he read Mao’s comments on *Water Margin* the next day, Yao Wenyuan wrote Mao a letter with a campaign plan, which Mao soon approved. Then, variant editions of the novel were published, major newspapers and periodicals were crowded with articles on *Water Margin*, and the masses were required to study and discuss the subject. To clarify the unsaid, Jiang Qing told her supporters at the Ministry of Culture in late August 1975 about the “practical significance” of Mao’s comments: “The crucial point in reviewing this novel is that Chao Gai was made a mere figurehead. Now in our Party, some people attempt to make Chairman Mao a figurehead.” Jiang made further insinuations so aggressively in a speech she gave in September that Mao ordered that her speech script not be distributed.

Deng Xiaoping and Zhou Enlai were known for their different responses to the movement of the *Water Margin* appraisal. Deng said at a meeting of provincial leaders, “What is the *Water Margin* appraisal? The Chairman read the 71-chapter version in three months. After that, the Chairman made these comments. But some people are making a big deal out of this and playing intrigues.” Zhou, who was dying of cancer, took the issue much more seriously. Just before a surgical procedure on 20 September 1975, Zhou carefully reviewed and signed the transcriptions of the report on the Kuomintang-fabricated Wu Hao Affair that he presented at Mao’s suggestion at a high-level meeting in June 1972. And just as the nurse wheeled him into the operation room, Zhou said loudly, “I am loyal to the party and loyal to the people. I am not a capitulator.” In the meantime, the *Water Margin* appraisal movement continued until the death of Mao Zedong in fall 1976.

**WORK GROUPS (gongzuozu).** Also known as the Cultural Revolution work groups, these were teams of party officials and government workers dispatched to colleges, middle schools, and some government institutions in early June 1966 to direct the Cultural Revolution movement. After the Cultural Revolution was publicly launched
on 1 June 1966 with the publication of the *People’s Daily* editorial “Sweeping Away All Cow-Demons and Snake-Spirits” and the nationwide broadcasting of a *big-character poster* by Nie Yuanzi and others at Peking University, the masses, especially students in Beijing who had already been engaged in the criticism of municipal party officials and the so-called reactionary academic authorities, were quickly mobilized and began to challenge the authorities in their own institutions. In the face of the fast-developing mass movement, Liú Shàoqí and Dēng Xiàoping, who were in charge of the CCP affairs while Chairman Máo Zèdōng was away from Beijing, called an enlarged meeting of the Politburo Standing Committee and made a decision at the meeting to dispatch work groups on a mission to guide the movement, and contain fires, at schools and some government institutions in Beijing. (The work group approach had been adopted by the CCP before for the purpose of directing political campaigns.)

With Máo’s approval, the newly restructured CCP Beijing Municipal Committee first sent out a work group to Peking University on 4 June. Soon many more work groups were dispatched to other places in Beijing. Party leadership in other cities also began to deploy work groups, following Beijing’s example. With minimum instructions from the central leadership, these teams tended to support, if not totally side with, the CCP authorities of a given institution and attempted to keep the mass movement under the control of the party. They also made an effort to contain violence. In some institutions, conflicts developed between work groups and the students who considered the operation of the work groups as repressive. Some students who challenged the work groups’ authority in their institutions were condemned as “antiparty diehards” and subject to mass criticism in *struggle meetings*. Conflicts also developed in the central leadership between the radical members of the *Central Cultural Revolution Small Group* (CCRSG), who wanted to have work groups withdrawn, and the Liu-Deng leadership, which chose to hold on to the decision made by the Politburo.

Upon returning to Beijing on 18 July 1966, Máo sided with the CCRSG and dismissed Beijing’s political scene as cold and desolate. Speaking at a party meeting on 21 July, Máo criticized the work group policy as repressive and obstructing the ongoing political movement. Following Máo’s instruction, the Beijing party committee held a meeting of 10,000 people on 29 July and announced its decision to
withdraw all work groups. Liu Shaoqi, Deng Xiaoping, and Zhou Enlai made self-criticism at the meeting for their roles in dispatching work groups. Later Mao denounced the work groups for carrying out a bourgeois reactionary line, which became the main target of criticism in a nationwide campaign in October 1966. See also PEKING UNIVERSITY CULTURAL REVOLUTION BULLETIN NO. 9.

WORKER-PEASANT-SOLDIER STUDENTS (gong-nong-bing xueyuan). The term refers to college students admitted between 1970 and 1976 when colleges required of their applicants at least two years of work experience or military service and recruited students from factories, farms and communes, and military units, basing admission decisions on “recommendations by the masses, approval by the leadership of the applicant’s work unit, and review by the college.” The official propaganda in the first half of the 1970s named this nontraditional way of selecting college students as an important achievement of the “revolution in education.” The practice was delegitimized in late 1977 when the traditional system of entrance examinations was restored for testing college applicants.

The idea of “revolution in education” came from a series of remarks Mao Zedong made at the dawn of the Cultural Revolution, including his letter to Lin Biao, dated 7 May 1966 and later known as May 7 Directive, in which Mao called for radical reforms to end “the bourgeois intellectuals’ reign in our schools.” He thought that students should learn to be workers, peasants, and soldiers while engaging in criticizing the bourgeoisie. Following Mao’s directive, the Ministry of Education issued a document on 13 June 1966, proposing that the current bourgeois college admissions system relying on test scores be abolished and that a new enrollment system based on recommendations and selections be adopted. The central leadership approved the document and decided that the college recruitment work be postponed for half a year. In fact, there were no college admissions for four years. When colleges finally began to admit students again in 1970, they had already had Mao’s new directive to follow: “There still should be colleges,” Mao wrote in what was to be known as the July 21 Directive in 1968. “I am referring mainly to schools of science and technology. But . . . students should be selected from the workers and peasants with practical experience, study for a few years in college, and then go back to the work of production.” The recruitment began at
selected schools in October 1970 and expanded nationwide in 1972. There was no entrance examination. To be admitted, the applicants only needed popular support and leadership approval from their work units as well as the approval of the college recruiting team.

According to government instructions concerning college admissions issued in the early 1970s, the main task of the worker-peasant-soldier students was “attending the college, running the college, and reforming the college with Mao Zedong Thought.” Professors, on the other hand, had a very limited role to play in their students’ education, since the professors were to be reformed. One of the “newly emerging things” in education was called “open-door schooling”: students and teachers would go to factories or to the countryside with a project and learn from workers and peasants there. Qualifications for entering students were supposed to include a middle-school education (the first nine years of education) or the equivalent, but, without standard entrance examinations to test students, their levels of education varied greatly and were mostly quite low.

In the face of these problems, Zhou Enlai suggested in 1972 that some high school students should be allowed to enter college without two years of labor, and the State Council issued a document in April 1973 suggesting that college applicants’ examination scores be considered in addition to the recommendations from their work units. These attempts to restore order and quality in education invariably failed due to the much stronger counter measures and political campaigns launched by the radical faction of the party leadership against the “reversal of trend in education.” The real reversal did not take place until August 1977 when Deng Xiaoping, who had just been reinstated and had offered to take charge of the affairs of education and scientific research, called for a work meeting on college admissions. Late 1977 saw the first nationwide college entrance examination since the beginning of the Cultural Revolution, officially ending the nontesting of worker-peasant-soldier students for college admissions. See also REVOLUTION IN EDUCATION; ZHANG TIESHENG.

WORKERS COMMAND POST (gongzongsi). This is short for “Shanghai Workers’ Revolutionary Rebel Command Post” (’Shanghai gongren geming zaofan zongsilingbu). Being the first cross-industry rebel organization of factory workers in the country and having close connections with the cultural revolution faction of the
central leadership, this organization was the stronghold of ultraleftism in Shanghai and became well-known for its decisive role in Shanghai’s power seizure movement the January Storm.

In late 1966 when a proposal to establish a cross-industry mass organization in Shanghai was rejected by both the Shanghai municipal party committee and Beijing’s central government, rebel leaders, including Wang Hongwen, then a security officer at a textile factory in Shanghai and later a member of the Gang of Four, mobilized 2,000 workers on a train ride to Beijing to appeal their case, and their protest at Anting Station near Shanghai led to a transportation crisis. With the support of Zhang Chunqiao, deputy head of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), and the approval of Chairman Mao Zedong, however, the Workers Command Post eventually gained legitimacy as a cross-industry mass organization and became loyal to Zhang and his radical comrades in Beijing. Again with the support of Zhang, the Workers Command Post crushed the Red Defenders Battalion, a mass organization and the political rival of the Workers Command Post in Shanghai, in a bloody confrontation, known as the Kangping Avenue Incident, at the end of 1966.

In January 1967, Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan went to Shanghai and directed the Workers Command Post, now the dominant mass organization in Shanghai, in a power-seizure effort to topple the municipal party committee and gain control of the city government, an effort applauded by Mao. When the new power organ Shanghai People’s Commune (later changed to Shanghai Revolutionary Committee) was established, Zhang and Yao became the top two officials, and a number of Workers Command Post leaders, including Wang Hongwen, were given important positions as well. Wang was eventually transferred to Beijing and became vice-chairman of the CCP in August 1973. See also ANTING INCIDENT.

WORKERS INSURRECTION JOURNAL (gongren zaofan bao). A newspaper published by the Workers Command Post (gongzongsi) of Shanghai and one of the longest-lasting mass organization publications in the country, the Insurrection Journal started on 28 December 1966 and ended on 15 April 1971, with a total publication of 445 issues. Founded at the high tide of the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line, the paper declared in its first issue a critical stance against the power establishment of the CCP’s Shanghai
municipal committee and the CCP East-China Bureau. It became one of the three major newspapers in eastern China after rebels seized power in Shanghai during the January Storm, the other two being the official Liberation Daily and Wenhui Daily. In its quasi-official status after the Shanghai power seizure, the Journal operated in step with the CCP’s political moves and was distributed via post offices and bookstores across China. Soon after its restoration, however, the CCP Shanghai municipal committee issued a document on 9 April 1971 concerning the ways of improving journalistic publications. In compliance with the requirements of this document, the publication of the Workers Insurrection Journal came to an end.

WORKERS PROPAGANDA TEAM (gongxuandui). This is short for the “Workers Mao Zedong Thought Propaganda Team” (gongren Mao Zedong sixiang xuanchuan dui). Formed initially for the purpose of leading the Cultural Revolution in its “struggle, criticism, reform” phase in educational institutions, the first such team was dispatched by Mao Zedong on a much more urgent mission and was therefore unusually large: On 27 July 1968, a “Capital Workers Mao Zedong Thought Propaganda Team” consisting of 30,000 factory workers led by PLA officers entered the campus of Tsinghua University to stop a factional battle known as the one hundred-day armed conflict between two rival Red Guard organizations. After the team took over the campus, it became the new authority there. On 25 August, the CCP official organ the Red Flag published Yao Wenyuan’s article “On the Supreme Leadership of the Working Class,” publicizing for the first time Mao’s directive concerning the workers propaganda team: “The proletarian education reform must be led by the working class. . . . Workers propaganda teams will stay in schools, participate in the ‘struggle, criticism, reform’ movement, and lead schools forever.” While previewing the draft version of Yao’s article, Mao also added: “Workers and PLA soldiers should be dispatched to all places where there is a concentration of intellectuals, whether they be schools or other institutions, so that the monopoly by intellectuals in these institutions shall be broken.” On the same day, the CCP Central Committee (CC), the State Council, the Central Military Commission, and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group issued a circular announcing the decision of the central leadership to dispatch workers propaganda teams to all schools in urban areas. As this decision was
being implemented in the cities, Poor Peasants Management Committees were established in the countryside for the same purpose. Workers and PLA propaganda teams were also dispatched to research institutions and some government agencies.

These teams were instrumental in stopping factional fighting, restoring order, and establishing authorities to end chaos. As schools began to function more or less normally, however, the propaganda teams became much less effective, and often times obstructive and misleading, in managing these institutions not just because of their characteristic adherence to a line of political propaganda but also because of team members’ general lack of education and expertise. In the later years of the Cultural Revolution, many teams diminished greatly in size and played no significant role in running the institutions they were assigned to. In November 1977, the CC approved a proposal by the Ministry of Education to withdraw workers propaganda teams from all schools in the country. See also PLA PROPAGANDA TEAM.

WORKERS’ REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY (gongdaihui). This was an organization established in the early phase of the Cultural Revolution to replace the official labor union when the union was accused of following a capitalist and revisionist line and forced out of power. Under the influence of the Red Guard movement, so many workers’ rebel organizations were established that the leaders of these organizations considered it necessary to have an overarching organization—an assembly—to coordinate their activities. Again, with the Red Guards’ representative assembly as a model, the workers’ representative assembly was established in many cities and provinces as headquarters for rebel organizations. A national representative assembly, however, was never formed. Some assemblies ceased functioning when factional battles broke out among their constituencies. After the power-seizure movement swept across the country in 1967, a few positions representing workers in the newly established revolutionary committee were often given to the leaders of the local assembly. The assembly continued to represent workers until the official labor union resumed its function after the Ninth National Congress of the CCP in 1969.

WU DE (1913–1995). Born in Fengrun, Hebei Province, Wu joined the CCP in 1933 and became a leader in the labor movement and
also in the CCP underground organization in North China. After the founding of the PRC, Wu was appointed deputy minister of fuels and industry. In 1952, he became mayor and deputy party secretary of Tianjin. From 1955 to 1966, he was the top CCP official in Jilin Province. After the downfall of Peng Zhen and his Beijing party committee at the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966, Wu was transferred to Beijing and appointed second secretary of the reorganized CCP Beijing Municipal Committee. He was made deputy head of the newly established Beijing Revolutionary Committee in 1967 and filled the vacancies left by the death of Xie Fuzhi in 1972 as head of the Beijing Revolutionary Committee and first party secretary. In 1973, Wu became a member of the Politburo.

In 1976, Wu actively supported the cultural revolutionaries within the central leadership in cracking down on the April 5 Movement. At the Politburo meeting held on the evening of 4 April, he spoke of Deng Xiaoping as an inspiration for the protesting masses at Tiananmen Square. On the evening of 5 April, a statement by Wu denouncing what was happening at the Square was repeatedly broadcast to the crowd there. The mass protest was suppressed by force later in the evening and was condemned as a counterrevolutionary act by the central leadership in the following days. Wu’s role in the notorious Tiananmen crackdown made him so unpopular, especially among citizens in Beijing, that he was referred to by many as “No Virtue,” which is pronounced in Chinese as wu de, exactly like his name.

After the fall of the Gang of Four in 1976, Wu remained in high positions until December 1978 when the post-Mao leadership formally rehabilitated the Tiananmen Incident at the Third Plenum of the CCP Eleventh Central Committee. In the same month, Wu was dismissed as first party secretary of Beijing. In February 1980, he was removed from the Politburo. In April of the same year, Wu resigned as vice-chairman of the Standing Committee of the National People’s Congress. In 1982, however, he was given a membership in the Advisory Committee of the CCP Central Committee. Wu died on 29 November 1995.

**WU FAXIAN (1915–2004).** A close associate of Lin Biao and popularly known as one of Lin’s “four guardian warriors,” Wu Faxian was commander of the air force of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) (1965–1971), deputy chief of the general staff of the PLA, and deputy

Born in Yongfeng, Jiangxi Province, Wu joined the Red Army in 1930 and became a member of the CCP in 1932. He participated in the Long March as a regimental political commissar and remained in the armed forces for the rest of his political career. During both the war of resistance against Japan and the civil war of the late 1940s, Wu was a ranking political officer under Lin Biao. In 1955, Wu was made lieutenant general. Nominated by Lin Biao in the capacity of defense minister, Wu succeeded General Liu Yalou as commander of the air force in 1965 after Liu’s death.

In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Wu was attacked by the rebels within the armed forces. Lin Biao intervened and protected him. Lin named him, along with Li Zuopeng and Qiu Huizuo, a leader of the “proletarian revolutionaries of the armed forces.” Wu, in the meantime, helped Lin fight his political enemies: fabricating evidence and offering false testimonies, Wu was instrumental in bringing down Marshal He Long and General Luo Ruiqing, both attacked by Lin Biao. In 1967, Wu was appointed deputy chief of general staff of the PLA and deputy head of the Central Military Commission Administrative Group. At the Ninth National Congress of the CCP (1969), Wu was elected to the Central Committee (CC) and the Politburo. On 17 October 1969, Wu promoted Lin Liguo, son of Lin Biao, from the position of an office secretary to deputy director of both the air force command’s general office and its combat division. He also told his subordinates that all matters of the air force must be reported to Lin Liguo. The authority and the privilege thus accorded allowed Lin Liguo to form his United Flotilla, a special intelligence and operation team made of diehard Lin Biao loyalists, and carry out within the air force such alleged subversive activities as the drafting of the 571 Project Summary.

At the Lushan Conference of 1970, the conflict between the Jiang Qing faction and the Lin Biao faction surfaced. Wu joined Chen Boda, Li Zuopeng, Qiu Huizuo, and Ye Qun in attacking Zhang Chunqiao and supporting a proposal not to eliminate the position of the president of state. Backing Zhang Chunqiao and the Jiang Qing group, Mao singled out Chen Boda as the main target of criticism and also told other supporters of Lin Biao, including Wu, to conduct self-criticism. In April 1971, the CC held a meeting reviewing the
ongoing Criticize Chen and Conduct Rectification campaign. Wu’s written self-criticism, along with those of Huang, Ye, Li, and Qiu, was discussed at the meeting. In his summary report representing the view of the CC, Premier Zhou Enlai criticized Huang, Wu, Ye, Li, and Qiu for following a wrong political line and practicing factionalism. After the alleged plot against Mao’s life failed in September 1971, Wu was at first cooperative with Lin Biao in his further moves. But on the night of 12 September and the early morning of 13 September, as Lin Biao was known to be fleeing the country, Wu turned against Lin and cooperated with Zhou Enlai. He reportedly suggested shooting down the Trident 256 that carried Lin Biao, Ye Qun, and Lin Liguo, a proposal rejected by Zhou.

After the September 13 Incident, Wu Faxian was detained, and his involvement with Lin Biao’s alleged coup attempt was placed under investigation. On 30 August 1973, the CC issued a resolution concerning the “Lin Biao Anti-Party Clique.” As a member of the Lin group, Wu Faxian was dismissed from all his official positions and was permanently expelled from the CCP. On 25 January 1981, Wu Faxian was sentenced to 17 years in prison for organizing and leading a counterrevolutionary clique, plotting to subvert the government, and bringing false charges against innocent people.

WU GUIXIAN (1938— ). A native of Henan Province and a national model worker at the Northwest No. 1 Textile Factory in Xianyang, Shaanxi Province, before the Cultural Revolution, Wu was one of the few ranking leaders in the central government promoted from the grassroots during the Cultural Revolution. She became an alternate member of the Politburo in August 1973 after serving as deputy director of the Revolutionary Committee of Shaanxi Province for five years. In January 1975, she was appointed vice-premier of the State Council. In late 1977, a year after the downfall of the Gang of Four, Wu was dismissed from Beijing. She later became deputy party secretary of the Northwest No. 1 Textile Factory.

WU HAN (1909–1969). Historian, writer, and deputy mayor of Beijing, Wu Han was the author of the historical play Hai Rui Dismissed from Office and the coauthor of the journal column Notes from a Three-Family Village, the two most prominent targets of criticism in the preparation stage of the Cultural Revolution.
Born in Yiwu, Zhejiang Province, Wu joined the faculty of Tsinghua University in 1934, specializing in the history of the Ming Dynasty (1368–1644). As a ranking member of the China Democratic League, Wu was also known for his active engagement in contemporary politics. After 1949, Wu was elected to the Standing Committee of the Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference and took a number of culture- and education-related administrative positions, including director of the Beijing Cultural and Educational Commission and member of the Scientific Research Planning Committee of the State Council. He joined the CCP in 1957. While carrying out his administrative duties, Wu continued to write both as a scholar and as an educator promoting cultural literacy. He was popular as editor-in-chief of a number of history series for a general audience.

In late 1959 and 1960, against the background of the CCP’s disastrous Great Leap Forward policies, Wu wrote several articles in praise of Hai Rui (1514–1587), a legendary upright official of the Ming Dynasty, to support Mao Zedong’s call for the kind of outspokenness and truthfulness exemplified by Hai Rui. Upon invitation from the Peking Opera Company of Beijing, Wu also wrote *Hai Rui Dismissed from Office* for the stage, highlighting a spirit of “pleading in the name of the people” represented by the protagonist. In 1961, at the request of the journal *Frontline*, the official organ of the CCP Beijing municipal committee, Wu joined Deng Tuo and Liao Mosha in co-authoring a column called “Notes from a Three-Family Village.” In his contributions, Wu showed himself to be an acute and critical observer of manners and morals, and his criticism did not spare officials.

On 10 November 1965, the Shanghai newspaper *Wenhui Daily* carried Yao Wenyuan’s article “On the New Historical Drama *Hai Rui Dismissed from Office*” which accuses Wu Han of disparaging the present with a story of the past. In late December, Mao spoke favorably of Yao’s article and talked about what he perceived as the “vital part” of Wu’s play: a parallel between Hai Rui’s dismissal and Marshall Peng Dehuai’s (by Mao for Peng’s criticism of the Great Leap Forward policies). On 20 March 1966, speaking at an enlarged Politburo session, Mao named Wu and Jian Bozan, another historian, “Communist Party members opposing the Communist Party.” With these devastating remarks from Mao and the political campaign launched in May 1966 against the so-called Three-Family Village Anti-Party Clique, Wu Han’s fate was sealed. After the Cultural
Revolution broke out, Wu was repeatedly struggled against and physically abused by the masses. In 1968, Wu was arrested for allegedly betraying the party. On 11 November 1969, he died in prison. Because of Wu’s alleged crimes, his wife Yuan Zhen was subject to “reform through labor” and died on 18 March 1969. Their daughter Wu Xiaoyan suffered a mental breakdown. She was arrested in 1975 and committed suicide on 23 September 1976.

In March 1979, the CCP Central Committee approved the resolution of the Beijing municipal committee to reverse the verdict of the “Three-Family Anti-Party Clique.” Wu Han’s name was cleared.

**WU HAO AFFAIR.** “Wu Hao” was an alias Zhou Enlai once used. The so-called Wu Hao affair originally referred to the fabrication of a story by the Kuomintang in the 1930s about Zhou Enlai’s breaking away from the Chinese Communist Party. During the Cultural Revolution, Jiang Qing and her supporters made several attempts to reopen this case to defame Zhou, and Mao Zedong’s apparent reluctance to close the case altogether deeply troubled Zhou Enlai.

Between 16 and 21 February 1932, several Shanghai newspapers carried the “Announcement of Wu Hao and Others Quitting the Communist Party,” a notice fabricated by a Kuomintang intelligence unit. The Communists in Shanghai, Chen Yun and Kang Sheng among them, resorted to various means to refute the rumor, while the Provisional Central Government of the Chinese Soviet in Jiangxi issued an official notice in the name of Mao Zedong, head of the Soviet, rebuking the Shanghai newspapers for running the fabricated notice. In the meantime, Zhou Enlai left Shanghai for the Central Soviet in Jiangxi in December 1931, two months before the fabricated notice appeared. In 1943, during the rectification campaign in Yan’an, Zhou Enlai spoke of the Wu Hao affair in detail, and the case was clarified and closed.

During the campaign to “ferret out traitors” in the early summer of 1967, some Red Guards at Nankai University looked through pre-1949 Chinese newspapers and discovered the fabricated notice. As soon as they identified Wu Hao as Zhou Enlai, they sent a copy of the newspaper story to Jiang Qing. On 17 May 1967, Jiang wrote Zhou Enlai, Lin Biao, and Kang Sheng, that some Red Guards “found an anti-Communist announcement, at the head of which was a Zhou so-and-so, and they wanted to talk to me in person.” Zhou noted on
Jiang’s letter that the announcement was “a fabrication of the enemy.” To clarify the matter, Zhou searched the old Shanghai newspapers and wrote Mao on 19 May, enclosing the related materials. Upon reading the letter and the attached materials, Mao offered no opinion; instead, he instructed that these materials be sent to Lin Biao and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group for review before they were filed. To protect himself, Zhou had his letter to Mao and the accompanying materials photographed and archived in October and November 1967. He wrote Jiang Qing on 10 January 1968 and notified her of the filing of the photographs.

In response to a Beijing student’s written inquiry, Mao wrote on 16 January 1968, “This matter is already all cleared up as rumor trumped up by the Kuomintang.” But four years later, Mao suggested that Zhou speak to party leaders about the Wu Hao affair. Zhou presented the case at a meeting on 23 June 1972. Based on the opinions of Mao and the Politburo, Zhou also announced that the tape recordings of the meeting and the transcripts were to be filed in the Central Archives and that every provincial-level party committee was to preserve a copy so that no further speculation on the so-called Wu Hao affair would occur. After the meeting, however, the filing of Zhou’s recordings was indefinitely delayed.

Three years later, Zhou, suffering from cancer, knew that he did not have long to live. The “Wu Hao affair” was heavy on his mind. Just before surgery, he asked to have the June 1972 records brought to him. In shaky hand, he signed his name to the records with the notation, “Before entering the operating room, 20 September 1975.”

– X –

XIE FUZHI (1909–1972). Minister of public security and head of the Beijing municipal government, Xie was an ally of both the Lin Biao group and the Jiang Qing group and a close follower of Mao Zedong’s radical policies during the Cultural Revolution. Born in Huang’an, Hubei Province, Xie Fuzhi joined the CCP in 1931 and participated in the Long March. He was named a general in 1955 and, upon Mao’s recommendation, became minister of public security in 1959. In 1965, Xie was appointed vice-premier of the State Council. He was elected an alternate member of the Politburo in August 1966
and a regular member in April 1969. In 1967, he became chairman of the Beijing Revolutionary Committee and political commissar of the Beijing Military Region. He was also a member of the Central Special Cases Investigation Group and, along with Wang Dongxing, had control over the release of personal files of the central leaders.

In the capacity of the public security chief, the special case investigator, and the top municipal official of Beijing, Xie did much to protect the past secrets of Jiang Qing and, at the same time, to frame cases against such veteran leaders as Liu Shaoqi, Deng Xiaoping, and Zhu De. He was instrumental in producing the notorious “Six Regulations of Public Security,” which was issued nationwide on 13 January 1967 as a CCP Central Committee (CC) document. The repressive measures prescribed in this document caused widespread persecution of innocent people, especially those of the blacklisted classes and categories. His instructions to investigators and law enforcement officers that they may “break rules and regulations (qinggui jielü) and choose the best methods” in their investigations and that they “should not apply the policy of benevolence (renzheng)” to counterrevolutionaries resulted in much abuse and torture in prisons and other agencies under the Ministry of Public Security. In July 1967, Xie as a member of the CC delegation got involved in a sectional conflict in Wuhan, Hubei Province, which led to a four-day mass rally and protest known as the July 20 Incident. Xie was at the peak of his political career when he died of illness in March 1972.

On 6 October 1980, the CC issued an investigative report concerning Xie’s crimes and announced a decision to expel Xie Fuzhi from the CCP. On 23 January 1981, a special court of the PRC Supreme People’s Court named Xie Fuzhi a prime culprit of both the Lin Biao and the Jiang Qing counterrevolutionary cliques.

XIE JINGYI (1937–). A native of Shangqiu, Henan Province, Xie was trained as a cryptographer and served as one at the general office of the CCP Central Committee (CC) in Zhongnanhai compound, where she came to know Mao Zedong personally. Xie’s political career began in July 1968 when she was sent to Tsinghua University as a member of the workers propaganda team. Later she became deputy head of the Tsinghua Revolutionary Committee and a close ally of Chi Qun, a member of the PLA propaganda team and head of the Tsinghua Revolutionary Committee. Known as the “two soldiers” of
Mao and close followers of Jiang Qing, Xie and Chi virtually ruled Tsinghua until the downfall of the Gang of Four in October 1976.

During this period, Xie and Chi worked fairly closely, taking orders from Jiang and enacting radical policies on the Tsinghua campus—policies that affected the entire educational establishment from the Rectify the Class Ranks movement of the late 1960s and early 1970s to the anti-Deng Counterattack the Right-Deviationist Reversal-of-Verdicts Trend campaign in 1976. Xie rose rapidly in the CCP leadership: she became a member of the CCP’s Tenth Central Committee and a party secretary of Beijing in 1973 and a member of the Standing Committee of the Fourth National People’s Congress. She was arrested with the Gang of Four within a month of Mao’s death. She was expelled from the party. But, unlike Chi Qun who was sentenced to 18 years in prison, Xie was spared of criminal charges reportedly due to her acknowledgement of guilt and her confession.

**XU JINGXIAN (1933–).** A leader of rebelling Shanghai government functionaries and a close associate of Zhang Chunqiao, Xu was a member of both the Ninth and the Tenth Central Committee (CC) of the CCP, a deputy head of the Shanghai Revolutionary Committee, and a secretary of the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee. In late 1976, he was removed from power as a “remnant of the Gang of Four in Shanghai.”

A native of Shanghai, Xu was an activist in the CCP-led student underground movement against the Kuomintang in Shanghai before 1949. He joined the CCP in the early 1950s, became a productive writer, and made his name as the author of the revolutionary drama *The Young Generation* in 1964. In the first half of the 1960s, Xu rose steadily in the municipal party hierarchy of Shanghai and eventually became party secretary of the Shanghai Municipal Party Committee Writing Group and established close working relationships with Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan, who were then in charge of party propaganda work in Shanghai before the Cultural Revolution.

When the Cultural Revolution broke out, Xu, at the encouragement of Zhang Chunqiao, led the writing group to rebel against the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee and formed a radical “Rebel Station of Shanghai Party Organs.” On 18 December 1966, Xu’s organization and the Workers Command Post took the lead at a mass
rally in bombarding the Shanghai party committee. Xu attacked the municipal leadership in a long speech at the rally, and because he and members of his organization were all party functionaries, their role turned out to be critical in bringing down the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee headed by First Secretary Chen Pixian and Mayor Cao Diqiu. In the January Storm of 1967, Zhang Chunqiao named Xu a deputy head of the Shanghai Revolutionary Committee. Later, Xu and other leaders of the Committee, including Ma Tianshui and Wang Xiuzen, helped Zhang persecute his critics, especially those involved in the two incidents of the Bombarding Zhang Chunqiao campaign. Xu and his associates also brought false charges against Cao Diqiu, Wei Wenbo, and other senior officials in Shanghai.

In the meantime, Xu began to involve himself in the power conflict in Beijing and became a lieutenant of the Gang of Four in various nationwide political campaigns. He and his associates in Shanghai were particularly active in attacking Chen Yi during the movement against the February Adverse Current in the late 1960s and criticizing Deng Xiaoping during the campaign against the Right-Deviationist Reversal-of-Verdicts Trend in 1975 and 1976. As a reward for his loyalty to top-level cultural revolutionaries, Xu was admitted into the CC as a full member and appointed a party secretary of Shanghai when the CCP power structure was reestablished. Ranking third next to Zhang Chunqiao and Yao Wenyuan in the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee, he earned the popular nickname “Xu Number Three” in Shanghai.

In October 1976 when members of the Gang of Four were detained in Beijing, Xu and other “Gang remnants” plotted a Counterrevolutionary Armed Rebellion in Shanghai, but the plan was aborted. As soon as Hua Guofeng and the central leadership took full control of Shanghai, Xu was arrested. He was dismissed from office and expelled from the CCP. On 21 August 1982, the Shanghai Supreme Court convicted Xu of several counts of counterrevolutionary crimes and sentenced him to 18 years in prison.

XU XIANGQIAN (1901–1990). A senior leader of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and the People’s Liberation Army (PLA), Xu played a role of great importance as a political and military leader before 1949 and held prominent positions in the party, the state, and the army after the founding of the PRC. In the Cultural Revolution,
Xu was one of the veteran officials involved in the 1967 February Adverse Current.

Born in Wutai, Shanxi Province, Xu was a member of the first graduating class of the Huangpu (Whampoa) Military Academy and a veteran of the Northern Expedition. He joined the CCP in 1927 and participated in the Guangzhou Uprising in the same year. Xu was general commander of the Fourth Front Red Army in the Long March, deputy commander of the 129th Division of the Eighth Route Army in the war of resistance against Japan, and commander of the First Corps of the PLA’s East Field Army during the civil war in the second half of the 1940s. Xu was the first chief of the general staff of the PLA (1949–1954) after the founding of the PRC. In 1955, Xu was appointed one of the 10 marshals of the PRC. Xu served as a vice-chairman of the CCP Central Military Commission (CMC) from 1959 to 1987 and a member of the Politburo from 1966 to 1969 and again from 1977 to 1987.

In January 1967, upon recommendation by Jiang Qing and approval by Mao Zedong, Xu replaced Liu Zhijian as head of the All Forces Cultural Revolution Small Group. In February 1967, Xu, along with Tan Zhenlin, Chen Yi, and several other senior party and military leaders, sharply criticized the radicals of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group at a top-level meeting in Zhongnanhai compound. The outburst of their anti-Cultural Revolution sentiment was denounced by Mao as a February Adverse Current. In March 1967, Xu was removed as head of the All-Army Cultural Revolution Group. In April, he was criticized at an enlarged meeting of the CMC. Later, rebels searched his house, confiscated his personal belongings, and posted the slogan “Down with Xu Xiangqian” on the streets of Beijing. The veterans of the Adverse February Current came under attack again in 1969 at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP; though Xu retained his membership in the CC, his power and influence in military affairs were much reduced.

After Lin Biao’s demise in 1971, Mao began to seek support from the “old government” faction of the central leadership and sent friendly signals to Xu and other senior party and military leaders. Xu reappeared at the CMC Standing Committee and was named a vice-chairman of the National People’s Congress in 1975. In the post-Mao era, Xu was reelected a member of the Politburo of both the Tenth and the Eleventh CC. He served as defense minister and vice-premier
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YAN FENGYING (1930–1968). Born in Tongcheng, Anhui Province, Yan was China’s best known singer of the regional musical theatre called the huangmei opera. She started her performing career at the age of 15. In the 1950s and the first half of the 1960s, Yan devoted all her efforts to popularizing and perfecting the huangmei opera form. She joined the CCP in 1960 and became a member of the executive council of the China Federation of Literary and Art Circles in the same year. During the Cultural Revolution, Yan was accused of attacking the revolutionary model operas. She was denounced as a representative of the “black line in literature and arts,” a counterrevolutionary, and a Kuomintang spy. She was humiliated and tortured.

On the night of 7 April 1968, Yan tried to kill herself by an overdose of sleeping pills. Instead of rushing her to a hospital, however, her persecutors from her work unit held a struggle meeting at her bedside. When she was eventually taken to a hospital, no medical worker would give her emergency treatment without permission from her work unit. Yan died on 8 April 1968. Upon her death, doctors dissected her body under the supervision of a PLA representative from Yan’s work unit supposedly in search of a micro-transmitter for sending intelligence to the Kuomintang. Yan’s name was cleared by the CCP Anhui provincial committee in May 1978. A memorial service was held for her in August 1978 by the Cultural Bureau of Anhui Province.

YANG CHENGWU (1914–2004). An alternate member of the CCP Central Committee (CC) from 1956 and acting chief of general staff of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) since 1966, General Yang was persecuted by Lin Biao and Jiang Qing in 1968 as a member of the so-called Yang-Yu-Fu Anti-Party Clique. Born in a poor peasant family in Fujian Province, Yang began his military career as a young Red Army soldier in 1929 when he was 15. In the following year, he joined the CCP. Rising quickly in army ranks due to his military prowess, Yang served, among other positions, as a regiment politi-
cal commissar under Lin Biao in the Red Army First Corps during the Long March, a regiment commander of the Eighth Route Army in the war of resistance against Japan, and commander of the Third Corps of the PLA North-China Military District in the civil war of the second half of the 1940s. After 1949, he was appointed commander of the Beijing Military Region and deputy chief of general staff.

Yang’s initial prominence in Cultural Revolution was largely a result of his activities at a high-level CCP meeting held in Shanghai at the end of 1965 and the enlarged Politburo sessions in May 1966: at both meetings he was at the forefront attacking General Luo Ruiqing, chief of general staff of the PLA. After the fall of Luo Ruiqing, Lin Biao, with Chairman Mao Zedong’s approval, appointed Yang acting chief of general staff. However, Lin Biao and his wife Ye Qun soon began to question Yang’s loyalty. First, since Yang would accompany Mao on trips out of Beijing, Lin and Ye were eager to find out from Yang what Mao said on these trips concerning Lin Biao and were frustrated with Yang’s evasiveness about any statements Mao made. Second, after the February Adverse Current of 1967, Yang not only disobeyed Lin’s order not to pass party documents to Marshal Ye Jianying but also followed the instructions of Zhou Enlai to put in place certain measures for the protection of other old marshals. Third, Yang declined Lin Biao’s request that he disregard facts and help establish Ye Qun’s early party membership. And fourth, Yang supported Yu Lijin, political commissar of the air force, after Lin Liguo, Lin Biao’s son, joined the air force and led a faction against Yu. In March 1968, when Lin Biao sought support from the Jiang Qing group for the removal of Yang Chengwu and Yu Lijin, Jiang asked Lin to dismiss Fu Chongbi, commanding officer of the Beijing Garrison Command, as well. As a result of this political bargain, the three generals were named by Lin, with the approval of Mao, as a Yang-Yu-Fu Anti-Party Clique. Yang was arrested on 22 March 1968 and imprisoned for six years.

After the downfall of Lin Biao and his associates in September 1971, Mao began to seek support from other factions in the army. In December 1973, Mao acknowledged some of the mistakes he made concerning the Yang-Yu-Fu Affair. The names of the three generals were cleared in July 1974. Yang was reappointed deputy chief of general staff of the PLA and commander of the Fuzhou Military Region.
in 1975. In March 1979, the CC officially rehabilitated the case of the Yang-Yu-Fu Affair by publicizing its 1974 decision for the first time. Yang became a member of both the Eleventh and Twelfth CC. He was also elected vice-chairman of the Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference in 1983.

**YANG SHANGKUN (1907–1998).** Director of the General Office of the CCP Central Committee (CC) and an alternate member of the CCP Secretariat, Yang was named a member of the Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique at the enlarged Politburo sessions, 4–26 May 1966, and became one of the earliest victims of the Cultural Revolution among ranking CCP leaders.

A native of Tongnan, Sichuan Province, Yang joined the Chinese Communist Youth League in 1925 and became a member of the CCP in 1926. After five years of training at Sun Yat-sen University in Moscow, Yang came back to China in 1931 to lead the labor movement in Shanghai and an anti-Japanese propaganda campaign in Jiangsu Province. Yang joined the CCP central soviet government in Jiangxi in 1933, became an alternate member of the CC in 1934, and served as political commissar of the Red Army’s Third Infantry during the Long March. He began to work directly under Liu Shaoqi at the CCP North China Bureau in 1937 and became secretary of the Bureau in 1938 upon Liu’s departure. Yang went to Yan’an in 1941 and became secretary general of the CCP Central Military Commission (CMC) in 1945. In 1948, Yang was appointed, and was to remain until 1965, director of the General Office of the CC, an important office that coordinates the daily affairs of the CC and offers services to help top CCP leaders in their daily lives. In 1956, he became an alternate secretary of CCP Central Secretariat at the First Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee while continuing to hold other important positions as the general secretary of both the CC General Office and the CMC.

On 11 November 1965, Yang was suddenly removed from the General Office. On a charge of stealing top party secrets, he was accused of tapping Mao Zedong’s, as well as other Politburo members’, conversations and providing documents and archives to others for copying without proper authorization, while the fact was, according to the post-Mao leadership’s defense of Yang, that Yang simply
performed his duty in recording Mao’s conversations with foreign visitors. According to Wang Li’s recollection, Mao also condemned Yang for having persecuted Leftists as Rightists, including those with connections to Mao. For punishment, Yang was demoted and exiled to the provinces. In May 1966, Yang was named a member of the Peng-Luo-Lu-Yang Anti-Party Clique at enlarged Politburo sessions. In July, he was detained for investigation and lost his freedom.

Yang’s name was cleared in 1978. He was then appointed to several important positions in Guangdong Province. In 1980, Yang became a member of the core leadership in Beijing. The high positions he served in the next 13 years include secretary general of the CMC, a member of the Politburo, first vice-chairman of the CMC, and above all, president of the PRC (1988–1993). Yang retired from all his positions at the end of 1993. He died on 14 September 1998.

YANG XIANZHEN (1896–1992). Born in Yunxian, Hubei Province, Yang was a veteran member of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and one of the party’s leading Marxist theorists. He served as provost and vice-president of the Institute of Marxism-Leninism and became president and party secretary when the institute was renamed the Higher Party School of the CCP Central Committee (CC) in 1955. Yang was demoted to vice-president in 1961 because of his critical remarks about the Great Leap Forward. In 1964, he was criticized for formulating the idea of “two combine into one” as the complement or antithesis of “one divides into two” (a theory Mao Zedong upheld and promoted) in the dialectic. Named “bourgeois spokesman within the party” and accused of opposing Mao Zedong Thought and advocating revisionism, Yang was dismissed from office in 1965.

During the Cultural Revolution, Yang was named by Kang Sheng as a political target to bring down. He was struggled against at the Higher Party School. He was also imprisoned for eight years (1967–1975) as a member of the so-called Sixty-One Traitors Clique. After he was released from prison in 1975, Yang was sent to Shaanxi, where he remained doing manual labor until 1978. On 16 December 1978, the CC dismissed the Sixty-One Traitors Clique as a case of injustice. On 4 August 1980, the CC approved the Party School’s review of Yang’s case, and Yang Xianzhen was finally rehabilitated. In his last years, Yang served as a member on the Advisory Committee of the CC. Yang died on 25 August 1992.
YANG XIGUANG (1949–2004). A self-labeled ultra-leftist and the leading theorist of the mass organization the “Provincial Proletarian Alliance” of Hunan Province, Yang Xiguang was persecuted by the government for his insistence on articulating and further theorizing what he believed to be Mao Zedong’s original conception of the Cultural Revolution.

Yang was the son of a ranking CCP official who was implicated in the case of the Peng Dehuai Anti-Party Clique in 1959. When the Cultural Revolution began in 1966, Yang was a student at Changsha No. 1 Middle School in the capital city of Hunan. Inspired by Mao’s critique of an emerging bureaucratic bourgeois class within the ruling party and his ideas of continuous revolution based on such a critique, Yang began to observe the development of the Cultural Revolution from a perspective that he considered to be Mao’s original intention of the Revolution. As an intellectually inclined political rebel, Yang wrote a series of essays in which he judges the new establishment of the revolutionary committee as falling far short of Mao’s political ideal and denounces the current “red” capitalist class in the new power structure with Premier Zhou Enlai as its general representative. A takeover by the militia is necessary in his view to usher in a genuine proletarian dictatorship under Mao through general elections with the Paris Commune of 1871 as a model. Prominent among these essays was a long article entitled “Where Is China Going?” that Kang Sheng named the reactionary political program of the “Provincial Proletarian Alliance” of Hunan.

Yang was arrested in 1968 and was sentenced to 10 years in prison. The post-Mao government released him in 1978 but refused to redress his case. He then changed his name to “Yang Xiaokai” because, with a still “problematic” personal record, he could not find a job. In 1983, Yang went to Princeton University as a doctoral student in economics and received his Ph.D. in 1988. He died on 7 July 2004 while a chair professor in economics at Monash University, Australia. See also NEW TREND OF IDEAS.

YANG-YU-FU AFFAIR (1968). Also known as the 24 March Incident, this was a case Lin Biao and Jiang Qing framed against three ranking military leaders: Acting Chief of General Staff of the PLA and Director of the Central Military Commission Administrative Group Yang Chengwu, Air Force Political Commissar Yu Lijin,
and Commanding Officer of the Beijing Garrison Command Fu Chongbi. The replacement of the three by Lin’s close associates further strengthened Lin’s power in the military and gave Lin full control of the Central Military Commission Administrative Group. The downfall of Yang, Yu, and Fu also set off a nationwide campaign against a “right-deviationist reversal-of-verdicts trend.”

On 22 March 1968, the CCP Central Committee (CC), the State Council, the Central Military Commission (CMC), and the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) jointly issued two orders removing Yang Chengwu, Yu Lijin, and Fu Chongbi from power in the military and appointing Huang Yongsheng chief of general staff of the PLA and Wen Yucheng commanding officer of the Beijing Garrison Command. On the evening of 24 March, the decision was announced at a meeting of 10,000 middle- and high-ranking military cadres at the Great Hall of the People. In his long speech at the meeting, Lin Biao made several charges against the three generals, including a conspiracy of Yang and Yu to take control of the air force and an armed storming of the CCRSG ordered by Yang and led by Fu.

On the early morning of 25 March, Mao Zedong came out to greet the assembled and show his support for Lin’s handling of the Yang-Yu-Fu affair. The two orders were read for the first time on the afternoon of 27 March at a mass rally of 100,000 civilians and military personnel in Beijing. In her speech at the rally, Jiang Qing identified Yang, Yu, and Fu as representatives of a “right-deviationist reversal-of-verdicts trend.” In the CC’s political report delivered by Lin Biao at the CCP Ninth Congress, the Yang-Yu-Fu affair was referred to as an “evil trend to reverse the verdict on the February Adverse Current.” None of the charges was substantiated, though Yang, Yu, and Fu were indeed sympathetic with the old marshals involved in the so-called February Adverse Current of 1967.

At a CMC meeting on 21 December 1973, more than two years after Lin Biao’s downfall, Mao Zedong said, “The case of Yang-Yu-Fu should be reversed. It was all Lin Biao’s doing. I made a mistake in listening only to his side of the story.” In July 1974, Yang, Yu, and Fu were rehabilitated. On 28 March 1979, the CC officially cleared the case of the Yang-Yu-Fu Affair by publicizing its 1974 decision for the first time.

YAO WENYUAN (1931– ). A key member of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), second secretary of the Shang-
hai Municipal Committee of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP), and a member of the CCP Politburo from 1969 to 1976, Yao was one of Mao Zedong’s trusted cultural revolutionaries and the author of the article “On the New Historical Drama Hai Rui Dismissed from Office” that came to be known as the “blasting fuse” of the Cultural Revolution. He was generally considered to be de facto head of the CCP Propaganda Department after 1971. Yao was arrested in 1976 as a member of the Gang of Four.

A native of Zhuji, Zhejiang Province, Yao was a son of Yao Pengzi, a left-wing writer in the 1930s. Yao joined the CCP in 1948 while a high school student in Shanghai. After the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) took over Shanghai from the Nationalists, Yao started to work as a correspondent for the CCP-controlled media. By 1957, his militant criticism of allegedly bourgeois, revisionist, and reactionary writers had already caught the attention of Chairman Mao Zedong. On 10 June 1957, Mao applauded one of Yao’s essays attacking Rightists and recommended it for nationwide distribution.

In the first half of the 1960s, Yao was involved in Mao’s back-stage strategic planning of the Cultural Revolution and became a close ally of Jiang Qing. Around 1963, he followed Zhang Chunqiao, director of the Propaganda Department of the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee, to work for Jiang Qing in her “revolution in Peking opera” program. Yao rose to prominence in 1965 when he, following instructions from Zhang and Jiang, wrote “On the New Historical Drama Hai Rui Dismissed from Office” attacking Wu Han. In 1966, Yao published a series of articles attacking Deng Tuo, Peng Zhen, and the CCP Beijing Municipal Committee, which earned him the nickname “proletarian golden stick.” A rising star on China’s political scene, Yao was named a member of the newly established CCRSG in May 1966 and began to play a significant role in bringing down the Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping faction of the central leadership in the ensuing months. During the Criticize the Bourgeois Reactionary Line campaign, Yao and other CCRSG members pushed the rebel movement forward against the old party establishment. He also actively engaged in Shanghai’s January Storm, instigating rebels to take power from the senior leaders of Shanghai government, Chen Pixian and Cao Diqu. After the fall of Chen and Cao, Yao became vice-chairman of the Shanghai Revolutionary Committee and, later, second secretary of the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee.
As a theorist, Yao helped Mao formulate the theory of *continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat*: On 7 November 1967, the *People’s Daily*, the *Red Flag*, and the *Liberation Army Daily* carried a joint editorial entitled “March Forward along the Road of the October Socialist Revolution: Commemorating the 50th Anniversary of the Great October Socialist Revolution,” which, drafted by Yao Wenyuan and Chen Boda, sums up in six points the theory of the Cultural Revolution and names it Mao’s most significant contribution to Marxism. In 1969, Yao was elected to the Politburo at the *Ninth National Congress of the CCP*.

After the downfall of Wang Li, Guan Feng, and Qi Benyu in late 1967 and Chen Boda in 1970—all of them radical writers and theorists in the service of Mao’s cultural revolution politics—Yao became Mao’s most trusted writer, propaganda chief, and ideological watchdog. In the ensuing years until October 1976, Yao was the person in charge of the *People’s Daily* and the *Red Flag* and virtually controlled official media in China. During this period, Yao was further involved in the top-level power struggle of the CCP and became a member of the Jiang Qing-led Gang of Four. With the nation’s propaganda apparatus under his control, Yao, with Mao’s support, advocated the political interests and ideology of his faction and helped edge out several political rivals, including Lin Biao and his associates in 1971 and Deng Xiaoping and his supporters in 1975 and 1976.

On 6 October 1976, within a month of Mao’s death, Hua Guofeng and Ye Jianying ordered the arrest of Yao and other members of the Gang of Four. On 23 January 1981, a special court of the Supreme People’s Court of the PRC convicted Yao of a series of crimes, including organizing and leading a counterrevolutionary clique and participating in Jiang Qing’s activities to usurp state power, and sentenced him to 20 years in prison.

YE JIANYING (1896–1986). A senior leader of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and the People’s Liberation Army (PLA), Ye played a significant role in China’s political and military matters before, during, and after the Cultural Revolution. Of particular importance was his involvement in the *February Adverse Current* of 1967 and in the ousting of the *Gang of Four* in October 1976. The latter put to an end the decade-long turmoil of the Cultural Revolution.
A native of Mei County, Guangdong Province, and a graduate of the Yunan Military Academy, Ye became deputy director of the Department of Instruction at the Huangpu (Whampoa) Military Academy in 1924 when the Academy was founded. He participated in the Northern Expedition in 1926, joined the CCP in 1927, and became one of the leaders of the Guangzhou Uprising. After two years of study in Moscow, Ye returned to China in 1930 and became chief of staff of the Red Army and later assumed presidency of the Red Army School. A leading officer during the Long March, Ye was credited with reporting to Mao Zedong about Zhang Guotao’s dubious moves and saving the troops led by Mao and Zhu De. In the war of resistance against Japan and the civil war afterwards, Ye, as chief of staff of the Eighth Route Army and then of the PLA, continued to distinguish himself as a top military analyst of the CCP.

After the founding of the People’s Republic of China (PRC), Ye was appointed to a number of prominent government and military positions, including mayor of Beijing, governor of Guangdong, commander of the South-China Military Region, president and political commissar of the PLA Military Academy, and vice chairman of the CCP Central Military Commission (CMC). In 1955, Ye was made one of 10 marshals of the PRC. He became a member of the CCP Central Committee (CC) in 1945 and a member of the Politburo in August 1966 at the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee.

In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Ye rose steadily in the CCP central leadership. He supported Mao’s move to purge Luo Ruiqing, chief of general staff of the PLA and general secretary of the CMC, and led the CC work group on the Luo case in May 1966. He also took Luo’s place as general secretary of the CMC. As the revolution continued to unfold, however, Ye was taken aback by the moves of the ultraleftist forces against the military establishment. In February 1967, Ye, along with Tan Zhenlin, Chen Yi, Xu Xiangqian, and a few other senior party and military leaders, sharply criticized the radicals of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group at a top-level meeting in Zhongnanhai. The outburst of their anti-Cultural Revolution sentiment was denounced by Mao as a February Adverse Current. Ye was soon removed as general secretary of the CMC. The veterans were under attack again in April 1969 at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP. Although Ye, alone of all
the veterans involved in the February Adverse Current, was allowed
to retain his seat in the Politburo, he was nevertheless exiled from
Beijing in October 1969.

Mao began to enlist Ye’s support in his strategic move against the
Lin Biao faction after the Lushan Conference of 1970. In July 1971,
Ye was entrusted with the responsibility of receiving U.S. Secretary
of State Henry Kissinger during the latter’s secret visit to Beijing.
After Lin Biao’s demise in 1971, Ye took charge of the daily affairs of
the military in the capacity of vice-chairman of the CMC. Ye became
a vice-chairman of the CCP in 1973 and minister of defense in 1975.
In between the two appointments, however, Ye and Premier Zhuo
Enlai were harshly criticized at the enlarged Politburo sessions,
25 November–5 December 1973, for carrying out a “right-wing
capitulationist line” or Zhou-Ye revisionist line in their negotiations
with the United States. Eventually, in 1976, during the campaign to
counterattack the right-deviationist reversal-of-verdicts trend,
Mao removed Ye from power because he followed both Deng Xiao-
ping and Zhou Enlai closely to carry out a rectification program.

Within a month of Mao’s death, Ye worked closely with Hua
Guofeng and Wang Dongxing in making the decision to arrest the
Gang of Four on 6 October 1976. In March 1977, Ye resumed his
responsibility for the daily affairs of the CMC. In March 1978, he
was elected chairman of the Standing Committee of the National

YE QUN (1917–1971). Wife of Lin Biao and liaison between Lin and
his supporters in the armed forces during the Cultural Revolution, Ye
was director of the office of Lin Biao, a member of the All Forces
Cultural Revolution Small Group, and a member of the Central

Born in Minhou (Fuzhou), Fujian Province, Ye Qun took part in
the December 9 anti-Japanese, patriotic movement in Beijing in 1935
as a middle school student. In the early stages of the war of resis-
tance against Japan, Ye was briefly associated with a Kuomintang-
controlled youth organization before she went to Yan’an to join the
Communists in 1938. She married Lin Biao in 1942. After the Com-
munists took power in 1949, she began to serve as Lin Biao’s secre-
tary and, officially belonging in the military, eventually attained the
rank of full colonel.
Ye Qun began to be actively involved in politics in the central leadership in late 1965 when she assisted Lin Biao in bringing down Luo Ruiqing, chief of general staff of the PLA. Ye telephoned Li Zuopeng, deputy commander of the navy, and advised him on how to frame Luo in a report Lin Biao had asked him to write. At the end of November, Ye carried Lin’s personal letter to Hangzhou to see Mao Zedong on the alleged problems of Luo, and her report to Mao in their six-hour conversation was mainly based on Li Zuopeng’s fabrications. Following Mao’s instruction, the Standing Committee of the Politburo held an enlarged session in Shanghai in December 1965 to criticize Luo. Ye Qun, who was not even a Central Committee (CC) member at the time, not only attended the meeting but also spoke three times for almost 10 hours altogether, enumerating Luo’s “crimes” of opposing Mao Zedong Thought and attempting to take over Lin Biao’s power at the Ministry of Defense.

Ye’s political engagement went further after the Cultural Revolution began in mid-1966. In August 1966, Lei Yingfu, a ranking officer in the Department of General Staff, fabricated material against President Liu Shaoqi at Ye’s suggestion. Following Ye’s instruction, Song Zhiguo, of the general office of the Central Military Commission (CMC), wrote in September to frame Lin and Ye’s personal enemy Marshal He Long. In October, at Jiang Qing’s request, Ye instructed Jiang Tengjiao, a ranking officer of the air force, to conduct a dramatic “ransacking household” (chaojia) by Red Guards at the homes of some notable personages in Shanghai in search of material that might reveal what Jiang Qing thought to be her embarrassing past as a film actress. On 11 January 1967, Ye was named a member of the All Forces Cultural Revolution Small Group, her first official title of political significance. After the February Adverse Current of 1967, Ye was involved in the activities to frame Marshals Xu Xiangqian and Ye Jianying. In August 1967, when the Central Military Commission Administrative Group was formed to take over the daily affairs of the CMC, Ye Qun was appointed one of its four founding members. In 1969, at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP, Ye was elected to the CC and the Politburo.

At the Lushan Conference of 1970, the conflict between the Jiang Qing faction and the Lin Biao faction surfaced. Ye joined Chen Boda, Wu Faxian, Li Zuopeng, and Qiu Huizuo in attacking Zhang Chunqiao and supporting a proposal not to eliminate the office of
the national president. She, along with other supporters of Lin Biao, was reproached by Mao. On 6 September 1971, when General Huang Yongsheng informed Ye of the critical remarks on Lin Biao that Mao made during his southern inspection, Ye was said to have passed the intelligence to Lin Biao right away, and the two allegedly made a decision to let their son Lin Liguo execute a plot against Mao’s life. The alleged assassination plan was foiled due to the changes Mao made on his itinerary. On the evening of 12 September, Mao’s sudden and unexpected return to Beijing and Zhou Enlai’s telephone conversation with Ye Qun made Ye believe that their scheme was detected. She boarded the jet plane Trident 256 with Lin Biao and Lin Liguo and fled the country on the early morning of 13 September. About two hours after taking off, Trident 256 crashed near Undurkhan in Mongolia, killing all passengers onboard.

Ye Qun’s role in the September 13 Incident and the events leading up to it still lacks a definitive account today. Apparently, after she stepped into the political arena of the CCP central leadership in late 1965, Ye took an increasingly active role mediating between the frail, increasingly reclusive Lin Biao and his cohorts in the armed forces. Yet it remains a question whether, as the official version of history has it, Ye was simply Lin Biao’s loyal agent or, according to her daughter Lin Liheng’s eyewitness account, Ye was a much more manipulative and domineering figure who plotted with Lin Liguo in the last few days of their lives without Lin Biao’s knowledge.

YILIN DIXI. Made of the Chinese transliteration of pennames that Vladimir Ilyich Lenin once used, this was the pseudonym that Liu Wozhong and Zhang Licai, two students at the Beijing Agricultural University High School, adopted in their “Open Letter to Comrade Lin Biao” criticizing Lin’s peak theory concerning Mao Zedong and Mao Zedong Thought. The specific target of criticism in this letter is a speech Lin gave at the Military Academy on 18 September 1966, in which Lin spoke of Mao as a rare genius that could emerge once in several hundred years, an unmatched thinker looking far beyond capitalism and therefore standing much higher than Marx, Engels, Lenin, and Stalin.

In their critique of Lin’s speech, Liu and Zhang embrace Joseph Stalin’s assessment of Leninism in the context of monopoly capitalism and judge Lin Biao’s comparison of Mao with his revolutionary pre-
decessors to be ahistorical and therefore wrong. While acknowledging Mao Zedong Thought as the most applicable theory of Marxism in current times, they disagree with Lin’s view that PLA personnel should devote 99 percent of their political study to reading Mao’s works; the percentage was inappropriate, they argue, because it is necessary to study the classic texts of earlier Marxist thinkers in order to understand the development of Mao’s ideas. They also criticize Lin for being out of touch with the masses and out of touch with theory, too, which includes Mao’s speculation on replacing the old state apparatus with a “commune of the east” as the ultimate goal of the Cultural Revolution.

Liu and Zhang posted their open letter as a big-character poster on the campus of Tsinghua University on 15 November 1966 and then distributed it as handbills. Their critique of Lin Biao soon became known across China. They were struggled against as counterrevolutionaries on school campuses in Beijing. The authorities arrested them on 20 December 1966 on a charge of attacking the proletarian revolutionary headquarters. The post-Mao government rehabilitated Liu and Zhang and, on 18 June 1979, officially pronounced the original verdict unjust.

YU HUIYONG (1929–1977). Born in Shandong Province, Yu joined the PLA in 1946 and the CCP in 1949. He caught the attention of Jiang Qing in 1965 when he, as a composer, was involved in the making of the Peking Operas Taking Tiger Mountain by Stratagem (Zhiqu Weihushan) and On the Dock (Haigang). Produced by the Shanghai Peking Opera Troupe, both operas were to be on the list of the eight model dramas promoted by Jiang Qing. Yu was a lecturer at the Shanghai Conservatory of Music at the time. Due to his contribution to the production of the two operas, Jiang Qing, accompanied by Zhang Chunqiao, then director of the CCP Shanghai propaganda department, received him in person.

Jiang’s high regard for him proved to be a political fortune to Yu during the Cultural Revolution. In October 1966, Zhang Chunqiao rescued Yu from the “cow shed” (where people labeled class enemies, or cow-demons and snake-spirits, were detained) at the Shanghai Conservatory of Music and made him a member of a performance team that Jiang Qing had asked to form in Shanghai for the purpose of showcasing the two model operas in Beijing. Shortly after Shanghai’s
January Storm of 1967, Yu was made chairman of the Revolutionary Committee of the Shanghai Conservatory of Music and, later, party secretary of Shanghai Cultural Bureau. In July 1971, Yu, an enthusiastic supporter and advocate of Jiang Qing’s radical propagandist art theory and experiment, was named deputy director of the newly formed State Council Cultural Group. He became a member of the CCP Tenth Central Committee in August 1973 and minister of culture in January 1975.

During these years, Yu, along with Liu Qingtang and Qian Haoliang, represented Jiang Qing and her group in cultural and art circles. Taking orders from Jiang Qing, he launched attacks on a number of well-known literary and artistic productions and events, including the Jin opera Three Trips to Taofeng, a painting exhibition in Beijing (which Yu labeled “black”), and the film Pioneers (chuangye). These attacks provided ammunition for the ultraleftist faction of the CCP leadership against their political rivals such as Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping and drastically deepened political repression in cultural and artistic circles. On 22 October 1976, Yu was detained as a diehard follower of the Gang of Four. He committed suicide on 28 August 1977 while in detention. In September 1977, Yu was expelled from the CCP. In October 1983, more than six years after his death, the party committee of Ministry of Culture issued a document officially dismissing Yu from his posts both within and outside the party.

Yu Lijin (1913–1978). Political commissar of the air force, General Yu was persecuted by Lin Biao and Jiang Qing in 1968 as a member of the so-called Yang-Yu-Fu Anti-Party Clique. Born in Dayan, Hubei Province, Yu joined the Red Army in 1928 and became a member of the CCP in 1930. A veteran of the Long March and a long-time political officer in the army, Yu was appointed political commissar of the air force in the Nanjing Military Region after 1949 and later political commissar of the air force of the PLA.

In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Yu came under attack by rebels in the military until he was appointed a member of the All Forces Cultural Revolution Small Group in January 1967. But Yu soon had conflicts with Wu Faxian, commander of the air force and Lin Biao’s close associate, especially after Lin Liguo, son of Lin Biao, joined the air force and received special treatment from Wu Faxian. Lin Liguo’s entry led to a split of the Air Force Command personnel
into two factions: his own supporters and those of Yu Lijin’s. The Lin Liguo supporters fabricated the story of a romantic and slightly salacious affair between one of Yu’s secretaries and the daughter of Yang Chengwu, acting chief of general staff, and had the secretary arrested. Yang Chengwu suggested to Wu Faxian that the arrest was not appropriate and that Yu’s secretary should be released. This suggestion was soon used by Lin Biao as evidence of a Yang-Yu conspiracy to take over power at the Air Force Command.

In March 1968, when Lin Biao sought support from Jiang Qing’s group for removing Yang Chengwu and Yu Lijin from power, Jiang asked Lin to dismiss Fu Chongbi, the commanding officer of the Beijing Garrison Command, as well. As a result of this political bargain, the three generals were named by Lin, with the approval of Mao Zedong, as antiparty elements in the army. Yu was arrested on 23 March 1968 and imprisoned for six years.

In December 1973, more than two years after the downfall of Lin Biao, Mao acknowledged his mistakes concerning the Yang-Yu-Fu Affair. The names of the three generals were cleared in July 1974. Yu was reappointed first political commissar of the Civil Aviation Administration of China and second political commissar of the air force. Yu died in 1978. In March 1979, the CC officially cleared the case of the Yang-Yu-Fu Affair by publicizing its 1974 decision for the first time.

YU LUOKE (1942–1970). An outspoken critic of the blood lineage theory and the discriminatory class line of the CCP, Yu sacrificed his life advocating equal rights for the underprivileged and the oppressed. He was China’s pioneer of democratic consciousness during the Cultural Revolution.

A native of Beijing, Yu was born into a family that was considered politically untrustworthy in post-1949 China. His grandfather’s class status was that of a capitalist. His father, an engineer, was branded “Rightist” in 1957. Despite his academic excellence, Yu Luoke was denied a college education due to his family background. After high school, first as a farmer at Red Star People’s Commune in the Beijing suburbs and then an apprentice at the Beijing People’s Machine Factory, Yu taught himself the Chinese classics and Western philosophy. The influence of his readings, Jean-Jacques Rousseau’s Discourse on the Origin and Foundations of Inequality among Men among them,
was to be noticeable in his article “On Family Background” for which he was best known during the Cultural Revolution.

Yu was remarkably independent in his political thinking when almost the whole nation was carried away by the fever of the Revolution. Upon reading Yao Weyuan’s article “On the New Historical Play Hai Rui Dismissed from Office,” which is known as the prologue to the Cultural Revolution, Yu presented his counterargument in several articles defending the playwright Wu Han’s high regard for Hai Rui. One of the articles was published in Shanghai’s Wenhui Daily on 13 February 1966 as an example of bad criticism; it was shortened by the editor and re-titled “It Is Time to Fight Mechanistic Materialism.” Some of his journal entries of this period show his critical views about the personality cult of Mao Zedong and the model operas promoted by Jiang Qing and his skepticism toward the Cultural Revolution in general, all of which were to be counted as evidence of his “counterrevolutionary crimes” later on.

The brutality of the Red August of 1966 and the notoriety of the blood lineage theory represented by a Red Guard couplet (“If the father is a hero, the son is a real man. If the father is a reactionary, the son is a bastard.”) eventually prompted Yu to write “On Family Background,” a critique of government-sanctioned, Red Guards-promoted “class” discrimination against tens of millions of youths from nonproletarian families. The mimeographed version of this article came out in December 1966. The revised version was published on 18 January 1967 in the Journal of Middle School Cultural Revolution and soon became one of the most widely circulated articles during the Cultural Revolution. Letters of support poured in from all parts of China. In the three months that followed, Yu wrote a number of articles on the same issue and published them in the Journal of Middle School Cultural Revolution and two other mass organization newspapers. He also participated in public debates over the theory of blood lineage.

Yu’s outlets were blocked on 13 April 1967 when Qi Benyu, a member of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group, spoke of “On Family Background” as a “reactionary” piece attacking socialism as a caste system. The authorities arrested Yu on 5 January 1968 and executed him on 5 March 1970. His “crimes,” as listed in his court verdict, include “writing reactionary letters, poems, and diaries calumniating the proletarian headquarters,” “planning to organize a counterrevolutionary clique,” “threatening to plot assassinations,”
and “intending to sabotage the proletarian dictatorship.” On 21 November 1979, Beijing Intermediate People’s Court redressed Yu’s case and pronounced the earlier court decision unjust.

ZHANG CHUNQIAO (1917–2005). Deputy head of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG), first secretary of the Shanghai Municipal Committee of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) (1967–1976), a member of the CCP Politburo (1969–1976) and its standing committee (1973–1976), vice-premier of the State Council (SC) (1975–1976), and director of the General Political Department of the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) (1975–1976), Zhang was a leading ultraleftist intellectual and government official who was deeply involved in Chairman Mao Zedong’s backstage strategic planning of the Cultural Revolution and played a significant role in the politics of the central leadership during the Revolution. Zhang was arrested in 1976 as a member of the Gang of Four and remained faithful to Mao’s legacy after the Cultural Revolution.

A native of Juye, Shandong Province, Zhang was a leftist writer in Shanghai who, assuming the penname Di Ke, had debated with Lu Xun in the 1930s. Zhang joined the CCP in 1936. At the outbreak of the war of resistance against Japan, Zhang went to the Communist base Yan’an and worked as a leading editor in several local newspapers. After the founding of the People’s Republic of China (PRC), Zhang returned to Shanghai and assumed several important posts in the area of journalism and political propaganda under the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee, including editor-in-chief of the committee’s official organ Liberation Daily, director of the committee’s department of cultural work and department of propaganda, and an alternate secretary of the municipal committee. In 1958 when the radical Great Leap Forward policies were beginning to be implemented, Zhang published an article entitled “Eradicate the Ideology of the Bourgeois Right,” advocating the abolition of material incentives in all production units. Mao applauded the article and recommended its reprint in the CCP official organ People’s Daily.

In the first half of the 1960s, Zhang began to be involved in Mao’s strategic moves for the launching of the Cultural Revolution and
became a close ally of Jiang Qing. Together with Yao Wenyuan, Zhang actively supported Jiang’s “revolution in Peking opera” program. He also worked with Jiang in 1965 in planning an attack on Wu Han and helped Yao Wenyuan in writing and publishing a critique of Wu’s historical play *Hai Rui Dismissed from Office*—an article to be known as the “blasting fuse” of the Cultural Revolution. In February 1966, Zhang was involved in the symposium organized by Jiang Qing on literature and arts in the armed forces. After the symposium, Zhang, along with Chen Boda, edited and revised the *Summary of the Symposium* before it was handed to Mao for further revision. Containing a harsh judgment on China’s literary and art productions since 1949, this summary report was issued by the CCP Central Committee (CC) in April 1966 in preparation for the Cultural Revolution.

Zhang was named deputy head of the CCRSG in May 1966 and began to play a significant role in bringing down the Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping faction of the central leadership in the ensuing months. During the Criticize the Bourgeois Reactionary Line campaign, Zhang and other CCRSG members pushed the rebel movement forward against the old party establishment. In December 1966, Zhang instigated rebels at Tsinghua University and other schools to denounce President Liu Shaoqi at a mass rally in Tiananmen Square. He also supported the Shanghai Workers Command Post during the Anting Incident and led the Shanghai rebels’ power-seizure movement in January 1967. After Chen Pixian and Cao Diqiu, the senior leaders of the Shanghai government, were overthrown, Zhang became chairman of the newly established Shanghai Revolutionary Committee and, later, first secretary of the CCP Shanghai Municipal Committee. In 1969, Zhang was elected to the Politburo at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP.

After the downfall of Wang Li, Guan Feng, and Qi Benyu in late 1967 and Chen Boda in 1970—all of them radical writers and theorists in the service of Mao’s cultural revolution politics—Zhang became China’s foremost Maoist theoretician. In an article entitled “On Complete Dictatorship over the Bourgeoisie,” published in April 1975, Zhang presented an authoritative Maoist theoretical justification for the Cultural Revolution. Considering Zhang Chunqiao to be a faithful student of his Cultural Revolution ideology and a possible successor, Mao made him a member of the Standing Committee of the Politburo
in August 1973 and second vice-premier of the SC and director of the
General Political Department of the PLA in January 1975.

In the 1970s, Zhang was deeply involved in the power conflict in
the central leadership. At the Lushan Conference of 1970, he was
the unnamed target of attack by the Lin Biao faction. After the down-
fall of Lin Biao, in the evolving conflict between cultural revolution-
aries and the politically moderate Zhou Enlai and Deng Xiaoping,
Zhang became a member of the Jiang Qing-led Gang of Four. In late
1975, Zhang and his colleagues convinced Mao of Deng Xiaoping’s
anti-Cultural Revolution stand and finally defeated Deng during the
Counterattack the Right-Deviationist Reversal-of-Verdicts Trend
Campaign.

On 6 October 1976, within a month of the death of Mao, Hua
Guofeng and Ye Jianying ordered the arrest of Zhang and the other
members of the Gang of Four. On 23 January 1981, a special court of
the Supreme People’s Court of the PRC convicted Zhang of a series
of crimes, including organizing and leading a counterrevolutionary
clique and initiating and continuing to plot power takeovers from the
state government, and sentenced him to death with a two-year reprieve.
Zhang protested all accusations by keeping his silence throughout the
trial. Before the trial, he had stated that he was still a firm Maoist and
supported all the radical policies of the Cultural Revolution. In 1983,
his sentence was commuted to life imprisonment. On 21 April 2005,
Zhang died of cancer.

ZHANG TIESHENG (1950–). Also known as the “hero of the blank
examination paper,” Zhang Tiesheng wrote a note of protest and plea
after a series of poor performances at the college entrance examina-
tion in 1973. His note was used effectively by the ultraleftist faction
of the CCP leadership as a political weapon against what they con-
sidered to be the restoration of the old education system supported by
Zhou Enlai. Zhang Tiesheng, the 23-year-old applicant, was elevated
by Jiang Qing and her supporters to the status of a hero with the
courage to “go against the tide.” The incident contributed much to the
debasement of knowledge and learning in general and the worsening
of the nation’s higher education in particular in the last three years of
the Cultural Revolution.

Since 1970 when colleges began to admit students, admission deci-
sions had been based on recommendations from applicants’ work
units. In April 1973, however, the State Council approved a document submitted by its Science and Education Group cautiously suggesting that college applicants’ examination scores be considered in addition to recommendations. Zhang Tiesheng, an educated youth working in the countryside in Liaoning Province, was recommended by his commune to take part in the regional examination. Overwhelmed by language and math tests and frustrated further by chemistry and physics, Zhang Tiesheng wrote a letter to the “respected leaders” on the back of the examination sheets. In the letter Zhang explained how his total devotion to the work in the countryside cost him study time. He also attacked other candidates who did better in the tests as bookworms craving college for their own benefit and ignoring their proper occupation.

Zhang’s letter caught the attention of Mao Yuanxin, Mao Zedong’s nephew and a ranking official in Liaoning, who had already become Jiang Qing’s close associate. Mao Yuanxin made the decision to publish the letter with an editor’s note, and he edited both. On 19 July 1973, Zhang’s letter, along with the editor’s note, appeared in Liaoning Daily under the title “A Thought-Provoking Examination Paper.”

Three weeks later, all major newspapers, including the People’s Daily, reprinted the letter and the note. Mao Yuanxin talked about Zhang Tiesheng as a “sharp rock” that he could use to attack others. Jiang Qing called Zhang a hero who dared to go against the tide. Mao Zedong also noticed Zhang Tiesheng. Mao mentioned him with approval while suggesting that professors at Beijing’s eight institutes be gathered and given a test. Following the publication of Zhang’s letter, an anti-intellectual propaganda campaign began nationwide, in which the newly revived attention to examination scores was denounced as the bourgeois counteroffensive against the revolution in education. As a result of such propaganda, the trashing of culture and knowledge went further in schools at all levels.

Zhang Tiesheng himself, on the other hand, was admitted as a worker-peasant-soldier student, as a college student was then called, at the Tieling Institute of Agriculture in Liaoning in autumn 1973. He soon became a party member and was given a responsible position in the school’s leadership. In 1975, he was made a member of the Standing Committee of the Fourth National People’s Congress. Zhang became more politically active in 1976 as a follower of the Jiang Qing group: in Liaoning, Beijing, and Shanxi, he talked about himself as a sword and a gun, attacked veteran cadres as “restoration maniacs,” and called for an organizational “surgery” with
an “iron hand.” In March 1977, five months after the downfall of the Gang of Four, Zhang Tiesheng was arrested on a charge of counter-revolutionary crimes. He was sentenced to 15 years in prison.

ZHANG XITING (1928– ). A rebelling official during the Cultural Revolution, Zhang became deputy head of the Sichuan Provincial Revolutionary Committee in 1967 and an alternate member of the Central Committee at the Ninth National Congress of the CCP in 1969. She was dismissed from office for her involvement in a factional war in Sichuan. On 24 June 1978, Zhang and her husband Liu Jieting, also an official in Sichuan, were arrested on a counterrevolutionary charge. On 24 March 1982, Zhang was sentenced to 17 years in prison.

ZHANG YUFENG (1944– ). Born in Mudanjiang, Heilongjiang Province, Zhang served as an attendant on the special train for central leaders in the 1960s and caught the attention of Chairman Mao Zedong. In 1970, she was transferred to Zhongnanhai to work as an attendant at Mao’s residence. Her relationship with Mao was said to be intimate. In late 1974, she was appointed Mao’s confidential secretary. As Mao’s health was worsening, Zhang, as one of the few who could still make out Mao’s increasingly unclear vocal expressions, became indispensable as an intermediary between Mao and other leaders. After Mao’s death, Zhang was transferred out of Zhongnanhai to work at Bureau No. 1 of Historical Archives. She eventually went back to her first employer the Ministry of Railways. In the meantime, she led an editorial effort on Mao’s private library, which resulted in the publication of a 24-volume Mao Zedong Book Collection in 2001.

ZHANG ZHIXIN (1930–1975). A loyal communist who made known her critical view of the ultraleftist policies of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP), especially those of the Cultural Revolution, through regular channels within CCP organizations, Zhang was imprisoned for more than five years and eventually executed as an “active counter-revolutionary.”

A native of Tianjin and a graduate of People’s University, Zhang joined the CCP in 1955 and became a secretary (ganshi) of arts and literature at the Propaganda Department of the CCP Liaoning Provincial Committee in 1957. In the early stages of the Cultural Revolution, Zhang criticized Lin Biao’s promotion of Mao’s personality cult and Lin’s “Peak Theory” and questioned Lin’s motives. When
the Ninth National Congress of the CCP was held in 1969, Zhang, while working at a May 7 Cadre School and under surveillance, spoke out against the inclusion in the newly-revised CCP Constitution of Lin Biao as Chairman Mao Zedong’s successor. She also criticized Jiang Qing, the so-called “standard-bearer of the proletarian arts and literature,” for virtually destroying arts and literature.

Zhang saw the persecution of President Liu Shaoqi as unjust and the ultralefist policies of the CCP as the direct cause of nationwide factional violence and chaos during the Cultural Revolution. Zhang’s criticism also went beyond the Cultural Revolution: she expressed sympathy for Marshal Peng Dehuai who was dismissed from office in 1959 for his criticism of Mao’s Great Leap Forward policies. Peng’s letter to Mao, in Zhang’s view, was not antiparty; rather, writing a letter to the party chairman was a legitimate move endorsed by the party constitution. Zhang also pointed out that Mao had made mistakes in the late 1950s and that the leftist policies of the CCP, which began in 1958, continued and went much further during the Cultural Revolution.

Because of her sharply critical views, the provincial authorities of Liaoning ordered Zhang’s arrest on 26 September 1969 on a counter-revolutionary charge. While in prison, she refused to acknowledge her “crimes” and insisted on her right to speak out, for which she was so brutally abused that she eventually suffered a mental breakdown. On 3 April 1975, following instructions from Mao Yuanxin, the local authorities sentenced Zhang Zhixin to death. Two hours before her execution on 4 April, the executioners cut Zhang’s vocal cords to prevent her from speaking.

On 31 March 1979, the CCP Liaoning Provincial Committee redressed her case and named her a revolutionary martyr. On 11 August 1979, Beijing’s Guangming Daily published a long report about Zhang Zhixin’s life; the details of her persecution shocked the whole nation. Since then, Zhang has become a national symbol of integrity, courage, conviction, and opposition to tyranny.

ZHOU ENLAI (1898–1976). Vice-chairman of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) (1956–1976) and premier of the People’s Republic of China (PRC) (1949–1976), Zhou was China’s chief administrator,
negotiator, and diplomat. A supporter of Mao Zedong’s Cultural Revolution, Zhou was entrusted with the responsibility of managing the daily affairs of the party and the state from August 1966 until his death in January 1976. Moderate and pragmatic in his approach to both state affairs and party politics, he was the single most important stabilizing factor in the CCP leadership during this turbulent period. Despite his painstaking and tactical efforts to deradicalize Mao’s ultra-leftist policies, however, he never openly opposed Mao’s decisions. In later stages of the Cultural Revolution, as he became the unnamed target of political campaigns launched by the ultraleftists of the Jiang Qing group with Mao’s consent, his reputation as a sane, humane, and upright leader soared among the populace. Eventually, not long after his death, he became the inspiration for the April 5 Movement of 1976—an unprecedented spontaneous mass protest movement against the ultraleftist faction of the CCP leadership, anticipating a swift end to the Cultural Revolution.

A native of Shaoxing, Zhejiang Province, Zhou was a student leader in Tianjin during the May Fourth Movement. He studied in both Japan (1917–1919) and France (1920–1924). He joined the CCP in 1921 and became a leader of the CCP’s European branch. Upon returning from France, he was appointed, among other ranking political and military positions in both the CCP and the nationalist Kuomintang, director of the political department of the Huangpu (Whampoa) Military Academy where Chiang Kai-shek was commandant. In July 1927, Zhou played a major role in organizing the Nanchang Uprising, the first military insurrection of the Communists against the Kuomintang. In late 1931, as he joined Mao Zedong and Zhu De in the Communist rural base in Jiangxi, he was appointed secretary of the CCP’s Jiangxi Soviet Central Bureau and replaced Mao as political commissar of the Red Army. During the Long March (1934–1935) and during the Yan’an years that followed, however, Zhou supported Mao’s political and military strategies and helped establish Mao’s central leadership in both the party and the army. In late 1936, he negotiated with Chiang Kai-shek to form a Nationalist-Communist alliance against the invading Japanese army.

During the war of resistance against Japan, while working with non-Communists and promoting the United Front in the Kuomintang-controlled areas, Zhou successfully cultivated the image of the CCP and won broad sympathy and support for the CCP among liberal
politicians and intellectuals. As he returned to Yan’an in 1943 to participate in the Rectification Movement under Mao’s leadership, however, he was attacked as the representative of the “empiricist faction” within the party. Zhou survived by pleading guilty and harshly criticizing himself.

When the PRC was founded in 1949, Zhou served as premier (1949–1976) and foreign minister (1949–1958). He was in charge of designing and implementing the country’s economic policies in the form of a series of five-year plans. His rather cautious and pragmatic approach to economic matters was often criticized by Mao in the late 1950s. On the diplomatic front, Zhou’s success was enormous: he went to Moscow in 1950 to negotiate a 30-year China-Soviet treaty of alliance, he represented the PRC at the 1954 international conference in Geneva and at the 1955 Afro-Asian conference in Bandung, Indonesia, and he traveled broadly throughout Asia, Africa, and Europe in the late 1950s and early 1960s to promote China’s relations with Third World countries. The historic meeting between Mao Zedong and U.S. President Richard Nixon in February 1972 was, to a great extent, arranged and implemented by Zhou in cooperation with his U.S. counterpart Henry Kissinger.

In April 1966, when Mao was stirring up revolution by making angry and harshly critical comments about Peng Zhen and Lu Dingyi, Zhou, who was in charge of the daily activities of the central government at the time during President Liu Shaoqi’s absence from Beijing, indicated his support for the revolution for the first time in a formal work report, which virtually legitimized Mao’s otherwise personal views. But Zhou’s support was nevertheless riddled with ambiguity throughout. In the heat of the violent Red Guard movement, Zhou made arrangements within the limits of his power to protect veteran cadres and notable personages from the Red Guards’ attack. When the first mass campaign against Liu Shaoqi and Deng Xiaoping was about to begin, he tried in vain to persuade Mao to soften the harsh political sentence that their policies were a “bourgeois reactionary line.” As the revolution was spreading rapidly across the country, he tried to stabilize the nation’s economy by tactically publicizing Mao’s words “grasp revolution and promote production.” During the February Adverse Current of 1967, he tried to remain neutral as a number of military marshals and vice-premiers vented their anti-Cultural Revolutionary rage at the ultraleftists of the
Central Cultural Revolution Small Group, only to betray his stand by identifying himself and the veteran leaders together as “us.” In the early 1970s, Zhou began to talk about the importance of knowledge and the possibility of enrolling high school graduates directly in college—propositions that were in conflict with the current practice of the “revolution in education.”

Zhou Enlai’s position in the CCP leadership was on the rise during the Cultural Revolution. At the Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee (1–12 August 1966), he became the third highest ranking leader of the CCP. After the downfall of Lin Biao in September 1971, he became second, next to Mao. As his status and power increased, however, Zhou was facing greater challenges and provocations from the ultraleftist faction of the CCP leadership who, along with Mao, envisioned Zhou as a formidable anti-Cultural Revolutionary force after Mao’s death. And, as indispensable as Zhou was, Mao never considered him as his successor; rather, Mao often equated Zhou’s meticulous attention to details and superb skills as an administrator with the neglect of more important matters and the lack of firm ideological and political conviction.

From 25 November to 5 December 1973, enlarged Politburo sessions were convened at Mao’s suggestion to criticize Zhou for his “right revisionist line” and “capitulationism” in foreign policy because of his negotiations with the United States on the sensitive issue of military exchange. Jiang Qing called the conflict between Zhou and Mao as “eleventh line struggle within the party.” A month later, in January 1974, another general offensive against Zhou was launched in the name of an anti-Lin Biao campaign known as Criticize Lin and Criticize Confucius, which was followed by yet another implicitly anti-Zhou movement: that of the Water Margin Appraisal (1975–1976). The attack on Zhou in both of these campaigns took the form of allusory historiography in which Confucius and Song Jiang—a capitulator in the historical romance Water Margin, in Mao’s view—were depicted with considerable resemblance to China’s current premier.

Zhou was instrumental in Deng Xiaoping’s reinstatement as vice-premier in 1973. In December 1974, Zhou, gravely ill with cancer and escorted by hospital nurses, flew to Changsha to discuss personnel matters with Mao in preparation for the forthcoming Fourth National People’s Congress. Zhou recommended Deng for the position of the
first vice-premier against Zhang Chunqiao, the candidate of the Jiang Qing group. In the opening session of the Fourth Congress on 13 January 1975, Zhou delivered the government work report, confirming the “four modernizations” (modernization in industry, agriculture, national defense, and science and technology) as the long-range goal of the PRC.

Zhou Enlai’s painstaking efforts to deflate the influence of ultra-leftism and to restore normality to China won sympathy nationwide. By the time of his death on 8 January 1976, he had become the most respected Communist leader in China. As Zhou’s body was transported to the Beijing Babaoshan Cemetery via Chang’ an Avenue on the afternoon of 11 January, an estimated one million citizens lined the street waiting in bitter cold to pay their respects to the late premier. Mao Zedong, absent from Zhou’s funeral, commented harshly on a briefing report with the words “Restoration [of capitalism] in the name of mourning.” In the meantime, the traditional custom of paying homage to the dead during the Qingming Festival season (in late March and early April) provided an occasion for another, and more powerful, outpouring of public grief at the loss of Zhou in major cities of China, which turned into a venting of public rage against ultra-leftism as well. The memory of the late premier had thus become a rallying point for the masses against the Cultural Revolution. See also CONFUCIANISM VERSUS LEGALISM; NANJING INCIDENT; PING-PONG DIPLOMACY; TIANANMEN INCIDENT; UNITED STATES-CHINA RELATIONS; WU HAO AFFAIR; ZHOU-YE REVISIONIST LINE.

ZHOU QUANYING. A student at Tsinghua University, Zhou was a leader and theorist of the “4-14 Headquarters,” a moderately inclined rebel organization. As an antithesis of the ultra-leftist new trend of ideas, Zhou articulated his rather conservative assessment of the political state of the nation in a much-publicized article entitled “The 4-14 Trend Shall Prevail” (3 August 1967). Rejecting the notion that a privileged bourgeois bureaucratic class had formed within the CCP since it became the ruling party in China in 1949, Zhou regarded the 17 years of the communist rule before the Cultural Revolution as a proletarian dictatorship under the leadership of Chairman Mao Zedong. The number of so-called capitalist-roaders within the party was extremely small. He therefore opposed the drastic overhaul of
the political system and the violent struggle through which power was to be redistributed. Zhou was highly critical of the nationwide mass movement following the directions of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) because it was drawing the entire country further and further into chaos.

Many moderate rebels sympathized with these ideas, and Zhou’s article became quite popular among them. Later, in other writings, Zhou singled out Chen Boda, head of the CCRSG, as a target of criticism and also made known his observations of the conflicts among members of the CCRSG, Zhou Enlai, Lin Biao, and other top leaders, which led to his detention by the authorities. He was released after Mao mentioned his name at a meeting with the five Red Guard leaders and said that a theorist should be freed. But Zhou was officially arrested on 19 May 1978 because of a big-character poster he wrote in 1977 in which he reversed his earlier views and exposed the privileges the CCP officials enjoy. He was finally released in December 1979 after the government pronounced his case misjudged.

ZHOU RONGXIN (1917–1976). As minister of education when Vice-Premier Deng Xiaoping was conducting an overall rectification program in 1975, Zhou followed Deng closely and criticized various forms of anti-intellectualism promoted in the Cultural Revolution. In the subsequent anti-Deng Counterattack the Right-Deviationist Reversal-of-Verdicts Trend campaign, Zhou was denounced as Deng’s right-hand man and an enemy of the revolution in education.

Born in Penglai, Shandong Province, Zhou Rongxin joined the CCP in 1937. He was appointed deputy minister of education in 1959 and secretary-general of the State Council in 1965. In late 1966 and early 1967, Jiang Qing and her supporters accused Zhou of carrying out a bourgeois reactionary line (that of Liu Shaoqi) and supporting the repressive Red Guard organizations, such as the Pickets of the Xicheng District in Beijing, in the early stages of the Cultural Revolution. Zhou was publicly denounced and humiliated. In January 1975 when Deng Xiaoping assumed various top leadership positions and took charge of the daily affairs of the CCP Central Committee (CC) and the State Council, Zhou was appointed minister of education. Urged by Deng to speak out, Zhou became one of the few vocal
advocates of Deng’s policies and worked diligently to control the damage caused by the Cultural Revolution in education and to restore normality to the nation’s schools.

In late 1975 when Chairman Mao Zedong began to criticize Deng and prepare for an anti-Deng campaign, the field of education was Mao’s breakthrough point, and Zhou Rongxin became a prominent target in the so-called great debate on the revolution in education. Zhou was accused of negating the revolution in education and opposing Mao’s education policies. He was repeatedly struggled against despite his ill health. At a struggle meeting held at the Ministry of Education on 12 April 1976, Zhou suffered a heart attack. He died the next day. Zhou’s name was cleared by the CC in 1977.

ZHOU YANG (1908–1989). A man of letters and a CCP veteran in cultural work, Zhou Yang was denounced during the Cultural Revolution as a representative of the “revisionist black line in arts and literature.”

Born in Yiyang, Hunan Province, Zhou Yang joined the CCP in 1927. In the first half of the 1930s, Zhou was deeply involved in the activities of the League of Leftist Writers in Shanghai, editing its official organ Literature Monthly and providing the CCP leadership for the League. He went to the revolutionary base Yan’an in 1937. In the next 30 years—up to the beginning of the Cultural Revolution—Zhou Yang assumed a number of culture-related official positions including president of the Lu Xun Institute of Arts and Literature, deputy head of the Propaganda Department of the CCP Central Committee (CC), deputy minister of culture of the PRC, and vice-president of the China Federation of Literary and Art Circles. He was also appointed a member of the Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group in July 1964. Zhou Yang was attacked during the Cultural Revolution for leading a “revisionist black line” in cultural spheres and for being one of the “Four Fellows” allegedly antagonistic to, and ridiculed by, the revered modern Chinese writer Lu Xun in the 1930s. He was imprisoned for nine years until July 1975 when Mao Zedong said that Lu Xun would not agree to shut up the likes of Zhou Yang.

After his full rehabilitation in 1979, Zhou was appointed to a number of ranking positions including president of the China Federation of Literary and Art Circles, vice-president of the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, and deputy head of the CCP Propaganda Department.
As one of the few ranking cadres of the CCP capable of serious critical reflection upon the grave mistakes committed by themselves and by the CCP leadership as a whole, Zhou played an important role in the post-Cultural Revolution “Liberation of Thinking” movement and began to reassess the ideology of the CCP from a humanist perspective in the 1980s. Zhou Yang died on 28 July 1989.

ZHOU-YE REVISIONIST LINE. This was the charge against Zhou Enlai and Ye Jianying for their allegedly “right-wing capitulationism” in dealing with the United States in late 1973 in the face of threat from the Soviet Union. See also ENLARGED POLITBURO SESSIONS, 25 NOVEMBER–5 DECEMBER 1973.

ZHOU CHENGZHAO (1943–1998). A well-known rebel student leader, Zhu was a founder of the mass organization East-Is-Red Commune at the Beijing Geological Institute and the Capital College Red Guards Revolutionary Rebel Headquarters (commonly known as the Third Command Post). In August 1966, Zhu, a senior student of hydrology at the Beijing Geological Institute, criticized the work group sent by the Ministry of Geology and, together with his schoolmate Wang Dabin, formed the mass organization East-Is-Red Commune. This organization won the full support of the Central Cultural Revolution Small Group (CCRSG) during the campaign to criticize the bourgeois reactionary line. Following instructions from Qi Benyu, of the CCRSG, Zhu and Wang led a team to Sichuan Province in December 1966 to kidnap Marshal Peng Dehuai to Beijing to be struggled against by the masses. This mission gave Zhu an opportunity to talk with Peng and read classified information about the Lushan Conference of 1959. In the meantime, he came to know Marshal Ye Jianying through his friend Ye Xiangzhen, daughter of Marshal Ye.

The unexpected exposure to internal information, the influence of Peng and Ye, and the misfortune of his own father—a veteran communist denounced as a member of the “black gang” of the Beijing party committee at the outset of the Cultural Revolution—apparently enabled him to see the serious problems of party politics and of the CCRSG, so much so that in January 1967, Zhu and some of his close friends began to plan a campaign to challenge the CCRSG. However, Wang Dabin leaked the information to the central leaders. Chen Boda
and some other members of the CCRSG soon took preemptive measures to persecute Zhu and Ye Xiangzhen as a “counterrevolutionary clique” and called upon their fellow students to struggle against them. Zhu, then, was held incommunicado for a number of years. His case was eventually cleared by the post-Mao leadership in late 1970s.
Glossary

Andongni’aoni de Zhongguo (Antonioni’s China) 安东尼奥尼的《中国》
Anting shijian (Anting Incident) 安亭事件
Baimaonü (White-Haired Girl) 《白毛女》
Baiwan xiongshi (Million-Strong Mighty Army) 百万雄师
Baiyangdian shige qunluo (Baiyangdian poet group) 白洋淀诗歌群落
Bajie shi’ezhong quanhui (Twelfth Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee) 八届十二中全会
Bajie shiyizhong quanhui (Eleventh Plenum of the CCP Eighth Central Committee) 八届十一中全会
Baohuangpai (royalists) 保皇派
Baoshoupai (conservatives) 保守派
Bayiba (mass rally of 18 August 1966) 八一八
Beijing daxue Qinghua daxue dapipanzu (Peking University and Tsinghua University Great Criticism Group) 北京大学清华大学大批判组
Bian Zhongyun 卞仲耘
Bianselong (chameleon) 变色龙
Bo Yibo 濮一波
Buduan geming (uninterrupted revolution) 不断革命
Changzheng dui (long march team) 长征队
Chanshazhi (add sand to the mix) 掺沙子
Chen Boda 陈伯达
Chen Erjin 陈尔晋
Chen Lining 陈里宁
Chen Pixian 陈丕显
Chen Shaomin 陈少敏
Chen Xilian 陈锡联
Chen Yi 陈毅
Chen Yonggui 陈永贵
Chen Zaidao 陈再道
Chen Zhuoran 陈卓然
Chi Qun 迟群
chijiao yisheng (barefoot doctor) 赤脚医生
chou laojiu (the stinking old ninth) 臭老九
Chuangye (Pioneers) 《创业》
Chunmiao (Spring Seedling) 《春苗》
chushen (family background) 出身
Chushenlun (“On Family Background”) 《出身论》
da chuanlian (great networking) 大串连
da lianhe (grand alliance) 大联合
da minzhu (great democracy) 大民主
da, za, qiang (strike, smash, snatch) 打、砸、抢
dangnei zuidade zouzipai (the biggest capitalist-roader within the party) 党内最大的走资派
daipan (great criticism) 大批判
dazibao (big-character poster) 大字报
Deng Tuo 邓拓
Deng Xiaoping 邓小平
Di erci woshou (Shaking Hands the Second Time) 《第二次握手》
Ding Xuelei (pen name) 丁学雷
Ding Zuxiao 丁祖晓
dingfeng lun (peak theory) 顶峰论
dou, pi, gai (struggle, criticism, reform) 斗、批、改
dousi pixiu (fight selfishness, repudiate revisionism) 斗私批修
douzheng hui (struggle meeting) 斗争会
Dujuanshan (Azalea Mountain) 《杜鹃山》
Eryue bingbian (February Mutiny) 二月兵变
Eryue niliu (February Adverse Current) 二月逆流
Eryue tigang (February Outline, short for “Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group’s Outline Report Concerning the Current Academic Discussion”) 二月提纲
Fan ganrao (Anti-Interference) 反干扰
fan’geming liangmian pai (counterrevolutionary two-faces) 反革命两面派
fanchaoliu (going against the tide) 反潮流
fandong xueshu quanwei (reactionary academic authority) 反动学术权威
Fanji (Strike Back) 《反击》
feng, zi, xiu (feudalism, capitalism, revisionism) 封、资、修
fengqinglun shijian (SS Fengqing Incident) 风庆轮事件
Fu Chongbi 傅崇碧
Fu Lei 傅雷
Fu Lianzhang 傅连暲
geming weiyuanhui (revolutionary committee) 革命委员会
geren chongbai (personality cult) 个人崇拜
gongan liutiao (Six Regulations of Public Security, short for “Regulations on Strengthening Public Security during the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution, also known as the Six Regulations of Public Security”) 公安六条
gongdaihui (workers’ representative assembly) 工代会
gongnongbing xueyuan (worker-peasant-soldier students) 工农兵学员
gongxuandui (workers propaganda team) 工宣队
gongzongsi (Shanghai Workers Revolutionary Rebel Command Post) 工总司
gongzuozu (work group) 工作组
gouzaizi (son of a bitch) 狗崽子
Guan Feng 关锋
Guanyu jianguo yilai de ruogan lishiwenti de jueyi (Resolution on Certain Questions in the History of Our Party Since the Founding of the People’s Republic of China) 《关于建国以来党的若干历史问题的决议》
Guanyu pantu, neijian, gongzei Liu Shaoqi zuixing de shencha baogao (Investigative Report on the Crimes of the Traitor, Spy, and Renegade Liu Shaoqi) 《关于叛徒、内奸、工贼刘少奇罪行的审查报告》
Hai Rui baguan (Hai Rui Dismissed from Office) 《海瑞罢官》
Haigang (On the Dock) 《海港》
Han Aijing 韩爱晶
He Long 贺龙
heibalun (eight black theories) 黑八论
heibang (black gang) 黑帮
heicailiao (black material) 黑材料
heihua (black words) 黑话
heiqilei (Black Seven Categories) 黑七类
heishou (black hands) 黑手
heiwulei (Black Five Categories) 黑五类
hong baoshu (red book of treasures) 红宝书
hong bayue (Red August) 红八月
hongdaihui (Red Guards’ representative assembly) 红代会
Hongdengji (Red Lantern) 《红灯记》
Hongdu niuhuang (Queen of the Red Capital) 《红都女皇》
honghaiyang (red sea) 红海洋
hongse kongbu (red terror) 红色恐怖
Hongse niangzijun (Red Detachment of Women) 《红色娘子军》
hongwaiwei (Red Peripheries) 红外围
hongweibing (Red Guards) 红卫兵
hongwulei (Red Five Categories) 红五类
Hu Shoujun 胡守钧
Hua Guofeng 华国锋
huaiyi yiqie (suspect all) 怀疑一切
Huang Shuai 黄帅
Huang Yongsheng 黄永胜
huipishu he huangpishu (Grey Books and Yellow Books) 灰皮书和黄皮书
huoshao yingguo zhuhua daibanchu (British Chargé Incident) 火烧英国驻华代办处
Ji Dengkui 纪登奎
Jian Bozan 翦伯赞
Jiang Qing 江青
Jiang Tengjiao 江腾蛟
Jiefang ribao shijian (Liberation Daily Incident) 解放日报事件
jieji luxian (class line) 阶级路线
jieji zhengce (class policy) 阶级政策
jingji zhuyi (economism) 经济主义
jingju geming (revolution in Peking Opera) 京剧革命
Jinguang dadao (Golden Road) 《金光大道》
jiu liu huxian (Collar Liu Shaoqi Battlefront) 祁刘前线
Jiuda (Ninth National Congress of the CCP) 九大
Jiujie erzhong quanhui (Second Plenum of the CCP Ninth Central Committee) 九届二中全会
Jiuyisan shijian (September 13 [1971] Incident) 九一三事件
Juelie (Break) 《决裂》
Junwei bangong huiyi (Central Military Commission Administrative Conference Office) 军委办公会议
Junwei banshizu (Central Military Commission Administrative Group) 军委办事组
junxuandui (PLA propaganda team) 军宣队
kaimen banxue (open-door schooling) 开门办学
Kang Sheng 康生
Kangpinglu shijian (Kangping Avenue Incident) 康平路事件
keyi jiaoyuhao de zinü (educable children) 可以教育好的子女
Kuai Dafu 龚大富
lao hongweibing (Old Red Guards) 老红卫兵
Lao She 老舍
laosanpian (three old pieces) 老三篇
laowupian (five old pieces) 老五篇
Li Da 李达
Li Desheng 李德生
Li Fuchun 李富春
Li Jiulian 李九莲
Li Qinglin 李庆霖
Li Qishun 李启顺
Li Xiannian 李先念
Li Xuefeng 李雪峰
Li Yizhe (pen name) 李一哲
Li Zaihan 李再含
Li Zuopeng 李作鹏
Liandong (United Action, short for the Capital Red Guard United Action Committee) 联动
Liang Xiao (pen name) 梁效
liangbao yikan (two newspapers and one journal) 两报一刊
Lianhe jiandui (United Flotilla) 联合舰队
Liao Mosha 廖沫沙
Lin Biao 林彪
Lin Liguo 林立果
Lin Liheng 林立衡
Liu Bing 刘冰
Liu Chuanxian 刘传贤
Liu Geping 刘格平
Liu Qingtang 刘庆棠
Liu Ren 刘仁
Liu Shaoshi 刘少奇
Liu Tao 刘涛
Liu Xiting 刘西挺
liuchang erxiao (six factories and two universities) 六厂二校
Liuliu tongling (June 6 [1967] Circular Order) 六六通令
Liushiyiren pantu jituan (Sixty-One Traitors Clique) 六十一人叛徒集团
Longjiang song (Ode to Longjiang River) «龙江颂»
Lu Dingyi 陆定一
Lu Ping 陆平

Lun gongchandangyuan de xiuyang (Cultivation of a Communist) 《论共产党员的修养》
Luo Ruiqing 罗瑞卿
Luo Siding (pen name) 罗思鼎
luxian douzheng (line struggle) 路线斗争
Ma Sicong 马思聪
Ma Tianshui 马天水
Mao Yuanxin 毛远新
Mao Zedong 毛泽东
Mao Zedong sixiang (Mao Zedong Thought) 毛泽东思想
Mao Zedong sixiang xuanchuandui (Mao Zedong Thought propaganda team) 毛泽东思想宣传队

Maozhuxi yulu (Quotations from Chairman Mao) 《毛主席语录》

Nanjing shijian (Nanjing Incident) 南京事件
Nanjing zhishi qingnian zhi ge ("Song of the Educated Youths of Nanjing") 《南京知识青年之歌》
Nei ren dang (Inner Mongolia People’s Revolutionary Party) 内人党
ni banshi, wo fangxin (“I feel at ease with you in charge”) “你办事, 我放心”
Nie Rongzhen 聂荣臻
Nie Yuanzi 聂元梓
niuguisheshen (cow-demons and snake-spirits) 牛鬼蛇神
niupeng (cow shed) 牛棚
Pan Fusheng 潘复生
Paoda silingbu (“Bombarding the Headquarters”) 《炮打司令部》
Peng Dehuai 彭德怀
Peng Peiyun 彭佩云
Peng Zhen 彭真

penqishi (jet plane style) 喷气式
Pi Chen zhengfeng (criticize Chen Boda and conduct rectification) 批陈整风
Pi Lin zhengfeng (criticize Lin Biao and conduct rectification) 批林整风
Pi Lin pi Kong (criticize Lin Biao and criticize Confucius) 批林批孔
ping Shuihu (Water Margin appraisal)，ping pang waijiao (ping-pong diplomacy)，pinxuandui (poor peasants propaganda team)，Qi Benyu，Qian Haoliang (Hao Liang)，Qiersi bugao (July 24 [1968] Public Notice)，Qieryi daxue (July 21 University)，Qingdui (rectify the class ranks)，Qinggong mishi (Inside Story of the Qing Court)，Qingli jieji duwu (rectify the class ranks)，Qingtongxia shijian (Qingtongxia Incident)，Quanguo hongse laodongzhe zaofan zongtuan (National Red Workers Rebel Corps)，Quanjun wenhua geming xiaozu (All Forces Cultural Revolution Small Group)，Quanjun zhengdun (overall rectification)，Quanzhong zhuanzheng (mass dictatorship)，Rong Guotuan，San tuchu (three prominences)，Sanjiangzhi siwuxian (Three Loyalties and Four Limitlessnesses)，Shanghai renmin gongshe (Shanghai People’s Commune)，Shehuizhuyi jiaoyu yundong (Socialist Education Movement)
Sheng wu lian (Provincial Proletarian Alliance, short for the Committee for the Grand Alliance of the Proletarian Revolutionaries of Hunan Province) 省联
Shengda de jieri (Grand Festival) 《盛大的节日》
Shi Chuanxiang 时传祥
Shi Yige (pen name) 石一歌
Shi Yunfeng 史云峰
Shida (Tenth National Congress of the CCP) 十大
Shiliutiao (Sixteen Articles, short for “Resolution of the CCP Central Committee concerning the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution”) 十六条
Shiyiyue heifeng (black wind in November) 十一月黑风
Shoudu hongweibing jiuchadui (Capital Red Guard Pickets) 首都红卫兵纠察队
shuai shitou (throw rocks) 甩石头
sida (Four Bigs) 四大
sige weida (Four Greats) 四个伟大
Sijie renda (Fourth National People’s Congress of the PRC) 四届人大
sijiu (Four Olds) 四旧
Siqing (Four Cleans movement) 四清运动
Sirenbang (Gang of Four) 四人帮
Sitiao hanzi (Four Fellows) 四条汉子
Siwu yundong (April 5 [1976] movement) 四五运动
sixin (Four New’s) 四新
Song Shuo 宋硕
Tan Houlan 谭厚兰
Tan Lifu 谭力夫
Tan Zhenlin 谭震林
Tao Zhu 陶铸
Tian Han 田汉
Tiananmen shichao (Tiananmen Poems) 《天安门诗抄》
Tiananmen shijian (Tiananmen Incident) 天安门事件
tiancailun (genius theory) 天才论
Tiantiandu (daily reading) 天天读
tugu naxin (get rid of the old and take in the new) 吐故纳新
wa qiangjiao (dig up cornerstones) 挖墙角
Wang Dabing 王大宾
Wang Dongxing 汪东兴
Wang Guan Qi shijian (Wang-Guan-Qi Affair) 王关戚事件
Wang Guangmei 王光美
Wang Hongwen 王洪文
Wang Li 王力
Wang Renzhong 王任重
Wang Shenyu 王申酉
Wang Xiaoyu 王效禹
Wang Xiuzhen 王秀珍
wengong wuwei (verbal attack and armed defense) 文攻武卫
wenhua geming weiyuanhui (Cultural Revolution committee) 文化革命委员会
Wenhua geming wuren xiaozu (Five-Person Cultural Revolution Small Group) 文化革命五人小组
ewenyi heixian (black line in literature and arts) 文艺黑线
Woniu shijian (Snail Incident) 蜗牛事件
Wu De 吴德
Wu Faxian 吴法宪
Wu Guixian 吴桂贤
Wu Han 吴晗
Wu Hao shijian (Wu Hao Affair) 伍豪事件
wuchanjie ji zhuanzheng xia jixu geming (continuing revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat) 无产阶级专政下继续革命
Wulanfu (Ulanfu) 乌兰夫
Wuqi ganniao (May 7 cadre school) 五七干校
Wuqi zhishi (May 7 directive) 五七指示
Wuqi yongcheng jiayao (“571 Project” Summary) “571工程” 纪要
wushi zi jiandang fangzhen (fifty-word party-building principle) 五十字建党方针
Wuyiliu fan’geming jituan (May 16 Counterrevolutionary Clique) 五一六反革命集团
Wuyiliu tongzhi (May 16 Circular) 五一六通知
xianghua ducao (fragrant flowers and poisonous weeds) 香花毒草
xiaopachong (crawling insects) 小爬虫
xiaoyaopai (bystanders) 逍遥派
Xie Fuzhi 谢富治
Xie Jingyi 谢静宜
Xu Jingxian 徐景贤
Xu Xiangqian 徐向前
xuetonglun (blood lineage theory) 血统论
Yan Fengying 严凤英
Yang Chengwu 杨成武
Yang Shangkun 杨尚昆
Yang Xianzhen 杨献珍
Yang Xiguang 杨曦光
Yang Yu Fu shijian (Yang-Yu-Fu affair) 杨余傅事件
yangbanxi (model dramas) 样板戏
Yanshan yehua (Evening Chats at Yanshan) 《燕山夜话》
Yao Wenyuan 姚文元
Ye Jianying 叶剑英
Ye Qun 叶群
yida sanfan (One Strike and Three Antis) 一打三反
Yilin Dixin (pen name) 伊林·洛西
yingshe shixue (allusory historiography) 影射史学
Yiyue fengbao (January Storm) 一月风暴
Yiyue geming (January Revolution) 一月革命
youqing fan’an feng (right-deviationist reversal-of-verdicts trend) 右倾翻案风
Yu Huiyong 于会泳
Yu Lijin 余立金
Yu Luoke 遇罗克
zaijiaoyu (reeducation) 再教育
zaofan youli (“To rebel is justified”) “造反有理”
zaofanpai (rebels) 造反派
zaqingshi wanhuibao (morning request, evening report) 早请示，晚汇报
Zhang Chunqiao 张春桥
Zhang Jieting 张结挺
Zhang Linzhi 张霖之
Zhang Pinghua 张平化
Zhang Tiesheng 张铁生
Zhang Yang 张扬
Zhang Yufeng 张玉凤
Zhang Zhixin 张志新
Zhao Yongfu 赵永夫
zhengbian jing (scripture of coup d’état) 政变经
zhengzhiju huiyi (Politburo sessions) 政治局会议
zhengzhiju kuoda huiyi (enlarged sessions of the Politburo) 政治局扩大会议
Zhiqu weihushan (Taking Tiger Mountain by Stratagem) 《智取威虎山》
zhishi qingnian (educated youth) 知识青年
Zhonggong Shanghai shiwei xiezuozu (Shanghai Municipal Party Committee Writing Group) 中共上海市委写作组
Zhongguo de heluxiaofu (China’s Khrushchev) 中国的赫鲁晓夫
zhongsu lunzhan (China-Soviet debate) 中苏论战
zhongyang gongzuo huiyi (CCP Central Committee work session) 中央工作会议
zhongyang wenge pengtouhui (extended Central Cultural Revolution Small Group routine meeting) 中央文革碰头会
Zhongyang wenge xiaozu (Central Cultural Revolution Small Group) 中央文革小组
Zhongyang zhuang’an shencha xiaozu (Central Special Case Examination Group) 中央专案审查小组
Zhongyang zuzhizu xuanchuanzu (Central Organization and Propaganda Group) 中央组织组宣传组
zhongziwu (loyalty dance) 忠字舞
Zhou Enlai 周恩来
Zhou Quanying 周泉缨
Zhou Rongxin 周荣鑫
Zhou Xinfang 周信芳
Zhou Yang 周扬
Zhu Chengzhao 朱成昭
zhuageming, cushengchan (grasp revolution, promote production) 抓革命, 促生产
zichanjieji fandong luxian (bourgeois reactionary line) 资产阶级反动路线
zilaihong (born red) 自来红
zouzibenzhuyidaolu de dangquanzai (those in power who take the capitalist road) 走资本主义道路的当权派
zouzipai (capitalist-roader) 走资派
zuigao zhishi (highest directive) 最高指示
This bibliography is a selection of English-language material on the Cultural Revolution published from 1966 to the present. The sources are arranged topically in 14 sections.

The first section, on general works, includes major historical documents, general analyses, and period histories, as well as such reference tools as bibliographies, dictionaries, chronicles, and yearbooks. The sources listed under the next two sections, “Mao Zedong and the Cultural Revolution” and “CCP Leaders and the Cultural Revolution,” concern the role of the central leadership of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) during the Cultural Revolution and cover a wide range of topics from Mao’s conception of the Cultural Revolution to the factional conflicts and the subsequent purge at the top level of the party.

By contrast, the next two sections, “Red Guards and Urban Youth Reeducation” and “Rebels, Masses, and Violence,” focus on the various stages of the Cultural Revolution at the grassroots level. Highlighting the phenomenon of the Red Guards and other forms of mass participation in the Cultural Revolution, titles in these sections explore the dynamics of these mass movements, and some of them attempt to explain why and how the mass movements led to a state of nationwide anarchy and violence. The section on “Heterodox Thoughts,” also focusing on the grassroots level of the movement, contains both documents and analyses of the ideas that deviate from official Maoism. These documents and studies reveal, to some extent, the painstaking efforts of China’s younger generation in search of identity and a more democratic society during the years of tight ideological control.

The People’s Liberation Army (PLA) played a complex role during the Cultural Revolution. The titles listed in the section on the army’s participation cover topics such as the functions of the PLA in regional or local government, its control over the mass movements, its conflicts with the party’s ultraleftists, and its vital role in the power struggles
of the central government. In addition, some titles here also relate the personal experiences of high-ranking officers.

For overviews of Chinese society in many other aspects, the reader may consult publications included in the sections on “Society and Social Life,” “Education and Intellectuals,” “Arts and Science,” “Foreign Policy and Foreign Relations,” and “Cultural Revolution in the Provinces.” Such peculiar phenomena as the administration of workers propaganda teams, the May 7 Cadre School, the eight model dramas, and the politics of a reevaluation of Confucianism and Legalism are examined by the works in these sections. So are the strategic changes in China’s foreign policy exemplified by the normalization of diplomatic relations with the United States and Japan in the 1970s.

The next section, “Fiction and Memoirs,” includes mostly what has become known as the “literature of the wounded” and the “literature of reflection”—works that came out after 1976 portraying private lives against the broad background of the Cultural Revolution. Some of them, in the form of novels, short stories, films, poems, biographies, and autobiographies, depict at once a disillusioning journey of the individual and that of the nation.

The titles under “Aftermath,” the last section of the bibliography, examine the impact of the Cultural Revolution on contemporary China and on the world at large. Some of them focus on a critical assessment of the Cultural Revolution, and some explore the relationship between the Cultural Revolution and China’s subsequent economic reform in the 1980s and the prodemocracy movement in 1989. One repeated theme found in many works listed in this section is the disillusionment of most Chinese citizens toward their government and toward the ideology of communism.

This bibliography does not include any Internet sources because the value of the existing English sites about the Cultural Revolution is quite limited, and some of them are not stable, either. However, there are a number of reliable and resourceful Chinese-language sites on the Internet; the most useful are the Virtual Museum of the Cultural Revolution at http://museums.cnd.org/cr/, which is a rich database of Cultural Revolution documents, studies, and memoirs, and the Memorial for Victims of the Chinese Cultural Revolution at http://www.chinese-memorial.org/, which includes more than 600 biographies of individuals who were killed or harassed to death during the Cultural Revolution.
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